


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION  
  
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona  
April 23, 2026  
10:00 a.m.

By: Kathryn A. Blackwelder, RPR  
Certified Reporter  
Certificate No. 50666



Page 2

1 PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN  
2 ELECTIONS COMMISSION convened at 10:00 a.m. on April  
3 23, 2026, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections  
4 Commission, 1110 West Washington, Conference Room,  
5 Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the following  
6 Board Members:  
7  
8 Mr. Mark Kimble, Chairman  
9 Ms. Christina Werther  
10 Mr. Sam Crump  
11 Mr. Steve Titla  
12  
13 OTHERS PRESENT:  
14  
15 Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director  
16 Paula Thomas, Executive Officer  
17 Mike Becker, Policy Director  
18 Gina Roberts, Voter Education Director  
19 Avery Xola, Voter Education Manager  
20 Kristin Altman, Riester  
21 Craig Morgan, Taft Law  
22 Jessica Painter, KCA  
23 Alex Logvin, Member of the Public  
24 Paige Spencer, Member of the Public  
25 Bill Gerros, Member of the Public  
Kathryn Solon, Member of the Public  
Jacob George, Member of the Public  
John Travise, Member of the Public  
Judy Robbins, Member of the Public

Page 3

1 P R O C E E D I N G  
2 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Morning. I'm Mark Kimble,  
3 and I'm going to call this meeting of the Citizens  
4 Clean Elections Commission to order. It's 10:00 a.m.  
5 on April 23rd, 2026.  
6 With that, we will take attendance.  
7 Commissioners, please identify yourselves for the  
8 record.  
9 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Christina Werther.  
10 COMMISSIONER TITLA: Steve Titla. Good  
11 morning.  
12 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning, Mr. Titla --  
13 Mr. Titla.  
14 Commissioner Crump, I see you, but I don't  
15 hear you. I think you're muted, Commissioner Crump.  
16 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Sorry about that. I'm  
17 on my phone, which I'm usually not. Good morning.  
18 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning.  
19 So we have four Commissioners, which  
20 constitutes a quorum today.  
21 Item II, discussion and possible action on  
22 meeting minutes for March 26th, 2026. Commissioners,  
23 you have the minutes from the March 26th, 2026 meeting  
24 in your packets. Is there any discussion?  
25 (No response.)

Page 4

1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, do I have a  
2 motion to approve the minutes?  
3 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Mr. Chairman, I move  
4 to approve the minutes of March 26, 2026.  
5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner.  
6 Is there a second?  
7 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Second.  
8 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Was that Commissioner  
9 Crump?  
10 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Yes.  
11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.  
12 It's been moved and seconded that we approve  
13 the minutes for March 26th. I will call the roll.  
14 Commissioner Werther.  
15 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Aye.  
16 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Crump.  
17 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Aye.  
18 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.  
19 COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.  
20 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye.  
21 The minutes are approved 4-to-nothing.  
22 Item III is the Executive Director's Report,  
23 including enforcement, regulatory, and legislative  
24 issues -- excuse me -- legislative updates.  
25 Tom.

Page 5

1 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,  
2 Commissioners. I think the thing I wanted to highlight  
3 is our latest -- as part of our voter education program  
4 and debate program we will -- we filmed our second --  
5 our third edition, rather, of our Inside the Issues  
6 series, which has to do with water. That's going to be  
7 released in May to our media partners.  
8 We had a really strong panel for this that  
9 included Sarah Porter from the Kyle Institute at ASU  
10 and Sharon Megdal from the University of Arizona and  
11 Vineetha Kartha, who's the Colorado River Programs  
12 Manager for CAP. So it's an incredibly substantive  
13 discussion that I think will provide the public with  
14 accurate and important information that they -- that  
15 they -- will allow them to, and, you know, candidates  
16 to be informed in the context of our debates.  
17 We do have a local election scheduled for  
18 May 19th. Voting began yesterday in that. It includes  
19 a runoff in Tempe, as well as -- as well as elections  
20 in Flagstaff and Benson and Douglas.  
21 And then the -- we're working on the Voter  
22 Education Guide production, which will be hitting  
23 mailboxes on June 12th. And we have 247 candidates  
24 eligible for inclusion in the Guide itself, the printed  
25 Guide, and the candidate profiles remain open for

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 submission on the website.</p> <p>2 Obviously we continue to have a lot of</p> <p>3 outreach efforts by the -- by Avery and Gina with</p> <p>4 respect to voter education. And we're also working on</p> <p>5 finalizing our plans with respect to the Election</p> <p>6 Science Reform and Administration Conference at the</p> <p>7 beginning of next month.</p> <p>8 I wanted to highlight a couple of legal</p> <p>9 issues. The No Labels Party did -- Arizona Independent</p> <p>10 Party group did file a notice of appeal finally on</p> <p>11 April 13th, so we'll -- there's no effort under way to</p> <p>12 get a stay or to accelerate that, that I'm -- that I'm</p> <p>13 aware of.</p> <p>14 Then we're -- we are -- we also have the</p> <p>15 briefing under way in the appeal from the Superior</p> <p>16 Court's judgment on Prop 211. There, just to refresh,</p> <p>17 the Superior Court largely rejected the Legislative</p> <p>18 Leadership's claim that Prop 211 violates the sort of</p> <p>19 separation of powers and related principles.</p> <p>20 There was -- there is an aspect of it</p> <p>21 respecting the Commission's -- the part of the Act that</p> <p>22 seeks to protect the Commission's rulemaking and</p> <p>23 enforcement decisions from legislative interference and</p> <p>24 other administrative interference. We have an issue</p> <p>25 there on how that statute is properly understood and an</p>	<p>1 couple of bills, one on changing the primary date in</p> <p>2 particular. Right now, given the Governor's view that,</p> <p>3 you know, she's not going to sign any other bills,</p> <p>4 she'll veto bills that are sent to her, there's not a</p> <p>5 lot of legislative activity as far as that -- as far as</p> <p>6 the election-related bills that we've been monitoring,</p> <p>7 and not too much legislative activity at all pending,</p> <p>8 you know, the -- some movement on the budget.</p> <p>9 So with that, that sort of completes my</p> <p>10 summary of my report. I'm happy to take any questions.</p> <p>11 Thank you.</p> <p>12 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom.</p> <p>13 Do any Members of the Commission have any</p> <p>14 questions for Tom?</p> <p>15 (No response.)</p> <p>16 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, we'll move on</p> <p>17 to Item IV, discussion and possible action on the 2026</p> <p>18 Primary Voter Education Survey. For the past several</p> <p>19 years the Commission has had a survey of voter</p> <p>20 issues -- voters' views on issues to develop our debate</p> <p>21 program. Survey results are made available to</p> <p>22 candidates, moderators, and voters via our website.</p> <p>23 Kristin Altman from Riester is here to walk us through</p> <p>24 the survey.</p> <p>25 Kristin.</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 issue about the breadth of the relief that the -- that</p> <p>2 the Superior Court granted on that narrow issue.</p> <p>3 I wanted to mention also we have -- you know,</p> <p>4 I mentioned in there, just because it's been in the</p> <p>5 news, the case that's between the Maricopa County</p> <p>6 Recorder and the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors</p> <p>7 respecting how they divide authority around some</p> <p>8 administrative activities related to elections. The</p> <p>9 Maricopa County Board voted yesterday to seek a stay</p> <p>10 there and then to appeal.</p> <p>11 And then also we have candidate qualifying</p> <p>12 challenges ongoing.</p> <p>13 With respect to complaints, I wanted to just</p> <p>14 note briefly that the -- David Marshall, who had been</p> <p>15 running for the Corporation Commission and was a clean</p> <p>16 -- running as -- proposed to be a clean candidate, he</p> <p>17 withdrew, and the complaint against him is mooted by</p> <p>18 that. It had to do with whether or not particular</p> <p>19 contributions from individuals that were made to his</p> <p>20 legislative campaign and were -- and remained with that</p> <p>21 committee could be transferred, so -- so that -- I</p> <p>22 closed that complaint upon his withdrawal from both</p> <p>23 candidacy and from the Clean Elections program.</p> <p>24 Finally, on the legislative front, we've</p> <p>25 mentioned -- a couple of months ago we mentioned a</p>	<p>1 MS. ALTMAN: Thank you, Chairman Kimble.</p> <p>2 Thank you, Commissioners.</p> <p>3 As stated, I'm Kristin Altman. I'm with</p> <p>4 Riester. We were happy to help with conducting this</p> <p>5 poll this year and are eager to share our top-line</p> <p>6 findings with you. This report will be shared with you</p> <p>7 for reading in full. There's quite a bit of</p> <p>8 information here. So in the interest of time, I'm</p> <p>9 going to try and complete this in about 25 minutes, so</p> <p>10 I may skip over some slides. Feel free to chime in if</p> <p>11 you need me to slow down. But as I said, we will also</p> <p>12 be providing it for you to read as well.</p> <p>13 So we can go ahead and dive in. I'm going to</p> <p>14 take you through the objective and methodology of the</p> <p>15 research, then I will take you through key findings and</p> <p>16 the regional analysis.</p> <p>17 And let me back up one moment just to give</p> <p>18 you a little bit more background on myself. I am the</p> <p>19 Associate Director of Strategy at research -- at</p> <p>20 Riester, so that -- that's my position. I've got many</p> <p>21 years of research and strategy under my belt. So a</p> <p>22 little bit of an intro.</p> <p>23 Okay. Objective and methodology. This was</p> <p>24 an online survey. We heard from 1,600 -- 1,632 Arizona</p> <p>25 registered voters statewide. It was an online survey,</p>

Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 like I said, and we fielded it in May -- I'm sorry -- 2 March, the 6th through the 20th. This study was last 3 conducted in March of 2024, and our plan is to conduct 4 it again most likely in August prior to the general 5 election.</p> <p>6 So as -- as was mentioned previously, really 7 the goal of doing this study is to have the most timely 8 data in terms of what voters care about in terms of 9 issues, so that when we go into the debates the 10 moderators have fresh information on what's top of mind 11 and can tailor their questions appropriately.</p> <p>12 So in terms of top-line findings, we've got 13 11 here. I'll take you through those issues. So the 14 top issues among Arizonans are affordable housing, 15 election security, and inflation and rising prices. 16 That's when people are given a whole host of issues, 17 which we'll take a look at in a moment, to choose from, 18 then we ask them to choose their single most important 19 issue. And that came out as inflation and rising 20 prices.</p> <p>21 We will talk a lot about the differences 22 between parties when we -- when we move forward in the 23 deck, but overall voters are saying that they're most 24 likely to side with their party, but they are really 25 wanting candidates to find common ground across the</p>	<p>1 further educating people on what's going on. 2 Half of Republicans are saying that Arizona 3 is heading in the right direction. 40 percent of 4 Democrats are saying that the state is headed in the 5 wrong direction under the current leadership.</p> <p>6 We'll take a look at the breakdown regarding 7 education and a variety of factors there. Republicans 8 are largely satisfied with the status quo.</p> <p>9 We did see significant alignment on the issue 10 of water and land, air, water protection across party 11 lines, which was interesting. Particularly water, 12 voters are considering this really an existential issue 13 for the future of the state.</p> <p>14 We talked a bit about this. Aligning with 15 your party's affiliation is something people want to 16 do, but agreeing on issues is what matters even -- even 17 more to the electorate. So on one of the final slides 18 in this deck you'll see that about 60 percent of 19 people, and this is across parties, independents, 20 Republicans, Democrats, and other, are saying that they 21 would vote -- vote across party lines if the candidate 22 agrees with them on the issues.</p> <p>23 And then finally, people want a fighter as a 24 candidate, someone who is standing up for their issues, 25 values, and beliefs. That's relevant to three quarters</p>
Page 11	Page 13
<p>1 issues, and we identify a few areas where we feel this 2 can be done.</p> <p>3 Perception in terms of sentiment -- voter 4 sentiment is another key issue that we wanted to get a 5 feel for. A substantial number of voters are saying 6 that the local, national, and community economy is 7 getting worse. Slightly fewer are saying that their 8 personal financial situation is getting worse. But for 9 the most part, it's a pretty bleak sentiment, which is 10 something we saw in 2024 as well, so that's not -- not 11 necessarily unique to this year. But people are 12 feeling the crunch, which goes with the inflation, 13 rising prices concern.</p> <p>14 ICE is one of the most polarizing issues. 15 Voters are saying, and we'll show you what the answer 16 choices were on this, but they support a more moderate 17 approach the solve the problem.</p> <p>18 Foreign policy matters, this was interesting, 19 they're -- they're somewhat polarizing, but quite a -- 20 quite a few voters really have no opinion. This was 21 one of the issues, when we went through all -- this 22 variety of issues, where we had quite a few people 23 saying, I just don't -- I just don't fall on either 24 side of that issue. So we feel that there's probably 25 an opportunity, which we'll talk about later, for</p>	<p>1 of the electorate. And attitude and temperament are 2 important to two thirds of the electorate, and that -- 3 that really underscores the importance of the debates.</p> <p>4 People in -- in other qualitative research 5 that has been done really talk about the debates as 6 being an opportunity -- a rare, I should say, 7 opportunity of something that's not produced and 8 heavily, you know, handled. It's actually a rare 9 moment where you can see a candidate responding how 10 they -- how they would in real life, which is valued 11 these days.</p> <p>12 Okay. So for key findings, I'm just going to 13 linger on these slides, there are about a dozen here, 14 before we get to the breakdown of the top three 15 parties. So let's -- let's go ahead, we'll -- I'll 16 kind of let you know when to move through.</p> <p>17 But this is a breakdown of the parties, the 18 ethnicity and race, the age breakdown -- we have a note 19 here that moving forward all of these are broken down 20 by party lines -- household income, and then education 21 level.</p> <p>22 Voting behavior. So we have -- we asked 23 people if they voted in the 2022 midterm election or 24 the 2024 presidential election, we asked them also if 25 they're planning on voting in the midterm, and we have</p>

Page 14

1 that broken down by party.  
2 This is our question about whether Arizona is  
3 heading in the right direction -- on the right track or  
4 in the wrong direction.  
5 And then we start to get into the issues in  
6 more granular detail, so we ask which of the following  
7 are most important to you to know a candidate's  
8 position on when voting in an Arizona state office  
9 election. And then we have a variety of economic  
10 factors listed there, and inflation and rising prices  
11 came out on top, followed by gas prices.  
12 Which of the following are important for you.  
13 Okay. So this is our government and housing. We've  
14 got affordable housing as the top concern among  
15 Democrats and independents. Government inefficiencies  
16 and waste was Republicans' top concern in this set of  
17 questions, second to government fraud.  
18 This is our healthcare question. So  
19 Democrats really showed concern about healthcare  
20 affordability and access for low income and older  
21 Arizonans. Behavioral health problems -- I'm sorry --  
22 programs and substance use disorder programs were --  
23 trailed among all. For Republicans these topics also  
24 mattered, but they were not -- not as much as among the  
25 Democrats.

Page 15

1 Environment and climate, this is where we  
2 have our question about water and -- land, air, water.  
3 And you can see that the preference for -- for  
4 candidates who -- who are taking these issues seriously  
5 are quite high.  
6 Immigration, we've got a lot of polarization  
7 here. So you can see Republicans are really tuned into  
8 all aspects of immigration and respond very favorably  
9 to what's being done. Democrats are more interested in  
10 DACA, Dreamers, war refugees. You can see a spike  
11 there under that answer choice for the blue bar.  
12 All right. Education, again, blue bars are  
13 spiking here across a variety of factors. Democrats  
14 really are concerned -- very concerned about all  
15 aspects of education and its support going forward.  
16 Independents and other are kind of in between  
17 Republicans and Democrats.  
18 All right. So this is our issues slide where  
19 I'm going to take a little bit more time. So this is  
20 where we say, three most important issues, which I  
21 touched on in our initial top-line findings, but now  
22 we've got it broken down by Republican, Democrat,  
23 independent, and other.  
24 So you can see, when we look at the "all,"  
25 that security issues, mail-in -- mail-in voting, voter

Page 16

1 IDs, paper ballots, the election security topic, really  
2 that in the "all" sector is being driven up by  
3 Republicans really caring strongly about that issue,  
4 whereas we don't see it coming up among Democrats,  
5 independents, and other quite as strong.  
6 However, and we do have -- we do have some  
7 verbatim answers where people were asked to explain why  
8 they chose their top issue, and we really dug into  
9 those. And among Democrats, independents, and others  
10 there is, as you can see here, concern about government  
11 fraud and corruption. That is -- that is top of mind  
12 for them, but not so much election security.  
13 So affordable housing, inflation and rising  
14 prices, you see that coming up among Democrats and  
15 independents, but I'll -- I'll just linger here for a  
16 second and let you all take a look at this and let me  
17 know if you have any questions.  
18 And then this is where we drill down and say,  
19 okay, give us your single most important issue. And  
20 overall we had inflation. That gray -- gray box is the  
21 entire sample, 1,600 people. The top answer was  
22 inflation and rising prices, and then we've got it  
23 broken down by party below that.  
24 Okay. So voter sentiment. We've got -- this  
25 is -- this is where we dig into the question of is --

Page 17

1 are things getting better, worse, or staying the same.  
2 And we have it on four different factors, so national  
3 economy, state economy, local economy, and personal  
4 financial situation. Then we have all, and we have it  
5 broken down by party.  
6 So you can see that those getting worse  
7 numbers are quite high. When we're looking at  
8 national, we've got 62 percent saying the national  
9 economy is getting worse. And then as you go down, the  
10 numbers -- you know, down to your personal financial  
11 situation, still not great, 47 percent are saying it's  
12 getting worse, but it's not as -- seen as as dire, I  
13 guess, as the national economy number at 62. Democrats  
14 have that number at 83.  
15 Okay. Again, I'm just going to let you take  
16 a look at these so that I can get to some later slides.  
17 This is our voter sentiment on immigration, where we  
18 dig in a little bit more on what is your level of  
19 support, how much do you support or oppose what's being  
20 done in terms of immigration in the United States and  
21 ICE.  
22 And this is where we see that slightly more  
23 support on focusing on specific -- specific cases, even  
24 if it means the total number of deportations decrease.  
25 But again, that's very polarized by party.

Page 18

1 This is asking if people want ICE facilities  
2 in Surprise, if they want them in Arizona. Not --  
3 again, polarized by party lines, not a -- not a very  
4 popular thing once you get to the point of would you  
5 like one in your neighborhood.

6 Foreign policy is also polarizing. Most  
7 Republicans are saying that they're supportive of  
8 what's happening currently; but as mentioned earlier, a  
9 lot of respondents are indifferent. So this is  
10 indicating a need to provide more education around the  
11 purpose and goals and consequences of foreign policy.

12 We asked about the Iran war. Republicans are  
13 more -- were more likely in March to say that they  
14 support the war, while a slight majority of Democrats  
15 and a third of the independents and other opposed the  
16 war. A quarter are saying that they're not really  
17 supporting or opposing.

18 We have some questions here about tariffs as  
19 well. And again, you see some differences by party  
20 lines.

21 Okay. This is what I was thinking about. So  
22 despite being polarized in their support of tariffs,  
23 when they asked -- when we asked them about possible  
24 solutions, the parties did align on this -- this  
25 concept of thinking about renegotiating. That

Page 19

1 selection that is very popular, it says negotiate a --  
2 negotiate new trade agreements that lower tariffs on  
3 all sides. So there is some appetite for working  
4 together in order to renegotiate the situation with  
5 regard to tariffs. 42 percent of Democrats hope to  
6 eliminate most tariffs; one in three independents  
7 support that as well.

8 All right. This is a lot more detail on  
9 water as well. We've talked about the alignment that  
10 we're seeing in terms of conservation of water.

11 This is where we ask about agreeing with the  
12 -- whether it's important for a candidate to agree --  
13 agree on the issue and/or be a member of your preferred  
14 party. So agreeing with the candidate on issues and  
15 party alignment are what matter most to people. But  
16 independents really stand out, you can see that spike  
17 there, in terms of assigning greater importance to  
18 issues alignment, right. They're not -- obviously  
19 they're not tied to a specific party.

20 Please rate your agreement with these  
21 statements. So this is where we ask specifically about  
22 voting across party lines, wanting parties to work  
23 together, wanting candidates to really stand firm, even  
24 if it means there's gridlock. And then we asked -- we  
25 provided these two statements: I cannot see myself

Page 20

1 voting for a Republican; I cannot see myself voting for  
2 a Democrat. And we've got scores on each of those  
3 factors broken down by party.

4 So the insights. Independents are most open  
5 to voting across party lines. There is strong  
6 agreement across the electorate that they want parties  
7 to be able to work together. In terms of standing firm  
8 even if it results in gridlock, the electorate is  
9 split. The Republican respondents were slightly more  
10 open to vote for other -- for the other party or other  
11 parties -- I'm sorry -- for Democrats than Democrats  
12 were saying that they're likely to vote for a  
13 Republican.

14 This is a continuation, so -- right. Open to  
15 policies from both parties, candidate personally  
16 matters as much as policy -- candidate's personality  
17 matters. They want a candidate who will fight for  
18 their issues. There is strong -- strong demand for  
19 assertiveness. People really do want a fighter. And  
20 preferring candidates who collaborate, there's no need  
21 to be rude. This idea that we want people to -- we may  
22 want them to stand firm, but we want them to be civil.  
23 And that's important, and that's another thing that  
24 people are looking for in the debates.

25 All right. Regional analysis. So we have --

Page 21

1 these regions are all broken down across all of the  
2 questions that I've gone through today, and we're going  
3 to pull out some highlights for you. We've added  
4 central Phoenix this year as well. So we'll take a  
5 look at issues first, and then we'll look at sentiment.

6 So when we break this down, we've highlighted  
7 the top issues, which is -- which are consistent, but  
8 it is interesting. The first thing that jumps out here  
9 is affordable housing being stronger in some areas than  
10 others. We see the inflation numbers being, for the  
11 most part, quite high across the board. But there is  
12 -- I mean, the takeaway here is that there is some  
13 variation across regions, so it is helpful to see this  
14 broken out.

15 In terms of -- this is our -- more of our  
16 regional analysis for sentiment. When we ask if the  
17 state economy is getting better, worse, or staying the  
18 same, you can see that Tucson had the highest, at  
19 66 percent, feeling that the state economy is getting  
20 worse. But you can see the breakdown across the board  
21 here, with a majority feeling that things are not  
22 great. Would you say your financial -- personal  
23 financial situation, same question, getting worse,  
24 better, staying the same, and then the breakdown there  
25 as well.

Page 22

1 And that's it. I flew through. If there's  
2 anything else you have questions on or if you'd like to  
3 revisit any of these slides, I am more than happy to do  
4 that.  
5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Kristin.  
6 Any questions or comments from Members of the  
7 Commission?  
8 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Mr. Chairman, I have a  
9 question.  
10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Werther.  
11 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: I was just wanting to  
12 know more kind of about your sample size and sort of  
13 how -- was this sort of like people opt in, like phone  
14 calls, online, like how did you sort of get to, you  
15 know, people to actually provide the input?  
16 And then I just had a specific question about  
17 rural Arizona, since it's sort of a wide swath sort of  
18 proportionately.  
19 MS. ALTMAN: Yeah.  
20 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Is it based on  
21 community population? Like just how much rural  
22 Arizona, I guess, was considered?  
23 MS. ALTMAN: Yeah. So let me answer those in  
24 reverse order. Rural Arizona -- on the addendum slide,  
25 I think a few slides back -- I mean, towards --

Page 23

1 further. It was anything that wasn't in the ZIP Codes  
2 that were in those other five regions, so we grouped --  
3 that's how we segmented the rural respondents.  
4 And then in terms -- oh, okay. In terms of  
5 sample, yes. So we work with a panel provider. It's  
6 one of the largest in the nation. It's called Sago.  
7 They tend to -- these panel providers tend to use a  
8 variety of methods in terms of getting their sample, so  
9 that can be online ads, networking, word of mouth,  
10 social media ads, they have like team members who go  
11 out to community organizations and recruit people to be  
12 on the panel. So it's a huge variety of sources that  
13 they use to sign people up to be potential respondents  
14 to quant and qualitative research for large companies  
15 like this.  
16 And then we're able to say, we want to speak  
17 to people only in Arizona. And then we requalify them  
18 in the survey that we build on our end and we ensure  
19 that everyone is selecting Arizona, selecting that  
20 they're registered to vote. Those were our criteria  
21 for this study. And if not, they're thanked and they  
22 are not, you know, allowed to proceed.  
23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So following up on what  
24 Commissioner Werther was asking, so what is the level  
25 of confidence that this is accurate?

Page 24

1 MS. ALTMAN: So we -- we always try to -- it  
2 is highly confident -- highly accurate. Because it's  
3 essentially 388 at 95 percent confidence level, and 388  
4 being sample size, is what -- the minimum that we do  
5 for a -- for a research study. We wanted it to be  
6 1,600 so that, when we drilled down on these smaller  
7 segments, the segments would be large enough to feel  
8 confident about. So -- so the overall sample being  
9 1,600, highly confident.  
10 I would say -- or, I will say the other  
11 group, which was Libertarians, Greens, No Labels, and I  
12 don't know, but I'm registered, that was a smaller  
13 sample size. It was not -- so I -- I mean, that is not  
14 significant.  
15 So I guess my -- my answer is that it varies  
16 by sample size, but for the large parties it is -- it  
17 is large enough to be at a highly confident accurate,  
18 yeah.  
19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So the main purpose of this  
20 was to kind of shape the discussion going into the  
21 debates?  
22 MS. ALTMAN: Yes.  
23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And are we doing other  
24 things -- I mean, this is a significant survey of -- in  
25 terms of numbers. Are we doing anything else to get

Page 25

1 the results of this out there to the general public --  
2 MS. ALTMAN: Yes.  
3 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: -- other than posting it on  
4 our website?  
5 MS. ROBERTS: Thanks, Kristin.  
6 Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, yes. So how we  
7 intend to use this, it will be provided to every  
8 moderator who is in our moderator pool for both our  
9 statewide and federal debates and also our legislative  
10 debates, so they will have access to it.  
11 We are also giving access to this to our  
12 candidates. So when they confirm their participation  
13 in our debate, we're communicating with them. Prior to  
14 their debate we are giving them what is essentially a  
15 preparation package to help them understand, okay, here  
16 is the format, here is, you know, your length of time  
17 to be speaking for opening and closing statements.  
18 For our broadcast debates we are giving them  
19 the actual topics, not questions, but the topics for  
20 discussion. And then we're giving them the research,  
21 saying, this is the research that we have that was  
22 provided to the moderators, so that way you can prepare  
23 yourself and you can see where the voters in your  
24 region or statewide care about the issues, and the  
25 moderators may make reference to this.

Page 26

1 We are also hoping to do some public  
2 relations with this and hopefully get it out to our  
3 media partners, you know, other entities that we have  
4 relationships with, and then of course posting it on  
5 our website and our social.

6 We are also using this to inform not only our  
7 debates, but our Inside the Issues coverage that we are  
8 doing. So this could speak to -- as Tom mentioned in  
9 the ED Report, we just did one on water. Looking at  
10 the results of this information can help us say, okay,  
11 maybe immigration is our next topic, or something to  
12 that extent, seeing where the numbers fall.

13 And then, of course, we will be using it for  
14 all of our own content, like our People's Ledger, you  
15 know, our newsletter, things like that.

16 So we intend to also get this out to voters  
17 to say, hey, did you know that people, you know, maybe  
18 in Tucson and the Pima area care about these top three  
19 issues. You know, learn more and hear the candidates  
20 talk about this at the Clean Elections debates.

21 So we do have a lot of plans for how to push  
22 this information out to the public.

23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Gina.

24 Any other questions or comments from Members  
25 of the Commission?

Page 27

1 (No response.)

2 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. Thank  
3 you, Kristin.

4 MS. ALTMAN: Thank you all.

5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'm kind of buried in paper  
6 here. Item V, discussion and possible action on an  
7 amendment to Rule R2-20-113 relating to the  
8 Commission's Voter Education Guide. This rule  
9 implements the statutory requirements for preparation  
10 and publication of the Guide.

11 Tom, do you want to walk us through this  
12 proposed amendment?

13 MR. COLLINS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. So the  
14 Commission authorized us to circulate this for public  
15 comment at our February meeting, and this is a --  
16 effectively a cleanup amendment to align our rule with  
17 the statute, with the addition of County candidates to  
18 the Voter Education Guide. So that is the -- that is  
19 the -- that's the -- that's what it does.

20 Were you to approve it unanimously, you know,  
21 you may -- you know, it would be in your discretion, if  
22 you wanted to, to indicate you'd like it to be  
23 effective immediately if GRRC were to authorize it to  
24 be effective immediately. Because the statute  
25 ultimately prevails, I'm not -- you know, I'm not

Page 28

1 completely convinced that immediate effectiveness is  
2 absolutely necessary, right, but -- but it certainly  
3 can't hurt to ask. And then -- but that's really it.

4 We didn't -- we did not receive any public  
5 comments. I don't know if anybody here was -- but we  
6 didn't receive any written public comments in. So if  
7 there are people here to make public comment on this  
8 rule, obviously, you know, that -- this would be an  
9 appropriate time for that, but we don't have any other  
10 comments.

11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So just to -- just to  
12 clarify, up until now the Voter Guide that voters get  
13 in the mail included information only on federal  
14 candidates, statewide candidates, and legislative  
15 candidates?

16 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, by statute it was  
17 only statewide and legislative. And in 2000 -- the  
18 last -- last session the Legislature included  
19 countywide candidates and board of supervisors  
20 candidates, not county judicial candidates or  
21 precinct-based county candidates.

22 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.

23 Any Members of the Commission wants -- want  
24 to make any comments on this?

25 (No response.)

Page 29

1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there anybody here  
2 wishing to comment on this item in the Agenda or anyone  
3 online?

4 (No response.)

5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, do I have a  
6 motion regarding this proposed rule amendment?

7 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Mr. Chairman, I move  
8 to approve the amendment to Administrative Rule  
9 R2-20-113 relating to the Commission Voter Education  
10 Guide to be effective immediately.

11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,  
12 Commissioner Werther.

13 Is there a second?

14 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: I'll second.

15 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,  
16 Commissioner Crump.

17 It's been moved and seconded that we move  
18 ahead on this amendment to Rule R2-20-113 relating to  
19 the Voter Education Guide. I will call the roll.

20 Commissioner Werther.

21 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Aye.

22 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Crump.

23 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Aye.

24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.

25 COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. 2 The motion is approved 4-to-nothing. 3 Item VI, discussion and possible action on 4 the order assessing penalties and notice of appealable 5 agency action in MUR 25-03 involving Monica Timberlake, 6 a 2025 legislative candidate. 7 Commissioners, you have the proposed order in 8 your packets. The Executive Director will present his 9 recommendations. And then Ms. Timberlake, if she is in 10 attendance, or if anyone representing her is in 11 attendance, will have an opportunity to respond. 12 Tom. 13 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 14 Commissioners. So this is -- as the Chairman said, 15 this is the order and notice of appealable action 16 respecting the complaint against Ms. Timberlake. 17 This matter had two components to it. One 18 was a repayment order that the Commission issued last 19 year. This is -- this complaint -- and then at the 20 last meeting you determined that there was probable 21 cause to believe the facts in -- outlined in the -- in 22 the probable cause memo. 23 This order, as a factual matter, you know, 24 goes through the facts underlying the complaint that 25 were confirmed, and specifically that Ms. Timberlake</p>	<p>1 process. We understand, and I think the record that 2 you have indicate, that Ms. Timberlake has a standing 3 appointment that she's unwilling or unable to move on 4 Thursday mornings, but we've never received, for 5 example, a formal request that we move our meeting, nor 6 any documentation or anything else respecting that. 7 So, you know, I -- so, I mean, I feel it's 8 important to acknowledge that, but in my view, absent 9 some engagement on the issues that involve the 10 disgorgement of what money she agrees she still has 11 from Clean Elections and providing the records to the 12 auditor, et cetera, I don't think that that's a 13 particularly strong excuse or mitigator. 14 So with that, I am happy to answer any 15 questions the Commission has. 16 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So just to summarize, 17 almost two years ago Ms. Timberlake received \$31,760 in 18 Clean Elections funds, and we don't know how she spent 19 it, and she has either not kept records indicating how 20 she spent it or she has not provided them to us. So 21 your proposal is that she repay that plus \$3,000 in 22 various penalties? 23 MR. COLLINS: If I could clarify, we've 24 already done the repayment order in a separate 25 proceeding. This -- these fines are separate from</p>
Page 31	Page 33
<p>1 did not timely file campaign finance reports that she 2 was obligated to file under the Act, the Rules, and 3 under her agreement to participate. She did not 4 provide and has not provided to the auditors for the 5 Commission the backup documentation respecting those -- 6 those reports. She has not -- with respect to 7 repayment, we -- she has admitted that she has around 8 \$5,000 in Clean Elections funds still. We have not 9 received those funds. And -- oh, and then the last 10 issue, based on her communications with us and 11 admissions, is that, you know, her books or records 12 were not organized sufficiently for her to timely file 13 the reports, nor to provide that information to the 14 auditors timely. 15 So those are the -- those are the facts. And 16 our recommendation with respect to a penalty -- you 17 know, as I think we've discussed many times, the 18 penalties under the Act for failure to file can be 19 significant. We've recommended a reduction of the fine 20 respecting the failure to file. Roughly that nets out 21 as the equivalent of the amount of money that she got 22 from Clean Elections. It's not -- it's off by about 23 \$3,000. So we think that's a reasonable resolution. 24 You know, we are -- we have provided notice 25 for this meeting and for meetings throughout the</p>	<p>1 that. This is -- so this would be an additional 31,000 2 in fines, plus the 3 -- I think it's 3,000 in 3 additional fines for the additional violations. So 4 that -- so there will be -- were she to get the notice, 5 you know, appeal, resolved, et cetera, you know, the 6 total amount under this order would be around \$34,000. 7 There's a separate order with respect to repayment that 8 is effective, and there was no appeal from -- for the 9 amount of the Clean Elections money. 10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. 11 Is Ms. Timberlake here or is anyone 12 representing Ms. Timberlake here? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Let the record show no one 15 is here and Ms. Timberlake is not here. 16 Is there anyone in the audience wishing to 17 address this matter on the Agenda or anyone on Zoom? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any Member of the 20 Commission have any comments? 21 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Mr. Chairman, I just 22 have a clarification question to Tom. 23 So in the penalty section, as you mentioned, 24 it says the total was 34,760, but then the order, the 25 next line, says, hereby imposes a civil penalty of</p>

Page 34

1 320,600.  
2 MR. COLLINS: Oh, that is a -- that is a  
3 mistake.  
4 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: I just want to know  
5 what penalty we're imposing.  
6 MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry. Yes. No, the  
7 penalty is the 34,000. And we can -- I apologize. If  
8 we could -- in your motion we can certainly take care  
9 of that.  
10 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Okay. For that, can I  
11 just say the amount?  
12 MR. COLLINS: Yes, I think. I think. I  
13 mean, it's not -- that would be my recommendation, but  
14 it's not -- I'm not the final word on that.  
15 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Craig, can we say the  
16 amount?  
17 MR. MORGAN: Yes, ma'am. Commissioner, you  
18 can just say the amount.  
19 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Thank you.  
20 MR. MORGAN: You're welcome.  
21 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Mr. Chairman.  
22 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes, Commissioner Crump.  
23 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Yeah, my question was  
24 going to be the same. I was confused. I didn't have  
25 the paperwork in front of me. But in reviewing in

Page 35

1 preparation for this I saw the 320, but I think I even  
2 saw on an updated order 370, and so I was confused.  
3 But that number has nothing to do with what we're  
4 talking about, right?  
5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: That's correct,  
6 Commissioner Crump.  
7 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Okay.  
8 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And we'll -- we'll clear  
9 that up in the motion.  
10 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Okay. I guess I would  
11 ask a follow-up question then --  
12 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Sure.  
13 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: -- if I may, for Tom.  
14 So I'm hearing -- if I'm hearing correctly,  
15 there was a separate order for the repayment of funds.  
16 And then this is a penalty, and just coincidentally the  
17 number -- or, maybe not coincidentally, but the  
18 number is roughly similar to the original amount that  
19 she received, correct?  
20 MR. COLLINS: So it is -- it is coincidental.  
21 I mean, it's not a targeted number. What we -- what  
22 we -- what it is is 10 percent of the overall potential  
23 fine. Okay. So the overall potential fine was  
24 317,600, and we reduced that to 31,760.  
25 I just want to also point out that the notice

Page 36

1 that we issued to Ms. Timberlake specifies the 34,760,  
2 so -- so the letter that I filed with you and with her,  
3 you know, has the correct, you know, and clear  
4 number and it has the -- it has both the exposure and  
5 the final recommendation in the -- in the notice  
6 letter.  
7 So it's a coincidence, but, you know, I think  
8 it's of some -- I think it warrants acknowledging. In  
9 other words, I think that the fact that it doesn't  
10 exceed the amount of the underlying fine is -- the  
11 underlying repayment is -- helps to tie it to some  
12 degree of proportionality.  
13 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Okay. Mr. Chairman and  
14 Tom, and so if we were to approve this recommended  
15 order today, am I correct in understanding there would  
16 be two orders against her totaling roughly 70 to  
17 \$75,000, something like that?  
18 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman,  
19 Commissioner Crump, yes.  
20 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Okay. Thank you.  
21 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for clearing that  
22 up, Commissioner Crump.  
23 Any other questions or comments from Members  
24 of the Commission?  
25 (No response.)

Page 37

1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, do we have a  
2 motion on this item?  
3 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Mr. Chairman, I move  
4 to approve the order assessing penalties and notice of  
5 appealable agency action in MUR 25-03 in the matter of  
6 Monica Timberlake for the amount of \$34,760.  
7 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second to that  
8 motion?  
9 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: I'll second.  
10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,  
11 Commissioner Crump.  
12 Before we move ahead, Craig, does that meet  
13 your concerns?  
14 MR. MORGAN: Mr. Chairman, yes. Thank you.  
15 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thanks, Craig.  
16 It's been moved and seconded that -- that we  
17 move ahead on Order MUR 25-03 for assessing penalties  
18 of \$34,760. I will call the roll.  
19 Commissioner Werther.  
20 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Aye.  
21 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Crump.  
22 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Aye.  
23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.  
24 COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.  
25 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye.

Page 38

1 The motion is approved 4-to-nothing.  
2 Thank you, Tom.  
3 MR. COLLINS: Thank you.  
4 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Item VII, public comment.  
5 This is the time for consideration of comments and  
6 suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result  
7 of public comment will be limited to directing staff to  
8 study the matter, rescheduling the matter for further  
9 consideration, or responding to criticism. Please  
10 limit your comments to no more than five minutes.  
11 Is there any member of the public wishing to  
12 make a comment?  
13 Come forward and state your name for the  
14 record, please. And please limit your comments to five  
15 minutes.  
16 MR. LOGVIN: Yes. Good morning,  
17 Commissioners, Executive Director Collins. My name is  
18 Alex Logvin. I'm an SRP ratepayer and a registered  
19 voter. I'm here because 72.3 percent of Arizona voters  
20 passed Prop 211 for one reason, transparency. We want  
21 to know who is spending money to influence our  
22 elections, and for three years this Commission has  
23 failed to deliver on that promise. Not a single Voter  
24 Right to Know Act penalty, no enforcement actions,  
25 complaints that seem to be swept under the rug without

Page 39

1 ever reaching this board for a vote.  
2 On March 26th Mr. Collins told this  
3 Commission there's a rule that allows the Executive  
4 Director to close complaints. That rule is  
5 R2-20-811(F). And yes, it says he may dismiss the  
6 complaint at any time, but here is the problem:  
7 A.R.S. 16-977(A), the statute you are charged with  
8 enforcing, says the Commission shall investigate. Not  
9 may, not the Executive Director, the Commission.  
10 That's you. A regulatory "may" cannot override a  
11 statutory "shall."  
12 For the violations exceeding \$50,000 -- and  
13 Turning Point Action has spent millions -- Section  
14 16-977(C) specifically says prosecutorial discretion  
15 cannot justify dismissal or inaction.  
16 Mr. Collins has used 811(F) to dismiss every  
17 single Prop 211 complaint that has come before this  
18 body today, five of them: Arizona Senate Victory Fund,  
19 Make Liberty Win, Stand for Children, Arizona Solutions  
20 PAC, and Opportunity Arizona. This board never voted  
21 on any of them. This dismissal letter showed up in the  
22 packet after the fact. This is the opposite of what  
23 the statute requires.  
24 On April 6th I filed a formal VKR -- VRKA  
25 complaint with this Commission against Turning Point

Page 40

1 Action. I see -- I see today's packet has it docketed  
2 as MUR 26-03. It joins two other Turning Point  
3 complaints, MUR 25-04 and MUR 25-05, that have been  
4 sitting with the Commission for seven months without  
5 disposition. Five prior Prop 211 complaints, five  
6 dismissals, three against Turning Point, no action.  
7 You can see the pattern.  
8 Commissioners, Prop 211 was about  
9 transparency. What is happening here is the opposite  
10 of transparency. Complaints are being handled in the  
11 dark, at the staff level, without your knowledge or  
12 vote.  
13 I'm formally requesting that this Commission  
14 demand a full accounting of every -- every VRKA  
15 complaint received and that VRKA enforcement is added  
16 to a recurring agenda item in every meeting going  
17 forward. No more closed-door dispositions. Every  
18 legitimate complaint investigated, every result  
19 reported to this board in open session.  
20 I plan on being here next month, and the  
21 month after that, and the month after that, until we  
22 see some more transparency from this Commission. Thank  
23 you.  
24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your  
25 comments.

Page 41

1 Does anyone else wish to make a comment?  
2 Yes, ma'am. Could you come forward and state  
3 your name for the record.  
4 MS. SPENCER: Good morning. My name is  
5 Paige Spencer. Good morning, Commissioners, and  
6 specifically Commissioner Crump. Thank you for your  
7 service on this Commission and for your service to the  
8 country. Your background as a prosecutor,  
9 legislator --  
10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: I don't think they  
11 can hear.  
12 MS. SPENCER: Oh, all right. I'm not good  
13 with tech. Can you hear me now?  
14 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No.  
15 MS. THOMAS: You've got to push the button.  
16 MS. SPENCER: This button? Hello, hello,  
17 anybody.  
18 MS. ROBERTS: It might have gotten unplugged.  
19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: When the previous gentleman  
20 was speaking, the light was green, so...  
21 MS. ROBERTS: Oh, here we go.  
22 MS. SPENCER: Hello.  
23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Why don't you give it  
24 another shot.  
25 MS. SPENCER: Hello.

Page 42

1 MS. ROBERTS: Should we just use the dais?  
2 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Why don't you come up here  
3 and speak.  
4 MS. THOMAS: You can sit down. Just hit the  
5 button and leave it green.  
6 UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: Oh, it just turned  
7 red.  
8 MS. THOMAS: Well, I turned it off, but,  
9 yeah, she needs to hit it and then don't touch it.  
10 There you go.  
11 MS. SPENCER: Hello.  
12 MR. COLLINS: All right. That's good.  
13 MS. SPENCER: Good morning, Commissioners,  
14 and specifically Commissioner Crump. My name is  
15 Paige Spencer, and I am an Arizona voter. Thank you  
16 for your service on this Commission and for your  
17 service to the country. Your background as a  
18 prosecutor, legislature, and member of the armed forces  
19 gives you particular clarity about how statutes and  
20 rules are supposed to interact, and that's useful here.  
21 On a consent agreement last September you  
22 asked, if we theoretically wanted to reject this  
23 proposed agreement and just enforce the statute, which  
24 is the kind of question a prosecutor asks. It means  
25 you're reading the statute carefully and thinking about

Page 43

1 what authority actually exists on the page, not just  
2 what's been done in the past. I'd like to ask you to  
3 bring that same eye to a procedural question previously  
4 referenced by Executive Director Collins regarding the  
5 rule letting staff close a complaint.  
6 I am not a lawyer, but my interpretation is  
7 that Article 2 under the Clean Elections Act,  
8 R2-20-206(A)(3), and the Article 8 rules adopted for  
9 Proposition 211 in October of 2023, R2-20-809 through  
10 813, don't contain the same authority. As a lawyer,  
11 you know these are separate regulatory articles  
12 governing separate statutes. I may be reading that  
13 wrong, and if so, I'd welcome the correction. If this  
14 question is answered in open session, it will no longer  
15 be a source of confusion.  
16 The statute also says the Commission shall  
17 investigate Voters' Right to Know Act complaints. I  
18 would love to see that applied the way a prosecutor  
19 would apply any mandatory statutory duty,  
20 transparently, on the record, with the Commission  
21 casting the final votes.  
22 Before he became a Supreme Court Justice,  
23 Louis Brandeis wrote in 1913 that sunlight is said to  
24 be the best of disinfectants.  
25 My final request is the same one that you

Page 44

1 previously heard from Alex, a recur -- recurring VRKA  
2 status moment on the agenda so the public can clearly  
3 watch these cases move. Thank you for your service and  
4 for your time.  
5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you very much.  
6 Does anyone else wish to make a comment?  
7 Yes, sir. Sir, why don't you come forward.  
8 I saw you first. Why don't you come up here too.  
9 MR. GERROS: Good morning. My name is  
10 Bill Gerros, and I am an Arizona voter. I'll be brief,  
11 because the people who spoke before me have said most  
12 of what he's saying.  
13 I want to make sure the Commission  
14 understands what happens next. On April 6th, a formal  
15 VRKA complaint was filed with this Commission. Your  
16 Executive Director has had it for 17 days. His  
17 five-day jurisdictional review period under  
18 R2-20-809(D) expired on April 13th. The respondent  
19 notification deadline under R2-20-810 was April 20th.  
20 As of today, the complaint should have a case  
21 number and the respondent should have been notified.  
22 Has any of that happened? Has Mr. Collins told you  
23 about it?  
24 A.R.S. 16-977(C) gives Arizona voters a  
25 private right of action. If this Commission dismisses

Page 45

1 a complaint or fails to act within 90 days, the  
2 complainant can file a civil action in Superior Court  
3 to compel enforcement. The court reviews your decision  
4 de novo, that means afresh, no deference to your  
5 judgment.  
6 And for violations exceeding \$50,000 -- and  
7 Turning Point Action has spent millions, by its own  
8 admission -- the statute specifically says that  
9 prosecutorial discretion cannot justify dismissal or  
10 inaction. Let me repeat that. For violations over  
11 \$50,000 you cannot claim discretion. It is written  
12 into the law you are charged with enforcing.  
13 The Unity Rising complaint's 90-day clock  
14 expired five months ago. The April 6th complaint's  
15 clock is now running. If this Commission does not act,  
16 voters will in court.  
17 And one more thing. Mr. Collins told you on  
18 March 26th that there is a rule that allows him to  
19 close complaints. That rule is R2-20-811(F), and yes,  
20 it says he may dismiss the complaint at any time, but  
21 A.R.S. 16-977(A) says the Commission shall investigate.  
22 A regulatory "may" cannot override a statutory "shall."  
23 And 16-977(C) says that for violations exceeding  
24 \$50,000, prosecutorial discretion cannot justify  
25 dismissal or inaction.

Page 46

1 If the April 6th complaint is dismissed  
2 without a vote of this Commission on a matter involving  
3 millions in alleged VRKA spending, that will be part of  
4 the court filing. Thank you very much.  
5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you very much.  
6 Ma'am.  
7 MS. SOLON: Hello, Commissioners. My name is  
8 Kathryn Solon. I'm an Arizona voter, and I'm one of  
9 that 72 percent that voted for Prop 211.  
10 And I'm coming here today to thank you for  
11 your work; to thank you for adding these cases to the  
12 docket, MUR 26-03, 25-04, 25-05; thank you for  
13 defending Prop 211 in the courts; acknowledging that  
14 there is legislative action that may defund this  
15 Commission; and to let you know that I'm one of those  
16 voters that would be helping to fight that, because I  
17 think your work is important.  
18 I have a couple of asks today, and some is  
19 repetitive of people who have already spoken. I want  
20 to ask you to investigate MUR 26-03. I want to ask you  
21 to assign a case number to AZ FRG. I want to ask you  
22 to continue to keep these VRKA cases on your docket.  
23 And then sort of really in general, it would be very  
24 helpful for the general public if you would define the  
25 process that you're using to consider these complaints

Page 47

1 and put it on your website so people will know how to  
2 file a complaint, what will happen, when you will  
3 consider, and so that the general public could  
4 understand this. This bill was -- this initiative was  
5 passed for transparency and accountability, and we  
6 appreciate your work.  
7 And then finally I'd like to ask, that great  
8 survey that we heard earlier today, can you put a link  
9 to it on your website or let the general public find it  
10 somewhere. Thank you very much.  
11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.  
12 Does anyone else wish to make comment?  
13 Yes, sir.  
14 MR. GEORGE: Is it on? Okay. Great.  
15 Mr. Chair, Commissioners, Director Collins,  
16 my name is Jacob George. I am a concerned Arizona  
17 voter and a founder of Unity Rising USA. I am deeply  
18 concerned that I haven't heard back about the status of  
19 my complaint that was submitted in July of 2025 against  
20 Turning Point Action and their PAC under Prop 211, the  
21 Voter Right to Know. We received TPUSA -- TPUSA's  
22 response and responded back to the Commission in  
23 August, and it has been dead silent since.  
24 Arizonans voted for Prop 211 by an  
25 overwhelmingly majority and would like it to be treated

Page 48

1 as such. Are we all here to hold bad actors  
2 accountable and use the enforcement mechanisms that  
3 Arizonans handed to you, or are we here to twiddle our  
4 thumbs and look the other way? Arizonans deserve to  
5 know who's buying their elections.  
6 And I'm just going to kind of second what  
7 everyone else said. As a school board member, though,  
8 I truly thank you for your time and service to this  
9 Commission. Thank you so much.  
10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you very much.  
11 Is there anyone else who wishes to make a  
12 comment?  
13 Yes, sir. Come forward and state your name  
14 for the record.  
15 MR. TRAVISE: Hey, good after -- or, good  
16 morning still, actually. My name is John Travise. I'm  
17 a combat veteran and was a first-time running for  
18 office to the recent SRP district election. I ran for  
19 council and won. I'm speaking for myself, though, as  
20 a -- as a ratepayer.  
21 I ran my campaign by the rules. I filed on  
22 time. I itemized my donors. I filed every report  
23 under signature of perjury. And I sat here today and  
24 watched the Commission move to find a school board  
25 candidate over \$70,000 on a 31,000 fund for a filing

Page 49

1 failure. That tells me enforcement exists when the  
2 Commission decides to do it.  
3 On April 6th the complaint was filed with the  
4 Commission alleging Turning Point Action failed to  
5 disclose its finances and was improperly integrated  
6 with the candidates that supported it. Since then, the  
7 Arizona Republic has reported one of those candidates  
8 gave \$200,000 to Turning Point in the two years before  
9 the race. The scale of the money involved in the SRP  
10 race was orders of magnitude larger than this whole  
11 board matter the Commission just acted on.  
12 My concern is not my race. I won. The  
13 concern is about whether this tells first-time  
14 candidates about whether the rules are real. My  
15 concern -- or, if filing failure by a small candidate  
16 warrants \$70,000 in penalties, a complaint alleging  
17 \$200,000 in undisclosed donations and improper  
18 coordination warrants at least an investigation with a  
19 meaningful time line. I'm not asking for a particular  
20 conclusion. I'm asking you for the same enforcement  
21 posture you just demonstrated. Thank you.  
22 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you very much.  
23 I'm not sure I should say this, but point of  
24 clarification. Ms. Timberlake was running for the  
25 Legislature, not for the school board.

Page 50

1 MR. TRAVISE: Oh, yeah. But she was a school  
2 board candidate. I'm sorry. Yeah.  
3 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there anyone else who  
4 wishes to make a comment today?  
5 Yes, would you please come forward.  
6 MS. ROBBINS: Good morning, Commissioners.  
7 My name is Judy Robbins. I'm a voter and a person who  
8 is concerned with our election laws that -- I am a  
9 volunteer for petition gathering and circulating. I am  
10 very concerned about what has happened over the time  
11 that Proposition 211 had been passed, and I have seen  
12 absolutely nothing.  
13 I concur with all of the folks that stood up  
14 here before me. And I am frustrated as a person who  
15 wants to see accountability and transparency, and  
16 that's why I worked so hard to get this thing on  
17 the ballot and to make sure that voters understood it,  
18 and they overwhelmingly passed it by 72 percent.  
19 We need a Commission like yourselves to have  
20 teeth when voters complain about violations to this  
21 law, and making sure that we have enough transparency  
22 and enough accountability that people could trust a  
23 Clean Elections Commission to do the work that we have,  
24 as an electorate, set out for you to do.  
25 This is not a choice. This is a mandate.

Page 51

1 And we really would love to see some acknowledgment of,  
2 A, number one, the work you're doing to address the  
3 complaints, as well as the complaints, the process in  
4 which you make decisions around timelines, and of  
5 course making this process transparent so voters like  
6 myself and the electorate in general knows who's giving  
7 what money to whom and to know that our laws that we  
8 craft by law in our Arizona Constitution is followed  
9 through with accountability and have enough teeth to be  
10 a deterrent for our future candidates for office,  
11 whether they're Clean Elections candidates or they're  
12 self-funded or, you know -- whomever is interested in  
13 stepping forward needs to know that they have a playing  
14 field that they can count on and a Commission that will  
15 take on that challenge and not look away.  
16 This is why the voters wrote the bill the  
17 way -- or, the proposition the way it was, that you  
18 should not have that discretion and it should be  
19 followed through. I would hope that in future that you  
20 would use your teeth and make sure that our Clean  
21 Commissioners are responsible for the accountability  
22 and transparency that we so chose to set forth to you.  
23 Thank you so much for all your work. I know  
24 the work is very challenging, and I appreciate all the  
25 time you spend. And I am a defender as well, like

Page 52

1 Kathryn, of fighting against those legislators who want  
2 to defund and close this program. So thank you so  
3 much, and I hope that moving forward I'll see some  
4 changes. Thank you.  
5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.  
6 Is there anyone else who wishes to make  
7 comments?  
8 (No response.)  
9 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Anyone on Zoom who wishes  
10 to a make a comment?  
11 (No response.)  
12 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: As I said before the  
13 comments started, action taken as a result of these  
14 comments will be limited to directing staff to study  
15 the matter or rescheduling it for further  
16 consideration. Thank you very much for taking the time  
17 to come down here and give us your comments.  
18 The public may also submit comments by e-mail  
19 at ccec@azcleelections.gov.  
20 Item VIII, adjournment. At this time, I  
21 would entertain a motion to adjourn.  
22 MR. COLLINS: I don't know if  
23 Commissioner Crump wanted to speak on...  
24 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Oh, no. He's getting  
25 ready to second.

Page 53

1 Mr. Chairman I move to adjourn.  
2 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,  
3 Commissioner Werther.  
4 Is there a second?  
5 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: I'll second.  
6 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,  
7 Commissioner Crump.  
8 It's been moved and seconded that we adjourn.  
9 I'll call the roll.  
10 Commissioner Werther.  
11 COMMISSIONER WERTHER: Aye.  
12 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Crump.  
13 COMMISSIONER CRUMP: Aye.  
14 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.  
15 COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.  
16 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye.  
17 We are adjourned. Thank you.  
18 (The meeting concluded at 11:10 a.m.)  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



<hr/>	<b>23rd</b> 3:5	<hr/>	<b>activities</b> 7:8
<b>\$</b>	<b>247</b> 5:23	<b>7</b>	<b>activity</b> 8:5,7
<b>\$3,000</b> 31:23 32:21	<b>25</b> 9:9	<b>70</b> 36:16	<b>actors</b> 48:1
<b>\$31,760</b> 32:17	<b>25-03</b> 30:5 37:5,17	<b>72</b> 46:9	<b>actual</b> 25:19
<b>\$34,000</b> 33:6	<b>25-04</b> 40:3 46:12	<b>72.3</b> 38:19	<b>added</b> 21:3 40:15
<b>\$34,760</b> 37:6,18	<b>25-05</b> 40:3 46:12	<hr/>	<b>addendum</b> 22:24
<b>\$5,000</b> 31:8	<b>26</b> 4:4	<b>8</b>	<b>adding</b> 46:11
<b>\$50,000</b> 39:12 45:6,11,24	<b>26-03</b> 40:2 46:12,20	<b>8</b> 43:8	<b>addition</b> 27:17
<b>\$70,000</b> 48:25	<b>26th</b> 3:22,23 4:13 39:2	<b>811(F)</b> 39:16	<b>additional</b> 33:1,3
<b>\$75,000</b> 36:17	45:18	<b>813</b> 43:10	<b>address</b> 33:17
<hr/>	<hr/>	<b>83</b> 17:14	<b>Administration</b> 6:6
<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<hr/>	<b>administrative</b> 6:24 7:8 29:8
<b>1,600</b> 9:24 16:21 24:6,9	<b>3</b> 33:2	<b>9</b>	<b>admission</b> 45:8
<b>1,632</b> 9:24	<b>3,000</b> 33:2	<b>90</b> 45:1	<b>admissions</b> 31:11
<b>10</b> 35:22	<b>31,000</b> 33:1 48:25	<b>90-day</b> 45:13	<b>admitted</b> 31:7
<b>10:00</b> 3:4	<b>31,760</b> 35:24	<b>95</b> 24:3	<b>adopted</b> 43:8
<b>11</b> 10:13	<b>317,600</b> 35:24	<hr/>	<b>ads</b> 23:9,10
<b>12th</b> 5:23	<b>320</b> 35:1	<b>A</b>	<b>affiliation</b> 12:15
<b>13th</b> 6:11 44:18	<b>320,600</b> 34:1	<b>a.m.</b> 3:4	<b>affordability</b> 14:20
<b>16-977(A)</b> 39:7 45:21	<b>34,000</b> 34:7	<b>A.R.S.</b> 39:7 44:24 45:21	<b>affordable</b> 10:14 14:14 16:13 21:9
<b>16-977(C)</b> 39:14 44:24 45:23	<b>34,760</b> 33:24 36:1	<b>absent</b> 32:8	<b>afresh</b> 45:4
<b>17</b> 44:16	<b>370</b> 35:2	<b>absolutely</b> 28:2	<b>age</b> 13:18
<b>1913</b> 43:23	<b>388</b> 24:3	<b>accelerate</b> 6:12	<b>agency</b> 30:5 37:5
<b>19th</b> 5:18	<hr/>	<b>access</b> 14:20 25:10,11	<b>agenda</b> 29:2 33:17 40:16 44:2
<hr/>	<b>4</b>	<b>accountability</b> 47:5	<b>agree</b> 19:12,13
<b>2</b>	<b>4-to-nothing</b> 4:21 30:2 38:1	<b>accountable</b> 48:2	<b>agreeing</b> 12:16 19:11,14
<b>2</b> 43:7	<b>40</b> 12:3	<b>accounting</b> 40:14	<b>agreement</b> 19:20 20:6 31:3 42:21,23
<b>2000</b> 28:17	<b>42</b> 19:5	<b>accurate</b> 5:14 23:25 24:2, 17	<b>agreements</b> 19:2
<b>2022</b> 13:23	<b>47</b> 17:11	<b>acknowledge</b> 32:8	<b>agrees</b> 12:22 32:10
<b>2023</b> 43:9	<hr/>	<b>acknowledging</b> 36:8 46:13	<b>ahead</b> 9:13 13:15 29:18 37:12,17
<b>2024</b> 10:3 11:10 13:24	<b>6</b>	<b>act</b> 6:21 31:2,18 38:24 43:7,17 45:1,15	<b>air</b> 12:10 15:2
<b>2025</b> 30:6 47:19	<b>60</b> 12:18	<b>action</b> 3:21 8:17 27:6 30:3,5,15 37:5 38:6 39:13 40:1,6 44:25 45:2,7 46:14 47:20	<b>Alex</b> 38:18 44:1
<b>2026</b> 3:5,22,23 4:4 8:17	<b>62</b> 17:8,13	<b>actions</b> 38:24	<b>align</b> 18:24 27:16
<b>20th</b> 10:2 44:19	<b>66</b> 21:19		
<b>211</b> 6:16,18 38:20 39:17 40:5,8 43:9 46:9,13 47:20, 24	<b>6th</b> 10:2 39:24 44:14 45:14 46:1		

<b>Aligning</b> 12:14	<b>Article</b> 43:7,8	<b>beginning</b> 6:7	<b>calls</b> 22:14
<b>alignment</b> 12:9 19:9,15,18	<b>articles</b> 43:11	<b>behavior</b> 13:22	<b>campaign</b> 7:20 31:1 48:21
<b>alleged</b> 46:3	<b>asks</b> 42:24 46:18	<b>Behavioral</b> 14:21	<b>candidacy</b> 7:23
<b>allowed</b> 23:22	<b>aspect</b> 6:20	<b>beliefs</b> 12:25	<b>candidate</b> 5:25 7:11,16 12:21,24 13:9 19:12,14 20:15,17 30:6 48:25
<b>Altman</b> 8:23 9:1,3 22:19,23 24:1,22 25:2 27:4	<b>aspects</b> 15:8,15	<b>belt</b> 9:21	<b>candidate's</b> 14:7 20:16
<b>amendment</b> 27:7,12,16 29:6,8,18	<b>assertiveness</b> 20:19	<b>Benson</b> 5:20	<b>candidates</b> 5:15,23 8:22 10:25 15:4 19:23 20:20 25:12 26:19 27:17 28:14,15,19,20,21
<b>amount</b> 31:21 33:6,9 34:11,16,18 35:18 36:10 37:6	<b>assessing</b> 30:4 37:4,17	<b>bill</b> 44:10 47:4	<b>CAP</b> 5:12
<b>analysis</b> 9:16 20:25 21:16	<b>assign</b> 46:21	<b>bills</b> 8:1,3,4,6	<b>care</b> 10:8 25:24 26:18 34:8
<b>and/or</b> 19:13	<b>assigning</b> 19:17	<b>bit</b> 9:7,18,22 12:14 15:19 17:18	<b>carefully</b> 42:25
<b>answers</b> 16:7	<b>Associate</b> 9:19	<b>bleak</b> 11:9	<b>caring</b> 16:3
<b>apologize</b> 34:7	<b>ASU</b> 5:9	<b>blue</b> 15:11,12	<b>case</b> 7:5 44:20 46:21
<b>appeal</b> 6:10,15 7:10 33:5,8	<b>attendance</b> 3:6 30:10,11	<b>board</b> 7:6,9 21:11,20 28:19 39:1,20 40:19 48:7,24	<b>cases</b> 17:23 44:3 46:11,22
<b>appealable</b> 30:4,15 37:5	<b>attitude</b> 13:1	<b>body</b> 39:18	<b>casting</b> 43:21
<b>appetite</b> 19:3	<b>audience</b> 33:16	<b>books</b> 31:11	<b>central</b> 21:4
<b>applied</b> 43:18	<b>auditor</b> 32:12	<b>box</b> 16:20	<b>cetera</b> 32:12 33:5
<b>apply</b> 43:19	<b>auditors</b> 31:4,14	<b>Brandeis</b> 43:23	<b>Chair</b> 4:20 30:1 37:25 47:15
<b>appointment</b> 32:3	<b>August</b> 10:4 47:23	<b>breadth</b> 7:1	<b>Chairman</b> 3:2,12,18 4:1,3,5,8,11,16,18,20 5:1 8:12,16 9:1 22:5,8,10 23:23 24:19,23 25:3,6 26:23 27:2,5,13 28:11,16,22 29:1,5,7,11,15,22,24 30:1,13,14 32:16 33:10,14,19,21 34:21,22 35:5,8,12 36:13,18,21 37:1,3,7,10,14,15,21,23,25 38:4 40:24 41:14,19,23 42:2 44:5 46:5 47:11 48:10
<b>approach</b> 11:17	<b>authorize</b> 27:23	<b>break</b> 21:6	<b>challenges</b> 7:12
<b>appropriately</b> 10:11	<b>authorized</b> 27:14	<b>breakdown</b> 12:6 13:14,17,18 21:20,24	<b>changing</b> 8:1
<b>approve</b> 4:2,4,12 27:20 29:8 36:14 37:4	<b>Avery</b> 6:3	<b>briefing</b> 6:15	<b>charged</b> 39:7 45:12
<b>approved</b> 4:21 30:2 38:1	<b>aware</b> 6:13	<b>briefly</b> 7:14	<b>Children</b> 39:19
<b>April</b> 3:5 6:11 39:24 44:14,18,19 45:14 46:1	<b>aye</b> 4:15,17,19,20 29:21,23,25 30:1 37:20,22,24,25	<b>bring</b> 43:3	<b>chime</b> 9:10
<b>area</b> 26:18	<b>AZ</b> 46:21	<b>broadcast</b> 25:18	<b>choice</b> 15:11
<b>areas</b> 11:1 21:9	<hr/> <b>B</b>	<b>broken</b> 13:19 14:1 15:22 16:23 17:5 20:3 21:1,14	
<b>Arizona</b> 5:10 6:9 9:24 12:2 14:2,8 18:2 22:17,22,24 23:17,19 38:19 39:18,19,20 42:15 44:10,24 46:8 47:16	<b>back</b> 9:17 22:25 47:18,22	<b>budget</b> 8:8	
<b>Arizonans</b> 10:14 14:21 47:24 48:3,4	<b>background</b> 9:18 41:8 42:17	<b>build</b> 23:18	
<b>armed</b> 42:18	<b>backup</b> 31:5	<b>buried</b> 27:5	
	<b>bad</b> 48:1	<b>button</b> 41:15,16 42:5	
	<b>ballots</b> 16:1	<b>buying</b> 48:5	
	<b>bar</b> 15:11	<hr/> <b>C</b>	
	<b>bars</b> 15:12	<b>call</b> 3:3 4:13 29:19 37:18	
	<b>based</b> 22:20 31:10	<b>called</b> 23:6	
	<b>began</b> 5:18		

<b>choices</b> 11:16	38:5,10,14 40:25	<b>components</b> 30:17	<b>county</b> 7:5,6,9 27:17 28:20,21
<b>choose</b> 10:17,18	<b>Commission</b> 3:4 7:15 8:13,19 22:7 26:25 27:14 28:23 29:9 30:18 31:5 32:15 33:20 36:24 38:22 39:3,8,9,25 40:4,13,22 41:7 42:16 43:16,20 44:13,15,25 45:15,21 46:2,15 47:22 48:9,24	<b>concept</b> 18:25	<b>countywide</b> 28:19
<b>chose</b> 16:8		<b>concern</b> 11:13 14:14,16, 19 16:10	<b>couple</b> 6:8 7:25 8:1 46:18
<b>Christina</b> 3:9		<b>concerned</b> 15:14 47:16, 18	<b>court</b> 6:17 7:2 43:22 45:2, 3,16 46:4
<b>circulate</b> 27:14		<b>concerns</b> 37:13	<b>Court's</b> 6:16
<b>Citizens</b> 3:3		<b>conduct</b> 10:3	<b>courts</b> 46:13
<b>civil</b> 20:22 33:25 45:2	<b>Commission's</b> 6:21,22 27:8	<b>conducted</b> 10:3	<b>coverage</b> 26:7
<b>claim</b> 6:18 45:11	<b>Commissioner</b> 3:9,10, 14,15,16 4:3,5,7,8,10,14, 15,16,17,18,19 22:8,10, 11,20 23:24 29:7,12,14, 16,20,21,22,23,24,25 33:21 34:4,10,15,17,19, 21,22,23 35:6,7,10,13 36:13,19,20,22 37:3,9,11, 19,20,21,22,23,24 41:6 42:14	<b>conducting</b> 9:4	<b>Craig</b> 34:15 37:12,15
<b>clarification</b> 33:22		<b>Conference</b> 6:6	<b>criteria</b> 23:20
<b>clarify</b> 28:12 32:23		<b>confidence</b> 23:25 24:3	<b>criticism</b> 38:9
<b>clarity</b> 42:19		<b>confident</b> 24:2,8,9,17	<b>Crump</b> 3:14,15,16 4:7,9, 10,16,17 29:14,16,22,23 34:21,22,23 35:6,7,10,13 36:13,19,20,22 37:9,11, 21,22 41:6 42:14
<b>clean</b> 3:4 7:15,16,23 26:20 31:8,22 32:11,18 33:9 43:7	<b>Commissioners</b> 3:7,19, 22 5:2 9:2 25:6 30:7,14 38:17 40:8 41:5 42:13 46:7 47:15	<b>confirm</b> 25:12	<b>crunch</b> 11:12
<b>cleanup</b> 27:16		<b>confirmed</b> 30:25	<b>current</b> 12:5
<b>clear</b> 35:8 36:3		<b>confused</b> 34:24 35:2	
<b>clearing</b> 36:21		<b>confusion</b> 43:15	<b>D</b>
<b>climate</b> 15:1	<b>committee</b> 7:21	<b>consent</b> 42:21	<b>DACA</b> 15:10
<b>clock</b> 45:13,15	<b>common</b> 10:25	<b>consequences</b> 18:11	<b>dais</b> 42:1
<b>close</b> 39:4 43:5 45:19	<b>communicating</b> 25:13	<b>conservation</b> 19:10	<b>dark</b> 40:11
<b>closed</b> 7:22	<b>communications</b> 31:10	<b>consideration</b> 38:5,9	<b>data</b> 10:8
<b>closed-door</b> 40:17	<b>community</b> 11:6 22:21 23:11	<b>considered</b> 22:22	<b>date</b> 8:1
<b>closing</b> 25:17	<b>companies</b> 23:14	<b>consistent</b> 21:7	<b>David</b> 7:14
<b>Codes</b> 23:1	<b>compel</b> 45:3	<b>constitutes</b> 3:20	<b>days</b> 13:11 44:16 45:1
<b>coincidence</b> 36:7	<b>complainant</b> 45:2	<b>content</b> 26:14	<b>de</b> 45:4
<b>coincidental</b> 35:20	<b>complaint</b> 7:17,22 30:16, 19,24 39:6,17,25 40:15,18 43:5 44:15,20 45:1,20 46:1 47:2,19	<b>context</b> 5:16	<b>dead</b> 47:23
<b>coincidentally</b> 35:16,17	<b>complaint's</b> 45:13,14	<b>continuation</b> 20:14	<b>deadline</b> 44:19
<b>collaborate</b> 20:20	<b>complaints</b> 7:13 38:25 39:4 40:3,5,10 43:17 45:19 46:25	<b>continue</b> 6:2 46:22	<b>debate</b> 5:4 8:20 25:13,14
<b>Collins</b> 5:1 27:13 28:16 30:13 32:23 34:2,6,12 35:20 36:18 38:3,17 39:2, 16 42:12 43:4 44:22 45:17 47:15	<b>completely</b> 28:1	<b>contributions</b> 7:19	<b>debates</b> 5:16 10:9 13:3,5 20:24 24:21 25:9,10,18 26:7,20
<b>Colorado</b> 5:11	<b>completes</b> 8:9	<b>convinced</b> 28:1	<b>decision</b> 45:3
<b>combat</b> 48:17		<b>Corporation</b> 7:15	<b>decisions</b> 6:23
<b>comment</b> 27:15 28:7 29:2 38:4,7,12 41:1 44:6 47:12 48:12		<b>correct</b> 35:5,19 36:3,15	<b>deck</b> 10:23 12:18
<b>comments</b> 22:6 26:24 28:5,6,10,24 33:20 36:23		<b>correction</b> 43:13	<b>decrease</b> 17:24
		<b>correctly</b> 35:14	
		<b>corruption</b> 16:11	
		<b>council</b> 48:19	
		<b>country</b> 41:8 42:17	

**deeply** 47:17  
**defending** 46:13  
**deference** 45:4  
**define** 46:24  
**defund** 46:14  
**degree** 36:12  
**deliver** 38:23  
**demand** 20:18 40:14  
**Democrat** 15:22 20:2  
**Democrats** 12:4,20  
14:15,19,25 15:9,13,17  
16:4,9,14 17:13 18:14  
19:5 20:11  
**deportations** 17:24  
**deserve** 48:4  
**detail** 14:6 19:8  
**determined** 30:20  
**develop** 8:20  
**differences** 10:21 18:19  
**dig** 16:25 17:18  
**dire** 17:12  
**directing** 38:7  
**direction** 12:3,5 14:3,4  
**Director** 9:19 30:8 38:17  
39:4,9 43:4 44:16 47:15  
**Director's** 4:22  
**discretion** 27:21 39:14  
45:9,11,24  
**discussed** 31:17  
**discussion** 3:21,24 5:13  
8:17 24:20 25:20 27:6  
30:3  
**disgorgement** 32:10  
**disinfectants** 43:24  
**dismiss** 39:5,16 45:20  
**dismissal** 39:15,21 45:9,  
25  
**dismissals** 40:6  
**dismissed** 46:1

**dismisses** 44:25  
**disorder** 14:22  
**disposition** 40:5  
**dispositions** 40:17  
**district** 48:18  
**dive** 9:13  
**divide** 7:7  
**docket** 46:12,22  
**docketed** 40:1  
**documentation** 31:5  
32:6  
**donors** 48:22  
**Douglas** 5:20  
**dozen** 13:13  
**Dreamers** 15:10  
**drill** 16:18  
**drilled** 24:6  
**driven** 16:2  
**dug** 16:8  
**duty** 43:19

---

**E**

**eager** 9:5  
**earlier** 18:8 47:8  
**economic** 14:9  
**economy** 11:6 17:3,9,13  
21:17,19  
**ED** 26:9  
**edition** 5:5  
**educating** 12:1  
**education** 5:3,22 6:4  
8:18 12:7 13:20 15:12,15  
18:10 27:8,18 29:9,19  
**effective** 27:23,24 29:10  
33:8  
**effectively** 27:16  
**effectiveness** 28:1  
**effort** 6:11

**efforts** 6:3  
**election** 5:17 6:5 10:5,15  
13:23,24 14:9 16:1,12  
48:18  
**election-related** 8:6  
**elections** 3:4 5:19 7:8,23  
26:20 31:8,22 32:11,18  
33:9 38:22 43:7 48:5  
**electorate** 12:17 13:1,2  
20:6,8  
**eligible** 5:24  
**eliminate** 19:6  
**end** 23:18  
**enforce** 42:23  
**enforcement** 4:23 6:23  
38:24 40:15 45:3 48:2  
**enforcing** 39:8 45:12  
**engagement** 32:9  
**ensure** 23:18  
**entire** 16:21  
**entities** 26:3  
**Environment** 15:1  
**equivalent** 31:21  
**essentially** 24:3 25:14  
**ethnicity** 13:18  
**exceed** 36:10  
**exceeding** 39:12 45:6,23  
**excuse** 4:24 32:13  
**Executive** 4:22 30:8  
38:17 39:3,9 43:4 44:16  
**existential** 12:12  
**exists** 43:1  
**expired** 44:18 45:14  
**explain** 16:7  
**exposure** 36:4  
**extent** 26:12  
**eye** 43:3

---

**F**

**facilities** 18:1  
**fact** 36:9 39:22  
**factors** 12:7 14:10 15:13  
17:2 20:3  
**facts** 30:21,24 31:15  
**factual** 30:23  
**failed** 38:23  
**fails** 45:1  
**failure** 31:18,20  
**fall** 11:23 26:12  
**favorably** 15:8  
**February** 27:15  
**federal** 25:9 28:13  
**feel** 9:10 11:1,5,24 24:7  
32:7  
**feeling** 11:12 21:19,21  
**fewer** 11:7  
**fielded** 10:1  
**fight** 20:17 46:16  
**fighter** 12:23 20:19  
**file** 6:10 31:1,2,12,18,20  
45:2 47:2  
**filed** 36:2 39:24 44:15  
48:21,22  
**filing** 46:4 48:25  
**filmed** 5:4  
**final** 12:17 34:14 36:5  
43:21,25  
**finalizing** 6:5  
**finally** 6:10 7:24 12:23  
47:7  
**finance** 31:1  
**financial** 11:8 17:4,10  
21:22,23  
**find** 10:25 47:9 48:24  
**findings** 9:6,15 10:12  
13:12 15:21

**fine** 31:19 35:23 36:10  
**finer** 32:25 33:2,3  
**firm** 19:23 20:7,22  
**first-time** 48:17  
**five-day** 44:17  
**Flagstaff** 5:20  
**flew** 22:1  
**focusing** 17:23  
**follow-up** 35:11  
**forces** 42:18  
**foreign** 11:18 18:6,11  
**formal** 32:5 39:24 44:14  
**formally** 40:13  
**format** 25:16  
**forward** 10:22 13:19  
15:15 38:13 40:17 41:2  
44:7 48:13  
**founder** 47:17  
**fraud** 14:17 16:11  
**free** 9:10  
**fresh** 10:10  
**FRG** 46:21  
**front** 7:24 34:25  
**full** 9:7 40:14  
**fund** 39:18 48:25  
**funds** 31:8,9 32:18 35:15  
**future** 12:13

---

**G**

**gas** 14:11  
**general** 10:4 25:1 46:23,  
24 47:3,9  
**gentleman** 41:19  
**George** 47:14,16  
**Gerros** 44:9,10  
**Gina** 6:3 26:23 27:2  
**give** 9:17 16:19 41:23

**giving** 25:11,14,18,20  
**goal** 10:7  
**goals** 18:11  
**good** 3:10,12,17,18 38:16  
41:4,5,12 42:12,13 44:9  
48:15  
**governing** 43:12  
**government** 14:13,15,17  
16:10  
**Governor's** 8:2  
**granted** 7:2  
**granular** 14:6  
**gray** 16:20  
**great** 17:11 21:22 47:7,14  
**greater** 19:17  
**green** 41:20 42:5  
**Greens** 24:11  
**gridlock** 19:24 20:8  
**ground** 10:25  
**group** 6:10 24:11  
**grouped** 23:2  
**GRRC** 27:23  
**guess** 17:13 22:22 24:15  
35:10  
**Guide** 5:22,24,25 27:8,10,  
18 28:12 29:10,19

---

**H**

**Half** 12:2  
**handed** 48:3  
**handled** 13:8 40:10  
**happen** 47:2  
**happened** 44:22  
**happening** 18:8 40:9  
**happy** 8:10 9:4 22:3  
32:14  
**headed** 12:4  
**heading** 12:3 14:3

**health** 14:21  
**healthcare** 14:18,19  
**hear** 3:15 26:19 41:11,13  
**heard** 9:24 44:1 47:8,18  
**hearing** 4:1 8:16 29:5  
35:14 37:1  
**heavily** 13:8  
**helpful** 21:13 46:24  
**helping** 46:16  
**helps** 36:11  
**hey** 26:17 48:15  
**high** 15:5 17:7 21:11  
**highest** 21:18  
**highlight** 5:2 6:8  
**highlighted** 21:6  
**highlights** 21:3  
**highly** 24:2,9,17  
**hit** 42:4,9  
**hitting** 5:22  
**hold** 48:1  
**hope** 19:5  
**hoping** 26:1  
**host** 10:16  
**household** 13:20  
**housing** 10:14 14:13,14  
16:13 21:9  
**hug** 23:12  
**hurt** 28:3

---

**I**

**ICE** 11:14 17:21 18:1  
**idea** 20:21  
**identify** 3:7 11:1  
**IDS** 16:1  
**II** 3:21  
**III** 4:22  
**immediately** 27:23,24  
29:10

**immigration** 15:6,8  
17:17,20 26:11  
**implements** 27:9  
**importance** 13:3 19:17  
**important** 5:14 10:18  
13:2 14:7,12 15:20 16:19  
19:12 20:23 32:8 46:17  
**imposes** 33:25  
**imposing** 34:5  
**inaction** 39:15 45:10,25  
**included** 5:9 28:13,18  
**includes** 5:18  
**including** 4:23  
**inclusion** 5:24  
**income** 13:20 14:20  
**incredibly** 5:12  
**independent** 6:9 15:23  
**independents** 12:19  
14:15 15:16 16:5,9,15  
18:15 19:6,16 20:4  
**indicating** 18:10 32:19  
**indifferent** 18:9  
**individuals** 7:19  
**inefficiencies** 14:15  
**inflation** 10:15,19 11:12  
14:10 16:13,20,22 21:10  
**influence** 38:21  
**inform** 26:6  
**information** 5:14 9:8  
10:10 26:10,22 28:13  
31:13  
**informed** 5:16  
**initial** 15:21  
**initiative** 47:4  
**input** 22:15  
**Inside** 5:5 26:7  
**insights** 20:4  
**Institute** 5:9  
**intend** 25:7 26:16

<b>interact</b> 42:20	<b>justify</b> 39:15 45:9,24	<b>legislator</b> 41:9	39:19 41:1 44:6,13 47:12 48:11
<b>interest</b> 9:8		<b>legislature</b> 28:18 42:18	
<b>interested</b> 15:9	<b>K</b>	<b>legitimate</b> 40:18	<b>MALE</b> 41:10 42:6
<b>interesting</b> 11:18 12:11 21:8	<b>Kartha</b> 5:11	<b>length</b> 25:16	<b>Manager</b> 5:12
<b>interference</b> 6:23,24	<b>Kathryn</b> 46:8	<b>letter</b> 36:2,6 39:21	<b>mandatory</b> 43:19
<b>interpretation</b> 43:6	<b>key</b> 9:15 11:4 13:12	<b>letting</b> 43:5	<b>March</b> 3:22,23 4:4,13 10:2,3 18:13 39:2 45:18
<b>intro</b> 9:22	<b>Kimble</b> 3:2,12,18 4:1,5,8, 11,16,18,20 8:12,16 9:1 22:5,10 23:23 24:19,23 25:3 26:23 27:2,5 28:11, 22 29:1,5,11,15,22,24 30:1 32:16 33:10,14,19 34:22 35:5,8,12 36:21 37:1,7,10,15,21,23,25 38:4 40:24 41:14,19,23 42:2 44:5 46:5 47:11 48:10	<b>level</b> 13:21 17:18 23:24 24:3 40:11	<b>Maricopa</b> 7:5,6,9
<b>investigate</b> 39:8 43:17 45:21 46:20	<b>kind</b> 13:16 15:16 22:12 24:20 27:5 42:24 48:6	<b>Libertarians</b> 24:11	<b>Mark</b> 3:2
<b>investigated</b> 40:18	<b>knowledge</b> 40:11	<b>Liberty</b> 39:19	<b>Marshall</b> 7:14
<b>involve</b> 32:9	<b>Kristin</b> 8:23,25 9:3 22:5 25:5 27:3	<b>life</b> 13:10	<b>matter</b> 19:15 30:17,23 33:17 37:5 38:8 46:2
<b>involving</b> 30:5 46:2	<b>Kyle</b> 5:9	<b>light</b> 41:20	<b>mattered</b> 14:24
<b>Iran</b> 18:12		<b>limit</b> 38:10,14	<b>matters</b> 11:18 12:16 20:16,17
<b>issue</b> 6:24 7:1,2 10:19 11:4,24 12:9,12 16:3,8,19 19:13 31:10		<b>limited</b> 38:7	<b>matters</b> 11:18 12:16 20:16,17
<b>issued</b> 30:18 36:1		<b>lines</b> 12:11,21 13:20 18:3, 20 19:22 20:5	<b>means</b> 17:24 19:24 42:24 45:4
<b>issues</b> 4:24 5:5 6:9 8:20 10:9,13,14,16 11:1,14,21, 22 12:16,22,24 14:5 15:4, 18,20,25 19:14,18 20:18 21:5,7 25:24 26:7,19 32:9		<b>linger</b> 13:13 16:15	<b>mechanisms</b> 48:2
<b>item</b> 3:21 4:22 8:17 27:6 29:2 30:3 37:2 38:4 40:16		<b>link</b> 47:8	<b>media</b> 5:7 23:10 26:3
<b>itemized</b> 48:22		<b>listed</b> 14:10	<b>meet</b> 37:12
<b>IV</b> 8:17	<b>L</b>	<b>local</b> 5:17 11:6 17:3	<b>meeting</b> 3:3,22,23 27:15 30:20 31:25 32:5 40:16
	<b>Labels</b> 6:9 24:11	<b>Logvin</b> 38:16,18	<b>meetings</b> 31:25
	<b>land</b> 12:10 15:2	<b>longer</b> 43:14	<b>Megdal</b> 5:10
	<b>large</b> 23:14 24:7,16,17	<b>lot</b> 6:2 8:5 10:21 15:6 18:9 19:8 26:21	<b>member</b> 19:13 33:19 38:11 42:18 48:7
	<b>largely</b> 6:17 12:8	<b>Louis</b> 43:23	<b>members</b> 8:13 22:6 23:10 26:24 28:23 36:23
	<b>largest</b> 23:6	<b>love</b> 43:18	<b>memo</b> 30:22
	<b>latest</b> 5:3	<b>low</b> 14:20	<b>mention</b> 7:3
	<b>law</b> 45:12	<b>lower</b> 19:2	<b>mentioned</b> 7:4,25 10:6 18:8 26:8 33:23
	<b>lawyer</b> 43:6,10		<b>methodology</b> 9:14,23
	<b>leadership</b> 12:5	<b>M</b>	<b>methods</b> 23:8
	<b>Leadership's</b> 6:18	<b>made</b> 7:19 8:21	<b>midterm</b> 13:23,25
	<b>learn</b> 26:19	<b>mail</b> 28:13	<b>millions</b> 39:13 45:7 46:3
	<b>leave</b> 42:5	<b>mail-in</b> 15:25	<b>mind</b> 10:10 16:11
	<b>Ledger</b> 26:14	<b>mailboxes</b> 5:23	<b>minimum</b> 24:4
	<b>legal</b> 6:8	<b>main</b> 24:19	<b>minutes</b> 3:22,23 4:2,4,13, 21 9:9 38:10,15
	<b>legislative</b> 4:23,24 6:17, 23 7:20,24 8:5,7 25:9 28:14,17 30:6 46:14	<b>majority</b> 18:14 21:21 47:25	
		<b>make</b> 25:25 28:7,24 38:12	

<b>mistake</b> 34:3	<b>neighborhood</b> 18:5	<b>orders</b> 36:16	<b>people</b> 10:16 11:11,22 12:1,15,19,23 13:4,23 16:7,21 18:1 19:15 20:19, 21,24 22:13,15 23:11,13, 17 26:17 28:7 44:11 46:19 47:1
<b>mitigator</b> 32:13	<b>nets</b> 31:20	<b>organizations</b> 23:11	<b>People's</b> 26:14
<b>moderate</b> 11:16	<b>networking</b> 23:9	<b>organized</b> 31:12	<b>percent</b> 12:3,18 17:8,11 19:5 21:19 24:3 35:22 38:19 46:9
<b>moderator</b> 25:8	<b>news</b> 7:5	<b>original</b> 35:18	<b>Perception</b> 11:3
<b>moderators</b> 8:22 10:10 25:22,25	<b>newsletter</b> 26:15	<b>outlined</b> 30:21	<b>period</b> 44:17
<b>moment</b> 9:17 10:17 13:9 44:2	<b>note</b> 7:14 13:18	<b>outreach</b> 6:3	<b>perjury</b> 48:23
<b>money</b> 31:21 32:10 33:9 38:21	<b>notice</b> 6:10 30:4,15 31:24 33:4 35:25 36:5 37:4	<b>override</b> 39:10 45:22	<b>personal</b> 11:8 17:3,10 21:22
<b>Monica</b> 30:5 37:6	<b>notification</b> 44:19	<b>overwhelmingly</b> 47:25	<b>personality</b> 20:16
<b>monitoring</b> 8:6	<b>notified</b> 44:21	<hr/> <b>P</b> <hr/>	<b>personally</b> 20:15
<b>month</b> 6:7 40:20,21	<b>novo</b> 45:4	<b>PAC</b> 39:20 47:20	<b>Phoenix</b> 21:4
<b>months</b> 7:25 40:4 45:14	<b>number</b> 11:5 17:13,14,24 35:3,17,18,21 36:4 44:21 46:21	<b>package</b> 25:15	<b>phone</b> 3:17 22:13
<b>mooted</b> 7:17	<b>numbers</b> 17:7,10 21:10 24:25 26:12	<b>packet</b> 39:22 40:1	<b>Pima</b> 26:18
<b>MORGAN</b> 34:17,20 37:14	<hr/> <b>O</b> <hr/>	<b>packets</b> 3:24 30:8	<b>plan</b> 10:3 40:20
<b>morning</b> 3:2,11,12,17,18 38:16 41:4,5 42:13 44:9 48:16	<b>objective</b> 9:14,23	<b>Paige</b> 41:5 42:15	<b>planning</b> 13:25
<b>mornings</b> 32:4	<b>obligated</b> 31:2	<b>panel</b> 5:8 23:5,7,12	<b>plans</b> 6:5 26:21
<b>motion</b> 4:2 29:6 30:2 34:8 35:9 37:2,8 38:1	<b>October</b> 43:9	<b>paper</b> 16:1 27:5	<b>point</b> 18:4 35:25 39:13,25 40:2,6 45:7 47:20
<b>mouth</b> 23:9	<b>office</b> 14:8 48:18	<b>paperwork</b> 34:25	<b>polarization</b> 15:6
<b>move</b> 4:3 8:16 10:22 13:16 29:7,17 32:3,5 37:3, 12,17 44:3 48:24	<b>older</b> 14:20	<b>part</b> 5:3 6:21 11:9 21:11 46:3	<b>polarized</b> 17:25 18:3,22
<b>moved</b> 4:12 29:17 37:16	<b>ongoing</b> 7:12	<b>participate</b> 31:3	<b>polarizing</b> 11:14,19 18:6
<b>movement</b> 8:8	<b>online</b> 9:24,25 22:14 23:9 29:3	<b>participation</b> 25:12	<b>policies</b> 20:15
<b>moving</b> 13:19	<b>open</b> 5:25 20:4,10,14 40:19 43:14	<b>parties</b> 10:22 12:19 13:15,17 18:24 19:22 20:6,11,15 24:16	<b>policy</b> 11:18 18:6,11 20:16
<b>MUR</b> 30:5 37:5,17 40:2,3 46:12,20	<b>opening</b> 25:17	<b>partners</b> 5:7 26:3	<b>poll</b> 9:5
<b>muted</b> 3:15	<b>opinion</b> 11:20	<b>party</b> 6:9,10 10:24 12:10, 21 13:20 14:1 16:23 17:5, 25 18:3,19 19:14,15,19,22 20:3,5,10	<b>pool</b> 25:8
<hr/> <b>N</b> <hr/>	<b>opportunity</b> 11:25 13:6,7 30:11 39:20	<b>party's</b> 12:15	<b>popular</b> 18:4 19:1
<b>narrow</b> 7:2	<b>oppose</b> 17:19	<b>passed</b> 38:20 47:5	<b>population</b> 22:21
<b>nation</b> 23:6	<b>opposed</b> 18:15	<b>past</b> 8:18 43:2	<b>Porter</b> 5:9
<b>national</b> 11:6 17:2,8,13	<b>opposing</b> 18:17	<b>pattern</b> 40:7	<b>position</b> 9:20 14:8
<b>necessarily</b> 11:11	<b>opposite</b> 39:22 40:9	<b>penalties</b> 30:4 31:18 32:22 37:4,17	<b>posting</b> 25:3 26:4
<b>negotiate</b> 19:1,2	<b>opt</b> 22:13	<b>penalty</b> 31:16 33:23,25 34:5,7 35:16 38:24	<b>potential</b> 23:13 35:22,23
	<b>order</b> 3:4 19:4 22:24 30:4, 7,15,18,23 32:24 33:6,7, 24 35:2,15 36:15 37:4,17	<b>pending</b> 8:7	<b>powers</b> 6:19

<b>precinct-based</b> 28:21	<b>proportionately</b> 22:18	<b>quorum</b> 3:20	<b>reduction</b> 31:19
<b>preference</b> 15:3	<b>proposal</b> 32:21	_____	<b>reference</b> 25:25
<b>preferred</b> 19:13	<b>proposed</b> 7:16 27:12 29:6 30:7 42:23	<b>R</b>	<b>referenced</b> 43:4
<b>preferring</b> 20:20	<b>Proposition</b> 43:9	<b>R2-20-113</b> 27:7 29:9,18	<b>Reform</b> 6:6
<b>preparation</b> 25:15 27:9 35:1	<b>prosecutor</b> 41:8 42:18, 24 43:18	<b>R2-20-206(a)(3)</b> 43:8	<b>refresh</b> 6:16
<b>prepare</b> 25:22	<b>prosecutorial</b> 39:14 45:9,24	<b>R2-20-809</b> 43:9	<b>refugees</b> 15:10
<b>present</b> 30:8	<b>protect</b> 6:22	<b>R2-20-809(d)</b> 44:18	<b>regard</b> 19:5
<b>presidential</b> 13:24	<b>protection</b> 12:10	<b>R2-20-810</b> 44:19	<b>region</b> 25:24
<b>pretty</b> 11:9	<b>provide</b> 5:13 18:10 22:15 31:4,13	<b>R2-20-811(f)</b> 39:5 45:19	<b>regional</b> 9:16 20:25 21:16
<b>prevails</b> 27:25	<b>provided</b> 19:25 25:7,22 31:4,24 32:20	<b>race</b> 13:18	<b>regions</b> 21:1,13 23:2
<b>previous</b> 41:19	<b>provider</b> 23:5	<b>ran</b> 48:18,21	<b>registered</b> 9:25 23:20 24:12 38:18
<b>previously</b> 10:6 43:3 44:1	<b>providers</b> 23:7	<b>rare</b> 13:6,8	<b>regulatory</b> 4:23 39:10 43:11 45:22
<b>prices</b> 10:15,20 11:13 14:10,11 16:14,22	<b>providing</b> 9:12 32:11	<b>rate</b> 19:20	<b>reject</b> 42:22
<b>primary</b> 8:1,18	<b>public</b> 5:13 25:1 26:1,22 27:14 28:4,6,7 38:4,6,7,11 44:2 46:24 47:3,9	<b>ratepayer</b> 38:18 48:20	<b>rejected</b> 6:17
<b>principles</b> 6:19	<b>publication</b> 27:10	<b>reaching</b> 39:1	<b>related</b> 6:19 7:8
<b>printed</b> 5:24	<b>pull</b> 21:3	<b>read</b> 9:12	<b>relating</b> 27:7 29:9,18
<b>prior</b> 10:4 25:13 40:5	<b>purpose</b> 18:11 24:19	<b>reading</b> 9:7 42:25 43:12	<b>relations</b> 26:2
<b>private</b> 44:25	<b>push</b> 26:21 41:15	<b>real</b> 13:10	<b>relationships</b> 26:4
<b>probable</b> 30:20,22	<b>put</b> 47:1,8	<b>reason</b> 38:20	<b>released</b> 5:7
<b>problem</b> 11:17 39:6	_____	<b>reasonable</b> 31:23	<b>relevant</b> 12:25
<b>problems</b> 14:21	<b>Q</b>	<b>receive</b> 28:4,6	<b>relief</b> 7:1
<b>procedural</b> 43:3	<b>qualifying</b> 7:11	<b>received</b> 31:9 32:4,17 35:19 40:15 47:21	<b>remain</b> 5:25
<b>proceed</b> 23:22	<b>qualitative</b> 13:4 23:14	<b>recent</b> 48:18	<b>remained</b> 7:20
<b>proceeding</b> 32:25	<b>quant</b> 23:14	<b>recommendation</b> 31:16 34:13 36:5	<b>renegotiate</b> 19:4
<b>process</b> 32:1 46:25	<b>quarter</b> 18:16	<b>recommendations</b> 30:9	<b>renegotiating</b> 18:25
<b>produced</b> 13:7	<b>quarters</b> 12:25	<b>recommended</b> 31:19 36:14	<b>repay</b> 32:21
<b>production</b> 5:22	<b>question</b> 14:2,18 15:2 16:25 21:23 22:9,16 33:22 34:23 35:11 42:24 43:3,14	<b>record</b> 3:8 32:1 33:14 38:14 41:3 43:20 48:14	<b>repayment</b> 30:18 31:7 32:24 33:7 35:15 36:11
<b>profiles</b> 5:25	<b>questions</b> 8:10,14 10:11 14:17 16:17 18:18 21:2 22:2,6 25:19 26:24 32:15 36:23	<b>Recorder</b> 7:6	<b>repeat</b> 45:10
<b>program</b> 5:3,4 7:23 8:21	<b>quo</b> 12:8	<b>records</b> 31:11 32:11,19	<b>repetitive</b> 46:19
<b>programs</b> 5:11 14:22		<b>recruit</b> 23:11	<b>report</b> 4:22 8:10 9:6 26:9 48:22
<b>promise</b> 38:23		<b>recur</b> 44:1	<b>reported</b> 40:19
<b>Prop</b> 6:16,18 38:20 39:17 40:5,8 46:9,13 47:20,24		<b>recurring</b> 40:16 44:1	<b>reports</b> 31:1,6,13
<b>properly</b> 6:25		<b>red</b> 42:7	<b>representing</b> 30:10 33:12
<b>proportionality</b> 36:12		<b>reduced</b> 35:24	

**Republican** 15:22 20:1, 9,13  
**Republicans** 12:2,7,20 14:23 15:7,17 16:3 18:7, 12  
**Republicans'** 14:16  
**requalify** 23:17  
**request** 32:5 43:25  
**requesting** 40:13  
**requirements** 27:9  
**requires** 39:23  
**rescheduling** 38:8  
**research** 9:15,19,21 13:4 23:14 24:5 25:20,21  
**resolution** 31:23  
**resolved** 33:5  
**respect** 6:4,5 7:13 31:6, 16 33:7  
**respecting** 6:21 7:7 30:16 31:5,20 32:6  
**respond** 15:8 30:11  
**responded** 47:22  
**respondent** 44:18,21  
**respondents** 18:9 20:9 23:3,13  
**responding** 13:9 38:9  
**response** 3:25 8:15 27:1 28:25 29:4 33:13,18 36:25 47:22  
**result** 38:6 40:18  
**results** 8:21 20:8 25:1 26:10  
**reverse** 22:24  
**review** 44:17  
**reviewing** 34:25  
**reviews** 45:3  
**revisit** 22:3  
**Riester** 8:23 9:4,20  
**rising** 10:15,19 11:13 14:10 16:13,22 45:13 47:17

**River** 5:11  
**ROBERTS** 25:5 41:18,21 42:1  
**roll** 4:13 29:19 37:18  
**roughly** 31:20 35:18 36:16  
**rude** 20:21  
**rug** 38:25  
**rule** 27:7,8,16 28:8 29:6,8, 18 39:3,4 43:5 45:18,19  
**rulemaking** 6:22  
**rules** 31:2 42:20 43:8 48:21  
**running** 7:15,16 45:15 48:17  
**runoff** 5:19  
**rural** 22:17,21,24 23:3

**S**

**Sago** 23:6  
**sample** 16:21 22:12 23:5, 8 24:4,8,13,16  
**Sarah** 5:9  
**sat** 48:23  
**satisfied** 12:8  
**scheduled** 5:17  
**school** 48:7,24  
**Science** 6:6  
**scores** 20:2  
**seconded** 4:12 29:17 37:16  
**section** 33:23 39:13  
**sector** 16:2  
**security** 10:15 15:25 16:1,12  
**seek** 7:9  
**seeks** 6:22  
**segmented** 23:3  
**segments** 24:7

**selecting** 23:19  
**selection** 19:1  
**Senate** 39:18  
**sentiment** 11:3,4,9 16:24 17:17 21:5,16  
**separate** 32:24,25 33:7 35:15 43:11,12  
**separation** 6:19  
**September** 42:21  
**series** 5:6  
**service** 41:7 42:16,17 44:3 48:8  
**session** 28:18 40:19 43:14  
**set** 14:16  
**shape** 24:20  
**share** 9:5  
**shared** 9:6  
**Sharon** 5:10  
**she'll** 8:4  
**shot** 41:24  
**show** 11:15 33:14  
**showed** 14:19 39:21  
**side** 10:24 11:24  
**sides** 19:3  
**sign** 8:3 23:13  
**signature** 48:23  
**significant** 12:9 24:14,24 31:19  
**silent** 47:23  
**similar** 35:18  
**single** 10:18 16:19 38:23 39:17  
**sir** 44:7 47:13 48:13  
**sit** 42:4  
**sitting** 40:4  
**situation** 11:8 17:4,11 19:4 21:23  
**size** 22:12 24:4,13,16

**skip** 9:10  
**slide** 15:18 22:24  
**slides** 9:10 12:17 13:13 17:16 22:3,25  
**slight** 18:14  
**slightly** 11:7 17:22 20:9  
**slow** 9:11  
**smaller** 24:6,12  
**social** 23:10 26:5  
**Solon** 46:7,8  
**solutions** 18:24 39:19  
**solve** 11:17  
**sort** 6:18 8:9 22:12,13,14, 17 46:23  
**source** 43:15  
**sources** 23:12  
**speak** 23:16 26:8 42:3  
**speaking** 25:17 41:20 48:19  
**specific** 17:23 19:19 22:16  
**specifically** 19:21 30:25 39:14 41:6 42:14 45:8  
**specifies** 36:1  
**Spencer** 41:4,5,12,16,22, 25 42:11,13,15  
**spending** 38:21 46:3  
**spent** 32:18,20 39:13 45:7  
**spike** 15:10 19:16  
**spiking** 15:13  
**split** 20:9  
**spoke** 44:11  
**spoken** 46:19  
**SRP** 38:18 48:18  
**staff** 38:7 40:11 43:5  
**stand** 19:16,23 20:22 39:19  
**standing** 12:24 20:7 32:2

**start** 14:5  
**state** 12:4,13 14:8 17:3  
21:17,19 38:13 41:2 48:13  
**stated** 9:3  
**statements** 19:21,25  
25:17  
**States** 17:20  
**statewide** 9:25 25:9,24  
28:14,17  
**status** 12:8 44:2 47:18  
**statute** 6:25 27:17,24  
28:16 39:7,23 42:23,25  
43:16 45:8  
**statutes** 42:19 43:12  
**statutory** 27:9 39:11  
43:19 45:22  
**stay** 6:12 7:9  
**staying** 17:1 21:17,24  
**Steve** 3:10  
**strategy** 9:19,21  
**strong** 5:8 16:5 20:5,18  
32:13  
**stronger** 21:9  
**strongly** 16:3  
**study** 10:2,7 23:21 24:5  
38:8  
**submission** 6:1  
**submitted** 47:19  
**substance** 14:22  
**substantial** 11:5  
**substantive** 5:12  
**sufficiently** 31:12  
**suggestions** 38:6  
**summarize** 32:16  
**summary** 8:10  
**sunlight** 43:23  
**Superior** 6:15,17 7:2 45:2  
**supervisors** 7:6 28:19  
**support** 11:16 15:15  
17:19,23 18:14,22 19:7

**supporting** 18:17  
**supportive** 18:7  
**supposed** 42:20  
**Supreme** 43:22  
**Surprise** 18:2  
**survey** 8:18,19,21,24  
9:24,25 23:18 24:24 47:8  
**swath** 22:17  
**swept** 38:25

---

**T**

**tailor** 10:11  
**takeaway** 21:12  
**taking** 15:4  
**talk** 10:21 11:25 13:5  
26:20  
**talked** 12:14 19:9  
**talking** 35:4  
**targeted** 35:21  
**tariffs** 18:18,22 19:2,5,6  
**team** 23:10  
**tech** 41:13  
**Tempe** 5:19  
**temperament** 13:1  
**tend** 23:7  
**terms** 10:8,12 11:3 17:20  
19:10,17 20:7 21:15 23:4,  
8 24:25  
**thanked** 23:21  
**theoretically** 42:22  
**thing** 5:2 18:4 20:23 21:8  
45:17  
**things** 17:1 21:21 24:24  
26:15  
**thinking** 18:21,25 42:25  
**thirds** 13:2  
**THOMAS** 41:15 42:4,8  
**thumbs** 48:4

**Thursday** 32:4  
**tie** 36:11  
**tied** 19:19  
**Timberlake** 30:5,9,16,25  
32:2,17 33:11,12,15 36:1  
37:6  
**time** 9:8 15:19 25:16 28:9  
38:5 39:6 44:4 45:20 48:8,  
22  
**timely** 10:7 31:1,12,14  
**times** 31:17  
**Titla** 3:10,12,13 4:18,19  
29:24,25 37:23,24  
**today** 3:20 21:2 36:15  
39:18 44:20 46:10,18 47:8  
48:23  
**today's** 40:1  
**told** 39:2 44:22 45:17  
**Tom** 4:25 8:12,14 26:8  
27:11 30:12 33:22 35:13  
36:14 38:2  
**top** 10:10,14 13:14 14:11,  
14,16 16:8,11,21 21:7  
26:18  
**top-line** 9:5 10:12 15:21  
**topic** 16:1 26:11  
**topics** 14:23 25:19  
**total** 17:24 33:6,24  
**totaling** 36:16  
**touch** 42:9  
**touched** 15:21  
**TPUSA** 47:21  
**TPUSA's** 47:21  
**track** 14:3  
**trade** 19:2  
**trailed** 14:23  
**transferred** 7:21  
**transparency** 38:20  
40:9,10,22 47:5  
**transparently** 43:20

**Travise** 48:15,16  
**treated** 47:25  
**Tucson** 21:18 26:18  
**tuned** 15:7  
**turned** 42:6,8  
**Turning** 39:13,25 40:2,6  
45:7 47:20  
**twiddle** 48:3

---

**U**

**ultimately** 27:25  
**unable** 32:3  
**unanimously** 27:20  
**underlying** 30:24 36:10,  
11  
**underscores** 13:3  
**understand** 25:15 32:1  
47:4  
**understanding** 36:15  
**understands** 44:14  
**understood** 6:25  
**UNIDENTIFIED** 41:10  
42:6  
**unique** 11:11  
**United** 17:20  
**Unity** 45:13 47:17  
**University** 5:10  
**unplugged** 41:18  
**unwilling** 32:3  
**updated** 35:2  
**updates** 4:24  
**USA** 47:17

---

**V**

**valued** 13:10  
**values** 12:25  
**variation** 21:13  
**varies** 24:15

**variety** 11:22 12:7 14:9  
15:13 23:8,12  
**verbatim** 16:7  
**veteran** 48:17  
**veto** 8:4  
**VI** 30:3  
**Victory** 39:18  
**view** 8:2 32:8  
**views** 8:20  
**VII** 38:4  
**Vineetha** 5:11  
**violates** 6:18  
**violations** 33:3 39:12  
45:6,10,23  
**VKR** 39:24  
**VOICE** 41:10 42:6  
**vote** 12:21 20:10,12 23:20  
39:1 40:12 46:2  
**voted** 7:9 13:23 39:20  
46:9 47:24  
**voter** 5:3,21 6:4 8:18,19  
11:3 15:25 16:24 17:17  
27:8,18 28:12 29:9,19  
38:19,23 42:15 44:10 46:8  
47:17,21  
**voters** 8:22 9:25 10:8,23  
11:5,15,20 12:12 25:23  
26:16 28:12 38:19 44:24  
45:16 46:16  
**voters'** 8:20 43:17  
**votes** 4:20 30:1 37:25  
43:21  
**voting** 5:18 13:22,25 14:8  
15:25 19:22 20:1,5  
**VRKA** 39:24 40:14,15  
44:1,15 46:3,22

---

**W**

**walk** 8:23 27:11  
**wanted** 5:2 6:8 7:3,13  
11:4 24:5 27:22 42:22  
**wanting** 10:25 19:22,23

22:11  
**war** 15:10 18:12,14,16  
**warrants** 36:8  
**waste** 14:16  
**watch** 44:3  
**watched** 48:24  
**water** 5:6 12:10,11 15:2  
19:9,10 26:9  
**website** 6:1 8:22 25:4  
26:5 47:1,9  
**Werther** 3:9 4:3,14,15  
22:8,10,11,20 23:24 29:7,  
12,20,21 33:21 34:4,10,  
15,19 37:3,19,20  
**wide** 22:17  
**Win** 39:19  
**wishes** 48:11  
**wishing** 29:2 33:16 38:11  
**withdrawal** 7:22  
**withdrew** 7:17  
**won** 48:19  
**word** 23:9 34:14  
**words** 36:9  
**work** 19:22 20:7 23:5  
46:11,17 47:6  
**working** 5:21 6:4 19:3  
**worse** 11:7,8 17:1,6,9,12  
21:17,20,23  
**written** 28:6 45:11  
**wrong** 12:5 14:4 43:13  
**wrote** 43:23

---

**Y**

**year** 9:5 11:11 21:4 30:19  
**years** 8:19 9:21 32:17  
38:22  
**yesterday** 5:18 7:9

---

**Z**

**ZIP** 23:1  
**Zoom** 33:17