

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING AND POSSIBLE EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

Virtual Meeting: Citizens Clean Elections Commission

Zoom Meeting: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82928519603

Meeting ID: 829 2851 9603

One tap mobile: +1-253-215-8782,,82928519603# US

Commission Address: 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix,

Arizona 85007

Date: Monday, December 22, 2025

Time: 9:30 a. m.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the Commissioners of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission and the general public that the Citizens Clean Elections Commission will hold a regular meeting, which is open to the public on December 22, 2025. **This meeting will be held at 9:30 a.m. This meeting will be held virtually. The Zoom meeting room will be open by 9:15 a.m. at the latest**. Instructions on how the public may participate in this meeting are below. For additional information, please call (602) 364-3477 or contact Commission staff at ccec@azcleanelections.gov.

The meeting may be available for live streaming online at https://www.azcleanelections.gov/clean-elections-commission-meetings. You can also visit https://www.azcleanelections.gov/clean-elections-commission-meetings. Members of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission may attend in person, by telephone, video, or internet conferencing.

Join Zoom Meeting

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82928519603

Meeting ID: 829 2851 9603

One tap mobile

+1-253-215-8782,,82928519603# US

Please note that members of the public that choose to use the Zoom video link must keep their microphone muted for the duration of the meeting. If a member of the public wishes to speak, they may use the Zoom raise hand feature and once called on, unmute themselves on Zoom once the meeting is open for public comment.

Members of the public may participate via Zoom by computer, tablet or telephone. A dial-in option is also available but you will not be able to use the Zoom raise hand feature, so the meeting administrator will assist phone attendees. <u>Please keep yourself muted unless you are prompted to speak.</u>

The Commission may allow time for public comment on any item on the agenda. Commission members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing Commission staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

The Commission may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for the purpose of obtaining legal advice on any item listed on the agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3). The Commission reserves the right at its discretion to address the agenda matters in an order different than outlined below.

Any person with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission at (602) 364-3477. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange accommodations.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order.
- II. Discussion and Possible Action on Arizona Clean Elections Commission v. Fontes, CV2025-064149 (Maricopa Sup. Ct.), Arizona Democratic Party v. Fontes, CV2025-064362 (Maricopa Sup. Ct.), Republican National Committee v. Fontes, CV2025-065841 (Maricopa Sup. Ct.).

The Commission may vote to go into Executive Session, which will not be open to the public for legal advice or discussion or consultation with its attorneys in order to consider its position and instruct its attorneys regarding its position in pending or contemplated litigation or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation. A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3), (4).

III. Public Comment.

This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or

rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism.

IV. Adjournment.

This agenda is subject to change up to 24 hours prior to the meeting. A copy of the agenda background material provided to the Commission (with the exception of material relating to possible executive sessions) is available for public inspection at the Commission's office, 1110 W Washington St, #250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Dated this 18th day of December, 2025 Citizens Clean Elections Commission Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director

1 2 3	Christopher O. Murray (pro hac vice forthcond Julian R. Ellis, Jr. (pro hac vice forthcoming) Michael Francisco (pro hac vice forthcoming) FIRST & FOURTEENTH PLLC 2 N. Cascade Avenue, Suite 1430	
4	Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 Telephone: (719) 428-4937	
5	Emails: chris@first-fourteenth.com julian@first-fourteenth.com	
6	Michael Francisco (<i>pro hac vice forthcoming</i>) FIRST & FOURTEENTH PLLC	
7	800 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006	
8	Telephone: (202) 998-1978 Email: michael@first-fourteenth.com	
9	Kurt Altman (#015603)	
10	KURT M. ALTMAN, P.L.C. ALTMAN LAW + POLICY	
11	12621 N. Tatum Boulevard, Suite 102 Phoenix, Arizona 85032	
12	Telephone: (602) 491.0088 Email: admin@altmanaz.com	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14		
15	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
16 17	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA	
18		
19	REPUBLICAN NATIONAL	N
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	COMMITTEE; REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ARIZONA, LLC,	No.
21	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
22	V.	INSUNCTIVE RELIEF
23	ADRIAN FONTES, in his official capacity as Arizona Secretary of State,	
24	Defendant.	
25		
26	Plaintiffs file this complaint and allege:	
27		
28		

SUMMARY OF THE CASE

- 1. On December 1, 2025, Secretary of State Adrian Fontes officially changed the name of the No Labels Party to the "Arizona Independent Party." He has also issued guidance to county elections officials requiring them to recognize this name change.
- 2. But Secretary Fontes does not have legal authority to change the name of a party like the No Labels Party, which only has ballot access by virtue of its submission of petitions pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-801 in February of 2023.
- 3. Because Secretary Fontes does not have the authority to change the No Labels Party's name and because Plaintiffs and their candidates will necessarily be forced to compete against the No Labels Party and its candidates under the new name, Plaintiffs bring this action seeking a declaration that the Secretary's ultra vires decision to change the No Labels Party's name and his actions to implement this decision on 2026 ballots are void.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Republican National Committee is a national political party with its principal place of business at 310 First Street, S.E., Washington, DC 20003. In addition to managing the Republican Party's strategic and day-to-day operation at the national level, the RNC represents over 35 million registered Republicans in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the U.S. territories. It is comprised of 168 voting members representing state and territorial Republican Party organizations. The RNC promotes the election of Republican candidates in Arizona and across the United States. The RNC has an interest in the administration of elections in Arizona and the competitive environment affecting Republican candidates in Arizona. Naturally, the RNC expends significant resources supporting Republican candidates in Arizona, and some of these resources will necessarily be diverted if, in contravention of Arizona law, the "Arizona Independent Party" is allowed to appear on the ballot in place of the No Labels Party.
- 5. Plaintiff Republican Party of Arizona, LLC (RPAZ) is a statewide political party committee and the organizing body of Arizona electors who are registered members of the Republican Party, the largest political party in Arizona. Its principal place of business

is 3033 N Central Avenue, Suite 300, Phoenix, AZ 85012. The RPAZ promotes the election of Republican candidates in Arizona and one of its purposes include protecting the procedural integrity of Arizona elections. The RPAZ has an interest in the administration of elections in Arizona and the competitive environment affecting Republican candidates in Arizona. Naturally, the RPAZ expends significant resources supporting Republican candidates in Arizona, and some of these resources will necessarily be diverted if, in contravention of Arizona law, the "Arizona Independent Party" is allowed to appear on the ballot in place of the No Labels Party.

6. Defendant Adrian Fontes is the Secretary of State of Arizona and is named in this action in his official capacity only. The Secretary's office is a division of the executive department of the government of the State of Arizona with its primary address in Maricopa County. The Secretary is also the chief election officer in the state. *See* A.R.S. § 16 142(A)(1).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under A.R.S. § 41-1034(A), and Article 6, § 14 of the Arizona Constitution, and A.R.S. §§ 12-123, 12-1801, 12-1831.
- 8. A.R.S. §§ 41-1034(A), and A.R.S. § 12-401(16) because the Secretary resides and holds office in Maricopa County.
 - 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

Arizona's Statutory Scheme for Recognition of New Political Parties

- 10. The Arizona Legislature is constitutionally vested with the authority to enact "laws to secure the purity of elections and guard against abuses of the elective franchise." Ariz. Const. art. 7, § 12.
- 11. Consistent with this authority, the Arizona Legislature has enacted a comprehensive scheme for the recognition of political parties and access for candidates of those parties to positions on county and statewide ballots. *See* A.R.S. §§ 16-801 to -804.

12. This scheme includes a robust process by which new political parties may seek recognition and ballot access within clear time limits. *See* A.R.S. §§ 16-801, 16-803.

- 13. A.R.S. § 16-801(A) provides: "A new political party may become eligible for recognition... on filing with the secretary of state a petition signed by a number of qualified electors equal to not less than one and one-third per cent of the total votes cast for governor at the last preceding general election at which a governor was elected." These party-recognition petitions must be signed by qualified electors from at least five different Arizona counties, and at least ten percent of the qualified electors signing the petition must be registered in smaller counties of less than 500,000 in total population. *Id*.
- 14. A party achieving recognition by petition "is entitled to representation as a political party on the official ballot through the next two regularly scheduled general elections for federal office immediately following recognition of the political party." A.R.S. § 801(B). After these two regularly scheduled general elections for federal office, "the political party is ineligible for further representation on the ballot unless it qualifies for continued representation on the ballot as prescribed in section 16-804." *Id.* Section 16-804 provides for ongoing recognition of established political parties by garnering five percent or more support for its top-of-ticket candidates in the preceding general election or its registration of two-thirds of one percent of a jurisdiction's electors. If a party does not meet these thresholds, it must submit "a new petition for recognition as a new political party pursuant to this section and section 16-803." A.R.S. § 801(B).
- 15. Party-recognition petitions for new political parties must be filed with the Secretary of State (or only local elections officials for parties seeking only local recognition) "not less than two hundred fifty days before the primary election for which the party seeks recognition." A.R.S. § 16-803(A).
- 16. Any sheet of a party-recognition petition containing a signature collected more than "twenty-four months before the primary election for which the party seeks recognition is null and void" and "the filing officer shall remove that signature sheet from the petition and may not accept that signature sheet for filing." *Id*.

1

- 17. Party-recognition petitions are required to be in the same form as candidate petition papers under A.R.S. § 16-315. A.R.S. § 16-801(A). Section 16-315 requires that petitions be:
 - a. On paper eleven inches wide and eight and one-half inches long.
 - b. Headed by a caption stating the purpose of the petition, followed by the body of the petition stating the intent of the petitioners. Section 801 requires that this caption state the purpose of the petition as a "petition for political party registration" and further provides for a body of the petition requiring verification by at least ten electors stating that it is their intent to be recognized as a "new political party." A.R.S. 16-801(A)
 - c. In a format providing ten signature lines spaced one-half of an inch apart and consecutively numbered one through ten
 - d. In a format providing, in the ten signature lines, spaces for the signature, printed name, actual residence address, description of place of residence or Arizona post office box address, city or town, and Date of signing for each elector signing the party-registration petition.
- See A.R.S. § 16-315. Party-recognition petitions must also include the petition circulator instructions required by section 16-315. *Id*.
- 18. The Secretary maintains a template party-recognition petition complying with these statutory directives. This template has not changed since 2012. A copy of this template petition is published on the Secretary's website and is attached here as **Exhibit A**.
- 19. Unsurprisingly, the caption of the Secretary's template party-recognition petition requires that the electors petitioning for the new party's recognition declare the new party's name on the face of the petition:

```
Petition For Political Party Recognition
I, the undersigned, a qualified elector in the county of
                                                                                                    state of Arizona, hereby petition that a new political party become
eligible for recognition, and be represented by an official party ballot at the next ensuing regular primary election, to be held on the
and accorded a column on the official ballot at the succeeding general election to be held on the
                                                                                                                                     A new political party is entitled to
representation as a political party on the official ballot through the next two regularly scheduled general elections for federal office immediately following recognition
of the political party. Said party shall be known a
declare that if I choose to use a post office box address on this petition, my residence address has not changed since I last reported it to the county recorder for
purposes of updating my voter registration file
                Signature
                                                      Printed name
                                                                                     Actual residence address, description of place of residence, or
                                                                                                                                                             Date of
                                                                                              Arizona post office box address, city or town
                                                                                                                                                              signing
```

Exhibit A (highlighting added).

20. In this manner the Secretary's template party-recognition petition tracks the statutory requirements for candidate petitions under section 16-315, which must use the candidate's true legal name. *See Dedolph v. McDermott*, 281 P.3d 484, 487 (Ariz. 2012).

The No Labels Party Qualifies for Recognition in March 2023 and Operates Until Failing to Field Presidential Candidates in 2024

- 21. The No Labels Party was founded in 2010. Since that time, its slogan has been "Not Left. Not Right. Forward."
- 22. Former Democratic Senator (and Vice-Presidential Candidate) Joseph Lieberman became the party's national co-chair in 2014 and served in that position until his death in March of 2024.
- 23. Sen. Lieberman and others helped to build a national brand for the No Labels Party. In the run-up to the 2024 presidential election, the party announced its intention to seek ballot access across the country in the hope of supporting a competitive third-party presidential ticket.
- 24. As part of this effort, the party recruited supporters in Arizona to support a new party recognition petition under A.R.S. §§ 16-801 and 803.
- 25. The No Labels Party's effort to qualify for ballot recognition in Arizona was successful. In February 2023, the No Labels Party submitted party recognition petitions to Secretary Fontes. These petitions used the Secretary's template. The caption for each petition stated the new party for which the petitions sought recognition "shall be known as the No Labels Party."
- 26. On March 8, 2023, Secretary Fontes issued a statement that following the statutorily required verification of the petition signatures, "the No Labels Party has exceeded the minimum signature requirement and, therefore, qualifies as a new party for federal, statewide and legislative races in the 2024 Primary and General Elections under Arizona Law."

- 27. At the time of circulating its party-recognition petitions and qualifying for recognition, the No Labels Party in Arizona was officially affiliated with the national No Labels Party organization.
- 28. Consistent with the national No Labels Party's goals to focus on the Presidential and Vice-Presidential election, the Arizona No Labels Party worked to keep candidates for any other office from qualifying for the ballot under the No Labels Party banner and even sued the Secretary to try to prevent down-ballot candidates in Arizona from accessing the ballot under the No Labels Party name. *See No Labels Party of Arizona v. Fontes*, 142 F.4th 1226, 1228 (9th Cir. 2025).
- 29. Ultimately, after failing to coalesce around a viable candidate for the Presidency, the No Labels Party did not nominate candidates for President and Vice President. *See* Julia Mueller and Hanna Trudo, *No Labels Abandons 2024 Presidential Effort*, The Hill (April 4, 2024), https://thehill.com/homenews/campaign/4575052-no-labels-party-passes-2024-election-candidate/.
- 30. The No Labels Party appears to have been inactive after the 2024 election until it was apparently "taken over" by its current Chairman Paul Johnson this past summer. Since Mr. Johnson assumed leadership, the No Labels Party in Arizona no longer affiliates with the national No Labels organization. See Wayne Schutsky, The No Labels Party in Arizona plans to change its name. Critics say it can't do that, KJZZ (Aug. 4, 2025), https://www.kjzz.org/politics/2025-08-04/the-no-labels-party-in-arizona-plans-to-change-its-name-critics-say-it-cant-do-that.

The Secretary Allows the New Party Leadership to Change the Party's Name Rather than File a New Party-Recognition Petition

31. On October 8, 2025, Mr. Johnson, in his new capacity as Chairman of the No Labels Party of Arizona sent an email to Secretary Fontes requesting that the Secretary recognize the No Labels Party's "notice of party name change" to the Arizona Independent Party. A copy of this email and a memorandum that accompanied it are attached here as **Exhibit B**.

4 | cc 5 | th 6 | Pr 7 | Sc 8 | K

- 33. This request was consistent with Mr. Johnson's stated goals upon assuming control of the No Labels Party in the summer of 2025, when he said that he intended to use the existing organization to "form our own party" for supporters of 2024's failed Proposition 140, which would have created an open primary system in Arizona. *See* Wayne Schutsky, *No Labels Arizona Plans to Run Candidates Up and Down the Ballot in 2026*, KJZZ.org (July 31, 2025), https://www.kjzz.org/elections/2025-07-31/no-labels-arizona-plans-to-run-candidates-up-and-down-the-ballot-in-2026.
- 34. As detailed above, the formation of a political party in Arizona is governed by A.R.S. §§ 16-801 to 16-804.
- 35. But Mr. Johnson did not actually form his own party. Instead, he secured leadership of an existing party that had been qualified for ballot access by petitions signed by voters who believed they were supporting the well-known agenda of the national No Labels Party by supporting the creation of its Arizona affiliate.
- 36. Nevertheless, just eight days after Mr. Johnson's emailed request, Secretary Fontes sent Mr. Johnson a letter agreeing to change the No Labels Party's name to the Arizona Independent Party as of December 1, 2025. A copy of Secretary Fontes' October 16, 2025 letter is attached here as **Exhibit C**.
- 37. As promised in his October 16, 2025 letter, the Secretary has made the name change official as of December 1, removing the No Labels Party from his list of recognized political parties and adding the Arizona Independent Party in its place. *See* https://azsos.gov/elections/about-elections/information-about-political-parties
- 38. According to implementing guidance issued by the Secretary, a copy of which is attached here as **Exhibit D**, voters who were registered as members of the No Labels Party are now—whether they like it or not—"registered as with the Arizona Independent Party as of 12/1/2025." *See* Exhibit D at 3 n3.

- 39. In that way, the Secretary allowed leadership of the new Arizona Independent Party to dragoon the supporters of the No Labels Party into their own movement.
- 40. Plaintiffs are not aware of any other Arizona political party being permitted to change its name while its only claim to ballot access is based on party-recognition petitions submitted pursuant to statute.

The Secretary is Without Authority to Permit a Political Party that Has Ballot Access Only By Virtue of a Party-Recognition Petition to Change its Name

- 41. The Secretary of State, like all other officers in the Executive Department of Arizona's government, "shall perform such duties as are prescribed by the constitution and as may be provided by law." Ariz. Const. art. 5, § 1(D).
- 42. The Arizona Constitution has relatively few duties for the Secretary, none of which touch upon the registration and qualification of political parties. The Arizona Constitution does provide that, like all inferior officers in the state executive branch, "[t]he powers and duties of . . . secretary of state . . . shall be as prescribed by law." Ariz. Const. art. 5, § 9.
- 43. A.R.S. § 41-121 provides the Secretary's general duties. This section says nothing about the recognition of political parties or changes to their names. It does again repeat the Arizona Constitution's admonition that the Secretary may only perform other duties beyond those specifically listed if they are "imposed on the secretary of state by law." A.R.S. § 41-121(9).
- 44. As described above, Arizona law has imposed on the Secretary the duty to accept party-recognition petitions from new political parties and, after verifying the information and signatures on those petitions are statutorily sufficient, to recognize the new political party.
- 45. But no statute gives the Secretary the power or duty to authorize a party that has gained ballot access by this petition process to change its name as expressed on the ballot.

- 46. A decision of a state official or agency that goes beyond the official or agency's power as defined by Arizona's constitution and statutes is void. *See Tucson Warehouse & Transfer Co., Inc. v. Al's Transfer, Inc.*, 77 Ariz. 323, 325 (1954). Indeed, this principle is routinely applied to invalidate election regulations issued by the Secretary when these regulations exceed the scope of his statutory authority. *See, e.g., Leach v. Hobbs*, 250 Ariz. 572, 576 (2021).
- 47. The Secretary gets this precisely backward when, in his letter approving the name change, he acknowledges his lack of statutory authority to permit a party's name change after its submission of petitions, but then says: "[i]n the absence of statutory authority, the general rule is to presume that conduct not prohibited is permitted." Exhibit C. While this formulation is true for the conduct of private persons, it is not true for a state officer who may constitutionally exercise only those powers and duties imposed on him by law.

COUNT I (Declaratory Relief) (A.R.S. §§ 12-1831, 16-104, 16-108, 16-315; Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65)

- 48. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. Plaintiffs include the national and state Republican party organizations that promote the election of Republican candidates in Arizona and have among their purposes protecting the procedural integrity of Arizona's elections and the legality of the competitive environment for those elections which directly affect their candidates. These plaintiffs expend considerable resources educating voters, recruiting candidates and understanding the competitive environment in Arizona to advance their interests of electing Republicans to state, county, and local offices.
- 50. Plaintiffs RNC and AZGOP are therefore beneficially interested in ensuring that the Secretary's ultra vires approval of the No Labels Party's name change to the "Arizona Independent Party" is invalidated because this action affects the fairness and

legality of Arizona's elections which that directly impairs the competitive environment in which Plaintiffs RNC and AZGOP compete. *Mecinas v. Hobbs*, 20 F4th 890, 898 (9th Cir. 2022) (citing *Shays v. Fed. Election Comm'n*, 414 F.3d 76, 87 (D.C. Cir. 2005)).

- 51. Indeed, in addition to diverting resources necessary to compete in Arizona's elections, Plaintiffs RNC and AZGOP will be competitively harmed because A.R.S. § 16-341 requires that truly "Independent" candidates (as opposed to the candidates of a political party qualified for ballot access) must secure the signatures of three percent of the eligible electors in the jurisdiction for which the "Independent" candidate seeks office.
- 52. If the Secretary's name-change decision stands persons affiliated with the "Arizona Independent Party" will be able to run branded as "Independent" candidates while having a much easier path to representation on the ballot. This will necessarily mean that candidates supported by Plaintiffs RNC and AZGOP will face increased competition for votes and will have their share of votes diluted by "Independent" candidates who take a short-cut to ballot access.
- 53. Further, the simple presence of the "Arizona Independent Party" on the ballot will necessarily increase the competition candidates supported by the RNC and AZGOP face for votes.
- 54. The Secretary has thus taken action that have harmed Plaintiffs and otherwise abridged their legal rights.
- 55. Hence, Plaintiffs have standing to seek a declaratory judgment under the Arizona Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act, because Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer a particularized injury to their legal rights if the Secretary's decision regarding the No Labels Party's name change is not invalidated.
- 56. Therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory judgement that the Secretary's approval of the name change from the No Labels Party to the Arizona Independent Party, and his issuance of any guidance, directive, or instruction intended to accommodate or implement that name change, was unlawful, legally unauthorized, null and void, invalid, and unenforceable.

57. Plaintiffs separately request their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under subsection 12-348(3).

COUNT II

(In the Alternative)

Failure to Comply with Notice-and-Comment Rulemaking Under Arizona's Administrative Procedures Act (Declaratory Relief)

(A.R.S. §§ 41-1034, 41-1030(A); Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65)

- 58. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set for the herein.
- 59. Arizona's Administrative Procedures Act was first adopted in 1952. Ariz. Sess. Laws 1952, ch. 97. Thus, like many states following the federal APA, Arizona has a long history of requiring "agencies" to follow certain procedures when adopting positive law through the exercise of delegated authority from the legislature
- 60. In that regard, the APA applies to "agencies," which are defined as "any board, commission, department, officer or other administrative unit of this state, including the agency head and one or more members of the agency head or agency employees or other persons directly or indirectly purporting to act on behalf or under the authority of the agency head, whether created under the Constitution of Arizona or by enactment of the legislature." A.R.S. § 41-1001(1) (emphasis added).
- 61. The APA also defines a "rule" and a "rulemaking." A "rule" is "an agency statement of general applicability that implements, interprets or prescribes law or policy, or describes the procedure or practice requirements of an agency." § 41-1001(21). A "rulemaking" is "the process to make a new rule or amend, repeal[,] or renumber a rule." § 41-1001(22).
- 62. For rulemakings, the APA sets forth detailed and necessary procedures agencies must follow before their pronouncements become law. The agency must prepare and make available to the public a regulatory agenda, § 41-1021.02(A); it must provide notice of the proposed rulemaking, following a statutorily prescribed format for consistency and clarity, and publish the notice in the register, § 41-1022(A); it must provide 30 days at

least after publication for the public to comment on the proposed rulemaking, § 41-1023(B); it must hold an oral proceeding on the proposed rule if one is requested during the comment period, § 41-1023(C); in most circumstances, it must submit the proposed rule to the governor's regulatory review council or the attorney general for review, § 41-1024(B)(1); and it must maintain an official rulemaking record, § 41-1029(A).

- 63. Courts interpreting the federal APA around the time Arizona adopted its version of the APA have pointed out that "[t]he [APA] was framed against a background of rapid expansion of the administrative process as a check upon administrators whose zeal might otherwise have carried them to excesses not contemplated in legislation creating their offices." *United States v. Morton Salt Co.*, 338 U.S. 632, 644 (1950). The APA therefore guards against administrative excess by requiring agencies, before they adopt rules with the force of law, to notify the public of the proposed rule, invite the public to comment on the proposed rule's shortcomings, consider and respond to the public's comments and arguments, and explain its final decision in a statement of the rule's basis and purpose.
- 64. The Department of the Secretary of State is an agency under A.R.S. § 41-1001(1).
- 65. The Secretary's letter approval of the No Labels Party's name change to the "Arizona Independent Party" and his implementing guidance are a rule as defined in A.R.S. § 41-1001(21). The substance of decision and guidance fit the definition of a rule under the APA. They are an agency's statement of general applicability that prescribes law or policy.
- 66. While the Supreme Court has recently held that the Secretary need not comply with the APA when issuing rules pursuant to a delegation of authority to do so in the Elections Procedures Manual, *see* Decision Order, *Republican National Committee v. Fontes*, No. CV-25-0089-PR (October 16, 2025), the name change decision and implementing guidance were not issued pursuant to a delegation of EPM rulemaking authority.

- 67. The Secretary was therefore required to follow the APA's rulemaking process outlined in Ariz. §§ 41-1021 to -1029, in adopting the name change decision and implementing guidance.
- 68. The Secretary skipped almost every step in the notice-and-comment rulemaking process under the APA. *See* Ariz. §§ 41-1021 to -1029. He did not provide notice of the proposed rulemaking in the statutorily prescribed format or publish it in the register, § 41-1022(A); he did not provide the public 30 days comment on the proposed rulemaking after publication, § 41-1023(B); he did not hold an oral proceeding on the proposed rule, nor did he give the public an opportunity to request one, § 41-1023(C); and he did not maintain an official rulemaking record, § 41-1029(A).
- 69. The APA allows "any person who is or may be affected by a rule" to "obtain a judicial declaration of the validity of the rule by filing an action for declaratory relief. . . ." A.R.S. § 41-1034(A). Plaintiffs are affected by the Secretary's name change decision and implementing guidance.
- 70. The APA makes clear that "[a] rule is invalid unless it is consistent with the statute, reasonably necessary to carry out the purpose of the statute[,] and is made and approved in substantial compliance with [sections] 41-1021 through 41-1029." § 41-1030(A). Further, the APA applies to all agencies and proceedings unless expressly exempted. § 41-1002(a). The Secretary's name change decision is neither consistent with statute nor was it adopted in substantial compliance with sections 41-1021 through -1029.
- 71. The Secretary's violation of the APA's notice-and-comment rulemaking process is remediable through a declaratory judgment in this Court. § 41-1034(A). Accordingly, Plaintiffs request that this Court declare that the name change decision and implementing guidance are a rule subject to the APA's notice-and-comment rulemaking process, that the Secretary failed to follow the prescribed rulemaking process, and, therefore, the name change decision and implementing guidance are invalid.
- 72. Plaintiffs separately request their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under sections 12-348(3) and 41-1034.

DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE,

- Plaintiffs demand relief in the following form: A.
- A declaration that the Secretary's name change decision permitting the No Labels Party to appear as the "Arizona Independent Party" on the ballot as of December 1, 2025, was unlawful, ultra vires, void, and, thus, unenforceable;
- 2. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under A.R.S. §§ 12-348(3), and other applicable law.
- В. Alternatively, Plaintiffs demand relief in the following form:
- A declaration under A.R.S. §§ 12-1831, 12-1832, and 41-1034(A) that 1. the Secretary's name change decision permitting the No Labels Party to appear as the "Arizona Independent Party" on the ballot as of December 1, 2025, and his implementing guidance of that decision are a "rule" subject to the APA's notice-andcomment rulemaking process, and therefore this rule is invalid.
- 2. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under A.R.S. §§ 12-348(3) and 41-1034, and other applicable law.
- C. Such other relief as the Court deems necessary, equitable, proper, and just.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	DATED this 11th day of December, 2025.
2	FIRST & FOURTEENTH PLLC
3	
4	By: /s/ Christopher O. Murray
5	Christopher O. Murray Julian R. Ellis, Jr. 2 N. Cascade Ave., Suite 1430 Colorado Springs, CO 80903
6	Colorado Springs, CO 80903
7	Michael Francisco 800 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 300
8	800 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006
9	ALTMAN LAW + POLICY
10	
11	By: /s/ Kurt Altman
12	Kurt Altman
13	12621 N. Tatum Boulevard, Suite 102 Phoenix, Arizona 85032
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	