THE STATE OF ARIZONA

CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona May 16, 2024 11:00 a.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

	2		<u>^</u>
1	VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN		3
2	ELECTIONS COMMISSION, convened at 11:00 a.m. on	1	PROCEEDING
3	May 16, 2024, at the State of Arizona, Citizens Clean	2	
45	Elections Commission, 1110 West Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the following Board	3	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. My name is Mark
6	Members:	4	Kimble. Agenda Item I is the call to order.
7	Mr. Mark S. Kimble, Chairman	5	It's 11:00 a.m. on May 16th, 2024, and I call this
	Mr. Galen Paton	6	meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission to order.
8	Ms. Amy Chan Mr. Steve Titla	7	With that we will take attendance. Commissioners,
9	Ms. Christina Estes-Werther	8	please identify yourselves for the record.
-		9	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I'm Amy Chan.
10	OTHERS PRESENT:	10	COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Christina Werther.
11	Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director	11	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. I heard Commissioner Chan
· ·	Mike Becker, Policy Director	12	and Commissioner Werther.
12	Gina Roberts, Voter Education Director	13	COMMISSIONER PATON: Galen Paton.
40	Avery Xola, Voter Education Manager	14	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, Steve Steve Titla.
13	Kara Karlson, Assistant Attorney General Cathy Herring, CAE	15	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioners
14	Emma Cone-Roddy, Member of the Public	16	Paton and Titla; we have all five of us here today. Thank
		17	you.
15		18	Item III [verbatim], discussion and possible action
16 17		19	on minutes for the April 18th, 2024, meeting.
18		20	Commissioners, you have the minutes from our last
19		21	meeting in your packet. Is there any discussion from the
20		22	Commissioners?
21 22		23	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman.
23		24	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.
24		25	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I move that we approve the
25	Miller Certified Depending 11.0		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	4		5
1	4 minutes as written.	1	5 weeks.
1	minutes as written.	1	weeks.
2	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner	2	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty
2 3	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan.	2 3	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items.
2 3 4	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second?	2 3 4	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our
2 3 4 5	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second.	2 3 4 5	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our
2 3 4 5 6	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by	2 3 4 5 6	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started
2 3 4 5 6 7	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to	2 3 4 5 6 7	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing. Item III, discussion and possible action on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted. But we've also relaunched our website with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing. Item III, discussion and possible action on the Executive Director's report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted. But we've also relaunched our website with a redesign. I encourage everyone to take a look at that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Verther. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing. Item III, discussion and possible action on the Executive Director's report. Tom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted. But we've also relaunched our website with a redesign. I encourage everyone to take a look at that. We're very excited about it. I've highlighted some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing. Item III, discussion and possible action on the Executive Director's report. MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted. But we've also relaunched our website with a redesign. I encourage everyone to take a look at that. We're very excited about it. I've highlighted some of the some of the things that I think Alec is most proud
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing. Item III, discussion and possible action on the Executive Director's report. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioners, for being here today on our for this,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted. But we've also relaunched our website with a redesign. I encourage everyone to take a look at that. We're very excited about it. I've highlighted some of the some of the things that I think Alec is most proud of. I want to thank Alec for his hard work in in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Verther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing. Item III, discussion and possible action on the Executive Director's report. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioners, for being here today on our for this, for being flexible to move this meeting up a couple of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted. But we've also relaunched our website with a redesign. I encourage everyone to take a look at that. We're very excited about it. I've highlighted some of the some of the things that I think Alec is most proud of. I want to thank Alec for his hard work in in accomplishing this relaunch. It's a lot of work between him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved by Commissioner Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther to approve the minutes. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. The minutes are approved 5-to-nothing. Item III, discussion and possible action on the Executive Director's report. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioners, for being here today on our for this,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	weeks. I want and we have actually a pretty action-packed Executive Director's report; three huge items. We have commenced our debate season. Our legislative debates kicked off about 10 days ago and our televised debates for statewide and federal offices started last night with a debate between the candidate Democratic candidates for the Congressional District 1 nomination. This was a really great event, and the beauty of it is it not only is available to for live broadcast but also will be available to the entire Arizona media association consortium to broadcast across the state. So we will see rebroadcast of this particular debate throughout the week and weekend, and then we anticipate as we move forward, additional broadcasts. We have two other important voter education announcements and then I Mr. Chairman, if I may, I just want to make sure after a note that if Gina had anything that she wanted to make sure we highlighted. But we've also relaunched our website with a redesign. I encourage everyone to take a look at that. We're very excited about it. I've highlighted some of the some of the things that I think Alec is most proud of. I want to thank Alec for his hard work in in

	6		7
1	and and the rest of the voter education staff and our	1	, MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, may I?
	partners at Riester as well as our IT folks so.	2	
2	•		CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes. Gina.
3	But it's really exciting, it's dynamic, it's fresh	3	MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. Mr. Chairman,
4	and I think welcoming and organized. And I think that when	4	Commissioners, thanks. Actually here's what that sticker
5	we think about this is in terms of what voters are seeing	5	looks like.
6	and how important it is for them to get access to	6	So this will be inserted in the center of the Voter
7	information that they can they can readily find what	7	Education Guide. We have an English sticker here; you flip
8	they're looking for, I think is really it's really	8	it over, we got the Spanish sticker right there. So we're
9	important.	9	very excited about that.
10	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Tom, if I could just say. I	10	And then regarding the CD-1 debate, that was the
11	spent some time looking at the new website the past couple	11	first debate in our new studio. I believe your packet has
12	days. It's very, very well done. It's easy to navigate, it	12	some photos of what that set looks like, and we were very
13	really draws your attention to some of the more important	13	happy with the carriage plan which I believe you also have
14	parts of the website. And Alec and Gina and the other	14	in your packet.
15	people who worked on it, fabulous job.	15	So just to give you a recap of that. We had that
16	MR. COLLINS: No, absolutely. Absolutely.	16	debate that was available for voters for the CD-1 Democratic
17	And then we will have this Friday the files for the	17	candidates. It aired on live TV from an English standpoint;
18	print version of the Voter Education Guide will be uploaded	18	we also had it airing live on Spanish. And we had a lot of
19	for print. And that will be they'll have 196 candidates	19	partners pick it up for streaming as well too. We also note
20	that are in the booklet, and as well as our our as	20	during the 6:00 p.m. news hour some some of our media
21	we've seen in prior presentations our new sticker, our "I	21	partners cut over to the debate and showed it in screen and
22	voted" sticker.	22	were referring people to it. We saw some of our media
22		22	
	So I did Mr. Chairman, if I could, I just want		partners do a post-debate analysis on it.
24	to make sure if Gina had anything she wanted to make sure we	24	So we were very happy with the reach and coverage
25	highlighted on those three items. I don't know if she does.	25	that this debate had and, again, that is due in partnership
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	8		9
1	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're,	1	member of the Commission?
2	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it.	2	member of the Commission? (No audible response.)
2 3	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and	2 3	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina.
2 3 4	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy	2 3 4	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom.
2 3 4 5	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard	2 3 4 5	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just
2 3 4 5 6	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy	2 3 4 5 6	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you
2 3 4 5 6 7	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year.	2 3 4 5 6 7	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our	2 3 4 5 6	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to local candidates. We are seeing a lot of city council	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we go so the State budget is a being negotiated according
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to local candidates. We are seeing a lot of city council candidates who are coming to our site to use it, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we go so the State budget is a being negotiated according to media reports between some legislative members and and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidates profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to local candidates. We are seeing a lot of city council candidates who are coming to our site to use it, which is fantastic; candidates for mayor, for school districts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we go so the State budget is a being negotiated according to media reports between some legislative members and and members of the Governor's staff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to local candidates. We are seeing a lot of city council candidates who are coming to our site to use it, which is fantastic; candidates for mayor, for school districts. So we're seeing a lot of candidates really wanting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we go so the State budget is a being negotiated according to media reports between some legislative members and and members of the Governor's staff. As part of the budget process, the the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to local candidates. We are seeing a lot of city council candidates who are coming to our site to use it, which is fantastic; candidates for mayor, for school districts. So we're seeing a lot of candidates really wanting to utilize the resources that Clean Elections is providing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we go so the State budget is a being negotiated according to media reports between some legislative members and and members of the Governor's staff. As part of the budget process, the the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to local candidates. We are seeing a lot of city council candidates who are coming to our site to use it, which is fantastic; candidates for mayor, for school districts. So we're seeing a lot of candidates really wanting to utilize the resources that Clean Elections is providing. So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we go so the State budget is a being negotiated according to media reports between some legislative members and and members of the Governor's staff. As part of the budget process, the the Department of Administration has sort of been set up it seems like to manage agency implementations of potential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to the Arizona Media Association and Riester. So we're, again, very happy with the look and feel of it. We had six candidates which was pretty large, and we felt that it it worked very well. We're very happy with our moderators who were Steve Goldstein and Richard Ruelas. So it's a great start to our our debate season this year. And as Tom mentioned, we do go to print with our Voter Education Guide on Friday. We're going to have almost 2.4 million pieces that will go out to to households with registered voters across the state. The introduction of that guide has great information of the logistics of voting, everything a voter needs to know; and then, of course, the the statements. Now that voter guide does print the candidate statements for statewide and legislative office, however you will see on our website we have significantly more candidate profiles on there ranging from our federal candidates to local candidates. We are seeing a lot of city council candidates who are coming to our site to use it, which is fantastic; candidates for mayor, for school districts. So we're seeing a lot of candidates really wanting to utilize the resources that Clean Elections is providing. So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any questions for Gina from any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 member of the Commission? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to finish out the report. You can see we've, you know, continued and has been you know, to do outreach on the ground, especially with Avery's continued effort to be available to civic engagement partners around state. We continue to put we are continuing to do candidate workshops and we are starting to see candidates more candidates turn in their \$5 slips with an eye towards funding. I don't know, I don't think we have a precise number yet of how many candidates. We do know it, obviously, continues to be at a lower number of candidates, you know, than in, you know, 10, 15 years ago. But, nevertheless, we're we're proceeding with that. I think that I do need to mention, you know, as we go so the State budget is a being negotiated according to media reports between some legislative members and and members of the Governor's staff. As part of the budget process, the the Department of Administration has sort of been set up it seems like to manage agency implementations of potential budget cuts and and related actions.

	10	1	11
	10		11
1	So in the last month a letter came out from the	1	especially bring on an additional contractor, how we might
2	Governor's office to all state agencies that indicated that,	2	do that.
3	you know, there was going to be something they're describing	3	The bigger the big picture. So so hopefully
4	as a as a head-count cap, some other some other things	4	that will work and avoid sort of a direct conflict.
5	along those lines. And then some counties are or	5	But the reality is that, you know, given that the
6	counties, I was in the meeting with a county. Some agencies	6	Commission is not under the General Fund and given that the
7	are being required to make to show how they would make	7	Commission under the case law and given our structure does
8	cuts in the current fiscal year to their General Fund	8	not report to the Governor's office, it's we're in a
9	appropriation.	9	little bit of an odd situation where the you know, having
10	So how did that affect how does that affect us?	10	the Department of Administration sort of dictate to us those
11	We are not we were not asked to make demonstrate our	11	kinds of management decisions. It's not, for example,
12	ability to make cuts for our to our current spending	12	particularly clear to me what DOA's authority for for
13	because we're not at least we assume it's because we're	13	doing that is, nor has it been particularly clear to me what
14		14	
14	not funded through the General Fund.		DOA's authority is for a number of different things we've
	We have been told that we are subject to the	15	been dealing with with them.
16	head-count cap which includes not just staff but also	16	So, you know, our goal will be if things come up,
17	contractors, and also the what they are describing as a	17	we will try to work through the exception process rather
18	pause on pay increases and also performance-related	18	than have, you know, sort of a direct conflict about this
19	incentive payments.	19	issue. But I do think, you know, that we need to be aware
20	So one of the things that the reasons I wanted	20	that, you know, in prior budget, for lack of better word,
21	to highlight this for the Commission, I think it's important	21	crisis, the Commission has been has not been included in
22	for business is, you know, there the DOA has indicated	22	some of the steps agencies otherwise had to take because of
23	there is going to be some kind of process by which, and	23	the the nature of the Commission's funding and because of
24	we've had a little bit of information about this, how we	24	the structure of the Act and the and the way in which the
25	might if we needed to make an hire or if we needed to	25	Arizona Supreme Court has recognized the the independence
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	12		13
1	of the Commission.	1	the legislature that is specific to the Clean Elections Fund
2	You can see the sort of, the kind of you know,	2	and checks a number of legal boxes, the Clean Elections Fund
3	as bad as as much as people may not make slippery-slope	3	is simply not available as a solution of the current budget
4	arguments, if the Department of Administration can tell us	4	issues that the that the that the State is
5	when we can hire and when we can't hire, then the Department	5	encountering.
6	of Administration can tell us basically when we can function	6	So, we will see how that goes.
7	and when we can't function by by sort of, you know, sort	7	We did, however, get approval for two sponsorships
8	of by logical extension.	0	
9		8	that I mentioned last last month. Those meetings turned
	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for	9	that I mentioned last last month. Those meetings turned out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I
10			
10 11	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for	9	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I
	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason.	9 10	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval
11	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able	9 10 11	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we
11 12	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and	9 10 11 12	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with
11 12 13	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then	9 10 11 12 13	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve.
11 12 13 14	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running	9 10 11 12 13 14	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last
11 12 13 14 15	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we
11 12 13 14 15 16	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running understaffed. You know, there's some good reasons for that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative Leadership has brought that pertains to whether or not the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running understaffed. You know, there's some good reasons for that and some coincidental reasons for that. But, nevertheless,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative Leadership has brought that pertains to whether or not the Voter Right to know Act is violative of sort of separation
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running understaffed. You know, there's some good reasons for that and some coincidental reasons for that. But, nevertheless, you know, we do think we've been responsible with respect to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative Leadership has brought that pertains to whether or not the Voter Right to know Act is violative of sort of separation of powers, principles, and some related theories.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running understaffed. You know, there's some good reasons for that and some coincidental reasons for that. But, nevertheless, you know, we do think we've been responsible with respect to our our our administration of the of the budget.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative Leadership has brought that pertains to whether or not the Voter Right to know Act is violative of sort of separation of powers, principles, and some related theories. You know, it's a you know, it's kind of a
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running understaffed. You know, there's some good reasons for that and some coincidental reasons for that. But, nevertheless, you know, we do think we've been responsible with respect to our our our administration of the of the budget. So, you know, we we don't want to end up being	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative Leadership has brought that pertains to whether or not the Voter Right to know Act is violative of sort of separation of powers, principles, and some related theories. You know, it's a you know, it's kind of a complicated kind of a complicated case, but I think that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running understaffed. You know, there's some good reasons for that and some coincidental reasons for that. But, nevertheless, you know, we do think we've been responsible with respect to our our our administration of the of the budget. So, you know, we we don't want to end up being the sort of the victims of our efforts to not unnecessarily	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative Leadership has brought that pertains to whether or not the Voter Right to know Act is violative of sort of separation of powers, principles, and some related theories. You know, it's a you know, it's kind of a complicated kind of a complicated case, but I think that our you know, our our attorney Eric Frazier from
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So it is something we do need to keep an eye on for that reason. But, you know, again, so far we've been able we've worked through. And, of course, we have been and through the budgets you-all approved over the years and then the management decisions we made, we are, in fact, running a, you know, a we're running a tight ship in terms of staffing. We don't have a lot of excess staff. I mean, we really in fact, I would say we are probably running understaffed. You know, there's some good reasons for that and some coincidental reasons for that. But, nevertheless, you know, we do think we've been responsible with respect to our our our administration of the of the budget. So, you know, we we don't want to end up being the sort of the victims of our efforts to not unnecessarily expand staff and those kinds of things down the road.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	out to be, I think, fairly productive. Paula, Gina and I met with DOA folks on those and we were able to get approval for the two sponsorships we were concerned about. And we have additional sponsorships that we will be working with them to get to approve. We have had a we did have an oral argument last week on the Prop 211 case, which we thought I mean, we will see how how that you know, how the Court rules. The main issues in that case, this is a case the Legislative Leadership has brought that pertains to whether or not the Voter Right to know Act is violative of sort of separation of powers, principles, and some related theories. You know, it's a you know, it's kind of a complicated kind of a complicated case, but I think that our you know, our our attorney Eric Frazier from Osborn Maledon I think did a did a great job. And, you

	14		A E
1	which is to say, without the legislative leadership itself,	1	15 rules associated with that and rejected the Court
2	has standing to assert an injury to them, to the	2	rejected that.
3	legislature, and and/or to the their ability to make	3	And then and then there are a number of a
4	laws in this area.	4	couple of other cases out there on that that I will I can
5	And so that so, you know, we'll see how that	5	provide you more details about that as they
6	goes. But it was you know, it was an interesting and,	6	So, you know, and Kara obviously is part of that,
7	you know, I think it was a good argument, and I think we did	7	part of the arguing the RMC case about the EPA challenge.
8	a good job.	8	And also that was in as well as the outlying cases.
9	Couple of other things that just in the general	9	So those were big successes, and I think
10	world of election law, you know, I wanted to update the	10	importantly from both an administrative perspective and from
11	portion of the the other legal portion of the report.	11	a voter-education perspective, you know, getting the rules
12	We the Attorney General's office and Secretary of State's	12	set and challenges to the rules of election resolved as
13	office were able to successfully defend the current	13	quickly as possible is important, because at the end of the
14	signature verification process and the current bases for	14	day, you know, I think that we are attuned to the fact
15	having drop boxes that voters use to return their early	15	that that, you know, a part of our job is to try to make
16	ballots in Yavapai County. That's an update from the actual	16	sure people can go and easily find the rules of the road.
17	report.	17	And, you know, the longer litigation sort of waits and then
18	And there are, as I mentioned, three in the	18	hangs around, the more likelihood there is that voters will
19	report there are three challenges to the election procedures	19	be confused and that voter confusion in turn exacerbates
20	manual. One of those challenges was dismissed on earlier	20	issues with misinformation and disinformation.
21	this week as I mentioned in the report. This is a this	21	And and so we're pleased to see those cases get
22	was a challenge as to whether or not the EPM has to go	22	resolved in a way that supports the decisions that election
23	through the the Arizona Procedures Act, which is	23	officials are making.
24	basically the act that says, you know, if you are making	24	I believe that is those that sort of
25	rules or what have you, here are the notice and comment	25	concludes that concludes the report from my perspective.
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	16		17
1	16 Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize.	1	17 mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it
1 2		1 2	
	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize.		mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it
2	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late	2	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about
2 3	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that	2 3	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by
2 3 4	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I	2 3 4	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan
2 3 4 5	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on	2 3 4 5	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR.	2 3 4 5 6	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election	2 3 4 5 6 7	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things. Why does it matter to Clean Elections? Last night	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a fact-specific inquiry, can be unconstitutional if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things. Why does it matter to Clean Elections? Last night after 5:00, we learned that there was an amendment that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a fact-specific inquiry, can be unconstitutional if they failed to provide that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things. Why does it matter to Clean Elections? Last night after 5:00, we learned that there was an amendment that would take \$11 million from the Clean Elections Fund to pay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a fact-specific inquiry, can be unconstitutional if they failed to provide that. Presumably what the legislature's proposal is as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things. Why does it matter to Clean Elections? Last night after 5:00, we learned that there was an amendment that would take \$11 million from the Clean Elections Fund to pay for the on-site polling changes that the HCR purports to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a fact-specific inquiry, can be unconstitutional if they failed to provide that. Presumably what the legislature's proposal is as this moves forward is to try to find that revenue source
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things. Why does it matter to Clean Elections? Last night after 5:00, we learned that there was an amendment that would take \$11 million from the Clean Elections Fund to pay for the on-site polling changes that the HCR purports to do. So as an HCR, this is a measure that would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a fact-specific inquiry, can be unconstitutional if they failed to provide that. Presumably what the legislature's proposal is as this moves forward is to try to find that revenue source and and use maybe the Clean Elections Fund to do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things. Why does it matter to Clean Elections? Last night after 5:00, we learned that there was an amendment that would take \$11 million from the Clean Elections Fund to pay for the on-site polling changes that the HCR purports to do. So as an HCR, this is a measure that would have to go to the ballot. I just the only thing I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a fact-specific inquiry, can be unconstitutional if they failed to provide that. Presumably what the legislature's proposal is as this moves forward is to try to find that revenue source and and use maybe the Clean Elections Fund to do that. The those two constitutional provisions are, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Chairman oh. I'm sorry. I apologize. One other thing I need to mention that is very late breaking. You know, we mention always on the agenda that there's legislative issues. Today at 10:00 a.m so I haven't got a chance to tune in, but there was a hearing on a HCR. This HCR would rewrite portions of the election code to essentially require on-site tabulation of ballots. Instead of taking those ballots back to central count, it would set up essentially a process that which voters who vote on the day of election and voters who return their early ballots would basically both have to go through the same voter ID process and it also puts restrictions on when early ballots can be taken in. And and it also does a number of other has a number of other provisions related to certifications of whether or not any foreign-owned interest is involved in election administration and a number of other a number of other things. Why does it matter to Clean Elections? Last night after 5:00, we learned that there was an amendment that would take \$11 million from the Clean Elections Fund to pay for the on-site polling changes that the HCR purports to do. So as an HCR, this is a measure that would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mention I mean, we're monitoring the legislation as it proceeds. You know, the thing about the thing about appropriating monies from the Clean Elections Fund even by referendum, you know, is via a case that Commissioner Chan and former Commissioner Luis Hoffman brought in individual capacities about six years ago, ref legislative referendums are subject to the single-subject provisions of the Arizona Constitution. There is a specific provision of the Constitution that talks about appropriations themselves and it has one provision that deals with the general appropriations bill and then another section that says another clause that these that all the bills have to have separate appropriations and so as a separate as a subject of their own. So there may be a legal issue there. What the legislature is trying to deal with is the fact that, you know, another constitutional provision requires that those measures that are going to have a implicate a mandatory spending of state revenues need to have that source identified and can be you know, it's a fact-specific inquiry, can be unconstitutional if they failed to provide that. Presumably what the legislature's proposal is as this moves forward is to try to find that revenue source and and use maybe the Clean Elections Fund to do that.

	10		10
	18		19
1	know, are operated in such a way that it may be so that the	1	Secretary of State's office then to be distributed to the
2	legislature can identify a revenue source for paying for	2	counties. So it adds a layer of bureaucracy for no reason
3	this if, in fact, their proposal this is Senator Rogers'	3	at all.
4	proposal requires requires one. However, it's not at all	4	I mean, and that's that's as a policy choice
5	clear that they can use use an can actually do an	5	that just I don't I don't understand that. The
6	appropriation to do that.	6	legislature could have simply said, "The Citizens Clean
7	In other words, they could might could create	7	Elections Commission shall" blah, blah, blah. Whether or
8	their own revenue stream, you know, and meet the	8	not that's legal or not is a different question, but there's
9	requirements of the revenue source rule. But once you get	9	this sort of odd extra layer of bureaucracy that that
10	into actually appropriating money out of an existing fund,	10	doesn't make a lot of sense and seems to just create an
11	there is a legal issue there and and whether or not	11	additional transaction cost.
12	and the case law there, you know, you know, we we had	12	So, you know, we'll see how that progresses. I'm
13	a this Supreme Court, the current Supreme Court struck	13	sure we'll get a little bit of an update here on that later
14	down numerous provisions of of an omnibus budget bill	14	today on that.
15	excuse me two years ago because of this this	15	But it didn't make it into my written notes because
16	appropriation single-subject clause.	16	of the timing of this amendment, so I almost that's why I
17	So that is a live issue. Hard to predict how it	17	almost forgot. But, you know, we'll be monitoring that as
18	will play out. But we do think it we obviously, you	18	we go forward. And as I have more information to provide, ${\rm I}$
19	know, a hit on the fund that is not really associated with	19	will I will provide it to you.
20	anything that Clean Elections does, you know, we do think	20	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom.
21	has some some legal problems, and we do think that is	21	Are there any questions or discussion from members
22	something we will keep an eye on.	22	of the Commission?
23	The last point I will make about the appropriation	23	(No audible response.)
24	is, it is very odd. The other thing it does that makes	24	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, we will move on to
25	little sense to me is it appropriates the money to the	25	Item V.
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	20		21
1	Discussion and possible action on Advisory Opinion	1	So there's a redline version in your packet and
2	Request 24-02 and Draft Advisory Opinion 24-04 relating to	2	then there is a so the original, the redline, and then
3	whether activities performed by an employee of a political	3	the draft that we're requesting that you approve today.
4	party qualify as campaign media spending as defined in the	4	The main changes the bottom line from staff's
5	Voters' Right to Know Act requested by the Arizona	5	perspective or from my perspective on on where this comes
6	Democratic Party's Arizona Democratic Legislative Campaign	6	out didn't change. However, we did in view of the comment
7	Committee.	7	we received from the Elias Law Group, make a couple of
8	Commissioners, we have an advisory opinion request	8	things, we think, clearer.
9	filed by the Arizona Democratic Party's Arizona Democratic	9	First, you'll see when you review when you've
10	Legislative Campaign Committee. This question has to do	10	reviewed the draft, that there's a longer background section
11	with how the parties should address activities that are	11	that describes the definition of "expenditure" for purposes
12	included in the definition of campaign media spending.	12	of PACs and political committees and or parties, and
13	Last month we discussed the opinion, voted to	13	and also the Voter Right to Know Act definitions.
14	accept additional comments. We received one additional	14	You know, part of the reason to do that was to try
15	comment.	15	to better explicate how those laws are similar and how
16	I would like Tom to recap the opinion draft and	16	they're different.
17	highlight key changes since the last draft.	17	And so in so doing, we tried to add some additional
18	Tom.	18	examples that are specific of where an activity that might
19	MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Commissioners.	19	not be reported as an expenditure by a political party like
20	I am going to share my screen, I hope.	20	the Arizona Democratic Party might nevertheless qualify as
21	So I kind of want to give a I want to sort of	21	campaign media spending under the definition of "campaign
22	I guess I'll start if it makes any sense, I guess I kind	22	media spending." I think the the examples that were that
23	of had this in reverse.	23	can arise and, obviously, this is fact specific and you
24	But we'll come back to this, but the first thing I	24	have to look at the text of the Voter Right to Know Act
25	wanted to talk about are the changes.	25	itself and the text of the the definition and exceptions
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
1	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

	22		23
1	for "expenditure" but some party-building activities are	1	more black and white.
2	expressly set aside as exempt from the definition of	2	We also, in view of the Elias Law Group comment,
3	expenditures by a party.	3	took a look specifically at a Federal Election Commission
4	So what that means is on their schedule of	4	rule that talks about how parties attribute or how
5	expenditures that they publish through the Secretary of	5	parties and PACs attribute expenses for purposes of when
6	State's office, whether where those expenses may be	6	they are essentially reportable by a candidate.
7	captured, they're not going to be captured as expenditures.	7	We we conclude that basically the exception
8	Some of the specific terminology in that exception	8	that's there doesn't really fit within the the campaign
9	in the activity definition of of the Voters' Right to	9	media spending and Voter Right to Know Act reporting
10	Know Act for campaign media spending is included. So the	10	framework because the the the question isn't
11	clearest example of that is sort of partisan	11	necessarily whether or not it's attributable to a particular
12	get-out-the-vote efforts are included as an activity of	12	candidate or anything like that. The question is whether or
13	campaign media spending, and they are excluded as a	13	not it it fits within the definition or not. If it fits
14	party-building issue from the definition of expenditure.	14	within the definition, then it's campaign media spending.
15	So that results in a a situation where a party	15	If it doesn't, it's not. So there's just not the same level
16	may not be reporting a particular expenditure as an	16	of flexibility there.
17	expenditure or particular spending as an expenditure, but	17	Now that said and the opinion draft states
18	the party nevertheless will have to assess whether or not	18	this that this is a fact pattern where the facts that are
19	that activity is campaign media spending and, therefore, how	19	presented is that the staff is contemplating, what the ADLCC
20	it fits both within the reaching the threshold for campaign	20	is contemplating is specifically for the election and that
21	media spending, as well as determining what monies are	21	the party says that it knows with some specificity what
22	considered traceable and, therefore, what monies will	22	those activities are going to be.
23	what kinds of disclosure will have to be made of the	23	That's important because our rule, you know, in
24	expense.	24	trying to make this process easier for compliance says,
25	So so we tried to do that to make it clearer and	25	"okay it has to be specific." So the fact pattern here is
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	24		25
1	specifically hired for the election, knowing that they're	1	change our bottom line legal analysis, but we did think
2	going to do activities that are contemplated in the	2	that we did think that these changes are helpful for
3	definition. If an activity is contemplated in the	3	trying to strike a balance where we have a clear rule, but
4	definition, the dollar spent on the activity naturally are	4	your compliance with that rule, you know, has some
5	dollars you have to have some awareness of. You have to	5	flexibility to it provided that you meet the standard of
6	track in some kind of way.	6	reasonableness and the ability to recreate or justify the
7	But and I think this is the next bullet point on	7	expense that that you're reporting.
8	the screen here that's important we specifically say,	8	So that is basically where where we are on that.
9	that does not require parties to keep, you know, detailed	9	If there's if anybody if the Commission would like me
10	time sheets of every minute of that a person spends on an	10	to go back through the main analysis, I'm happy to do that,
11	activity that might be contemplated.	11	but I think those are the major changes. And unless
12	We do not think that the Commission needs to at	12	unless you'd like additional discussion from me about
13	this point try to dictate how precisely those records are	13	overall the overall opinion, I'm happy, Mr. Chairman, to
14	kept except that they that they be kept reasonably.	14	take questions now or however you want to proceed.
15	And and so there are variety of different ways	15	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, Tom, I just want to clarify
16	that I think a party can account for those those those	16	something on the timeline here.
17	dollars. And and we want to, in order to be clear on the	17	MR. COLLINS: Sure.
18	one hand, which I think the opinion is, but also not dictate	18	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So so we get the request for
19	through an advisory opinion how a party must do a particular	19	the advisory opinion.
20	kind of accounting, we we say, look, this is you	20	MR. COLLINS: Yeah.
21	just you need to make sure that it's a record that your	21	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We have a draft advisory opinion.
22	reports under the Act are essentially as our rules say, able	22	MR. COLLINS: Yes.
23	to be reconciled with your approach in a way that, you know,	23	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We take comments; we extend the
24	make makes clear that your reports are accurate.	24	comment period.
25	So those are the major changes. Again, it didn't	25	MR. COLLINS: Yeah.
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

	26		27
1	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And then you you issue a	1	approve an advisory opinion or vote to state that we are not
2	second or a modified advisory opinion.	2	going to come to this conclusion on this in 60 days after
3	MR. COLLINS: Right.	3	the request. The request here was made on April 3rd, and we
4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And somewhere in this timeline,	4	did try to expedite that recognizing that the ADP had told
5	Elias weighs in.	5	us that they had an interest in us expediting the answering
6	MR. COLLINS: Right.	6	question.
7	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Are their comments addressing the	7	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So at the risk of oversimplifying
8	most recent draft of the advisory opinion or the previous	8	this, our draft opinions the initial draft opinion said
9	one?	9	that, that it would be determined by of the amount of time
10	MR. COLLINS: Well, they addressed the previous	10	specific employees spend on specific tasks whether they are
11	one. And then as and then as the when the draft was	11	covered or not
12	completed, we circulated it to them and every other	12	MR. COLLINS: Right.
13	stakeholder.	13	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: and Elias said that is too
14	There is a point at which if we continue to get	14	cumbersome
15	comments on every draft, that we take comments and then ask	15	MR. COLLINS: Right.
16	them to comment on the comments of the comments. You know,	16	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: people when they are hired
17	my feeling would be that obviously the Commission has that	17	ought to be classified as covered or not, and then
18	discretion, but I would you know, I would I would	18	everything associated with them should either be covered or
19	hesitate given that we got very few comments about this	19	not
20	draft to say we go back out again.	20	MR. COLLINS: Right.
21	Although I think we have the time under the	21	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: depending on the
22	under the under the under the counter, I have to	22	classification when they were hired. Do I have that
23	double-check the dates, but we have some time.	23	basically right?
24	Our only time limit, Mr. Chairman, is we have to	24	MR. COLLINS: Yes.
25	either decide we are in favor of or you have to decide we	25	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And do we know if and I'm not
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	28		29
1	suggesting that we ask for more comments, but have you heard	1	show that the report that issues, right, the report that the
3	from Elias regarding your second advisory opinion draft? MR. COLLINS: No.	2	public is looking at, you know, is accurate, you know, we we don't think that we need to go beyond that in terms of
4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay.	4	dictating to you how you go about administrating your
5	MR. COLLINS: I would say though relevant to your	5	business.
6	comments, when I say you know, one of the things the last	6	We do, however, believe that that accuracy requires
7	comment talked about is, well, in terms of the	7	you to have an awareness. So, for example, a concern that
8	cumbersomeness is we don't want to have to drill down on	8	is a real concern is: I classify person at the time of
9	this, you know. So, you know, we don't take the position in	9	hiring and then I switch that person. That obviously it
10	the opinion that you can't classify someone. We do take the	10	should go without saying that that's not going to work if
11	position that you have to make sure that you are doing it in	11	you have an obligation to track activities that are
12	a way that accurately reflects what you're doing.	12	encompassed in the campaign media spending. You can't
13	So an example, you know, that the opinion does not	13	you can't what we didn't want to do and we said in the
14	rule out would be you know, and and someone can ask a	14	opinion and what we still don't want to do is get into
15	more specific question about this if they want to or down	15	parties unnecessarily engaging in sort of semantic games to
16	the road, we might do additional regulation someone might	16	try to obscure campaign media spending as defined in the
17	say: 25 percent of Person X's costs are whatever they are.	17	Act whether that's a good definition or a bad definition
18	You know, the opinion does not rule that out.	18	is a different question, right, but it is whatever it is
19	The classification point is, you know, we nor	19	defined as by saying, "We hired Such-and-Such to do this
20	did we specific so we didn't really take a position per	20	thing," and then it turns out, "Well, we actually have them
21	se on whether or not on how you deal with tracking the	21	doing another thing," right.
22	information.	22	We want we want them to simply be in a position
23	And and so I don't think, therefore, that we	23	to reconcile their approach to of compliance with the Act
24	I think that the modifications that we made that reflect our	24	with the definitions that the Act includes.
25	review of the comment are designed to say, look, if you can	25	So so, you know so it may it's possible
1	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

	30	1	31
1	that that someone could structure this in a manner that	1	I do feel like it's been very quiet on many of
2	that classification they make could work. It could. But	2	these, quieter than I would have expected, but if nobody is
3	it's just but it's got to be reflective of the actual	3	here today, I have to assume that they're willing to let
4	the actual facts of what their actual activities are.	4	sleeping dogs lie. You know, they feel they've had their
5	You can't what we don't want people to what	5	say, and they're willing to let the chips fall where they
6	we don't want to have happen is have a decision made on	6	may as far as how the Commission approaches it.
7	Monday that says that, you know, Fred's "all of Fred's	7	I also want to just add, you know, the questions
8	activities are not campaign campaign media spending," but	8	that I think other Commissioners have raised yourself
9	on but by Wednesday we've got Fred full-time organizing	9	today, Mr. Chairman, and others, I think maybe Commissioner
10	partisan get-out-the-vote efforts which are defined	10	Paton at the last meeting regarding the recordkeeping
11	activities that are campaign media spending under the Act.	11	involved, I have no personal experiences with, you know,
12	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Do any other Commissioners	12	businesses, for example, nonprofits, even political
13	have questions or comments for Tom?	13	committees really running them.
14	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman.	14	But I have to assume all of those entities adhere
15	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.	15	to some basic, you know, accounting principles that would
16	COMMISSIONER CHAN: It's interesting that you	16	enable them to be able to, you know, kind of adhere to the
17	brought up this issue because I one of my first questions	17	requirements of the Act, given especially as responsive as I
18	was, is there's anyone here from, you know, the Arizona	18	feel like staff has been in, you know, getting stakeholder
19	Democratic Party's project that requested this advisory	19	feedback and considering it. And to the extent staff feels
20	opinion or Elias I'm sorry, I don't know how, if I'm	20	it complies with the Act, you know, adding it in,
21	pronouncing it correctly, Elias Law Group because I I was	21	incorporating it into these advisory opinions.
22	assuming what Tom said is true, is that we would	22	So I guess I just wanted to kind of put all that
23	actually, I think I'm on his distribution list. So I've	23	out there for other Commissioners and staff and the public
24	seen these come out, and I know he's including the	24	that I think we all want stakeholder feedback. I feel like
25	stakeholders.	25	a wonderful job has been done of trying to get as much as we
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	32		22
	52		33
1	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that	1	make a comment.
1 2	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with	2	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther.
2 3	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year		make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think
2 3 4	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on.	2 3 4	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last
2 3 4 5	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always	2 3 4 5	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how
2 3 4 5 6	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I	2 3 4 5 6	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you
2 3 4 5 6 7	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I	2 3 4 5 6 7	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make comments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do those activities. You know, I wouldn't want to start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make comments. Any other members of the Commission have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do those activities. You know, I wouldn't want to start telling them how to do that, as long as they're, again, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make comments. Any other members of the Commission have any comments or questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do those activities. You know, I wouldn't want to start telling them how to do that, as long as they're, again, as Tom mentioned, accountable under the Act.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make comments. Any other members of the Commission have any comments or questions? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do those activities. You know, I wouldn't want to start telling them how to do that, as long as they're, again, as Tom mentioned, accountable under the Act. So I'm comfortable much more comfortable I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make comments. Any other members of the Commission have any comments or questions? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do those activities. You know, I wouldn't want to start telling them how to do that, as long as they're, again, as Tom mentioned, accountable under the Act. So I'm comfortable much more comfortable I think after reading this revised draft than I probably was at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make comments. Any other members of the Commission have any comments or questions? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes. COMMISSION ESTES-WERTHER: I just wanted to just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do those activities. You know, I wouldn't want to start telling them how to do that, as long as they're, again, as Tom mentioned, accountable under the Act. So I'm comfortable much more comfortable I think after reading this revised draft than I probably was at the last meeting that this is sort of where we land with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can, and to the extent we're not seeing it, it may be that it's so new that the stakeholders don't have experience with it and maybe we'll get more feedback as the election year rolls on. But, anyway, I I feel it's always uncomfortable being you know, charting new territory I think, but I feel comfortable from the perspective of I think what Tom has put together is grounded in the law that the voters approved and is he he seems to take very reasonable approaches trying to implement that with the consideration of the feedback with the stakeholders. So I I really appreciate everything that Tom has done and I also appreciate the questions that the other Commissioners are bringing up as well, so. Okay, that's all. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. I was going to go through and see if any Commissioners had comments, then I'll see if there's any stakeholders or anyone else who who wants to make comments. Any other members of the Commission have any comments or questions? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	make a comment. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner Werther. COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: So I also I think just when we first initially looked at this at the last meeting had, just, you know, general questions about how that recordkeeping would work and obviously saw the, you know, other additional kind of comment and those concerns as well, but I do think this revised actually is very helpful because it kind of shows why this is so new and may be uncomfortable, right, for for, you know, to try to figure out how they're going to do this recordkeeping because, really, they haven't had to do it before, right, under current law, maybe under FEC. But this is the language of the Act and so these types of activities will now be covered. And I also agree in that I don't think we want to get it in to so much detail telling them how, right, because maybe they do decide they want to just classify and keep it really easy for them and that person is always going to do those activities. You know, I wouldn't want to start telling them how to do that, as long as they're, again, as Tom mentioned, accountable under the Act. So I'm comfortable much more comfortable I think after reading this revised draft than I probably was at the

	34		35
1	Act.	1	Commissioner Chan.
2	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Werther.	2	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.
3	Any other Commissioners have any comments on this	3	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.
4	or questions for Tom?	4	COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.
5	(No audible response.)	5	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.
6	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, is there any member	6	COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.
7	of the public or any person who is involved in this who has	7	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.
8	a comment?	8	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.
9	(No audible response.)	9	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes ave.
10	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And I don't I don't see anyone	10	The Draft Advisory Opinion 24-04 is approved
11	raising their hand or making any gestures indicating they	11	5-to-nothing.
12	want to talk.	12	Thank you, Tom.
13	Okay. Is there any Commissioner who wishes to make	13	MR. COLLINS: Thank you.
14	a motion on this advisory opinion, the latest draft advisory	14	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: This is Item VI. This is the
15	opinion?	15	time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the
16	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move	16	public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be
17	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.	17	limited to directing staff to study the matter or
18	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I move that the revised	18	rescheduling the matter for further consideration and
19	Advisory Opinion or Draft Advisory Opinion 24-04 be	19	decision at a later date or responding to criticism.
20	adopted.	20	Please limit your comment to no more than two
21	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second?	21	minutes.
22	COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.	22	Does anyone on Zoom wish to make a comment?
23	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved by Commissioner	23	(No audible response.)
24	Chan, seconded by Commissioner Werther that we approve Draft	24	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Not seeing anyone.
25	Advisory Opinion 24-04. I will call the roll.	25	The public may also send comments to the Commission
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	36		37
1	by e-mail at ccec@arizonacleanelections.gov.	1	CERIIFICAIE
	by e-mail at ccec@arizonacleanelections.gov. At this time, I would entertain a motion to	2 3	STATE OF ARIZONA)
1 2 3	by e-mail at ccec@arizonacleanelections.gov. At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn.	2 3 4	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss.
2	At this time, I would entertain a motion to	2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
2 3	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn.	2 3 4 5	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
2 3 4	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we	2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
2 3 4	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and
2 3 4 5 6	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
2 3 4 5 6 7	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther. I will call the roll.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 STATE OF ARIZONA) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	At this time, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther. I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Marke MARM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Marke MARM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * * * I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA 7-201 and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA-7-206. Dated at Litchfiel Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * * * I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 21st of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. We are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * * * I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA 7-201 and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. We areadjournet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA-7-206. Dated at Litchfiel Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * * * I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 21st of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. We areadjournet.Thank you very much, members of the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May, 2024 Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * *</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. We areadjournet.Thank you very much, members of the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furnizs Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * * * I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 21st of May, 2024. Miller Certified Reporting, LLC</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. We areadjournet.Thank you very much, members of the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furnizs Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * * * I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 21st of May, 2024. Miller Certified Reporting, LLC</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	At this time, I would entertain a motion toadjourn.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move weadjourn.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.Is there a second?COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Second.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner Werther.I will call the roll.Commissioner Chan.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.COMMISSIONER ESTES-WERTHER: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. We areadjournet.Thank you very much, members of the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA-7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 21st of May 2024 Angela Furnizs Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) * * * * I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACIA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 21st of May, 2024. Miller Certified Reporting, LLC</pre>

\$	accounting [2] -	28:2, 30:19, 31:21,	arise [1] - 21:23	big [2] - 11:3, 15:9
φ	24:20, 31:15	34:14	Arizona [11] - 5:11,	bigger [1] - 11:3
\$11 [1] - 16:22	accuracy [1] - 29:6	Advisory [6] - 20:1,	8:1, 11:25, 14:23,	bill [2] - 17:11, 18:14
	accurate [2] - 24:24,	20:2, 34:19, 34:25,	17:8, 20:5, 20:6,	bills [1] - 17:12
1	29:2	35:10	20:9, 21:20, 30:18	bit [3] - 10:24, 11:9,
	accurately [1] - 28:12	affect [2] - 10:10	aside [1] - 22:2	19:13
1 [1] - 5:8	Act [18] - 11:24, 13:19,	agencies [3] - 10:2,	assert [1] - 14:2	black [1] - 23:1
10 [2] - 5:5, 9:16	14:23, 20:5, 21:13,	10:6, 11:22	assess [1] - 22:18	blah [3] - 19:7
10:00 [1] - 16:4	21:24, 22:10, 23:9,	agency [1] - 9:24	associated [3] - 15:1,	booklet [1] - 6:20
11:00 [1] - 3:5	24:22, 29:17, 29:23,	agenda [2] - 3:4, 16:3	18:19, 27:18	bottom [2] - 21:4, 25:1
11:49 [1] - 36:22	29:24, 30:11, 31:17,	ago [4] - 5:5, 9:16,	Association [1] - 8:1	boxes [2] - 13:2, 14:15
15 [1] - 9:16	31:20, 33:14, 33:22,	17:6, 18:15	association [1] - 5:12	breaking [1] - 16:3
16th [1] - 3:5	34:1	agree [1] - 33:16	assume [3] - 10:13,	bring [1] - 11:1
18th [1] - 3:19	act [1] - 14:24	aired [1] - 7:17	31:3, 31:14	bringing [1] - 32:14
196 [1] - 6:19	action [6] - 3:18, 4:20,	airing [1] - 7:18	assuming [1] - 30:22	broadcast [2] - 5:10,
2	5:3, 12:25, 20:1, 35:16	Alec [3] - 5:23, 5:24,	attendance [1] - 3:7	5:12
2	action-packed [1] -	6:14 almost [3] - 8:9,	attention [1] - 6:13	broadcasts [1] - 5:15
2.4 [1] - 8:10	5:3	aimost [3] - 8:9, 19:16, 19:17	attorney [1] - 13:23	brought [3] - 13:18,
2024 [2] - 3:5, 3:19	actions [1] - 9:25	amendment [2] -	Attorney [1] - 14:12 attributable [1] -	17:5, 30:17
211 [1] - 13:15	activities [11] - 20:3,	16:21, 19:16	23:11	budget [7] - 9:19, 9:22, 9:25, 11:20,
24-02 [1] - 20:2	20:11, 22:1, 23:22,	amount [1] - 27:9	attribute [2] - 23:4,	9:22, 9:25, 11:20, 12:21, 13:3, 18:14
24-04 [4] - 20:2, 34:19,	24:2, 29:11, 30:4,	Amy [1] - 3:9	23:5	budgets [1] - 12:13
34:25, 35:10	30:8, 30:11, 33:15,	analysis [3] - 7:23,	attuned [1] - 15:14	building [2] - 22:1,
25 [1] - 28:17	33:20	25:1, 25:10	audible [5] - 9:2,	22:14
	activity [7] - 21:18,	announcements [1] -	19:23, 34:5, 34:9,	bullet [1] - 24:7
3	22:9, 22:12, 22:19,	5:17	35:23	bureaucracy [2] -
	24:3, 24:4, 24:11	answer [1] - 8:24	authority [2] - 11:12,	19:2, 19:9
3rd [1] - 27:3	actual [4] - 14:16,	answering [1] - 27:5	11:14	business [2] - 10:22,
E	30:3, 30:4	anticipate [1] - 5:14	available [5] - 5:10,	29:5
5	add [2] - 21:17, 31:7 adding [1] - 31:20	anyway [1] - 32:5	5:11, 7:16, 9:9, 13:3	businesses [1] -
5 [1] - 9:12	additional [10] - 5:15,	apologize [1] - 16:1	Avery's [1] - 9:8	31:12
5-to-nothing [2] -	11:1, 13:12, 19:11,	appreciate [2] - 32:12,	avoid [1] - 11:4	•
4:19, 35:11	20:14, 21:17, 25:12,	32:13 approach [2] - 24:23,	aware [1] - 11:19 awareness [2] - 24:5,	С
5:00 [1] - 16:21	28:16, 33:7	29:23	29:7	campaign [15] - 20:4,
	address [1] - 20:11	approaches [2] - 31:6,	aye [14] - 4:11, 4:13,	20:12, 21:21, 22:10,
6	addressed [1] - 26:10	32:10	4:15, 4:17, 35:2,	22:13, 22:19, 22:20,
00	addressing [1] - 26:7	appropriates [1] -	35:4, 35:6, 35:8,	23:8, 23:14, 29:12,
60 [1] - 27:2	adds [1] - 19:2	18:25	35:9, 36:12, 36:14,	29:16, 30:8, 30:11
6:00 [1] - 7:20	adhere [2] - 31:14,	appropriating [2] -	36:16, 36:18, 36:19	Campaign [2] - 20:6,
Λ	31:16	17:3, 18:10		20:10
Α	adjourn [2] - 36:3,	appropriation [4] -	В	candidate [6] - 5:7,
a.m [3] - 3:5, 16:4,	36:5	10:9, 18:6, 18:16,	bookaround	8:15, 8:17, 9:11,
36:22	adjourned [1] - 36:20	18:23	background [1] -	23:6, 23:12
ability [3] - 10:12,	ADLCC [1] - 23:19 administrating [1] -	appropriations [3] -	21:10 had 121 - 12:3, 20:17	candidates [13] - 5:8, 6:19, 7:17, 8:3, 8:18,
14:3, 25:6	29:4	17:9, 17:11, 17:13	bad [2] - 12:3, 29:17 balance [1] - 25:3	8:19, 8:20, 8:21,
able [5] - 12:11, 13:10,	administration [2] -	approval [2] - 13:7,	ballot [1] - 25.5	8:22, 9:11, 9:12,
14:13, 24:22, 31:16	12:21, 16:18	13:10 approve [6] - 3:25,	ballots [5] - 14:16,	9:14, 9:15
absent [1] - 12:25	Administration [4] -	4:8, 13:13, 21:3,	16:8, 16:9, 16:12,	cap [2] - 10:4, 10:16
absolutely [2] - 6:16	9:23, 11:10, 12:4,	27:1, 34:24	16:14	capacities [1] - 17:6
accept [1] - 20:14	12:6	approved [4] - 4:19,	bases [1] - 14:14	captured [2] - 22:7
access [1] - 6:6	administrative [1] -	12:13, 32:9, 35:10	basic [1] - 31:15	carriage [1] - 7:13
accomplishing [1] - 5:25	15:10	April [2] - 3:19, 27:3	beauty [1] - 5:9	case [9] - 11:7, 13:15,
5.25 according [1] - 9:19	adopted [1] - 34:20	area [1] - 14:4	better [2] - 11:20,	13:17, 13:22, 13:25,
account [1] - 24:16	ADP [1] - 27:4	arguing [1] - 15:7	21:15	15:7, 17:4, 18:12
accountable [1] -	advisory [12] - 20:8,	argument [2] - 13:14,	between [3] - 5:7,	cases [3] - 15:4, 15:8,
33:22	24:19, 25:19, 25:21,	14:7	5:25, 9:20	15:21
	26:2, 26:8, 27:1,	arguments [1] - 12:4	beyond [1] - 29:3	ccec@

				-
arizonacleanelections	classification [3] -	34:18, 34:22, 35:2,	26:14	35:19
	27:22, 28:19, 30:2	35:4, 35:6, 35:8,	continued [2] - 9:7,	decisions [3] - 11:11,
.gov [1] - 36:1	, ,	36:4, 36:8, 36:12,	.,	••
CD-1 [2] - 7:10, 7:16	classified [1] - 27:17	36:14, 36:16, 36:18	9:8 continues [1] - 9:15	12:14, 15:22
center [1] - 7:6	classify [3] - 28:10,	Commissioner [31] -		defend [1] - 14:13 defined [4] - 20:4,
central [1] - 16:9	29:8, 33:18	3:11, 3:12, 3:24, 4:2,	continuing [1] - 9:10	29:16, 29:19, 30:10
certifications [1] -	clause [2] - 17:12, 18:16	4:7, 4:10, 4:12, 4:14,	contractor [1] - 11:1	definition [13] - 20:12,
16:16 Chair [2] - 35:9, 36:19	Clean [10] - 3:6, 8:23,	4:16, 17:4, 17:5,	contractors [1] - 10:17	21:11, 21:21, 21:25,
CHAIRMAN [52] - 3:3,	13:1, 13:2, 16:20,	30:15, 31:9, 32:16,	correctly [1] - 30:21	22:2, 22:9, 22:14,
	16:22, 17:3, 17:24,	33:2, 34:2, 34:13,	contectiy [1] - 30.21	23:13, 23:14, 24:3,
3:11, 3:15, 3:24, 4:2,	18:20, 19:6	34:17, 34:23, 34:24,	costs [1] - 19.11	24:4, 29:17
4:6, 4:12, 4:14, 4:16, 4:18, 6:10, 7:2, 8:25,	clear [6] - 11:12,	35:1, 35:3, 35:5,		definitions [2] - 21:13,
	11:13, 18:5, 24:17,	35:7, 36:6, 36:9,	council [1] - 8:19	29:24
9:3, 19:20, 19:24, 25:15, 25:18, 25:21,	24:24, 25:3	36:11, 36:13, 36:15,	count [3] - 10:4,	Democratic [8] - 5:7,
25:23, 26:1, 26:4,	clearer [2] - 21:8,	36:17	10:16, 16:9	7:16, 20:6, 20:9,
26:7, 27:7, 27:13,	22:25	Commissioners [14] -	counter [1] - 26:22	21:20, 30:19
27:16, 27:21, 27:25,	clearest [1] - 22:11	3:7, 3:15, 3:20, 3:22,	counties [3] - 10:5, 10:6, 19:2	demonstrate [1] -
28:4, 30:12, 30:15,	code [1] - 16:8	4:24, 7:4, 20:8,	County [1] - 14:16	10:11
32:16, 32:24, 33:2,	coincidental [1] -	20:19, 30:12, 31:8,	county [1] - 14.16	Department [4] - 9:23,
34:2, 34:6, 34:10,	12:19	31:23, 32:14, 32:18,	couple [5] - 4:25,	11:10, 12:4, 12:5
34:17, 34:21, 34:23,	COLLINS [18] - 4:23,	34:3	6:11, 14:9, 15:4,	describes [1] - 21:11
35:3, 35:5, 35:7,	6:16, 9:5, 20:19,	Committee [2] - 20:7,	21:7	describing [2] - 10:3,
35:9, 35:14, 35:24,	25:17, 25:20, 25:22,	20:10	course [2] - 8:13,	10:17
36:6, 36:9, 36:13,	25:25, 26:3, 26:6,	committees [2] -	12:12	designed [1] - 28:25
36:15, 36:17, 36:19	26:10, 27:12, 27:15,	21:12, 31:13	Court [5] - 11:25,	detail [1] - 33:17
Chairman [15] - 3:23,	27:20, 27:24, 28:3,	completed [1] - 26:12	13:16, 15:1, 18:13	detailed [1] - 24:9
4:23, 5:17, 6:23, 7:1,	28:5, 35:13	compliance [3] -	coverage [1] - 7:24	details [1] - 15:5
7:3, 9:5, 16:1, 25:13,	comfortable [3] -	23:24, 25:4, 29:23	covered [4] - 27:11,	determined [1] - 27:9
26:24, 30:14, 31:9,	32:7, 33:23	complicated [2] -	27:17, 27:18, 33:15	determining [1] -
32:23, 34:16, 36:4	coming [1] - 8:20	13:22	create [2] - 18:7, 19:10	22:21
challenge [2] - 14:22,	commenced [1] - 5:4	complies [1] - 31:20	crisis [1] - 11:21	dictate [3] - 11:10,
15:7	comment [14] - 14:25,	concern [2] - 29:7,	criticism [1] - 35:19	24:13, 24:18
challenges [3] -	20:15, 21:6, 23:2,	29:8	cumbersome [1] -	dictating [1] - 29:4
14:19, 14:20, 15:12	25:24, 26:16, 28:7,	concerned [1] - 13:11	27:14	different [5] - 11:14,
CHAN [11] - 3:9, 3:23,	28:25, 33:1, 33:7,	concerns [1] - 33:7	cumbersomeness [1]	19:8, 21:16, 24:15,
3:25, 4:11, 30:14,	34:8, 35:16, 35:20,	conclude [1] - 23:7	- 28:8	29:18
30:16, 34:16, 34:18,	35:22	concludes [3] - 15:25,	current [7] - 10:8,	direct [2] - 11:4, 11:18
35:2, 36:4, 36:12	comments [17] -	36:22	10:12, 13:3, 14:13,	directing [1] - 35:17
Chan [14] - 3:9, 3:11,	20:14, 25:23, 26:7,	conclusion [1] - 27:2	14:14, 18:13, 33:13	Director's [2] - 4:21,
3:24, 4:3, 4:7, 4:10,	26:15, 26:16, 26:19,	conflict [2] - 11:4,	cut [1] - 7:21	5:3
17:4, 30:15, 32:16,	28:1, 28:6, 30:13,	11:18	cuts [3] - 9:25, 10:8,	disclosure [1] - 22:23
34:17, 34:24, 35:1,	32:18, 32:20, 32:22,	confused [1] - 15:19	10:12	discretion [1] - 26:18
36:6, 36:11	34:3, 35:15, 35:25	confusion [1] - 15:19		discussed [1] - 20:13
chance [1] - 16:5	COMMISSION [1] -	Congressional [1] -	D	discussion [6] - 3:18,
change [2] - 21:6, 25:1	32:25	5:8		3:21, 4:20, 19:21,
changes [7] - 16:23,	Commission [17] -	consideration [3] -	date [1] - 35:19	20:1, 25:12
20:17, 20:25, 21:4,	3:6, 9:1, 10:21, 11:6, 11:7, 11:21, 12:1,	32:11, 35:15, 35:18	dates [1] - 26:23	disinformation [1] -
24:25, 25:2, 25:11	19:7, 19:22, 23:3,	considered [1] - 22:22	days [3] - 5:5, 6:12,	15:20
charting [1] - 32:6	24:12, 25:9, 26:17,	considering [1] - 31:19	27:2	dismissed [1] - 14:20
check [1] - 26:23	31:6, 32:21, 35:25,	consortium [1] - 5:12	deal [2] - 17:15, 28:21	distributed [1] - 19:1
checks [1] - 13:2	36:21	Constitution [2] -	dealing [1] - 11:15	distribution [1] -
chips [1] - 31:5	Commission's [1] -	17:8, 17:9	deals [1] - 17:10	30:23
choice [1] - 19:4	11:23	constitutional [2] -	debate [10] - 5:4, 5:7, 5:13, 7:10, 7:11	District [1] - 5:8
Christina [1] - 3:10	COMMISSIONER [28]	17:16, 17:25	5:13, 7:10, 7:11, 7:16, 7:21, 7:23	districts [1] - 8:21
circulated [1] - 26:12	- 3:9, 3:10, 3:13,	contemplated [3] -	7:16, 7:21, 7:23, 7:25, 8:6	DOA [2] - 10:22, 13:10
Citizens [2] - 3:6, 19:6	3:14, 3:23, 3:25, 4:5,	24:2, 24:3, 24:11	debates [2] - 5:5, 5:6	DOA's [2] - 11:12,
city [1] - 8:19	4:11, 4:13, 4:15,	contemplating [2] -	decide [3] - 26:25,	11:14 dogs (1) - 31:4
civic [1] - 9:9	4:17, 30:14, 30:16,	23:19, 23:20	33:18	dogs [1] - 31:4 dollar [1] - 24:4
• • • • •	20.00 20.0 24.40	· ·	55.10	uullai [1] - 24.4
clarify [1] - 25:15	32:23, 33:3, 34:16,	continue [2] - 9:10,	decision [2] - 30:6,	dollars [2] - 24:5,

based production end production end production					
dome ign - 6-12, 3125, 32:13 engagement (1 - 9:2) actansion (1 - 125, 32:13 full (1 - 30:9) actansion (1 - 25, 32:14 full (1 - 30:9) actansion (1 - 25, 32:14 full (1 - 30:9) function (1 - 126, 32:14 full (1 - 30:9) function (1 - 126, 32:12, 32:15 full (1 - 30:9) function (1 - 31:34 full (1 - 30:9) fun	24:17	end [2] - 12:22, 15:13	expressiv 111 - 22.2	Friday [2] - 6:17 8.9	heard [2] - 3:11 28:1
32:13 engaing iji: -22:16 extension iji: -12:8 full-time iji: -30:9 full-time iji: -30:9 full-time iji: -30:9 double (beck(1) - entertain (iji: -36:2) entertain (iji: -36:2) exten (iji: -36:2) full-time (iji: -30:9) full-time (iji: -30:9) down (iji: -22:4) entertain (iji: -36:2) exten (iji: -19:9) exten (iji: -19:9) full-time (iji: -30:9) full-time (iji: -30:9) 34:42, 35:10 entertain (iji: -36:2) Eric (iji: -13:2) fill f					
double():- 26:23 English (p. 7.7, 7:7 extr (p 31:19, 32:1 function (p. 126, 127, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 31:12, 227, 227, 228, 233, 41, 41, 225, 31:1, 32, 232, 324, 34, 41, 1225, 31:10, 235, 4223, 354, 437, 3223, 3224, 33, 33, 422, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 432, 334, 33, 432, 334, 34, 22, 334, 234, 41, 73, 223, 322, 334, 33, 422, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 33, 422, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 33, 422, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 34, 22, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 34, 22, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 34, 22, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 34, 22, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 34, 22, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 33, 34, 22, 354, 437, 3223, 3234, 447, 3223, 3234, 447, 3223, 3234, 447, 3223, 3234, 457, 323, 344, 447, 3223, 3234, 457, 247, 247, 324, 323, 33, 34, 22, 354, 33, 34, 22, 354, 33, 34, 22, 354, 33, 34, 22, 354, 33, 34, 22, 354, 437, 420, 333, 34, 22, 354, 437, 447, 323, 344, 447, 324, 323, 34, 22, 457, 447, 344, 347, 447, 447, 447, 447, 44	•••				••••
double-check(l) enternain(l) 38:2 32:1 12:7 1 14:7<					
B B B B Fund m Fun		-			
down pp12:24, 18:14, 228, 28:15, Draft (q-20:2, 34:19, 34:24, 36:10, 24:24, 36:10, 24:24, 36:10, 24:24, 36:10, 24:24, 36:10, 24:24, 36:10, 24:24, 36:10, 24:24, 36:10, 24:27, 24:32, 1268, 25:27, 28:25, 28:33, 34:24, 27:7, 82:33, 24:4, 27:7, 82:34, 24:4, 27:7, 82:34, 24:4, 27:7, 82:34, 24:4, 27:7, 82:34, 24:4, 27:7, 82:34, 24:4, 22:16, 27:16, 82:4, 72:24, 72:16, 27:16, 82:4, 72:16, 72:1					
18:41, 288, 28:15 Draft µ: 20:2, 34:19, 27:8, 28:2, 33:10 EPA µp - fs.7 EPM µ: 14:22 18:23 18:23 <th18:33< th=""> 18:23 18:23</th18:33<>					
Draft (a) = 202, 34:19, 34:24, 35:10 EPM (p) = 14:22 Eric (p) = 13:23 Fit (a) = 13:23 fund (p) = 18:10, 18:19, fund (p) = 18:10, 18:10, fund (p) = 18:10, 18:19, fund (p) = 18:10, 18:19, fund (p) =	•••				-
34:24, 36:10 Enc (n - 13:23) Enc (n - 13:13) Enc (n - 17:13) Enc (n - 17:1					
draft inj- 20:16, 20:17, 213, 21:10, 23:17, 25:21, 26:8, 26:11, 26:15, 26:20, 27:18, 23:34, 26:11, 26:15, 26:20, 27:18, 23:34, 26:11, 26:15, 26:20, 27:18, 23:34, 26:11, 26:15, 26:20, 27:18, 23:34, 27:18, 23:14, 27:18, 23:14, 27:19, 21:14, 21:16, 27:18, 23:14, 27:18, 23:14, 27:19, 21:14	•••		F		
20:77, 21:3, 21:10, 23:77, 22:12, 26:8, 23:74, 25:24, 26:8, 32:14, 26:15, 26:20, 27:6, 26:2, 33:24, 47:avsg1 - 6:13, 43:14 11:11, 12:25, 31:17, essentially (9, 16:8, 33:34; 22; 35:4, 47:avsg1 - 6:13, 43:14 11:12:12:14, 12:17, 15:14, 17:16, 17:20, 16:3, 21:23, 23:16, 23:25, 23:3, 32:25, 47:avsg1 - 6:13, 47:avsg1 - 6:14, 47:avsg1 - 6:14, 47:avsg1 - 6:14, 47:avsg1 - 6:16, 61:1, 15:16, 61:1, 15:17, 22:30, 22:17, 61:17, 22:19, 23:17, 61:17, 23:19, 23:17, 6			•		••
23:17, 25:21, 26:8, 26:11, 26:16, 26:20, 27:8, 26:2, 33:24, 34:14 essentially (p - 16:8, 16:10, 23:6, 24:22 fact (p - 12:14, 12:17, 15:14, 17:16, 17:20, 18:3, 21:23, 23:16, 33:34:22, 35:14 hiring (p - 20:9) hittp - 18:19 34:14 41:7, 32:23, 32:25, 33:34:22, 35:14 15:32, 23:16, 33:34:22, 35:14 Galen (p - 3:13) games (p - 20:9) hittp - 18:19 draws (p - 6:13) 33:34:22, 35:14, during (p - 7:25 -3:10, 4:17, 32:23, 13:42, 35:3, 34:22, 35:14 Galen (p - 3:13) games (p - 10:8, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 10:8, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 10:8, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 10:8, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 14:9, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 14:9, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 14:9, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 14:9, 10:14, 11:6, general (p - 14:12, getures (p - 34:11 getout the-vote (p) - general (p - 5:8, 6:14, 10:14, 11:6, 11:7, 26:19, 31:12 I e-mail (p - 33:19) examples (p - 11:17, gest (p - 6:17, 33:19) feddral (p - 23:3) 12:12 identified (p - 17:18, 10:14, 72:619, 31:17 easier (p - 32:24) examples (p - 21:13, 21:12, 22:3, 22:7, 21:1, 28:13, 29:7, 10:14, 11:5, 11:14, 12:14, 22:14, 22:3, 32:14 identified (p - 17:18, 10:14, 12:14, 22:14, 22:3, 32:14 easier (p - 53:14, 22:14, 22:12, 22:10, 22:13, 22:3, 22:3, 22:5, 22:7, 10:14, 12:14, 22:14, 22:12, 22:10, 22:13, 22:3, 22:3, 22:5, 22:7, 10:14, 22:14, 22:13, 22:14, 22:13, 22:14, 22:13, 22:14, 22:13, 22:14, 22:13, 22:14, 22:13, 22:14, 22:13, 22:14, 22:14, 22:14, 22:14, 22:14, 22:14, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17,	20:17, 21:3, 21:10,		fabulous [1] - 6:15	-	••
28:11, 26:15, 26:20, 34:14 16:10, 27:6, 24:22 15:14, 17:20, 23:25 G http:/=18:19 4:14 4:17, 32:23, 32:4, drawspine 6:13 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, drop in - 14:15 16:3, 21:23, 23:16, 23:25, 33:3, 34:22, during in - 7:20 G http:/=18:19 drop in - 14:15 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, during in - 7:20 36:3, 36:14 17:720 General is -10:8, 10:14, 11:6 http:/=18:19 exacebates (n- sermal) (n - 63: 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 17:720 General is -10:8, 10:14, 11:6 Households (n - 8:10) e-mail (n - 63: 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 17:720 General is -14:12, general is -14:12, 11:10, 33:5 General is -14:12, general is -14:14, 16:14, 11:6 Implementation is -12:20, inplementation is -12:20 Implementation is -12:20, inplementation is -12:20, general is -15:6, general is -25:7, general is -15:7, general is -12:22 Implementation is -15:6, general is -25:7, general is -12:22, guide is -25:7, general is -25:7, general is -25:7, general is -25:7, general is -22:7, general is -25:7, general is -22:7, general is -22:22, guide ig	23:17, 25:21, 26:8,		fact [9] - 12:14, 12:17,		
27.8, 282, 33.24, 34:14 ESTES (9) - 3:10, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 18:3, 21:23, 22:16, 23:25 Galen (1) - 3:13 games (1) - 29:15 General (9) - 10:4, 11:6, 11:6, 30:3, 34:22, 35:4, 30:8, 36:14 Hoffman (1) - 17:5 hope (1) - 20:20 hope (1) - 20:30 games (1) - 29:15 General (9) - 14:12 get out (1) - 40:12 get out (1) - 40:13 get out (1) - 40:13 get out (1) - 40:13 get out (1) - 40:13 get out (1) - 40:14 inpot ant (1) - 17:13 get out (1) - 51:8, 61:4, det out (1) - 20:19 ground (1) - 92.1 get out (1) - 52.13 get out (1) - 52.13 get out (1) - 52.13 hight out (1) - 22.13 get out (1) - 52.13 hight out (1) - 22.13 get out (1) - 22.13 get out (1) - 52.13 hight out (1) - 22.13 get out (1) - 52.13 hight out (1) - 22.13 get out (1) - 22.13 get out (1) - 22.14 get out (1) - 22.14 get out (1) - 22.15 get out	26:11, 26:15, 26:20,		15:14, 17:16, 17:20,	G	-
33:14 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33, 34:22, 354, 478, 478, 478, 478, 478, 478, 478, 47	27:8, 28:2, 33:24,		18:3, 21:23, 23:18,	-	
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{draw} [1] - 613 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 28.8 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 14:15 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:24 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:14 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:14 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:24 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:3 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:3 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 22:3 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:3 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:4 \\ \mbox{dr} [1] - 23:$	34:14	•••	23:25	Galen [1] - 3:13	
drill()=28.8 36.8, 36:4 17.20 General (s)=10.8, 10.4, 11.6, 22.2, failed (p=17.2) four (p)=7.20, households (p=8:10, -0.8, -0	draws [1] - 6:13		fact-specific [1] -	games [1] - 29:15	
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{drop} (\eta - 14:15 \\ \mbox{due} (\eta - 7:25 \\ \mbox{dur} (\eta - 7:26 \\ \mbox{dur} (\eta - 7:26 \\ \mbox{dur} (\eta - 6:3 \\ \mbox{drop} ($	drill [1] - 28:8		17:20	General [3] - 10:8,	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	drop [1] - 14:15		facts [2] - 23:18, 30:4	10:14, 11:6	
during (n - 7.20 dynamic (n - 6:3) 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 early [n - 16:3) fail (n - 31:5) fail (n - 31:5) 17:10, 33:5 E 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 early [n - 16:7] fail (n - 31:5) fail (n - 32:7) fail (n - 31:5) fail (n - 32:7) 17:10, 33:5 e-mail (n - 6:3) 95:4, 36:8, 36:14 early [n - 16:7] fail (n - 31:5) fail (n - 82:7) fail (n - 31:6) fail (n - 82:7) 17:10, 33:5 e-mail (n - 36:1) exacerbates (n - 13:12) fail (n - 31:6) fail (n - 82:7) fail (n - 82:7) fail (n - 82:7) fail (n - 82:7) fail (n - 82:7) e-mail (n - 36:1) exacerbates (n - 13:12) fail (n - 31:6) getures (n - 42:7) fail (n - 82:7) e-mail (n - 36:1) example (s) - 11:11, easily (n - 15:6) fail (n - 82:7) fail (n - 82:7) fail (n - 82:7) e-mail (n - 33:13) fail (n - 20:8) fail (n - 82:8) given (n - 17:16) identify (n - 12:17) e-mail (n - 16:8) except (n - 12:2) fail (n - 20:8) given (n - 11:16) inplement (n - 12:17) e-mail (n - 17:8) e-mail (n - 17:8) fileg (n - 20:9) form (n - 14:18) given (n - 11:17) inplement (n - 12:17) e-mail (n - 14:10) geta (n - 10:8) fileg (n - 20:1				general [3] - 14:9,	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	during [1] - 7:20		fairly [1] - 13:9	17:10, 33:5	<u> </u>
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dynamic [1] - 6:3	35:4, 36:8, 36:14	fall [1] - 31:5	General's [1] - 14:12	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		event [1] - 5:9	fantastic [1] - 8:21	gestures [1] - 34:11	•
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	E	exacerbates [1] -	far [2] - 12:11, 31:6	get-out-the-vote [2] -	ID [1] - 16:13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		15:19	favor [1] - 26:25	22:12, 30:10	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		example [5] - 11:11,	FEC [1] - 33:13	Gina [7] - 5:18, 6:14,	identify [2] - 3:8, 18:2
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{easiler} [1] - 23:24 \\ \mbox{easile} [2] - 21:18, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 21:15, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 21:16, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 21:16, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 21:25, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 21:26, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 21:26, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 22:12, \\ \mbox{exception} [2] - 22:13, \\ \mbox{excubed} [1] - 22:14, \\ \mbox{excubed} [1] - 22:2, \\ e$		22:11, 28:13, 29:7,	federal [2] - 5:6, 8:18		III [2] - 3:18, 4:20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		31:12	Federal [1] - 23:3		implement [1] - 32:10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		examples [2] - 21:18,	feedback [4] - 31:19,	-	implementations [1] -
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		21:22			9:24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			felt [1] - 8:4		implicate [1] - 17:18
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•••	exception [3] - 11:17,	few [1] - 26:19		important [8] - 5:16,
7:7, 8:9excess $[1 - 12:16]$ files $[1 - 6:17]$ great $[4] - 5:9, 8:6,$ importantly $[1 - 15:10]$ effort $[1 - 9:8]$ excited $[2 - 5:22, 7:9]$ finsh $[1 - 9:6]$ ground $[1 - 9:8]$ ground $[1 - 9:8]$ ground $[1 - 9:8]$ 22:12, 30:10excited $[2 - 5:22, 7:9]$ first $[5 - 7:11, 20:24,$ ground $[1 - 9:8]$ ground $[1 - 9:8]$ ground $[1 - 9:8]$ 22:12, 30:10excluded $[1 - 22:13]$ excluded $[1 - 22:33]$ 21:9, 30:17, 33:4ground $[1 - 9:8]$ ground $[1 - 9:8]$ 20:12, 22:10, 22:1227:18Executive $[2 - 4:21,$ fitt $[1 - 23:8]$ fitts $[3 - 22:20, 23:13]$ group $[3 - 21:7, 23:2,$ $20:12, 22:10, 22:12$ extend $[1 - 12:24]$ exempt $[1 - 12:24]$ fits $[3 - 22:20, 23:13]$ guide $[2 - 8:12, 8:15]$ $30:21$ election $[10 - 14:10,$ exempt $[1 - 12:24]$ existing $[1 - 13:12]$ filexibility $[2 - 23:16]$ $30:21$ $30:21$ extend $[1 - 12:24]$ expected $[1 - 31:2]$ filexibil $[1 - 27:5]$ file $[1 - 7:7]$ $30:20, 24:1, 32:3$ $31:22$ $31:22$ expected $[1 - 31:2]$ expected $[1 - 27:4]$ foreign $(1 - 16:7)$ foreign $(1 - 16:7)$ $30:20, 30:21$ $11:25$ $11:25$ employee $[1 - 20:3]$ expenditures $[3 - 22:24, 2:16, 22:17]$ forget $[1 - 19:17]$ forget $[1 - 19:17]$ forget $[1 - 15:18]$ forget $[1 - 15:18]$ employees $[1 - 27:10]$ expenses $[2 - 22:6, 2:7]$ former $[1 - 17:5]$ former $[1 - 17:5]$ former $[1 - 17:5]$ employees $[1 - 27:10]$ expenses $[2 - 22:6, 2:7]$ framework $[1 - 30:2]$ fr		22:8, 23:7	figure [1] - 33:10		6:6, 6:9, 6:13, 10:21,
effort $[1] - 9:8$ efforts $[3] - 12:23$, $22:12, 30:10$ excited $[2] - 5:22, 7:9$ excited $[1] - 6:3$ excited $[1] - 22:13$ finish $[1] - 9:6$ first $[5] - 7:11, 20:24,21:9, 30:17, 33:48:12, 13:24ground [1] - 9:8ground [1] - 9:17hand [2] - 8:12, 8:15hand [2] - 24:18,31:11Hincludes [2] - 10:2,forgot [1] - 19:17hand [2] - 22:4, 18:17HHIndicating [1] - 33:41indicating [1] - 33:41indicating [1] - 33:41indicating [1] - 33:41Elactions [1] - 27:8expense [2] - 22:6,ground [1] - 16:7,forgot [1] - 19:17forgot [1] - 19:17forgot [1] - 19:17forgot [1] - 19:17hand [2] - 5:24, 18:17HH$		exceptions [1] - 21:25	filed [1] - 20:9		15:13, 23:23, 24:8
efforts $[3] - 12:23$, $22:12$, $30:10$ excitting $[1] - 6:3$ excluded $[n] - 22:13$ excluded $[n] - 22:24$ fits $[3] - 22:20$, $23:13$ fits $[n] - 10:8$ fits $[n] - 22:20$, $23:13$ fits $[n] - 22:20$, $23:13$ foreign-owned $[n] - 16:17$ foreign-owned $[n] - 16:17$ foreign-owned $[n] - 16:17$ hand $[n] - 22:18$ happy $[n] - 7:13, 7:24$, s:2, $n_2 + 2.210$, $22:17$ expenditures $[n] - 22:20$, $22:3, 22:5, 22:7$ former $[n] - 17:25$ forward $[n] - 5:15$, forward $[n] - 5:15$, forward $[n] - 5:15$, forward $[n] - 5:15$, forward $[n] - 5:16$, happy $[n] - 7:7$ hand $[n] - 5:24, 18:17$ hard $[n] - 10:4, 1$		excess [1] - 12:16	files [1] - 6:17	-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		excited [2] - 5:22, 7:9	finish [1] - 9:6		incentive [1] - 10:19
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		••••	••	-	••
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				• • • •	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• • • •			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• • •			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
8:23, 13:1, 13:2, 16:20, 16:22, 17:3, 17:24, 18:20, 19:7expediting $[1] - 27:5$ expenditure $[7] -$ 21:11, 21:19, 22:1, 26:5, 27:13, 28:2, 30:20, 30:21folks $[2] - 6:2, 13:10$ foreign $[n] - 16:17$ foreign-owned $[1] -$ 16:17Hindicated $[2] - 10:2,$ 10:22Elias $[7] - 21:7, 23:2,$ 26:5, 27:13, 28:2, 30:20, 30:2121:11, 21:19, 22:1, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17folks $[2] - 6:2, 13:10$ foreign-owned $[1] -$ Hindicated $[2] - 10:2,$ 10:22employee $[1] - 20:3$ employees $[1] - 27:10$ encompassed $[1] -$ 29:12expenses $[2] - 22:24,$ 25:7forward $[3] - 5:15,$ 17:23, 19:18hand $[2] - 5:24, 18:17$ HCR $[4] - 16:6, 16:7,$ 16:23, 16:24Hencompassed $[1] -$ 29:1223:5framework $[1] - 23:10$ Fred $[1] - 30:9$ Fred's $[2] - 30:7$ fresh $[1] - 6:3$ HCR $[4] - 10:4, 10:16$ head $[2] - 10:4, 10:16$ head $[2] - 10:4, 10:16$				0.9	
16:20, 16:22, 17:3, 17:24, 18:20, 19:7composition $[2] = 27:50$ foreign $[1] = 16:17$ hand $[2] = 24:18$, 34:1110:22Elias $[7] = 21:7, 23:2,26:5, 27:13, 28:2,30:20, 30:2121:11, 21:19, 22:1,22:14, 22:16, 22:17foreign [1] = 16:17hand [2] = 24:18,34:1110:22expenditure [7] =21:11, 21:19, 22:1,26:5, 27:13, 28:2,30:20, 30:21expenditures [3] =22:3, 22:5, 22:7foreign [1] = 19:17former [1] = 17:5hand [2] = 24:18,34:1110:22employee [1] = 20:3employees [1] = 27:10expenditures [3] =25:7foreign [1] = 19:17former [1] = 17:5hang [1] = 15:18happy [7] = 7:13, 7:24,8:2, 8:4, 8:24, 25:10,25:13sith [1] = 17:58:12, 10:24, 19:18,28:22employees [1] = 27:10encompassed [1] =29:12expenses [2] = 22:6,experience [1] = 32:2experience [1] = 32:2experiences [1] =31:11fred's [2] = 30:7fresh [1] = 6:3hand [2] = 10:4,head [2] = 10:4,indicating [1] = 7:6information [5] = 6:7,8:12, 10:24, 19:18,28:22$	• • •			Ц	
17:24, 18:20, 19:721:11, 21:19, 22:1, 21:11, 21:19, 22:1, 22:14, 22:16, 22:17foreign-owned [1] - 16:17hand [2] - 24:18, 34:11indicating [1] - 34:11 individual [1] - 17:5Elias [7] - 21:7, 23:2, 26:5, 27:13, 28:2, 30:20, 30:21 $21:11, 21:19, 22:1,22:14, 22:16, 22:17foreign-owned [1] -16:1734:11indicating [1] - 34:11individual [1] - 17:5ao:20, 30:21employee [1] - 20:3employees [1] - 27:1022:3, 22:5, 22:725:7former [1] - 17:5former [1] - 17:5hangs [1] - 15:18happy [7] - 7:13, 7:24,8:2, 8:4, 8:24, 25:10,25:7information [5] - 6:7,8:12, 10:24, 19:18,25:7employees [1] - 27:10encompassed [1] -29:1225:7expenses [2] - 22:6,23:5framework [1] - 23:10Frazier [1] - 13:23hard [2] - 5:24, 18:17HCR [4] - 16:6, 16:7,16:23, 16:24indicating [1] - 27:8information [5] - 6:7,8:12, 10:24, 19:18,28:22encountering [1] -13:523:5experiences [1] -31:11Fred's [2] - 30:7fresh [1] - 6:3head [2] - 10:4, 10:16head-count[2] - 10:4,interest [2] - 16:17,$				П	
Elias $[7] - 21:7, 23:2,$ $26:5, 27:13, 28:2,$ $30:20, 30:21$ $22:14, 22:16, 22:17$ $22:3, 22:5, 22:7$ foreign contend [1] - $16:17$ $34:11$ hangs [1] - 15:18 happy [7] - 7:13, 7:24, $8:2, 8:4, 8:24, 25:10,$ $25:7$ individual [1] - 17:5 information [5] - 6:7, $8:12, 10:24, 19:18,$ $25:7$ employees [1] - 27:10 encompassed [1] - $29:12$ $22:6,$ experience [1] - $32:2$ experiences [1] - $31:11$ foreign contend [1] - forgot [1] - 19:17 former [1] - 17:5 former [1] - 17:5 forward [3] - 5:15, $17:23, 19:18$ $34:11$ hangs [1] - 15:18 hangs [1] - 15:18 happy [7] - 7:13, 7:24, $8:12, 10:24, 19:18,$ $25:7$ employees [1] - 27:10 encompassed [1] - $23:5$ expenses [2] - 22:6, framework [1] - 23:10 Frazier [1] - 13:23hard [2] - 5:24, 18:17 HCR [4] - 16:6, 16:7, 16:23, 16:24 head [2] - 10:4, 10:16 head-count[2] - 10:4, 10:16 instead [1] - 7:6 instead [1] - 16:9 interest [2] - 10:4, 10:16			-	hand [2] - 24:18	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			•		- · · ·
30:20, 30:21 expenditutions [s] = 1 forget [1] = 13:17 happy [7] = 7:13, 7:24, finantial (1] = 0:17, employee [1] - 20:3 expense [2] - 22:24, forward [3] = 5:15, si2, 8:4, 8:24, 25:10, si2:32 employees [1] - 27:10 25:7 17:23, 19:18 si2:5:13 si111 = 17:20 encompassed [1] - 23:5 framework [1] - 23:10 frazier [1] - 13:23 frazier [1] - 13:23 encountering [1] - 23:5 fred [1] - 30:9 fred [2] - 10:4, 10:16 inserted [1] - 7:6 encountering [1] - 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 10:16 inserted [1] - 16:9	••				
employee [1] - 20:3 expense [2] - 22:24, 25:7 forward [3] - 5:15, 17:23, 19:18 8:2, 8:4, 8:24, 25:10, 25:13 28:22 endble [1] - 31:16 expenses [2] - 22:6, 25:7 17:23, 19:18 hard [2] - 5:24, 18:17 initial [1] - 27:8 encompassed [1] - 29:12 23:5 Frazier [1] - 13:23 Fred [1] - 30:9 hcad [2] - 10:4, 10:16 inserted [1] - 7:6 encountering [1] - 13:5 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 10:16 instead [1] - 16:9			-	_	•••
employees [1] - 27:10 25:7 17:23, 19:18 25:13 initial [1] - 27:8 enable [1] - 31:16 expenses [2] - 22:6, framework [1] - 23:10 hard [2] - 5:24, 18:17 initial [1] - 27:8 encompassed [1] - 23:5 Frazier [1] - 13:23 HCR [4] - 16:6, 16:7, inquiry [1] - 17:20 29:12 experience [1] - 32:2 Fred [1] - 30:9 16:23, 16:24 inserted [1] - 7:6 encountering [1] - 31:11 Fred's [2] - 30:7 head [2] - 10:4, 10:16 instead [1] - 16:9 13:5 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 10:16 interest [2] - 16:17,					
enable [1] - 31:16 expenses [2] - 22:6, framework [1] - 23:10 hard [2] - 5:24, 18:17 injury [1] - 14:2 encompassed [1] - 23:5 Frazier [1] - 13:23 hard [2] - 5:24, 18:17 injury [1] - 14:2 29:12 experience [1] - 32:2 Fred [1] - 30:9 head [2] - 10:4, 10:16 inserted [1] - 7:6 encountering [1] - 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 10:16 interest [2] - 10:4, 10:17					
encompassed [1] - 23:5 Frazier [1] - 13:23 HCR [4] - 16:6, 16:7, inquiry [1] - 17:20 29:12 experience [1] - 32:2 Fred [1] - 30:9 head [2] - 10:4, 10:16 inserted [1] - 7:6 encountering [1] - 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 10:16 interest [2] - 10:4, 10:16 interest [2] - 10:4, 10:16					
29:12 experience [1] - 32:2 Fred [1] - 30:9 16:23, 16:24 inserted [1] - 7:6 encountering [1] - experiences [1] - Fred [1] - 30:7 head [2] - 10:4, 10:16 instead [1] - 16:9 13:5 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 10:16 interest [2] - 10:4, interest [2] - 16:17,		•			
encountering [1] - experiences [1] - Fred's [2] - 30:7 head [2] - 10:4, 10:16 instruct [1] - 16:9 13:5 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 10:16 interest [2] - 10:4,	• • • •				
13:5 31:11 fresh [1] - 6:3 head-count [2] - 10:4, interest [2] - 16:17,	encountering [1] -				
S1.11 Trest [1] - 0.5					
	encourage [1] - 5:21		n can [i] - 0.3		

			_	
interacting of 14.6		lower w 0:15	misinformation [1] -	notop (4) 10:15
interesting [2] - 14:6,	L	lower [1] - 9:15		notes [1] - 19:15
30:16		Luis [1] - 17:5	15:20	notice [1] - 14:25
introduction [1] - 8:11	lack [1] - 11:20		moderators [1] - 8:5	number [9] - 9:14,
involved [3] - 16:17,	land [1] - 33:25	Μ	modifications [1] -	9:15, 11:14, 13:2,
31:11, 34:7	language [1] - 33:14		28:24	15:3, 16:15, 16:16,
issue [8] - 11:19,	large [1] - 8:3	mail [1] - 36:1	modified [1] - 26:2	16:18
13:25, 17:14, 18:11,	last [14] - 3:20, 5:7,	main [3] - 13:17, 21:4,	Monday [1] - 30:7	numerous [1] - 18:14
18:17, 22:14, 26:1,	10:1, 13:8, 13:14,	25:10	money [2] - 18:10,	
30:17	16:20, 18:23, 20:13,	major [2] - 24:25,	18:25	0
issues [5] - 13:4,	20:17, 28:6, 31:10,	25:11	monies [3] - 17:3,	_
13:17, 15:20, 16:4,	33:4, 33:25	Maledon [1] - 13:24	22:21, 22:22	obligation [1] - 29:11
29:1	late [1] - 16:2	manage [1] - 9:24	monitoring [2] - 17:1,	obscure [1] - 29:16
IT [1] - 6:2	latest [1] - 34:14	management [2] -	19:17	obviously [7] - 9:15,
Item [5] - 3:4, 3:18,	law [5] - 11:7, 14:10,	11:11, 12:14	month [3] - 10:1, 13:8,	15:6, 18:18, 21:23,
4:20, 19:25, 35:14	18:12, 32:8, 33:13	mandatory [1] - 17:18	20:13	26:17, 29:9, 33:6
items [2] - 5:3, 6:25	Law [3] - 21:7, 23:2,	manner [1] - 30:1	most [2] - 5:23, 26:8	odd [3] - 11:9, 18:24,
itself [2] - 14:1, 21:25	30:21	manual [1] - 14:20	motion [2] - 34:14,	19:9
		Mark [1] - 3:3	36:2	office [7] - 8:16, 10:2,
	laws [2] - 14:4, 21:15	matter [3] - 16:20,	move [7] - 3:25, 4:25,	11:8, 14:12, 14:13,
J	layer [2] - 19:2, 19:9	• •		19:1, 22:6
job [5] - 6:15, 13:24,	Leadership [1] - 13:18	35:17, 35:18	5:14, 19:24, 34:16,	offices [1] - 5:6
-	leadership [1] - 14:1	mayor [1] - 8:21	34:18, 36:4	
14:8, 15:15, 31:25	learned [1] - 16:21	mean [4] - 12:16,	moved [2] - 4:6, 34:23	officials [1] - 15:23
justify [1] - 25:6	least [1] - 10:13	13:15, 17:1, 19:4	moves [1] - 17:23	omnibus [1] - 18:14
	legal [7] - 13:2, 14:11,	means [1] - 22:4	MR [18] - 4:23, 6:16,	on-site [2] - 16:8,
K	17:14, 18:11, 18:21,	measure [1] - 16:24	9:5, 20:19, 25:17,	16:23
	19:8, 25:1	measures [1] - 17:17	25:20, 25:22, 25:25,	once [1] - 18:9
Kara [1] - 15:6	legislation [1] - 17:1	Media [1] - 8:1	26:3, 26:6, 26:10,	one [11] - 10:20,
keep [4] - 12:9, 18:22,	legislative [6] - 5:5,	media [18] - 5:11,	27:12, 27:15, 27:20,	14:20, 16:2, 17:10,
24:9, 33:18	8:16, 9:20, 14:1,	7:20, 7:22, 9:20,	27:24, 28:3, 28:5,	18:4, 20:14, 24:18,
kept [2] - 24:14	16:4, 17:6	20:4, 20:12, 21:21,	35:13	26:9, 26:11, 28:6,
key [1] - 20:17	Legislative [3] -	21:22, 22:10, 22:13,	MS [2] - 7:1, 7:3	30:17
kicked [1] - 5:5	13:17, 20:6, 20:10	22:19, 22:21, 23:9,	must [1] - 24:19	operated [1] - 18:1
KIMBLE [52] - 3:3,	legislature [5] - 13:1,	23:14, 29:12, 29:16,		opinion [21] - 20:8,
3:11, 3:15, 3:24, 4:2,	•	30:8, 30:11	Ν	20:13, 20:16, 23:17,
4:6, 4:12, 4:14, 4:16,	14:3, 17:15, 18:2, 19:6	meet [2] - 18:8, 25:5		24:18, 24:19, 25:13,
4:18, 6:10, 7:2, 8:25,		Meeting [1] - 36:22	name [1] - 3:3	25:19, 25:21, 26:2,
9:3, 19:20, 19:24,	legislature's [1] -	meeting [8] - 3:6,	naturally [1] - 24:4	26:8, 27:1, 27:8,
25:15, 25:18, 25:21,	17:22	-	nature [1] - 11:23	28:2, 28:10, 28:13,
25:23, 26:1, 26:4,	letter [1] - 10:1	3:19, 3:21, 4:25,	navigate [1] - 6:12	28:18, 29:14, 30:20,
26:7, 27:7, 27:13,	level [1] - 23:15	10:6, 31:10, 33:5,	• • • •	34:14, 34:15
27:16, 27:21, 27:25,	lie [1] - 31:4	33:25	necessarily [1] - 23:11	Opinion [6] - 20:1,
28:4, 30:12, 30:15,	likelihood [1] - 15:18	meetings [1] - 13:8	need [7] - 9:18, 11:19,	
32:16, 32:24, 33:2,	limit [2] - 26:24, 35:20	member [2] - 9:1, 34:6	12:9, 16:2, 17:18,	20:2, 34:19, 34:25, 25:10
34:2, 34:6, 34:10,	limited [1] - 35:17	members [5] - 9:20,	24:21, 29:3	35:10
34:17, 34:21, 34:23,	line [2] - 21:4, 25:1	9:21, 19:21, 32:21,	needed [2] - 10:25	opinions [2] - 27:8,
34.17, 34.21, 34.23, 35:3, 35:5, 35:7,	lines [1] - 10:5	36:21	needs [2] - 8:13, 24:12	31:21
	list [1] - 30:23	mention [4] - 9:18,	negotiated [1] - 9:19	oral [1] - 13:14
35:9, 35:14, 35:24, 36:6, 36:0, 36:13	litigation [1] - 15:17	16:2, 16:3, 17:1	nevertheless [4] -	order [3] - 3:4, 3:6,
36:6, 36:9, 36:13, 26:15, 26:17, 26:10	live [4] - 5:10, 7:17,	mentioned [5] - 8:8,	9:17, 12:19, 21:20,	24:17
36:15, 36:17, 36:19	7:18, 18:17	13:8, 14:18, 14:21,	22:18	organized [1] - 6:4
Kimble [1] - 3:4	local [1] - 8:19	33:22	new [6] - 6:11, 6:21,	organizing [1] - 30:9
kind [12] - 10:23, 12:2,	logical [1] - 12:8	met [1] - 13:10	7:11, 32:2, 32:6,	original [1] - 21:2
13:21, 13:22, 20:21,	logistics [1] - 8:12	might [8] - 10:25,	33:9	Osborn [1] - 13:24
20:22, 24:6, 24:20,	look [6] - 5:21, 8:2,	11:1, 18:7, 21:18,	news [1] - 7:20	otherwise [1] - 11:22
31:16, 31:22, 33:7,		21:20, 24:11, 28:16	next [1] - 24:7	ought [1] - 27:17
33:9	21:24, 23:3, 24:20,	million [2] - 8:10,	night [2] - 5:7, 16:20	outlying [1] - 15:8
kinds [3] - 11:11,	28:25	16:22	nobody [1] - 31:2	outreach [1] - 9:7
12:24, 22:23	looked [1] - 33:4	minute [1] - 24:10	nomination [1] - 5:8	overall [2] - 25:13
knowing [1] - 24:1	looking [3] - 6:8, 6:11,	minutes [6] - 3:19,	none [2] - 19:24, 34:6	oversimplifying [1] -
knows [1] - 23:21	29:2	3:20, 4:1, 4:8, 4:18,	nonprofits [1] - 31:12	27:7
	looks [2] - 7:5, 7:12	35:21		own [2] - 17:14, 18:8
		55.21	note [2] - 5:18, 7:19	WII [2] - 17.14, 10.0

Miller Certified Reporting

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

owned [1] - 16:17	29:8, 29:9, 33:19,	project [1] - 30:19	25:6	18:9, 31:17
	34:7	pronouncing [1] -	reasonably [1] - 24:14	requires [4] - 17:17,
Р	personal [1] - 31:11	30:21	reasons [3] - 10:20,	18:4, 29:6
1	perspective [6] -	Prop [1] - 13:15	12:18, 12:19	rescheduling [1] -
p.m [1] - 7:20	15:10, 15:11, 15:25,	proposal [3] - 17:22,	rebroadcast [1] - 5:13	35:18
packed [1] - 5:3	21:5, 32:7	18:3, 18:4	recap [2] - 7:15, 20:16	resolved [2] - 15:12,
packet [4] - 3:21, 7:11,	pertains [1] - 13:18	proud [1] - 5:23	received [2] - 20:14,	15:22
7:14, 21:1	photos [1] - 7:12	provide [4] - 15:5,	21:7	resources [1] - 8:23
PACs [2] - 21:12, 23:5	pick [1] - 7:19	17:21, 19:18, 19:19	recent [1] - 26:8	respect [1] - 12:20
part [5] - 9:22, 15:6,	picture [1] - 11:3	provided [1] - 25:5	recognized [1] - 11:25	responding [1] -
15:7, 15:15, 21:14	pieces [1] - 8:10	providing [1] - 8:23	recognizing [1] - 27:4	35:19
particular [5] - 5:13,	plan [1] - 7:13	provision [3] - 17:8,	reconcile [1] - 29:23	response [5] - 9:2,
22:16, 22:17, 23:11,	play [1] - 18:18	17:10, 17:16	reconciled [1] - 24:23	19:23, 34:5, 34:9,
24:19	pleased [1] - 15:21	provisions [4] - 16:16,	record [2] - 3:8, 24:21	35:23
particularly [2] -	point [5] - 18:23, 24:7,	17:7, 17:25, 18:14	recordkeeping [3] -	responsible [1] -
11:12, 11:13	24:13, 26:14, 28:19	public [6] - 29:2,	31:10, 33:6, 33:11	12:20
parties [6] - 20:11,	policy [1] - 19:4	31:23, 34:7, 35:16,	records [1] - 24:13	responsive [1] - 31:17
21:12, 23:4, 23:5,	political [4] - 20:3,	35:25	recreate [1] - 25:6	rest [1] - 6:1
24:9, 29:15	21:12, 21:19, 31:12	publish [1] - 22:5	redesign [1] - 5:21	restrictions [1] - 16:13
partisan [2] - 22:11,	polling [1] - 16:23	purports [1] - 16:23	redline [2] - 21:1, 21:2	result [1] - 35:16
30:10	portion [2] - 14:11	purposes [2] - 21:11,	ref [1] - 17:6	results [1] - 22:15
partners [5] - 6:2,	portions [1] - 16:7	23:5	referendum [1] - 17:4	return [2] - 14:15,
7:19, 7:21, 7:23, 9:9	position [4] - 28:9,	put [3] - 9:10, 31:22,	referendums [1] -	16:11
partnership [1] - 7:25	28:11, 28:20, 29:22	32:8	17:7	revenue [4] - 17:23,
parts [1] - 6:14	possible [5] - 3:18,	puts [1] - 16:13	referring [1] - 7:22	18:2, 18:8, 18:9
party [10] - 20:4,	4:20, 15:13, 20:1,		reflect [1] - 28:24	revenues [1] - 17:18
21:19, 22:1, 22:3,	29:25	Q	reflective [1] - 30:3	reverse [1] - 20:23
22:14, 22:15, 22:18,	post [1] - 7:23	8	reflects [1] - 28:12	review [2] - 21:9,
23:21, 24:16, 24:19	post-debate [1] - 7:23	qualify [2] - 20:4,	regarding [3] - 7:10,	28:25
Party [1] - 21:20	potential [1] - 9:24	21:20	28:2, 31:10	reviewed [1] - 21:10
Party's [3] - 20:6,	powers [1] - 13:20	questions [11] - 8:24,	registered [1] - 8:11	revised [3] - 33:8,
20:9, 30:19	precise [1] - 9:13	8:25, 19:21, 25:14,	regulation [1] - 28:16	33:24, 34:18
party-building [2] -	precisely [1] - 24:13	30:13, 30:17, 31:7,	rejected [2] - 15:1,	rewrite [1] - 16:7
22:1, 22:14	predict [1] - 18:17	32:13, 32:22, 33:5,	15:2	Richard [1] - 8:5
past [1] - 6:11	presentations [1] -	34:4	related [4] - 9:25,	Riester [2] - 6:2, 8:1
PATON [4] - 3:13,	6:21	quickly [1] - 15:13	10:18, 13:20, 16:16	risk [1] - 27:7
4:15, 35:6, 36:16	presented [1] - 23:19	quiet [1] - 31:1	relating [1] - 20:2	RMC [1] - 15:7
Paton [6] - 3:13, 3:16,	presumably [1] -	quieter [1] - 31:2	relaunch [1] - 5:25	road [3] - 12:24,
4:14, 31:10, 35:5,	17:22		relaunched [1] - 5:20	15:16, 28:16
36:15	pretty [2] - 5:2, 8:3	R	relevant [1] - 28:5	ROBERTS [2] - 7:1,
pattern [2] - 23:18,	previous [2] - 26:8,		report [11] - 4:21, 5:3,	7:3
23:25	26:10	raised [1] - 31:8	9:6, 11:8, 14:11,	Rogers' [1] - 18:3
Paula [1] - 13:9	principles [2] - 13:20,	raising [1] - 34:11	14:17, 14:19, 14:21,	roll [3] - 4:9, 34:25,
pause [1] - 10:18	31:15	ranging [1] - 8:18	15:25, 29:1	36:10
pay [2] - 10:18, 16:22	print [4] - 6:18, 6:19,	rather [1] - 11:17	reportable [1] - 23:6	rolls [1] - 32:4
paying [1] - 18:2	8:8, 8:15	reach [1] - 7:24	reported [1] - 21:19	Ruelas [1] - 8:6
payments [1] - 10:19	problems [1] - 18:21	reaching [1] - 22:20	reporting [3] - 22:16,	rule [7] - 18:9, 23:4,
people [6] - 6:15,	procedures [1] - 14:19	readily [1] - 6:7	23:9, 25:7	23:23, 25:3, 25:4,
7:22, 12:3, 15:16,	Procedures [1] -	reading [1] - 33:24	reports [3] - 9:20,	28:14, 28:18
27:16, 30:5	14:23	real [1] - 29:8	24:22, 24:24	rules [7] - 13:16,
per [1] - 28:20	proceed [1] - 25:14	reality [1] - 11:5	Request [1] - 20:2	14:25, 15:1, 15:11,
percent [1] - 28:17	proceeding [1] - 9:17	really [14] - 5:9, 6:3,	request [4] - 20:8,	15:12, 15:16, 24:22
performance [1] -	proceeds [1] - 17:2	6:8, 6:13, 8:22,	25:18, 27:3	running [4] - 12:14,
10:18	process [7] - 9:22,	12:17, 18:19, 23:8,	requested [2] - 20:5,	12:15, 12:17, 31:13
performance-related	10:23, 11:17, 14:14,	28:20, 31:13, 32:12, 33:12, 33:10	30:19	
[1] - 10:18	16:10, 16:13, 23:24	33:12, 33:19	requesting [1] - 21:3	S
performed [1] - 20:3	productive [1] - 13:9	reason [3] - 12:10, 19:2, 21:14	require [2] - 16:8, 24:9	201 7:00 00 0
period [1] - 25:24	profiles [1] - 8:18	reasonable [1] - 32:10	required [1] - 10:7	saw [2] - 7:22, 33:6
Person [1] - 28:17	progresses [1] - 19:12	reasonableness [1] -	requirements [2] -	schedule [1] - 22:4
person [5] - 24:10,		18430114D1611655 [1] -		school [1] - 8:21

coreon (a) 7:01	sorry [2] - 16:1, 30:20	Stove (0) 2.14 9.5	Tom (44) 4:22 9:9	21:1
screen [3] - 7:21,	-	Steve [3] - 3:14, 8:5	Tom [14] - 4:22, 8:8, 9:4, 19:20, 20:16,	
20:20, 24:8	sort [16] - 9:23, 11:4,	sticker [5] - 6:21,		VI [1] - 35:14
se [1] - 28:21	11:10, 11:18, 12:2,	6:22, 7:4, 7:7, 7:8	20:18, 25:15, 30:13,	via [1] - 17:4
season [2] - 5:4, 8:6	12:7, 12:23, 13:19, 15:17, 15:24, 19:9,	still [1] - 29:14	30:22, 32:8, 32:12, 33:22, 34:4, 35:12	victims [1] - 12:23
second [8] - 4:4, 4:5,		stream [1] - 18:8	tom [1] - 6:10	view [2] - 21:6, 23:2
26:2, 28:2, 34:21,	20:21, 22:11, 29:15, 33:25	streaming [1] - 7:19	took [1] - 23:3	violative [1] - 13:19
34:22, 36:7, 36:8	source [4] - 17:19,	strike [1] - 25:3	towards [1] - 9:12	vote [4] - 16:11, 22:12,
seconded [3] - 4:7, 34:24, 36:9	17:23, 18:2, 18:9	struck [1] - 18:13	traceable [1] - 22:22	27:1, 30:10
Secretary [3] - 14:12,	Spanish [2] - 7:8, 7:18	structure [3] - 11:7,		voted [2] - 6:22, 20:13
19:1, 22:5	specific [11] - 13:1,	11:24, 30:1	track [2] - 24:6, 29:11	voter [7] - 5:16, 6:1,
section [2] - 17:11,	17:8, 17:20, 21:18,	studio [1] - 7:11	tracking [1] - 28:21	8:13, 8:15, 15:11,
21:10 21:10	21:23, 22:8, 23:25,	study [1] - 35:17	transaction [1] - 19:11	15:19, 16:13
see [14] - 5:13, 8:17,	27:10, 28:15, 28:20	subject [5] - 10:15,	tried [2] - 21:17, 22:25	Voter [7] - 6:18, 7:6,
• • •	specifically [4] - 23:3,	17:7, 17:14, 18:16	true [1] - 30:22	8:9, 13:19, 21:13,
9:6, 9:11, 12:2, 13:6, 13:16, 14:5, 15:21,	23:20, 24:1, 24:8	successes [1] - 15:9	try [8] - 11:17, 15:15,	21:24, 23:9
19:12, 21:9, 32:17,	specificity [1] - 23:21	successfully [1] -	17:23, 21:14, 24:13,	voter-education [1] -
32:18, 34:10	spend [1] - 27:10	14:13 Such and Such w	27:4, 29:16, 33:10	15:11 voters [8] - 6:5, 7:16,
seeing [5] - 6:5, 8:19,	spending [17] - 10:12,	Such-and-Such [1] -	trying [5] - 17:15,	
8:22, 32:1, 35:24	17:18, 20:4, 20:12,	29:19	23:24, 25:3, 31:25, 32:10	8:11, 14:15, 15:18,
semantic [1] - 29:15	21:21, 21:22, 22:10,	suggesting [1] - 28:1		16:10, 16:11, 32:9
Senator [1] - 18:3	22:13, 22:17, 22:19,	suggestions [1] -	tune [1] - 16:5	Voters' [2] - 20:5, 22:9 votes [2] - 35:9, 36:19
send [1] - 35:25	22:13, 22:17, 22:19, 22:21, 23:9, 23:14,	35:15	turn [2] - 9:12, 15:19	votes [2] - 35:9, 36:19 voting [1] - 8:12
	29:12, 29:16, 30:8,	supports [1] - 15:22	turned [1] - 13:8	voting [1] - 8:12
sense [3] - 18:25, 19:10, 20:22	30:11	Supreme [3] - 11:25,	turns [1] - 29:20	14/
,	spends [1] - 24:10	18:13	TV [1] - 7:17	W
separate [2] - 17:13	spent [2] - 6:11, 24:4	switch [1] - 29:9	two [6] - 5:16, 13:7,	waits [1] - 15:17
separation [1] - 13:19 set [5] - 7:12, 9:23,	sponsorships [3] -	–	13:11, 17:25, 18:15,	wants [1] - 32:19
15:12, 16:10, 22:2	13:7, 13:11, 13:12	Т	35:20	ways [1] - 24:15
shall [1] - 19:7	staff [10] - 6:1, 9:21,	tabulation [1] - 16:8	types [1] - 33:15	website [4] - 5:20,
				web3ite [4] = 0.20,
sharo (4) - 20.20	10.16 12.16 12.24	talke 101 - 17:0 23:4		6.11 6.14 8.17
share [1] - 20:20	10:16, 12:16, 12:24, 23:19, 31:18, 31:19	talks [2] - 17:9, 23:4	U	6:11, 6:14, 8:17 Wednesday (1) - 30:9
sheets [1] - 24:10	23:19, 31:18, 31:19,	tasks [1] - 27:10		Wednesday [1] - 30:9
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6	uncomfortable [2] -	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15,
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 show [2] - 10:7, 29:1	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 show [2] - 10:7, 29:1 showed [1] - 7:21	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15,	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] -	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 show [2] - 10:7, 29:1 showed [1] - 7:21 shows [1] - 33:9	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] -	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 show [2] - 10:7, 29:1 showed [1] - 7:21 shows [1] - 33:9 signature [1] - 14:14	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7,	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 show [2] - 10:7, 29:1 showed [1] - 7:21 shows [1] - 33:9 signature [1] - 14:14 significantly [1] - 8:17	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] -	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21,	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5 welcoming [1] - 6:4
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 show [2] - 10:7, 29:1 showed [1] - 7:21 shows [1] - 33:9 signature [1] - 14:14 significantly [1] - 8:17 similar [1] - 21:15	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11,	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12,	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5 welcoming [1] - 6:4 WERTHER [10] - 3:10,
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 showe [2] - 10:7, 29:1 showed [1] - 7:21 shows [1] - 33:9 signature [1] - 14:14 significantly [1] - 8:17 similar [1] - 21:15 simply [3] - 13:3, 19:6,	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{tasks [1] - 27:10} \\ \mbox{televised [1] - 5:6} \\ \mbox{terminology [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15,} \\ \mbox{28:7, 29:3} \\ \mbox{territory [1] - 32:6} \\ \mbox{territory [1] - 32:6} \\ \mbox{text [2] - 21:24, 21:25} \\ \mbox{themselves [1] - 17:9} \\ \mbox{theories [1] - 13:20} \end{array}$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5 welcoming [1] - 6:4 WERTHER [10] - 3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23,
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \textbf{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \textbf{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \textbf{terms} [4] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \textbf{territory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \textbf{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \textbf{themselves} [1] - 17:9 \\ \textbf{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \textbf{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ \end{array}$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12,	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5 welcoming [1] - 6:4 WERTHER [10] - 3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22,
sheets [1] - 24:10 ship [1] - 12:15 showe [2] - 10:7, 29:1 showed [1] - 7:21 shows [1] - 33:9 signature [1] - 14:14 significantly [1] - 8:17 similar [1] - 21:15 simply [3] - 13:3, 19:6, 29:22 single [2] - 17:7, 18:16	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \textbf{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \textbf{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \textbf{terms} [4] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \textbf{territory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \textbf{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \textbf{themselves} [1] - 17:9 \\ \textbf{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \textbf{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \end{array}$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] -	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5 welcoming [1] - 6:4 WERTHER [10] - 3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23,
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{showe} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed} [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{showes} [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} [2] - 17:7 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \textbf{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \textbf{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \textbf{terms} [4] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \textbf{territory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \textbf{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \textbf{themselves} [1] - 17:9 \\ \textbf{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \textbf{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \textbf{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \end{array}$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18	$\label{eq:weak} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wednesday} [1] - 30:9 \\ \textbf{week} [3] - 5:14, 13:15, \\ 14:21 \\ \textbf{weekend} [1] - 5:14 \\ \textbf{weeks} [1] - 5:1 \\ \textbf{weighs} [1] - 26:5 \\ \textbf{welcoming} [1] - 6:4 \\ \textbf{WERTHER} [10] - 3:10, \\ 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, \\ 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, \\ 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 \\ \textbf{Werther} [10] - 3:10, \\ \end{array}$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{showe} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed} [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \textbf{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \textbf{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \textbf{terms} [4] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \textbf{territory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \textbf{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \textbf{themselves} [1] - 17:9 \\ \textbf{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \textbf{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \textbf{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \textbf{they.} [1] - 15:5 \\ \end{array}$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11, 25:12	$\label{eq:wednesday[1]-30:9} \\ \mbox{week[3]-5:14, 13:15, 14:21} \\ \mbox{weekend[1]-5:14} \\ \mbox{weeks[1]-5:1} \\ \mbox{weighs[1]-26:5} \\ \mbox{welcoming[1]-6:4} \\ \mbox{WERTHER[10]-3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14} \\ Werther [10]-3:10, 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, 12, 4:7, 4:16, 3:2, 12, 4:7, 4:16, 3:2, 12, 4:7, 4:16, 3:2, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 1$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{showe} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed} [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \mbox{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \mbox{termitory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \mbox{territory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \mbox{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \mbox{themselves} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \mbox{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \mbox{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{they.} [1] - 15:5 \\ \mbox{three} [4] - 5:3, 6:25, \\ \end{array} \right.$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11,	$\label{eq:weak} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wednesday} [1] - 30:9 \\ \textbf{week} [3] - 5:14, 13:15, \\ 14:21 \\ \textbf{weekend} [1] - 5:14 \\ \textbf{weeks} [1] - 5:1 \\ \textbf{weighs} [1] - 26:5 \\ \textbf{welcoming} [1] - 6:4 \\ \textbf{WERTHER} [10] - 3:10, \\ 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, \\ 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, \\ 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 \\ \textbf{Werther} [10] - 3:10, \\ \end{array}$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} \text{(1)} - 24:10 \\ \text{ship [1]} - 12:15 \\ \text{showe [2]} - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed [1]} - 7:21 \\ \text{shows [1]} - 33:9 \\ \text{signature [1]} - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly [1]} - 8:17 \\ \text{similar [1]} - 21:15 \\ \text{simply [3]} - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single [2]} - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject [2]} - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site [3]} - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \end{array}$	$23:19, 31:18, 31:19, \\31:23, 35:17 \\ \text{staff's [1] - 21:4} \\ \text{staffing [1] - 12:16} \\ \text{stakeholder [3] - } \\26:13, 31:18, 31:24 \\ \text{stakeholders [4] - } \\30:25, 32:2, 32:11, \\32:19 \\ \text{standard [1] - 25:5} \\ \text{standing [2] - 13:25, } \\14:2 \\ \text{standpoint [1] - 7:17} \\ \text{start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, } \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \mbox{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \mbox{termitory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \mbox{territory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \mbox{territory} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \mbox{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \mbox{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{they.} [1] - 15:5 \\ \mbox{three} [4] - 5:3, 6:25, \\ 14:18, 14:19 \\ \end{array}$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11, 25:12 unnecessarily [2] -	$\label{eq:wednesday[1]-30:9} \\ \mbox{week[3]-5:14, 13:15, 14:21} \\ \mbox{weekend[1]-5:14} \\ \mbox{weeks[1]-5:1} \\ \mbox{weighs[1]-26:5} \\ \mbox{welcoming[1]-6:4} \\ \mbox{WERTHER[10]-3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14} \\ Werther[10]-3:10, 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, 34:2, 35:3, 36:24, 35:3, 36:24, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:3, 36:26, 35:26$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{showe} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed} [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \text{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ \end{array}$	$23:19, 31:18, 31:19, \\31:23, 35:17$ staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 $stakeholder [3] - \\26:13, 31:18, 31:24$ $stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, \\32:19$ standard [1] - 25:5 $standing [2] - 13:25, \\14:2$ standpoint [1] - 7:17 $start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, \\33:20$	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11, 25:12 unnecessarily [2] - 12:23, 29:15	Wednesday $[1] - 30:9$ week $[3] - 5:14$, 13:15, 14:21 weekend $[1] - 5:14$ weeks $[1] - 5:1$ weighs $[1] - 26:5$ welcoming $[1] - 6:4$ WERTHER $[10] - 3:10$, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 Werther $[10] - 3:10$, 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, 36:9, 36:13 white $[1] - 23:1$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{showe} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed} [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \text{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6	$\label{eq:response} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \mbox{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \mbox{termitory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \mbox{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \mbox{termisol} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \mbox{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \mbox{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \mbox{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{they.} [1] - 15:5 \\ \mbox{three} [4] - 5:3, 6:25, \\ 14:18, 14:19 \\ \mbox{threshold} [1] - 22:20 \\ \mbox{throughout} [1] - 5:13 \\ \end{array}$	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11, 25:12 unnecessarily [2] - 12:23, 29:15 up [8] - 4:25, 7:19,	Wednesday $[1] - 30:9$ week $[3] - 5:14$, 13:15, 14:21 weekend $[1] - 5:14$ weeks $[1] - 5:1$ weighs $[1] - 26:5$ welcoming $[1] - 6:4$ WERTHER $[10] - 3:10$, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 Werther $[10] - 3:10$, 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, 36:9, 36:13
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} \text{(1)} - 24:10 \\ \text{ship [1]} - 12:15 \\ \text{showe [2]} - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed [1]} - 7:21 \\ \text{shows [1]} - 33:9 \\ \text{signature [1]} - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly [1]} - 8:17 \\ \text{similar [1]} - 21:15 \\ \text{simply [3]} - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single [2]} - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject [2]} - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site [3]} - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \text{situation [2]} - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \text{six [2]} - 8:3, 17:6 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \mbox{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \mbox{termitory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \mbox{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \mbox{termisol} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \mbox{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \mbox{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{they.} [1] - 15:5 \\ \mbox{three} [4] - 5:3, 6:25, \\ 14:18, 14:19 \\ \mbox{threshold} [1] - 22:20 \\ \mbox{throughout} [1] - 5:13 \\ \mbox{tight} [1] - 12:15 \\ \end{array} $	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11, 25:12 unnecessarily [2] - 12:23, 29:15 up [8] - 4:25, 7:19, 9:23, 11:16, 12:22,	$\label{eq:wednesday[1]-30:9} \\ \mbox{week[3]-5:14, 13:15, 14:21} \\ \mbox{weekend[1]-5:14} \\ \mbox{weekend[1]-5:1} \\ \mbox{weighs[1]-26:5} \\ \mbox{welcoming[1]-6:4} \\ \mbox{WERTHER[10]-3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14} \\ \mbox{Werther[10]-3:10, 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, 36:9, 36:13} \\ \mbox{white [1]-23:1} \\ \mbox{willing [2]-31:3, 31:5} \\ \end{tabular}$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{showe} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed} [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \text{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \text{six} [2] - 8:3, 17:6 \\ \text{sleeping} [1] - 31:4 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1	$\label{eq:response} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{tasks} [1] - 27:10 \\ \mbox{televised} [1] - 5:6 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 22:8 \\ \mbox{terminology} [1] - 6:5, 12:15, \\ 28:7, 29:3 \\ \mbox{termitory} [1] - 32:6 \\ \mbox{text} [2] - 21:24, 21:25 \\ \mbox{termisol} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 17:9 \\ \mbox{theories} [1] - 13:20 \\ \mbox{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \mbox{therefore} [3] - 22:19, \\ 22:22, 28:23 \\ \mbox{they've} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{they.} [1] - 15:5 \\ \mbox{three} [4] - 5:3, 6:25, \\ 14:18, 14:19 \\ \mbox{threshold} [1] - 22:20 \\ \mbox{throughout} [1] - 5:13 \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:spectral_setup} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{uncomfortable} \ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\label{eq:weak_set} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wednesday} [1] - 30:9 \\ \textbf{week} [3] - 5:14, 13:15, \\ 14:21 \\ \textbf{weekend} [1] - 5:14 \\ \textbf{weeks} [1] - 5:1 \\ \textbf{weighs} [1] - 26:5 \\ \textbf{welcoming} [1] - 6:4 \\ \textbf{WERTHER} [10] - 3:10, \\ 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, \\ 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, \\ 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 \\ \textbf{Werther} [10] - 3:10, \\ 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, \\ 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, \\ 36:9, 36:13 \\ \textbf{white} [1] - 23:1 \\ \textbf{willing} [2] - 31:3, 31:5 \\ \textbf{wish} [1] - 35:22 \\ \end{array}$
$\label{eq:show} \begin{array}{l} \text{sheets} \ [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} \ [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{show} \ [2] - 10:7, \ 29:1 \\ \text{show} \ [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{shows} \ [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} \ [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} \ [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} \ [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} \ [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} \ [3] - 13:3, \ 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} \ [2] - 17:7, \ 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} \ [2] - \\ 17:7, \ 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} \ [2] - \\ 17:7, \ 18:16 \\ \text{site} \ [3] - 8:20, \ 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \text{situation} \ [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \text{six} \ [2] - 8:3, \ 17:6 \\ \text{sleeping} \ [1] - 31:4 \\ \text{slippery} \ [1] - 12:3 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18,	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4	$\label{eq:spectral_setup} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{uncomfortable} \ [2] - \\ 32:6, 33:10 \\ \textbf{unconstitutional} \ [1] - \\ 17:20 \\ \textbf{under} \ [12] - 11:6, 11:7, \\ 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, \\ 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, \\ 33:13, 33:22 \\ \textbf{understaffed} \ [1] - \\ 12:18 \\ \textbf{unless} \ [2] - 25:11, \\ 25:12 \\ \textbf{unnecessarily} \ [2] - \\ 12:23, 29:15 \\ \textbf{up} \ [8] - 4:25, 7:19, \\ 9:23, 11:16, 12:22, \\ 16:10, 30:17, 32:14 \\ \textbf{update} \ [3] - 14:10, \\ 14:16, 19:13 \\ \textbf{uploaded} \ [1] - 6:18 \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:weak_set} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wednesday} [1] - 30:9 \\ \textbf{week} [3] - 5:14, 13:15, \\ 14:21 \\ \textbf{weekend} [1] - 5:14 \\ \textbf{weeks} [1] - 5:1 \\ \textbf{weighs} [1] - 26:5 \\ \textbf{welcoming} [1] - 6:4 \\ \textbf{WERTHER} [10] - 3:10, \\ 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, \\ 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, \\ 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 \\ \textbf{Werther} [10] - 3:10, \\ 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, \\ 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, \\ 36:9, 36:13 \\ \textbf{white} [1] - 23:1 \\ \textbf{willing} [2] - 31:3, 31:5 \\ \textbf{wish} [1] - 35:22 \\ \textbf{wishes} [1] - 34:13 \\ \end{array}$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} [1] - 24:10 \\ \text{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \text{showe} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed} [1] - 7:21 \\ \text{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \text{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \text{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \text{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \text{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \text{six} [2] - 8:3, 17:6 \\ \text{sleeping} [1] - 31:4 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16	$\label{eq:spectral_setup} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{uncomfortable} \ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Wednesday} [1] - 30:9 \\ \textbf{week} [3] - 5:14, 13:15, \\ 14:21 \\ \textbf{weekend} [1] - 5:14 \\ \textbf{weeks} [1] - 5:1 \\ \textbf{weighs} [1] - 26:5 \\ \textbf{welcoming} [1] - 6:4 \\ \textbf{WERTHER} [10] - 3:10, \\ 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, \\ 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, \\ 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 \\ \textbf{Werther} [10] - 3:10, \\ 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, \\ 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, \\ 36:9, 36:13 \\ \textbf{white} [1] - 23:1 \\ \textbf{willing} [2] - 31:3, 31:5 \\ \textbf{wish} [1] - 35:22 \\ \textbf{wishes} [1] - 34:13 \\ \textbf{wonderful} [1] - 31:25 \\ \end{array}$
$\label{eq:sheets} \begin{array}{l} \text{(1)} - 24:10 \\ \text{ship [1]} - 12:15 \\ \text{showe [2]} - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \text{showed [1]} - 7:21 \\ \text{shows [1]} - 33:9 \\ \text{signature [1]} - 14:14 \\ \text{significantly [1]} - 8:17 \\ \text{similar [1]} - 21:15 \\ \text{simply [3]} - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \text{single [2]} - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{single-subject [2]} - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \text{site [3]} - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \text{situation [2]} - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \text{six [2]} - 8:3, 17:6 \\ \text{sleeping [1]} - 31:4 \\ \text{slippery [1]} - 12:3 \\ \text{slippery-slope [1]} - \\ 12:3 \\ \end{array}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1 State [2] - 9:19, 13:4	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16 TITLA [4] - 3:14, 4:13,	$\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{uncomfortable} \ \textbf{[2]} - \\ 32:6, 33:10 \\ \textbf{unconstitutional} \ \textbf{[1]} - \\ 17:20 \\ \textbf{under} \ \textbf{[12]} - 11:6, 11:7, \\ 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, \\ 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, \\ 33:13, 33:22 \\ \textbf{understaffed} \ \textbf{[1]} - \\ 12:18 \\ \textbf{unless} \ \textbf{[2]} - 25:11, \\ 25:12 \\ \textbf{unnecessarily} \ \textbf{[2]} - \\ 12:23, 29:15 \\ \textbf{up} \ \textbf{[8]} - 4:25, 7:19, \\ 9:23, 11:16, 12:22, \\ 16:10, 30:17, 32:14 \\ \textbf{update} \ \textbf{[3]} - 14:10, \\ 14:16, 19:13 \\ \textbf{uploaded} \ \textbf{[1]} - 6:18 \\ \textbf{utilize} \ \textbf{[1]} - 8:23 \\ \end{array}$	Wednesday [1] - $30:9$ week [3] - $5:14$, $13:15$, $14:21$ weekend [1] - $5:14$ weeks [1] - $5:1$ weighs [1] - $26:5$ welcoming [1] - $6:4$ WERTHER [10] - $3:10$, 4:5, $4:17$, $32:23$, 32:25, $33:3$, $34:22$, 35:4, $36:8$, $36:14Werther [10] - 3:10,3:12$, $4:7$, $4:16$, $33:2$, 34:2, $34:24$, $35:3$, 36:9, $36:13white [1] - 23:1willing [2] - 31:3, 31:5wish [1] - 35:22wishes [1] - 34:13wonderful [1] - 31:25word [1] - 11:20$
$\label{eq:sheets} [1] - 24:10 \\ \mbox{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \mbox{show} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 7:21 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \mbox{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \mbox{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \mbox{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \mbox{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situ} [2] - 8:3, 17:6 \\ \mbox{sleeping} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{slippery-slope} [1] - \\ 12:3 \\ \mbox{slipps} [1] - 9:12 \\ \end{tabular}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1 State [2] - 9:19, 13:4 State's [3] - 14:12,	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16	$\label{eq:spectral_setup} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{uncomfortable} \ [2] - \\ 32:6, 33:10 \\ \textbf{unconstitutional} \ [1] - \\ 17:20 \\ \textbf{under} \ [12] - 11:6, 11:7, \\ 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, \\ 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, \\ 33:13, 33:22 \\ \textbf{understaffed} \ [1] - \\ 12:18 \\ \textbf{unless} \ [2] - 25:11, \\ 25:12 \\ \textbf{unnecessarily} \ [2] - \\ 12:23, 29:15 \\ \textbf{up} \ [8] - 4:25, 7:19, \\ 9:23, 11:16, 12:22, \\ 16:10, 30:17, 32:14 \\ \textbf{update} \ [3] - 14:10, \\ 14:16, 19:13 \\ \textbf{uploaded} \ [1] - 6:18 \\ \end{array}$	Wednesday [1] - $30:9$ week [3] - $5:14$, $13:15$, $14:21$ weekend [1] - $5:14$ weeks [1] - $5:1$ weighs [1] - $26:5$ welcoming [1] - $6:4$ WERTHER [10] - $3:10$, 4:5, $4:17$, $32:23$, 32:25, $33:3$, $34:22$, 35:4, $36:8$, $36:14Werther [10] - 3:10,3:12$, $4:7$, $4:16$, $33:2$, 34:2, $34:24$, $35:3$, 36:9, $36:13white [1] - 23:1willing [2] - 31:3, 31:5wish [1] - 35:22wishes [1] - 34:13wonderful [1] - 31:25word [1] - 11:20words [1] - 18:7$
$\label{eq:sheets} [1] - 24:10 \\ \mbox{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \mbox{show} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 7:21 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \mbox{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \mbox{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \mbox{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \mbox{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situ} [2] - 8:3, 17:6 \\ \mbox{sleeping} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{slippery-slope} [1] - \\ 12:3 \\ \mbox{slipps} [1] - 9:12 \\ \mbox{slope} [1] - 12:3 \\ \end{tabular}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1 State [2] - 9:19, 13:4 State's [3] - 14:12, 19:1, 22:6	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16 TITLA [4] - 3:14, 4:13, 35:8, 36:18	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11, 25:12 unnecessarily [2] - 12:23, 29:15 up [8] - 4:25, 7:19, 9:23, 11:16, 12:22, 16:10, 30:17, 32:14 update [3] - 14:10, 14:16, 19:13 uploaded [1] - 6:18 utilize [1] - 8:23	Wednesday [1] - $30:9$ week [3] - $5:14$, $13:15$, $14:21$ weekend [1] - $5:14$ weeks [1] - $5:1$ weighs [1] - $26:5$ welcoming [1] - $6:4$ WERTHER [10] - $3:10$, $4:5$, $4:17$, $32:23$, $32:25$, $33:3$, $34:22$, $35:4$, $36:8$, $36:14$ Werther [10] - $3:10$, $3:12$, $4:7$, $4:16$, $33:2$, $34:2$, $34:24$, $35:3$, $36:9$, $36:13$ white [1] - $23:1$ willing [2] - $31:3$, $31:5$ wish [1] - $35:22$ wishes [1] - $34:13$ wonderful [1] - $31:25$ word [1] - $11:20$ words [1] - $18:7$ workshops [1] - $9:11$
$\label{eq:sheets} [1] - 24:10 \\ \mbox{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \mbox{show} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 7:21 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \mbox{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \mbox{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \mbox{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \mbox{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{sitg} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{slippery} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{slippery-slope} [1] - \\ 12:3 \\ \mbox{slippe} [1] - 9:12 \\ \mbox{slope} [1] - 12:3 \\ \mbox{solution} [1] - 13:3 \\ \end{tabular}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1 State [2] - 9:19, 13:4 State's [3] - 14:12, 19:1, 22:6 statements [2] - 8:14, 8:16 states [1] - 23:17	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16 TITLA [4] - 3:14, 4:13, 35:8, 36:18 Titla [5] - 3:14, 3:16,	uncomfortable [2] - 32:6, 33:10 unconstitutional [1] - 17:20 under [12] - 11:6, 11:7, 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, 33:13, 33:22 understaffed [1] - 12:18 unless [2] - 25:11, 25:12 unnecessarily [2] - 12:23, 29:15 up [8] - 4:25, 7:19, 9:23, 11:16, 12:22, 16:10, 30:17, 32:14 update [3] - 14:10, 14:16, 19:13 uploaded [1] - 6:18 utilize [1] - 8:23 variety [1] - 24:15	Wednesday [1] - $30:9$ week [3] - $5:14$, $13:15$, $14:21$ weekend [1] - $5:14$ weeks [1] - $5:1$ weighs [1] - $26:5$ welcoming [1] - $6:4$ WERTHER [10] - $3:10$, 4:5, $4:17$, $32:23$, 32:25, $33:3$, $34:22$, 35:4, $36:8$, $36:14Werther [10] - 3:10,3:12$, $4:7$, $4:16$, $33:2$, 34:2, $34:24$, $35:3$, 36:9, $36:13white [1] - 23:1willing [2] - 31:3, 31:5wish [1] - 35:22wishes [1] - 34:13wonderful [1] - 31:25word [1] - 11:20words [1] - 18:7workshops [1] - 9:11world [1] - 14:10$
$\label{eq:sheets} [1] - 24:10 \\ \mbox{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \mbox{show} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 7:21 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \mbox{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \mbox{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \mbox{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \mbox{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{site} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situ} [2] - 8:3, 17:6 \\ \mbox{sleeping} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{slippery-slope} [1] - \\ 12:3 \\ \mbox{slipps} [1] - 9:12 \\ \mbox{slope} [1] - 12:3 \\ \end{tabular}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1 State [2] - 9:19, 13:4 State's [3] - 14:12, 19:1, 22:6 statements [2] - 8:14, 8:16 states [1] - 23:17 statewide [2] - 5:6,	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16 TITLA [4] - 3:14, 4:13, 35:8, 36:18 Titla [5] - 3:14, 3:16, 4:12, 35:7, 36:17	$\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{uncomfortable} \ \textbf{[2]} - \\ 32:6, 33:10 \\ \textbf{unconstitutional} \ \textbf{[1]} - \\ 17:20 \\ \textbf{under} \ \textbf{[12]} - 11:6, 11:7, \\ 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, \\ 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, \\ 33:13, 33:22 \\ \textbf{understaffed} \ \textbf{[1]} - \\ 12:18 \\ \textbf{unless} \ \textbf{[2]} - 25:11, \\ 25:12 \\ \textbf{unnecessarily} \ \textbf{[2]} - \\ 12:23, 29:15 \\ \textbf{up} \ \textbf{[8]} - 4:25, 7:19, \\ 9:23, 11:16, 12:22, \\ 16:10, 30:17, 32:14 \\ \textbf{update} \ \textbf{[3]} - 14:10, \\ 14:16, 19:13 \\ \textbf{uploaded} \ \textbf{[1]} - 6:18 \\ \textbf{utilize} \ \textbf{[1]} - 8:23 \\ \hline $	Wednesday [1] - $30:9$ week [3] - $5:14$, $13:15$, $14:21$ weekend [1] - $5:14$ weeks [1] - $5:1$ weighs [1] - $26:5$ welcoming [1] - $6:4$ WERTHER [10] - $3:10$, 4:5, $4:17$, $32:23$, 32:25, $33:3$, $34:22$, 35:4, $36:8$, $36:14Werther [10] - 3:10,3:12$, $4:7$, $4:16$, $33:2$, 34:2, $34:24$, $35:3$, 36:9, $36:13white [1] - 23:1willing [2] - 31:3, 31:5wish [1] - 35:22wishes [1] - 34:13wonderful [1] - 31:25word [1] - 11:20words [1] - 18:7workshops [1] - 9:11world [1] - 14:10$
$\label{eq:sheets} [1] - 24:10 \\ \mbox{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \mbox{show} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 7:21 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \mbox{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \mbox{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \mbox{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \mbox{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{sitg} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{slippery} [1] - 31:4 \\ \mbox{slippery} [1] - 12:3 \\ \mbox{slippe} [1] - 9:12 \\ \mbox{slope} [1] - 12:3 \\ \mbox{solution} [1] - 13:3 \\ \mbox{someone} [4] - 28:10, \\ \end{tabular}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1 State [2] - 9:19, 13:4 State [3] - 14:12, 19:1, 22:6 statements [2] - 8:14, 8:16 states [1] - 23:17 statewide [2] - 5:6, 8:16	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16 TITLA [4] - 3:14, 4:13, 35:8, 36:18 Titla [5] - 3:14, 3:16, 4:12, 35:7, 36:17 today [7] - 3:16, 4:24,	$\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5 welcoming [1] - 6:4 WERTHER [10] - 3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 Werther [10] - 3:10, 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, 36:9, 36:13 white [1] - 23:1 willing [2] - 31:3, 31:5 wish [1] - 35:22 wishes [1] - 34:13 wonderful [1] - 31:25 word [1] - 11:20 words [1] - 18:7 workshops [1] - 9:11 world [1] - 14:10 written [2] - 4:1, 19:15
$\label{eq:sheets} [1] - 24:10 \\ \mbox{ship} [1] - 12:15 \\ \mbox{show} [2] - 10:7, 29:1 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 7:21 \\ \mbox{shows} [1] - 33:9 \\ \mbox{signature} [1] - 14:14 \\ \mbox{significantly} [1] - 8:17 \\ \mbox{similar} [1] - 21:15 \\ \mbox{simply} [3] - 13:3, 19:6, \\ 29:22 \\ \mbox{single} [2] - 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{single-subject} [2] - \\ 17:7, 18:16 \\ \mbox{sitg} [3] - 8:20, 16:8, \\ 16:23 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 11:9, \\ 22:15 \\ \mbox{situation} [2] - 12:3 \\ \mbox{slippery} [1] - 12:3 \\ \mbox{slippery} [1] - 9:12 \\ \mbox{slope} [1] - 9:12 \\ \mbox{slope} [1] - 12:3 \\ \mbox{solution} [1] - 13:3 \\ \mbox{someone} [4] - 28:10, \\ 28:14, 28:16, 30:1 \\ \end{tabular}$	23:19, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 35:17 staff's [1] - 21:4 staffing [1] - 12:16 stakeholder [3] - 26:13, 31:18, 31:24 stakeholders [4] - 30:25, 32:2, 32:11, 32:19 standard [1] - 25:5 standing [2] - 13:25, 14:2 standpoint [1] - 7:17 start [3] - 8:6, 20:22, 33:20 started [1] - 5:6 starting [1] - 9:11 state [6] - 5:12, 8:11, 9:9, 10:2, 17:18, 27:1 State [2] - 9:19, 13:4 State's [3] - 14:12, 19:1, 22:6 statements [2] - 8:14, 8:16 states [1] - 23:17 statewide [2] - 5:6,	tasks [1] - 27:10 televised [1] - 5:6 terminology [1] - 22:8 terms [4] - 6:5, 12:15, 28:7, 29:3 territory [1] - 32:6 text [2] - 21:24, 21:25 themselves [1] - 17:9 theories [1] - 13:20 therefore [3] - 22:19, 22:22, 28:23 they've [1] - 31:4 they [1] - 15:5 three [4] - 5:3, 6:25, 14:18, 14:19 threshold [1] - 22:20 throughout [1] - 5:13 tight [1] - 12:15 timeline [2] - 25:16, 26:4 timing [1] - 19:16 TITLA [4] - 3:14, 4:13, 35:8, 36:18 Titla [5] - 3:14, 3:16, 4:12, 35:7, 36:17 today [7] - 3:16, 4:24, 16:4, 19:14, 21:3,	$\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{uncomfortable} \ \textbf{[2]} - \\ 32:6, 33:10 \\ \textbf{unconstitutional} \ \textbf{[1]} - \\ 17:20 \\ \textbf{under} \ \textbf{[12]} - 11:6, 11:7, \\ 21:21, 24:22, 26:21, \\ 26:22, 30:11, 33:12, \\ 33:13, 33:22 \\ \textbf{understaffed} \ \textbf{[1]} - \\ 12:18 \\ \textbf{unless} \ \textbf{[2]} - 25:11, \\ 25:12 \\ \textbf{unnecessarily} \ \textbf{[2]} - \\ 12:23, 29:15 \\ \textbf{up} \ \textbf{[8]} - 4:25, 7:19, \\ 9:23, 11:16, 12:22, \\ 16:10, 30:17, 32:14 \\ \textbf{update} \ \textbf{[3]} - 14:10, \\ 14:16, 19:13 \\ \textbf{uploaded} \ \textbf{[1]} - 6:18 \\ \textbf{utilize} \ \textbf{[1]} - 8:23 \\ \hline $	Wednesday [1] - 30:9 week [3] - 5:14, 13:15, 14:21 weekend [1] - 5:14 weeks [1] - 5:1 weighs [1] - 26:5 welcoming [1] - 6:4 WERTHER [10] - 3:10, 4:5, 4:17, 32:23, 32:25, 33:3, 34:22, 35:4, 36:8, 36:14 Werther [10] - 3:10, 3:12, 4:7, 4:16, 33:2, 34:2, 34:24, 35:3, 36:9, 36:13 white [1] - 23:1 willing [2] - 31:3, 31:5 wish [1] - 35:22 wishes [1] - 34:13 wonderful [1] - 31:25 word [1] - 11:20 words [1] - 18:7 workshops [1] - 9:11 world [1] - 14:10 written [2] - 4:1, 19:15

Y
Yavapai [1] - 14:16 year [3] - 8:7, 10:8, 32:3 years [4] - 9:16, 12:13, 17:6, 18:15 you-all [1] - 12:13 yourself [1] - 31:8 yourselves [1] - 3:8
Z
Zoom [1] - 35:22