

NOVEMBER 3, 2026
COUNTY OF YAVAPAI
STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTYWIDE SAMPLE BALLOT

SECTION ONE		
PARTISAN BALLOT		
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS DISTRICT 2		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ CRANE, ELI		(REP)
☐ NEZ, JONATHAN		(DEM)
☐ GOODWIN, CURTIS		(LBT)
☐ WRITE-IN		
GOVERNOR & LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ BIGGS, ANDY		(REP)
☐ KERR, SINE		(REP)
☐ HOBBS, KATIE		(DEM)
☐ GILES, JOHN		(PND)
☐ HOURIHAN, TERI ANN		(NOL)
☐ HARROLLE MOHAN, ANGELA		(PND)
☐ LOMBARDO, RISA		(GRN)
☐ CASTILLO, LISA		(GRN)
☐ WRITE-IN		
STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 1		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ FINCHEM, MARK		(REP)
☐ DARGON, CHRISTINE ELLEN		(DEM)
☐ WRITE-IN		
STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 30		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ BIASIUCCI, LEO		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 1		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2		
☐ BLISS, SELINA		(REP)
☐ NGUYEN, QUANG HUU		(REP)
☐ ZALECKI, SANDY		(DEM)
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 30		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2		
☐ GANNUSCIO, MIKE		(REP)
☐ ROSE, DAVID		(REP)
☐ MCMAHAN, BRIAN		(DEM)
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
SECRETARY OF STATE		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ KOLODIN, ALEXANDER		(REP)
☐ FONTES, ADRIAN		(DEM)
☐ COLLIER, DUWAYNE		(GRN)
☐ WRITE-IN		
ATTORNEY GENERAL		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ PETERSEN, WARREN		(REP)
☐ MAYES, KRIS		(DEM)
☐ WRITE-IN		
STATE TREASURER		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ NORTON, ELIJAH		(REP)
☐ MANSOUR, NICK		(DEM)
☐ WRITE-IN		
SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ YEE, KIMBERLY		(REP)
☐ RUIZ, TERESA LEYBA		(DEM)
☐ WRITE-IN		
STATE MINE INSPECTOR		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ PRESMYK, WALTER "LES"		(REP)
☐ MATLOCK, BRIAN		(DEM)
☐ WRITE-IN		
CORPORATION COMMISSIONER		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2		
☐ HEAP, RALPH		(REP)
☐ THOMPSON, KEVIN		(REP)
☐ HILL, JONATHON		(DEM)
☐ PRATTE, CLARA		(DEM)
☐ CEASE, MIKE		(GRN)
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 2		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
Elected candidate will serve remainder of unexpired term ending in 2028.		
☐ MOORE, MARIE		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ VAN LANDINGHAM, CHARLIE		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE BAGDAD/YARNELL		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ DOWLING, DENNIS		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE MAYER		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ COCCHIOLA, ED		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE PRESCOTT		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ SUITS, DOUGLAS		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE SELIGMAN		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ EMERY, BEN		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE VERDE VALLEY		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ GORDON, DAVID		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
CONSTABLE BAGDAD/ YARNELL		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ RISEN, BILL		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
CONSTABLE MAYER		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ OEN, DONNY		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
CONSTABLE PRESCOTT		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ WILLIAMS, RON		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
CONSTABLE SELIGMAN		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ CLOUDT, SCHYLAR		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
CONSTABLE VERDE VALLEY		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ RUMPF, BRANDON		(REP)
☐ WRITE-IN		
SECTION TWO		
NONPARTISAN BALLOT		
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT		
Shall LOPEZ IV, JOHN , Justice of the Supreme Court be retained in office?		
☐ YES		
☐ NO		
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 1		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ MCGILL, MICHAEL		
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 2		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ NAPPER, JOHN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 3		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ BUTNER, JOSH		
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 5		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ WHITMER, HENRY		
☐ WRITE-IN		
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 6		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ YOUNG, ANNA		
☐ WRITE-IN		
MAYER U.S.D. #43 SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD(4-YEAR TERM)		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2		
☐ MATHERN, MARK		
☐ HUNSAKER, DAVID		
☐ FRANCEN, PAMELA		
☐ FARNSWORTH, BREANNA		
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
KIRKLAND E.S.D. #23 SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD (4-YEAR TERM)		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 3		
☐ MCCRACKEN, JENNIFER		
☐ MIDDLETON, MARK D.		
☐ SCHWARTZ, RITA		
☐ MARLEY, IRENE		
☐ SMITH, SHARMANE		
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
CANON E.S.D. #50 SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD (4-YEAR TERM)		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 3		
☐ SNELLING, SHAWN		
☐ WILKINS, RAECHEL		
☐ ALLISON, DONALD		
☐ GLOVER, JEANNIE		
☐ RUFF, THOMAS		
☐ KELLY, SHARON		
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
☐ WRITE-IN		
YAVAPAI COLLEGE DISTRICT 4 SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD (6-YEAR TERM)		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ GRAY, LINDA		
☐ JONES, RYAN		
☐ WOODS, KARA		
☐ WRITE-IN		
YAVAPAI COLLEGE DISTRICT 5 SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD (6-YEAR TERM)		
VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1		
☐ BRACETY, STEPHEN		
☐ OLIVER, JONATHAN		
☐ WRITE-IN		

PROPOSITION 318

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO STUDENT ATHLETICS

OFFICIAL TITLE: AMENDING SECTION 15-120.02, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: REQUIRES ALL SCHOOLS AND ATHLETIC ASSOCIATIONS TO DESIGNATE ATHLETIC TEAMS AS MALE, FEMALE, OR COED BASED ON THE BIOLOGICAL SEX OF THE ATHLETES AS DEFINED AT BIRTH; PROHIBITS THESE ENTITIES FROM AUTHORIZING USE OF PRIVATE SPACES NOT DESIGNATED FOR A PERSON'S SEX.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring private schools, public schools, and athletic associations to designate every athletic team as male, female, or coed/mixed based on sex and to prevent males from joining female teams; existing law imposes this requirement only on public schools and those competing against them; (2) prohibiting schools and athletic associations from authorizing anyone to use private spaces (such as restrooms) not designated for that individual's sex; (3) defining “sex” as an individual's biological status as male or female as recorded at birth on an original birth certificate; and (4) authorizing athletes to sue schools and athletic associations that knowingly violate this law.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to student athletics.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 319

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO PHOTO ENFORCEMENT SYSTEMS

OFFICIAL TITLE: AMENDING SECTION 28-1201, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; AMENDING TITLE 28, CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE 21, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 28-1207.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: PROHIBITS STATE AGENCIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FROM USING PHOTO ENFORCEMENT SYSTEMS FOR TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT UNLESS PROVIDED BY CONTRACT AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2026; REQUIRES LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO OBTAIN VOTER APPROVAL TO CONTINUE THEIR USE.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) prohibiting state agencies and local governments from using photo enforcement systems to identify violators of traffic laws unless the agency or government contracts to use those systems by December 31, 2026; and (2) requiring local governments to obtain voter approval of existing photo enforcement systems at the next regular general election and every 10 years afterward to continue using photo enforcement systems.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing photo enforcement systems.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 320

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO SCHOOL DISTRICT BUDGETS

OFFICIAL TITLE: AMENDING TITLE 15, CHAPTER 9, ARTICLE 1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES BY ADDING SECTION 15-917.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: REQUIRES CERTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SPEND AT LEAST SIXTY PERCENT OF THEIR OPERATIONAL SPENDING ON DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENSES AS DEFINED BY THE AUDITOR GENERAL; REDUCES CERTAIN STATE FUNDING FOR NON-COMPLIANT DISTRICTS.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring school districts with 7,500 or more students in any county or that operate in a county with 500,000 or more people to spend at least 60% of their operational spending on direct instructional expenses; (2) requiring the state Auditor General to define “operational spending” and “direct instructional expenses”; (3) requiring non-compliant school districts to gradually adjust their spending each year until they are in compliance; and (4) requiring the state Department of Education to reduce funding from the state Classroom Site Fund by 25% each year for non-compliant districts, subject to limited waivers that the state Superintendent of Public Instruction may authorize.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing school district budgets.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 497

The Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Jail District asks the voters of Yavapai County for approval to increase the existing Jail District excise (sales) tax 1/4 of a cent (\$0.0025) per dollar. The existing 1/4 cent Jail District sales tax is not sufficient to pay the costs of the Jail District. The estimated future revenue needs for the Jail District are \$41.44 million per year and will likely increase over time due to inflation and population growth. The County will be required to contribute \$8.88 million next year to the Jail District from the County General Fund as legally required “maintenance of effort,” and will need to contribute an additional \$16.15 million from the County General Fund to pay the costs of the Jail District. If approved, this tax will take effect on July 1, 2027, and will continue for a period of twenty years.

Shall the Yavapai County Jail District excise (sales) tax be increased 1/4 of a cent (\$0.0025) per dollar to fund the Jail District.

A “YES” vote would have the effect of approving an increase in the Jail District excise tax of 1/4 of a cent (\$0.0025) per dollar.

A “NO” vote would have the effect of rejecting an increase in the Jail District excise tax of 1/4 of a cent (\$0.0025) per dollar.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 401

OFFICIAL TITLE: PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE IX, SECTION 6 ENTITLED “MAJORITY TO ELECT IN PRIMARY”

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER SHALL REVISE THE MAJORITY CALCULATION FOR DETERMINING ELECTION IN THE PRIMARY TO MIRROR ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of revising Article IX, Section 6 of the City Charter of calculating the majority to elect in primary elections by mirroring state statute.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current wording.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 402

OFFICIAL TITLE: PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE XI, SECTION 4 ENTITLED “PRESIDING OFFICER; APPOINTMENT; TERM; JUSTICE OF PEACE AS CITY JUDGE”

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER SHALL REVISE ARTICLE XI, SECTION 4 BY REMOVING “JUSTICE OF PEACE AS” FROM SECTION TITLE

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of removing “Justice of Peace as” from Section title.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current wording.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 411

OFFICIAL TITLE: PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE I SECTION 3 ENTITLED “POWERS OF THE CITY”

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER SHALL REVISE ARTICLE I, SECTION 3 ENTITLED “POWERS OF THE CITY” ESTABLISHING RESTRICTIONS RELATED TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending Section 3 of Article I as noted.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining the existing language.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 412

OFFICIAL TITLE: PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE I SECTION 3 ENTITLED “POWERS OF THE CITY” ADDING A SECTION REGARDING OPEN SPACE

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER SHALL REVISE ARTICLE I, SECTION 4 ENTITLED “BOUNDARIES” ESTABLISHING OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS FOR LARGE-SCALE ANNEXATION DEVELOPMENTS

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of adding to Section 4 of Article I as noted.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of not adding the additional sub-section to Section 4 of Article I.

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 413

OFFICIAL TITLE: PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE VIII REPLACING SECTION 2 TO BE ENTITLED “ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY”

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER SHALL REVISE ARTICLE VIII REPLACING SECTION 2 TO BE ENTITLED “ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY” ESTABLISHING APPRAISAL AND VALUATION REQUIREMENTS FOR REAL PROPERTY PURCHASES OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS FOR LARGE-SCALE ANNEXATION DEVELOPMENTS

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of replacing Section 2 of Article VIII as noted.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining the existing language.

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROPOSITION 414

OFFICIAL TITLE: PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE VIII ADDING SECTION 14 ENTITLED “DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS”

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER SHALL REVISE ARTICLE VIII, ADDING SECTION 14 ENTITLED “DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS” ESTABLISHING RESTRICTIONS RELATED TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of updating Section 14 of Article VIII as noted.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of not adding Section 14 to Article VIII.

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROPOSITION 415

OFFICIAL TITLE: PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE XI, SECTION 4 ENTITLED “PRESIDING OFFICER; APPOINTMENT; TERM; JUSTICE OF PEACE AS CITY JUDGE”

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER SHALL REVISE ARTICLE XI, SECTION 4 ENTITLED “PRESIDING OFFICER; APPOINTMENT; TERM; JUSTICE OF PEACE AS CITY JUDGE” WITH UPDATED APPOINTMENT AND TERM LANGUAGE IN ALIGNMENT WITH CURRENT PROCESS

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of updating Section 4 of Article XI as noted.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current wording.

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROPOSITION 405

Shall the Governing Board of Prescott Unified School District No. 1 of Yavapai County, Arizona (the “District”), adopt a General Maintenance and Operation Budget that includes an amount that exceeds the revenue control limit specified by statute by 7% for fiscal year 2027/2028 and for six (6) subsequent years as described below?

The amount of the proposed increase of the proposed budget over the alternate budget for fiscal year 2027/2028 is estimated to be \$2,101,053. In fiscal years 2027/2028 through 2031/2032 the amount of the proposed increase will be 7% of the District’s revenue control limit in each of such years, as provided in Section 15-481(P) of the Arizona Revised Statutes. In fiscal years 2032/2033 and 2033/2034, the amount of the proposed increase will be 4.67% and 2.33%, respectively, of the District’s revenue control limit in each of such years, as provided in Section 15-481(P) of the Arizona Revised Statutes.

Any budget increase authorized by this election shall be entirely funded by a levy of taxes on the taxable property in this school district for the year for which adopted and for six (6) subsequent years, shall not be realized from monies furnished by the state and shall not be subject to the limitation on taxes specified in Article IX, Section 18, Constitution of Arizona. Based on the current net assessed valuation used for secondary property tax purposes, to fund the proposed increase in the school district’s budget would require an estimated tax rate of \$0.14 per one hundred dollars of net assessed valuation used for secondary property tax purposes and is in addition to the school district’s tax rate that will be levied to fund the school district’s revenue control limit allowed by law.

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Prescott Unified School District No. 1 Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and operation budget which includes an amount that exceeds the District’s revenue control limit.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Prescott Unified School District No. 1 Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and operation budget which includes an amount that exceeds its revenue control limit.

☐ BUDGET INCREASE, YES

☐ BUDGET INCREASE, NO

PROPOSITION 406

Shall Prescott Unified School District No. 1 of Yavapai County, Arizona (the “District”), be allowed to issue and sell general obligation bonds in the principal amount of not to exceed \$20,000,000 to provide money for the following purposes:

•Constructing and/or purchasing school buildings;

•Renovating school buildings;

•Purchasing pupil transportation vehicles;

•Acquiring by purchase or lease school lots;

•Improving school grounds, including adjacent ways thereto;

•Supplying school buildings with furniture, equipment and technology;

•Liquidating indebtedness incurred for the purposes set forth herein;

•Providing all utilities and other capital items necessary for the construction and renovation of school buildings and for improving school grounds;

•Paying all architectural, design, engineering, project and construction management and other costs incurred in connection with the purposes set forth above; and

•Paying all legal, financial and other costs in connection with issuance of the bonds?

The bonds will bear interest at rates not exceeding 12% per year. Interest may be evidenced by separate certificates and will be paid on January 1 and July 1 each year until the bonds mature. The bonds, and any bonds issued to refund the District’s bonds, may be sold at prices that include premiums not greater than permitted by law. The bonds may be refunded by the issuance of refunding bonds of a weighted average maturity of less than 75% of the weighted average maturity of the bonds being refunded. Bonds will be in the denominations of \$5,000 each or in multiples of \$5,000 and will mature on the first day of January and/or July in years determined by the District’s governing board. The bonds shall mature over a period of not (i) less than one (1) year (or a portion thereof) or (ii) more than twenty (20) years from the date of their issuance. The issuance of these bonds will result in a property tax increase sufficient to pay the annual debt service on bonds.

The capital improvements that are proposed to be funded through this bond issuance are to exceed the State standards and are in addition to monies provided by the State. Prescott Unified School District No. 1 is proposing to issue Class B general obligation bonds totaling \$20,000,000 to fund capital improvements over and above those funded by the State. Under the Students FIRST capital funding system, Prescott Unified School District No. 1 is entitled to State monies for new construction and renovation of school buildings in accordance with State law.

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Prescott Unified School District No. 1 Governing Board to issue and sell \$20,000,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Prescott Unified School District No. 1 Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.

☐ BOND APPROVAL, YES

☐ BOND APPROVAL, NO

PROPOSITION 404

REFERENDUM ORDERED BY PETITION OF THE PEOPLE

OFFICIAL TITLE: ORDINANCE NO. 2026-974 OF THE MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF PRESCOTT VALLEY, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF ARIZONA, AMENDING THE TOWN ZONING MAP BY CHANGING THE ZONING DISTRICT CLASSIFICATION OF APPROXIMATELY FORTY (39.07) ACRES (APN 402/14/004) IN THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 31, T14N, R1E, G&SRB&M (GOVERNMENT TANK ROCK HARVEST SITE) FROM RL-70 (RESIDENTIAL; SINGLE FAMILY LIMITED) ZONING TO IG (INDUSTRIAL; GENERAL LIMITED) ZONING FOR PURPOSES OF A SAND AND GRAVEL OPERATION; AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE EFFECTIVE THIRTY (30) DAYS AFTER ITS PASSAGE AND APPROVAL ACCORDING TO LAW.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: The petition refers Prescott Valley Ordinance No. 2026-974 to the voters for their approval or rejection of re-zoning 39.07 acres from RL-70 (Residential; Single Family Limited) Zoning to IG (Industrial; General Limited) Zoning for purposes of the Government Tank Rock Harvest Site.

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of approving Ordinance No. 2026-974 re-zoning 39.07 acres from RL-70 (Residential; Single Family Limited) Zoning to IG (Industrial; General Limited) Zoning for purposes of the Government Tank Rock Harvest Site and, thereby, resulting in 79.97 acres of dedicated open space adjacent to Fain Park.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of not approving Ordinance No. 2026-974 and leaving the zoning of 39.07 acres as RL-70 (Residential; Single Family Limited) Zoning, thereby, not allowing the Government Tank Rock Harvest Site. This could result in a rock harvest site on the 79.97 acres adjacent to Fain Park.

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROPOSITION 492

REFERENDUM ORDERED BY PETITION OF THE PEOPLE

OFFICIAL TITLE: ORDINANCE NO. 2024-938 OF THE MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF PRESCOTT VALLEY, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF ARIZONA, ANNEXING THERETO CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY (ANX23-001) CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 652 ACRES IN SECTIONS 5 AND 8, TOWNSHIP 14 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST, OF THE GILA & SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA; CLASSIFYING ALL THE ANNEXED PROPERTY FOR ZONING PURPOSES AS RCU-70 (RESIDENTIAL; SINGLE FAMILY RURAL) CONSISTENT WITH THE PRESENT YAVAPAI COUNTY ZONING CLASSIFICATION OF RCU-2A; PROVIDING THAT THE OFFICIAL TOWN MAP AND THE TOWN ZONING MAP BE APPROPRIATELY AMENDED AND THAT A COPY OF THIS ORDINANCE, ALONG WITH A CERTIFIED ANNEXATION MAP, BE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE YAVAPAI COUNTY RECORDER; AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE EFFECTIVE THIRTY (30) DAYS AFTER ITS PASSAGE AND APPROVAL ACCORDING TO LAW.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: The petition refers Prescott Valley Ordinance No. 2024-938 to the voters for their approval or rejection of annexing into the Town approximately 652 acres of real property located near the intersection of Lakeshore Drive and Fain Road.

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of approving Ordinance No. 2024-938 annexing into the Town approximately 652 acres of real property located near the intersection of Lakeshore Drive and Fain Road and, thereby, allow development of a master planned community commonly referred to as "Lakeshore 650" subject to Town standards.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of not approving Ordinance No. 2024-938 annexing into the Town approximately 652 acres of real property located near the intersection of Lakeshore Drive and Fain Road and not allow development of "Lakeshore 650", causing said property to remain in unincorporated Yavapai County subject to County standards.

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROPOSITION 493

OFFICIAL TITLE: A PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY THE PRESCOTT VALLEY TOWN COUNCIL RELATING TO A NEW TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE (SALES) TAX FOR THE PURPOSE OF BUILDING AN AQUATICS AND RECREATION FACILITY.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: Effective February 1, 2027, shall the Town of Prescott Valley be authorized to increase the Town's Transaction Privilege (Sales) Tax rate by one-half of one percent (0.5%), excluding grocery sales, to fund construction of an aquatics and recreation facility: provided that upon the earlier of twenty-five (25) years or the full repayment of any project financing, the tax rate shall decrease to a rate of one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) to be levied in perpetuity for ongoing operations and maintenance of the facility?

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of increasing the Town's Transaction Privilege (Sales) Tax rate by one-half of one percent (0.5%), excluding grocery sales, effective February 1, 2027, for a period of up to twenty-five (25) years (or less if any project financing is fully repaid sooner), to fund construction of an aquatics and recreation facility. This rate would then decrease to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) for ongoing operations and maintenance of the facility.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of not increasing the Town's Transaction Privilege (Sales) Tax rate to fund and maintain a recreation facility.

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROPOSITION 410

REFERENDUM ORDERED BY PETITION OF THE PEOPLE

OFFICIAL TITLE: A REFERENDUM ORDERED BY PETITION OF THE PEOPLE TO APPROVE OR REJECT TOWN OF CHINO VALLEY ORDINANCE NO. 2026-965, ENTITLED "APPROVING A CHANGE OF ZONING AND AMENDMENT TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP FOR APPROXIMATELY 390 ACRES OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED GENERALLY EAST OF THE INTERSECTION OF EAST PERKINSVILLE ROAD AND FOREST SERVICE ROAD 318A, CHINO VALLEY, ARIZONA, COMPRISING A PORTION OF APN 306-01-005J, FROM SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, 2-ACRE MINIMUM, TO AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL, 36-ACRE MINIMUM."

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: APPROVE OR REJECT THE TOWN COUNCIL'S ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2026-965, CHANGING THE ZONING AND AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP FOR APPROXIMATELY 390 ACRES OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED GENERALLY EAST OF THE INTERSECTION OF EAST PERKINSVILLE ROAD AND FOREST SERVICE ROAD 318A, CHINO VALLEY, ARIZONA, COMPRISING A PORTION OF APN 306-01-005J, FROM SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, 2-ACRE MINIMUM, TO AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL, 36-ACRE MINIMUM.

A "yes" vote shall have the effect of approving the Town Council's adoption of Ordinance No. 2026-965, thereby changing the subject property's zoning from Single Family Residential, 2-acre minimum, to Agricultural Residential, 36-acre minimum.

A "no" vote shall have the effect of rejecting the Town Council's adoption of Ordinance No. 2026-965, thereby leaving the subject property's zoning unchanged, as Single Family Residential, 2-acre minimum.

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROPOSITION 407

Shall Humboldt Unified School District No. 22 of Yavapai County, Arizona (the “*District*”), be allowed to issue and sell general obligation bonds in the principal amount of not to exceed \$79,600,000 to provide money for the following purposes:

- Renovating or constructing any current or existing school buildings;
- Purchasing pupil transportation vehicles;
- Improving school grounds, including adjacent ways thereto;
- Liquidating indebtedness incurred for the purposes set forth herein;
- Providing all utilities and other capital items necessary for the construction and renovation of school buildings and for improving school grounds;
- Paying all architectural, design, engineering, project and construction management and other costs incurred in connection with the purposes set forth above; and
- Paying all legal, financial and other costs in connection with issuance of the bonds?

The bonds will bear interest at rates not exceeding 12% per year. Interest may be evidenced by separate certificates and will be paid on January 1 and July 1 each year until the bonds mature. The bonds, and any bonds issued to refund the District’s bonds, may be sold at prices that include premiums not greater than permitted by law. The bonds may be refunded by the issuance of refunding bonds of a weighted average maturity of less than 75% of the weighted average maturity of the bonds being refunded. Bonds will be in the denominations of \$5,000 each or in multiples of \$5,000 and will mature on the first day of January and/or July in years determined by the District’s governing board. The bonds shall mature over a period of not (i) less than one (1) year (or a portion thereof) or (ii) more than twenty (20) years from the date of their issuance. The issuance of these bonds will result in a property tax increase sufficient to pay the annual debt service on bonds.

The capital improvements that are proposed to be funded through this bond issuance are to exceed the State standards and are in addition to monies provided by the State. Humboldt Unified School District No. 22 is proposing to issue Class B general obligation bonds totaling \$79,600,000 to fund capital improvements over and above those funded by the State. Under the Students FIRST capital funding system, Humboldt Unified School District No. 22 is entitled to State monies for new construction and renovation of school buildings in accordance with State law.

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Humboldt Unified School District No. 22 Governing Board to issue and sell \$79,600,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Humboldt Unified School District No. 22 Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.

- ☐ BOND APPROVAL, YES
- ☐ BOND APPROVAL, NO

PROPOSITION 408

Shall Camp Verde Unified School District No. 28 of Yavapai County, Arizona (the “*District*”), be allowed to issue and sell general obligation bonds in the principal amount of not to exceed \$20,000,000 to provide money for the following purposes:

- Constructing and/or purchasing school buildings;
- Renovating school buildings;
- Purchasing pupil transportation vehicles;
- Acquiring by purchase or lease school lots;
- Improving school grounds, including adjacent ways thereto;
- Supplying school buildings with furniture, equipment and technology;
- Liquidating indebtedness incurred for the purposes set forth herein;
- Providing all utilities and other capital items necessary for the construction and renovation of school buildings and for improving school grounds;
- Paying all architectural, design, engineering, project and construction management and other costs incurred in connection with the purposes set forth above; and
- Paying all legal, financial and other costs in connection with issuance of the bonds?

The bonds will bear interest at rates not exceeding 12% per year. Interest may be evidenced by separate certificates and will be paid on January 1 and July 1 each year until the bonds mature. The bonds, and any bonds issued to refund the District’s bonds, may be sold at prices that include premiums not greater than permitted by law. The bonds may be refunded by the issuance of refunding bonds of a weighted average maturity of less than 75% of the weighted average maturity of the bonds being refunded. Bonds will be in the denominations of \$5,000 each or in multiples of \$5,000 and will mature on the first day of January and/or July in years determined by the District’s governing board. The bonds shall mature over a period of not (i) less than one (1) year (or a portion thereof) or (ii) more than twenty (20) years from the date of their issuance. The issuance of these bonds will result in a property tax increase sufficient to pay the annual debt service on bonds.

The capital improvements that are proposed to be funded through this bond issuance are to exceed the State standards and are in addition to monies provided by the State. Camp Verde Unified School District No. 28 is proposing to issue Class B general obligation bonds totaling \$20,000,000 to fund capital improvements over and above those funded by the State. Under the Students FIRST capital funding system, Camp Verde Unified School District No. 28 is entitled to State monies for new construction and renovation of school buildings in accordance with State law.

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Camp Verde Unified School District No. 28 Governing Board to issue and sell \$20,000,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Camp Verde Unified School District No. 28 Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.

- ☐ BOND APPROVAL, YES
- ☐ BOND APPROVAL, NO

PROPOSITION 409

Shall Cottonwood-Oak Creek Elementary School District No. 6 of Yavapai County, Arizona (the “*District*”), be allowed to issue and sell general obligation bonds in the principal amount of not to exceed \$30,000,000 to provide money for the following purposes:

- Constructing and/or purchasing school buildings;
- Renovating school buildings;
- Purchasing pupil transportation vehicles;
- Acquiring by purchase or lease school lots;
- Improving school grounds, including adjacent ways thereto;
- Supplying school buildings with furniture, equipment and technology;
- Liquidating indebtedness incurred for the purposes set forth herein;
- Providing all utilities and other capital items necessary for the construction and renovation of school buildings and for improving school grounds;
- Paying all architectural, design, engineering, project and construction management and other costs incurred in connection with the purposes set forth above; and
- Paying all legal, financial and other costs in connection with issuance of the bonds?

The bonds will bear interest at rates not exceeding 12% per year. Interest may be evidenced by separate certificates and will be paid on January 1 and July 1 each year until the bonds mature. The bonds, and any bonds issued to refund the District’s bonds, may be sold at prices that include premiums not greater than permitted by law. The bonds may be refunded by the issuance of refunding bonds of a weighted average maturity of less than 75% of the weighted average maturity of the bonds being refunded. Bonds will be in the denominations of \$5,000 each or in multiples of \$5,000 and will mature on the first day of January and/or July in years determined by the District’s governing board. The bonds shall mature over a period of not (i) less than one (1) year (or a portion thereof) or (ii) more than twenty (20) years from the date of their issuance. The issuance of these bonds will result in a property tax increase sufficient to pay the annual debt service on bonds.

The capital improvements that are proposed to be funded through this bond issuance are to exceed the State standards and are in addition to monies provided by the State. Cottonwood-Oak Creek Elementary School District No. 6 is proposing to issue Class B general obligation bonds totaling \$30,000,000 to fund capital improvements over and above those funded by the State. Under the Students FIRST capital funding system, Cottonwood-Oak Creek Elementary School District No. 6 is entitled to State monies for new construction and renovation of school buildings in accordance with State law.

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Cottonwood-Oak Creek Elementary School District No. 6 Governing Board to issue and sell \$30,000,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Cottonwood-Oak Creek Elementary School District No. 6 Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.

- ☐ BOND APPROVAL, YES
- ☐ BOND APPROVAL, NO

PROPOSITION 417

OFFICIAL TITLE: Rose Valley Water Company Proposed Franchise Agreement with the City of Peoria.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE: This Franchise Agreement, if approved shall be granted to Rose Valley Water Company, an Arizona corporation, to construct, maintain and operate water distribution and transmission systems in the City of Peoria, Arizona, and future annexations.

QUESTION: Shall the proposed Franchise Agreement, as published and submitted to the qualified electorate, be granted to Rose Valley Water Company, an Arizona corporation, to construct, maintain and operate water distribution and transmission systems in the City of Peoria, Arizona, and future annexations.

A **"YES"** vote will allow the City of Peoria to enter into the Franchise Agreement with Rose Valley Water Company.

A **"NO"** vote will deny the City of Peoria the authority to enter into the Franchise Agreement with Rose Valley Water Company.

☐

 YES

☐

 NO

WICKENBURG U.S.D. NO. 9
QUESTION

Shall the Governing Board of Wickenburg Unified School District No. 9 of Maricopa and Yavapai Counties, Arizona (the “*District*”), adopt a General Maintenance and Operation Budget that includes an amount that exceeds the revenue control limit specified by statute by 10% for fiscal year 2027/2028 and for six (6) subsequent years as described below? The fiscal year 2027/2028 budget override authority represents an extension of the existing budget override authority which is scheduled to phase down by one-third for fiscal year 2028/2029 and another one-third in fiscal year 2029/2030 and will terminate for fiscal year 2030/2031 if the voters do not approve the override.

The amount of the proposed continuation of the budget increase of the proposed budget over the alternate budget for fiscal year 2027/2028 is estimated to be \$-0-. In fiscal years 2027/2028 through 2031/2032 the amount of the proposed increase will be 10% of the District’s revenue control limit in each of such years, as provided in Section 15-481(P) of the Arizona Revised Statutes. In fiscal years 2032/2033 and 2033/2034, the amount of the proposed increase will be 6.67% and 3.33%, respectively, of the District’s revenue control limit in each of such years, as provided in Section 15-481(P) of the Arizona Revised Statutes.

Any budget increase continuation authorized by this election shall be entirely funded by a levy of taxes on the taxable property in this school district for the year for which adopted and for six (6) subsequent years, shall not be realized from monies furnished by the state and shall not be subject to the limitation on taxes specified in Article IX, Section 18, Constitution of Arizona. Based on the current net assessed valuation used for secondary property tax purposes, to fund the proposed continuation of the increase in the school district’s budget would require an estimated continuation of a tax rate of \$0.22 per one hundred dollars of assessed valuation used for secondary property tax purposes and is in addition to the school district’s tax rate that will be levied to fund the school district’s revenue control limit allowed by law.

A **“YES”** vote shall authorize the Wickenburg Unified School District No. 9 Governing Board to continue the existing maintenance and operation budget override authority and resulting tax, which includes an amount that exceeds the District’s revenue control limit.

A **“NO”** vote shall not authorize the Wickenburg Unified School District No. 9 Governing Board to extend the existing maintenance and operation budget override authority and resulting tax.

☐

 BUDGET OVERRIDE CONTINUATION,
YES

☐

 BUDGET OVERRIDE CONTINUATION, NO