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| PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION Convened at 9:39 a.m. on April 27, 2017, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections Commission, 1616 West Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the following Board members: <br> Mr . Steve M. Titla, Chairperson (Telephonic) <br> Mr. Mark S. Kimble (Telephonic) <br> Mr . Damien Meyer (Telephonic) <br> Ms. Amy B. Chan (Telephonic) <br> Mr . Galen D. Paton (Telephonic) <br> OTHERS PRESENT: <br> Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director Paula Thomas, Executive Officer <br> Sara Larsen, Financial Affairs Officer <br> Gina Roberts, Voter Education Manager <br> Mike Becker, Policy Director <br> Alec Shaffer, Web Content Manager <br> Amy Jicha, Legal Admin and VE Intern <br> Lee Miller, Secretary of State <br> Joseph Roth, Osborn Maledon (Telephonic) | 1 one-item agenda, right? <br> 2 MS. THOMAS: Yes, sir. <br> 3 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Board -- or, <br> 4 Commissioners, do we have a motion to approve the agenda? <br> MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry. If <br> I could interrupt you one quick second. Yeah, I just <br> want to make clear what we're -- the motion would be to <br> 9 approve what is -- the document that is the modified <br> document that was in your materials that you were <br> emailed. That is the email -- that is the document <br> that is dated 4-21-2017 at 9:57 a.m. I'm sorry -- <br> thank you. <br> And then, Commissioners, when you speak, we <br> just need to make sure that you announce yourself for <br> the court reporter when you do speak. <br> I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. I just needed to <br> make sure that everybody has that on the record. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Good. Yeah, <br> this is Steve Titla, Chairman of the Commission. <br> So do we need to pass the agenda, then, <br> with this one item that you mentioned, Tom? <br> MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, <br> yes. We're looking for a motion to -- <br> 25 THE OPERATOR: Joining the meeting. |
| 09:11:24-09:40:36 <br> PROCEEDING <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. This is a call <br> of the meeting to order, special meeting of the Clean <br> Elections Commission, on May -- or April 27, 9:39 a.m. <br> And can we have a roll call? <br> MR. COLLINS: So, Commissioners, if you <br> could -- <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: This is Commissioner <br> Chan. I'm here. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Can somebody <br> call the roll? <br> MR. COLLINS: Yes, I can do that, <br> Mr. Chairman. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Kimble? <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I'm here. <br> MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Paton? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Here. <br> MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Chan? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I'm here. <br> MR. COLLINS: And Commissioner Meyer is on <br> his way and will -- will dial in. <br> 24 Hello? <br> 25 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. We have a | 1 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Damien Meyer. <br> 2 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Commissioners, this is Steve Titla. <br> Is there a motion by any of the <br> commissioners to approve this one-item agenda? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, this is <br> Amy Chan, and I would -- I would so move what Tom said, but may I just make a brief comment before we get a 9 second? <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I am in support of the, <br> you know, modified ISA that our Staff has worked so <br> hard on with the Secretary's office. I just really <br> want to get on the record that, you know, I feel like <br> this is kind of -- this is something that has come out <br> of the Secretary's need, in my mind, to kind of bail <br> out of a situation that she's in, you know, having <br> spent half a million dollars on what should have been, <br> 19 I think, the system. And we're, you know, singly <br> 20 situated where we can help her so that the voters of <br> 21 Arizona are able to be the beneficiaries of this new <br> 22 system. <br> 23 So I just wanted to put that out there <br> 24 before we make our vote. I know we have a voice vote. <br> 25 I feel like that would be more appropriate than |
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| explaining my vote. I have a background at the <br> legislature where that's what we would do, but I just <br> felt like I wanted to make sure that we had that on the <br> record at this final juncture where we're voting on 5 this. <br> So having said that, I, again, would just, <br> you know, restate my motion to approve the Interagency <br> Service Agreement with the Secretary of State's office, <br> as Tom mentioned. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. This is Steve <br> Titla. Again, thank you for your comments, <br> Commissioner Chan. <br> And there's a motion by Commissioner Chan <br> to approve this one-item agenda. <br> Is there a second? <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: This is Mark Kimble, <br> and I second it. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioner Kimble <br> seconds. <br> This is Steve Titla, again, the chairman. <br> And do we have a -- can we have a vote -- a <br> roll call vote, Tom? <br> MR. COLLINS: There's no reason why you <br> can't have a roll call vote. And given that we're all <br> on the phone, I mean, that certainly would make some | 1 I'm also somewhat concerned about the <br> amount of the payments, the first payment being <br> basically three-quarters, 75 percent of the total <br> amount that will be due at the end of one of the early <br> phases in October. So then we only have 25 percent of <br> the payment left for when the entire thing is completed which I think give us limited leverage to make sure that it does get completed. <br> I would have preferred to see something <br> that has more of the payment at the end than at the <br> beginning. On the other hand, I do understand that we <br> have -- we're sharing in the salary costs of getting <br> this done. So I'm not -- I understand why it's being <br> done. I just wish that there was a way to get more of <br> the payment delivered later than earlier, but other <br> than that I'm -- I'm supportive of the motion by <br> Commissioner Chan. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. This is second <br> by Commissioner Kimble. <br> Thank you for your comments and -COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman? <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Can we have a vote and <br> a motion? <br> 24 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman? <br> 25 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Can we have a motion to |
| 1 sense. Although we don't usually do that, there's nothing that prohibits that. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Can we get a roll call? <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman, this <br> is -- this is Mark Kimble. <br> Could I say one thing? <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I do have -- I am <br> supportive of this agreement. I think the changes that <br> have been made since we initially saw it are very <br> positive. I do have a couple minor concerns. One of <br> them is on page 4 in the document we have under number <br> B where it says "The Commission and the Secretary agree <br> that the Secretary may request payment for delivering <br> some objectives of a particular phase of the project <br> without having delivered every objective of a <br> particular phase." <br> That -- I understand that there are some <br> issues with writing computer programs and that <br> unexpected things come up. I am concerned, though, <br> that we are already outlining a process by which we <br> would make a payment for something that is not <br> completed to that phase. That somewhat concerns me, although I understand the reasoning for it. | 1 approve this agenda? Commissioners, this is only a <br> 2 motion to approve the agenda. After the agenda is <br> 3 approved, we can go to the one-item agenda and then <br> 4 discuss the merits of the -- of the document, but now <br> 5 it's only a motion to approve the agenda. <br> Can we have a roll call vote? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Oh, I'm sorry. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, this is <br> Commissioner Chan. I meant to -- my intent was to move <br> that item. I apologize if I was out of order. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Yeah, I didn't -- <br> this is Commissioner Kimble. I didn't understand that <br> either, that we were voting to approve the agenda. <br> COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yes. That's what I <br> thought we were doing was to approve the agenda. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. Okay. Mr. Chairman, <br> may I interrupt a moment? This is Tom Collins. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: So if you want to approve the <br> agenda and then approve the merits, what we would need <br> 22 to do is for Commissioner Kimble to withdraw his second <br> 23 and Commissioner Chan to withdraw her motion and then a <br> 24 new motion to approve the agenda and a second and then <br> 25 we can -- I will call the roll on that at that point |
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| and then -- then we will need a motion to approve the <br> execution of the modified agreement dated 4-21-2017 at <br> 9:57 a.m. subsequently. So that would be the <br> procedural -- what we need to do currently to achieve all that. <br> So that's what I would recommend at this <br> point. If Commissioner Kimble would withdraw his <br> second and Commissioner Chan will withdraw her motion <br> and a new motion was made to approve the agenda item <br> with a second, I will call the roll and then we will <br> move on to the -- the item -- the ISA itself. <br> Does that -- is that clear with you, <br> Mr. Chairman? Does that work for you? <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Yes. <br> Is that clear with all the commissioners? <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: This is Commissioner <br> Kimble. I withdraw my second. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: This is Commissioner <br> Chan. I'll withdraw my motion. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. The motions are <br> withdrawn and we go to the -- what point, Tom? <br> MR. COLLINS: So now we would need a <br> motion. Mr. -- Chairman Titla, on your recommendation, we would need a motion to approve the agenda and a second. | votes to approve the agenda. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. We have a <br> unanimous vote to approve the agenda. So we go to the one-item agenda now which is the IAS -- or ISA agreement. <br> And, Tom, can you give us a summary? <br> MR. COLLINS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, <br> Commissioners. We have worked with the Secretary of State's office to develop a document that in the view of -- my view and as a staff member achieves a number of objectives. <br> First, it will help ensure that the State <br> does have the infrastructure in place to have a broader <br> availability of public access to campaign finance <br> information and it -- which I think is a benefit to all voters from a voter education perspective. <br> I also believe that this document is drafted in a way that protects the Commission's downside risk which, as the Secretary of State's office is aware, was a principal priority of mine and also ensures that our -- that the Commission's own reports respecting participating candidates and certain <br> 23 independent expenditure reports will be part of that, <br> 24 the candidate finance system, which, again, will ensure <br> 25 that all of the filings that folks would want access to |
| ```09:49:20-09:50:04 \\ CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Is there aNone``` | 1 are in one place and folks can get access to them. <br> 2 So we think it's a -- it's an appropriate <br> 3 expenditure. We think it's -- we've worked well with <br> 4 the Secretary of State to do it. We're appreciate of <br> 5 Mr . Miller being here and his help on this. And so I <br> 6 recognize the comments with respect to Commissioner <br> 7 Kimble's comments. I think those are well taken. <br> My observation would be twofold. I think <br> 9 he's correct that there does -- and I personally <br> discussed the issue of flexibility with the Secretary's <br> office and agree with the language that is in there <br> that allows flexibility. It also requires them to <br> continue to make best efforts which we think will -- is <br> a meaningful term. <br> And, in addition, frankly, the payment <br> structure is -- one way to look at it is it is a large <br> payment in October, but another way to look at that is <br> that we're not making a payment in this fiscal -- in <br> this Secretary of State's fiscal year or July of this <br> fiscal year. So they will have gone, in effect, two <br> quarters into this project before we make any payment. <br> And so there's a -- there's actually a -- <br> from -- harking back to Deputy Secretary Miller's <br> 24 comments at the last meeting, the Secretary does bear <br> 25 some risk there that if there -- they will have paid |

those salaries in reliance on this without having
gotten that -- those initial payments as progress was broken down.
So in that sense, although, yes, it is a
larger payment, it is a larger payment in the second
fiscal quarter of the Secretary's office. We're in the
fourth quarter of this fiscal year then. So there's
two quarters that we're not paying, and so there's a --
there's a balance of the -- of the risk versus the size
10 of the payment in October that I think warrants, you
know -- you know, that's all I would just make -- make
sure everybody understands that essentially the
Secretary of State is structuring this in a way that
they have to go essentially six months without payment
and then they get a larger payment.
So it's kind of a -- it does have a balance
to it that I -- that I think is -- puts the Secretary's
office in a position where they have skin in the game
leading up to that six-month payment, and I think
that's -- that's -- that's an important thing to
acknowledge.
And other than that, I would just ask that
23 you approve the execution of the agreement that is
24 dated 4-21-2017 at 9:57 a.m. and approve my execution
25 of that -- of that agreement or to -- well --

1 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Tom, thank you
for your comments. This is Chairman Titla.
3 Any comments or action by the
commissioners?
5 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, this is
Commissioner Meyer.
7 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioner Meyer?
8 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I had a question.
It's in Volume --
10 MR. COLLINS: Oh, I'm sorry. 4-25 at 2:16.
11 I'm sorry. I had the wrong date on my motion. I'm
12 sorry -- my suggestion. I'm sorry. But never mind. I
13 apologize. So -- I'm sorry.
COMMISSIONER MEYER: That's okay. You're
referring to the redline, correct, Tom?
MR. COLLINS: Yeah, I think I -- I think I
17 referred to the wrong document. I'm trying to now find
18 the right document. We're actually -- I'm sorry.
19 We're talking about 4-25 at 2:16 p.m. I apologize.
20 That is not 4-21 at $2: 17$. It's $4-25$ at $2: 17$ which is
21 the -- that is the document that -- it is a -- it is
22 a -- it is a redline, but, you know, we'll obviously
23 accept the changes and formalize -- memorialize that
24 and then execute it, but I just -- I apologize for -- I
25 have -- I have too many pieces of paper in front of me.

1 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Too many versions.
2 MR. COLLINS: Right. So just so we're
3 clear that that is the version.
4 And, Joe, if that's wrong, please say the
5 word.
6 MR. ROTH: Yeah. I'm -- the most
7 up-to-date version is the one that has 4-25 at 2:15.
8 MR. COLLINS: Okay. Good.
9 So I apologize, Commissioners, but yes. So
10 now we have the right version and I apologize.
11 And, please, Mr. Chairman, I return back to 12 you the floor to just -- you know, to recognize whoever
13 you want to recognize.
14 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Who was that 15 speaking? Commissioner Meyer?
16 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
17 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Go ahead.
18 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Thank you.
19 And, Tom, thank you for your comments. I
20 had a question on this -- it's page 4. It's paragraph
21 B. I think it's the same paragraph that Commissioner
22 Kimble was asking about. And I guess when I read this
23 paragraph, you know, it's essentially -- let's start
24 from the premise that we're agreeing to pay these funds
25 once we have the deliverables. And this paragraph
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1 essentially says, you know, even if the Secretary of
2 State's office runs into an issue and can't deliver
3 every -- every objective of a particular phase of the
4 project, that they won't be in breach.
5 And I guess I want us to understand that.
6 Does this mean that the Commission is still going to
7 make -- be obligated to make their payments pursuant to
8 the schedule that's on Exhibit 1? And then I had a
9 follow-up to that.
10 MR. COLLINS: Okay. Well, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioner Meyer, if I can answer the first question first.

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Sure.
MR. COLLINS: I would say two things about that. One, the provision requires the Secretary to be
6 continuing to make their best -- their best efforts.
7 And, in addition, the agreement provides that the
18 Secretary shall -- under Section 4F, the Secretary
19 shall make an accounting of expenditures prior to
20 invoicing the Commission. So those provisions read
21 together mean that, you know, were we provided an
22 invoice that was -- that was for work that was not
23 completed, we would have an accounting of why that was
24 and also be able to talk to them about -- about what
25 reasonable efforts they are making.

1 I believe that that's appropriate
2 protection, and especially because the alternative of a
3 fixed date leads us to a situation where if that gets
4 missed and we end up having to renegotiate, it's not
5 very efficient. So I think the requirement under 4F
6 that there's an accounting prior to invoicing and the
reasonable efforts together ensure that the Secretary
will be obligated to inform the Commission of its
status and its progress prior to invoicing and be
10 able -- and by that -- and by that mechanism show that
it is making reasonable efforts or not making
reasonable efforts. So I think it provides protection
for the Commission on that issue.
COMMISSIONER MEYER: And can I follow up with that, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Continue, Commissioner
Meyer.
COMMISSIONER MEYER: So I guess my question
19 is, Tom, I think you're talking about discretion that
20 the Commission would have.
So I guess my question is if you reach the
22 decision that, you know, you review the accounting and
23 we determine not all -- not all the deliverables have
24 been met, you know, you review the accounting and have
25 some real issues as to reasonable efforts and why
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1 something isn't done at that time, what discretion, if
2 any, does the Commission have to say, you know what,
3 we're not sending you $\$ 150,000$ right now. We're going
4 to send you 100, but not 150 . And once you complete
5 this other deliverable, then we'll send you the other 650.

7 Is there any type of discretion like that
8 and does anyone think there should be if there's not?
9 MR. COLLINS: Well, to answer your question
10 before the commissioners discuss it, Chairman Titla,
11 Commissioner Meyer, let me put it this way: I would
12 anticipate that in the event that reasonable steps are
13 being taken, that that would not come up. I don't
14 think that the -- the terms are structured in such a
15 way that that -- that if there are reasonable efforts
16 there is not breach.
17 If we determine that efforts -- reasonable
18 efforts are not being made, then there is an argument
19 for breach and we would raise that issue through the
20 breach provisions of the provision which they're --
21 and Lee Miller can speak to that. He's actually come
22 to the podium and he may be able to speak to that, if
23 that's helpful to you, Commissioner Meyer.
24 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Sure. And I thank
25 you, Tom. And I'd also like to get the other

1 commissioners' thoughts on that as well as
2 Mr. Miller's.
3 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Any comments by 4 the commissioners?
5 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: This is Mark Kimble.
6 I think Commissioner Meyer reflects my concern.
7 And, Tom, I understand what you're saying
8 about Item F about making an accounting of the
9 expenditure, but my concern is the same as Commissioner
10 Meyer that they may well have -- have paid people the
11 money to do what they said they were going to do, but
12 our concern is we want to make sure that we're getting
13 what -- we're getting a product they said they would
14 produce, not just that they're paying the people to do
15 it because that was kind of the problem with the --
16 with the last undertaking even though we weren't
17 involved in it. They had paid a lot of money for it
18 but didn't have the product.
19 So I am supportive of Commissioner Meyer's
20 concern that we need some kind of way to make sure that
21 as the process goes along, that there are -- there's a
22 way for us to say the deliverables are not here.
23 Therefore, what are we going to do about the payment?
24 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Any more comments,
25 Commissioners?

10:03:07-10:04:49
1 COMMISSIONER PATON: This is Galen Paton.
2 I would like to hear from Mr. Miller on this topic.
3 MR. COLLINS: Mr. -- Chairman Titla, if you
4 could recognize Mr. Miller from the Secretary's office.
5 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Mr. Miller?
6 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
7 members of the Commission.
8 In addition to the various paragraphs that
9 we've been focusing on here in Section 4 of the
10 agreement, let me also draw your attention to paragraph
113 A on -- on page 2 which from the Secretary's point of view, I think is the language which addresses the concerns being raised here today. We have -- as described in 3A, we have an ongoing obligation starting with the execution of this agreement to keep you-all informed how development is going.

There is -- there is this project plan
that's attached here today and we have every intention
of achieving all those deliverables on all those dates,
but it won't be on October 1st or September 30th where
we come to you-all and say, hey, you know, you're going
to get 90 percent of what we promised at execution on
October 1st and here's the 10 percent we're still
working on.
25 We read the language in paragraph 3 A and
our obligation to keep you informed on a regular basis as to how we're doing and any revisions to the project plan we think are necessary and appropriate as the -as the mechanism by which you're going to have comfort
5 that we're doing what we're supposed to do and that 6 you're -- whatever payments are made are reasonably 7 reflective of what is being delivered.
8 And let me absolutely assure the Commission
9 that if -- if we -- if we got to October 1st and I had
1090 percent of the functionality ready for beta testing,
11 I would not expect $\$ 150,000$ from the Commission. I
would expect 90 percent of $\$ 150,000$ from the
Commission.
To the extent we're going to -- we're going
to have issues to work through is, you know, perhaps what's each piece and part, how much -- how much is
each piece and part of the overall functionality worth,
but while it is absolutely my intention to deliver 100
percent of the functionality for beta testing on
20 October 1st, rest assured that if some -- if something
21 needs to be modified, first of all, you'll know about
22 it as soon as I know about it and that we will be
23 continuing to talk about how the project plan might
24 evolve and what the payment schedule might evolve --
25 and how the payment schedule will evolve as well.
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MR. COLLINS: And, Commissioners -- this is Tom. Mr. Chairman, if I may.
CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Continue, Tom.
MR. COLLINS: I would -- I think -- I think
that's right, and I do think that both 3 A and 4 F
basically taken together mean that. Essentially,
because the Secretary's office is obligated to provide
an accounting, you know, that accounting preceding the
invoicing would mean that the invoicing would relate to
the accounting. And so there's a -- there's a tie
there that I think is direct and should, in combination
with -- I really believe the Secretary's desire to get
this done in a working order is stronger than -- well,
is as strong as it could be.
So I think that -- I think that we have
this designed in a way that allows flexibility but does
protect the downside risk of the Commission, and I
do -- I do really believe that that's true.
CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Any questions by the 20 commissioners?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner
Meyer.
CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioner Meyer?
23 COMMISSIONER MEYER: One other comment. I
25 mean, I thank Mr. Miller for his comments. And I

1 had -- you know, obviously there's no reason for us to
2 doubt your efforts and the Secretary's efforts, you
3 know. I still am just a little concerned about the
4 great amount of discretion that the Secretary's office
5 seems to have and the more limited amount of discretion
6 that we have in the payments.
7 I mean, we have a black-and-white payment
8 plan here and then there's discretion and leeway for
9 the Secretary of State's side. And I appreciate the
10 statement that, you know, hey, if we're 90 percent
11 there we're going to only ask for 90 percent of the
12 money, but if you go to page 7 and look at paragraph 10
13 of this agreement, I mean, that's essentially an
14 integration clause that this is the whole agreement and
15 none of that -- and these representations aren't going
16 to be enforceable.
17 So I guess that's -- that's just a concern
18 I have that I'm raising, and if there's a way to add a
19 phrase in here -- I don't think it has to be a
20 significant reworking of the agreement, but is there a
21 way to add a phrase in here that's something in the
22 lines that the Commission has the discretion to
23 evaluate -- you know, if deliverables aren't made, that
24 the Commission has discretion to make a payment on a
25 pro-rata basis such as what Mr. Miller has described
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1 here?
2 MR. COLLINS: Do you want to go first?
3 Mr. Chairman, Mr. Miller has a response to
4 that if you would care to recognize him.
5 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Mr. Miller?
6 MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner
7 Meyer, from my perspective, I think we've already
8 addressed the concern you're looking for in the last
9 sentence of paragraph 3A on page 2 in that it is -- it
10 is entirely up to the Commission working through its
11 executive director how that -- how that project plan is
12 changed.
13 I don't have -- I don't the discretion.
14 The Secretary's office doesn't have the discretion to
15 unilaterally announce modifications, changes to the
16 project plan. That discretion is entirely yours, and
17 so we will have to convince you-all, especially Tom,
18 that as a matter of fact, we are working as diligently
19 as possible and that to the extent we request that
20 agreement that a piece or part of the overall plan be
21 delivered -- just to make up a date -- on October 31st
22 instead of October 1st, that the ability to approve or
23 disapprove that proposal is entirely yours.
24 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Mr. Miller, thank you
25 for your statements. And we're looking at 3A, right,
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| 1 the agreement? | 1 that, but the concern, I think, is that it doesn't |
| 2 MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. | 2 really say that outright clearly. And in agreements |
| 3 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: You're saying the last | 3 that are made or in contracts that are made, it is |
| 4 sentence should address this concern, and the last | 4 within the four corners of the document, I think is |
| 5 sentence, as I read it, says that "All the execution of | 5 what they told me in contract 101 at law school, if I |
| 6 all duties and responsibilities of either the Secretary | 6 remember correctly. |
| 7 or the Commission respecting See the Money shall follow | 7 MR. COLLINS: You do. |
| 8 the See the Money Project Plan, as it may be revised | 8 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: So we're saying here |
| 9 and modified from time to time, with the written | 9 that that means that, but it really doesn't say that is |
| 10 consent of the Commission or its executive director." | 10 what I'm saying. |
| 11 So, Mr. Miller, so you are saying that that | 11 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman -- |
| 12 sentence to you means that if only 90 percent is | 12 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: So is there a way to |
| 13 finished by you at a certain time, then only 90 percent | 13 say what we're saying it means? |
| 14 can be paid by the Commission for this project plan? | 14 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman and with |
| 15 Is that what you're saying? | 15 Mr . Miller in the room and everybody on the phone, I |
| 16 MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, yes. | 16 think I have a suggestion for that and -- which is in |
| 17 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Any -- any | 17 item -- turning back to Item 4 F on page 5 of the |
| 18 questions by the Commission on this issue? | 18 4-25-27, 2016 [sic] document, if we were to add a line |
| 19 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, this is Tom, if | 19 to Section F that says the Commission shall consider |
| 20 I could just get -- be recognized real briefly. | 20 this accounting in determining its payment obligation |
| 21 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay, Tom. | 21 pursuant to Exhibit 1 on a pro-rata basis. |
| 22 MR. COLLINS: The version -- the version | 22 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Commissioners, |
| 23 that we're actually looking to execute, that language | 23 what do you think? |
| 24 is a little different. It's substantially the same, | 24 MR. COLLINS: And, Lee, what do you think? |
| 25 but it actually reads "No modification to the See the | 25 COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner |
| 10:12:28-10:13:58 Page 27 | 10:15:17-10:16:11 Page 29 |
| 1 Money Project Plan is effective until approved in | 1 Meyer. |
| 2 writing by the executive director of the Commission or | 2 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioner Meyer? |
| 3 its designee." So we -- we sharpened that sentence a | 3 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I think that is a good |
| 4 little bit in the draft that you actually were looking | 4 idea. And can I make another suggestion? |
| 5 to approve. That's -- the Secretary wrote that | 5 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Go ahead. |
| 6 sentence. | 6 COMMISSIONER MEYER: That would be on |
| 7 So -- and, you know, the -- so I just | 7 page 4 in paragraph B where we kind of all started |
| 8 wanted to make sure that we're all working from the | 8 here. |
| 9 same version given that I created a confusion at the | 9 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. |
| 10 very beginning. The -- | 10 COMMISSIONER MEYER: And I think it's the |
| 11 COMMISSIONER PATON: So this is the | 11 second-to-the-last sentence where it says the |
| 12 comparison draft? This is Galen Paton. | 12 Secretary's inability to deliver every objective of a |
| 13 MR. COLLINS: Right. That's right. The | 13 particular phase of the project, that entire sentence. |
| 14 comparison draft is -- is the correct version | 14 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. |
| 15 And I guess I'll leave it there. I just | 15 COMMISSIONER MEYER: That's the sentence |
| 16 want to make sure everyone is on the right draft. | 16 that worries me. And that line that says discretion, |
| 17 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay, Tom. Thank you | 17 that's the -- that's the concern I have because I read |
| 18 for the corrections. | 18 that to mean, well, the Secretary can provide, you |
| 19 Now, from what Commissioner Meyer and | 19 know, three out of the four deliverables but it's not |
| 20 Commissioner Kimble expressed, I can understand what | 20 going to be a breach. And there's no sort of equal |
| 21 they're saying there because what we're saying, I | 21 discretion for the Commission to say, well, in that |
| 22 think, here is that if -- just for example, | 22 instance, we'll evaluate what you've done and pay you |
| 23 hypothetically, if only 90 percent is done at a certain | 23 pro-rata. |
| 24 time, then only 90 percent will be paid on the project. | 24 So I think a sentence after that and before |
| 25 And we're saying that this sentence that you read means | 25 the one that says "notwithstanding," maybe you could |
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| add a sentence in there about the, you know, in the <br> event this takes take place, in the event the Secretary <br> fails to deliver every objective, the Commission shall <br> have -- you know, use reasonable discretion and detain <br> making a pro-rata payment for what's been delivered, something along those lines. <br> MR. COLLINS: Is that the same thing? <br> Okay. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Based on what Tom was <br> just suggesting, I just think it's maybe a more -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: -- a more appropriate <br> location. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. So that's helpful. <br> Mr. Chairman, if I could have the floor for <br> one minute just to read back what I think this is and <br> then we will put Mr. Miller on the spot here a little <br> bit and ask him if this is going to work, but -- <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Tom, go ahead. <br> MR. COLLINS: So that would say, then, the <br> Secretary is using reasonable efforts and diligence to <br> 22 deliver the required objective in each phase of the <br> 23 project, period. In the event the Secretary is unable <br> 24 to complete an objective, the Commission shall have <br> 25 discretion to make payment on a pro-rata basis. | is that you end that proposed sentence with some <br> language which essentially says reflective of what is <br> 3 actually delivered. <br> 4 <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. So pro-rata basis <br> reflective of what is actually delivered. <br> 6 Is that -- okay. So, Mr. Chairman, <br> 7 Mr. Meyer, does that -- does that sentence -- so I'll <br> read it back to you one more time just for the record here. <br> 10 So after the word "Project," new sentence: <br> 11 In the event the Secretary is unable to complete an <br> 12 objective, the Commission shall have discretion to make <br> 13 payment on a pro-rata basis actually reflective of <br> 14 the -- of the work completed. <br> 15 MR. MILLER: Sure. <br> 16 MR. COLLINS: Any thoughts? <br> 17 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Any comments by the <br> 18 Commission? <br> 19 COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner <br> 20 Meyer. I mean, I think that works. That addresses my <br> 21 concern. I'm always hesitant to draft contractual <br> 22 language on the fly. <br> 23 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Yeah. Tom, can we -- <br> 24 COMMISSIONER MEYER: But I would -- <br> 25 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Can you draft this |
| 1 And then to -- and then to try to kind of <br> keep this consistent with where we're -- where we are, <br> but I would suggest, to make this easier for <br> Mr. Miller, that we also add a line that says but -- <br> but shall determine that some payment shall be made, <br> you know, or that -- you know, that we need to -- we <br> also need to not -- frankly, to make this deal work, I <br> don't want to put -- and this is up to Lee, to some <br> extent, whether or not he needs this or not. So if the <br> sentence is -- let me back up a second. <br> So the sentence as I understand, <br> Commissioner Meyer, would be new sentence after the <br> work "Project": In the event the Secretary is unable <br> to complete an objective, the -- the Commission shall <br> have discretion to make pro -- make payments on a <br> pro-rata basis -- to make payment on a pro-rata basis. <br> You know, so the question then becomes -- and, <br> Mr. Chairman, if I could -- if you could indulge me in <br> somewhat of a conversation with Lee about this here <br> just -- does that work or -- <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Yeah, go ahead, Tom. <br> MR. COLLINS: Is there -- is there <br> additional language that would be helpful to sort of <br> balance that out if that's acceptable? <br> 25 MR. MILLER: The only request we would make | 1 again and then send it out to the commissioners and let's have another special meeting to review final, a document that we have actually read and seen and reviewed? <br> 5 MR. COLLINS: That is the Commission's -- <br> if that's the Commission's pleasure, that is something 7 we can -- we can certainly do. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioners, is that okay with you? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, this is <br> Commissioner Meyer -- <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I quite honestly <br> don't feel that's necessary. I'm -- I'm very <br> comfortable with the language as Tom read it back. I <br> yield to the numerous lawyers involved in this process, <br> 16 but I think that that protects the concerns that I have <br> 17 and I think it also protects the Secretary's office. <br> 18 And I don't know there's a need for additional <br> 19 redrafting, but that's more of a lawyerly question <br> 20 than -- than I think anything I can deal with. <br> 21 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, this is <br> 22 Commissioner Chan. I would -- I would agree with <br> 23 Commissioner Kimble. That's just my opinion and, of <br> 24 course, if any of the other commissioners -- <br> 25 specifically, Commissioner Meyer, if you prefer to take |
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| 1 some time, I understand that, but I'm comfortable, I <br> 2 think, based on what I've heard today from Staff and 3 the new language. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Mr. Chairman? <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioner? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: This is Galen Paton. <br> I would agree with Commissioner Chan. I know time is of the essence to the Secretary's office, and I <br> think -- from what I heard from that statement, I think that it addresses the concerns of Mr. Kimble and Mr. Meyer. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. What are the wishes of the Commission on this document? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner <br> Meyer. One last point. <br> Based upon the comments of my fellow <br> commissioners, if they're comfortable with this <br> language and Mr. Collins is comfortable, then I don't <br> see a need to, you know, terminate the meeting -- <br> adjourn the meeting and then reconvene at a later date. <br> And we can just approve it today if that's -- if that's <br> what the Commission chooses to do. <br> And I also just want to put on the record, <br> I'm not meaning to be a stickler on this, Mr. Miller. <br> 25 I'm just -- essentially what I'm trying to do is just | 1 I think that when it comes to a motion, I <br> would make two suggestions. One, that the motion <br> approve the draft with the authority to both, you know, <br> make nonsubstantive cleanups because obviously we're <br> looking at this in a -- in a redline version and to <br> approve the specific language: In the event that the <br> Secretary is unable to complete an objective, the <br> Commission shall have discretion to make payment on a <br> pro-rata basis actually reflective of the work <br> completed added to Section B -- actually, under "The <br> Commission shall" in Subpart 3 of the contract. <br> That's a little complicated as a motion, <br> but that -- that is what we're looking for. We need <br> authority to, you know -- you know, basically, get the <br> document cleaned up in the final form, execute it and <br> then put that sentence in. And those are the three <br> things that we're looking for in a motion. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman? <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Chairman Chan? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I would so move. <br> Tom, is that acceptable? <br> MR. COLLINS: Joe, does that work for you <br> as a motion? <br> 24 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: I have a motion by <br> 25 Commissioner Chan -- excuse me. I said "Chairman |
| 1 draft into the contract, you know, what we're hearing <br> 2 today. And I appreciate your willingness to do that <br> 3 and I appreciate Tom and Staff's willingness to kind of <br> 4 work with us on this as well. So I hope that all makes <br> sense why I'm requesting this provision. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. That is <br> understandable with me, Commissioner Meyer. I think <br> that's a good point to make here because having dealt <br> with numerous contracts over the years of my 33 years <br> as an attorney, what they say is that the devil is in <br> the detail. And I find that to be true, and I'll be <br> most comfortable if we had -- what Commissioner Meyer <br> said, if we had another document that we reviewed. <br> That would be my most comfort zone, but seeing that the <br> majority of the Commission are saying that let's <br> approve the document, then is there a motion to approve <br> this document, Commissioners? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, one last question. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Tom, are you <br> comfortable? Are you comfortable with this language? <br> MR. COLLINS: Yeah. Mr. Chairman -- or <br> Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Meyer, I'm comfortable with this language. | 10:25:31-10:26:19 <br> Chan," but Commissioner Chan. <br> We have a motion to approve this document <br> as stated by Executive Director Collins. <br> Is there a second? <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: This is Commissioner <br> Kimble. I second. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioner Kimble <br> seconds. <br> Any comments? Discussions? <br> (No response.) <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: If not, shall we have a <br> roll call vote, Tom? <br> MR. COLLINS: I can call the roll if you -- <br> if there's no further discussion, Mr. Chairman, if you <br> just say the word. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Okay. Call the roll. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. Commissioner Meyer? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: I vote aye. <br> MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Kimble? <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. <br> MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Paton? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. <br> MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Chan? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. |
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| MR. COLLINS: And Chairman Titla? <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Yeah, aye. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. Mr. Chairman, there <br> are five aye votes. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. The motion <br> passes. The document is passed with the changes as <br> noted by Executive Director Collins. So it is <br> approved. <br> Tom, can you send us the final document? <br> MR. COLLINS: Of course. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Is there any <br> more discussion on anything else? <br> MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, <br> Commissioner Meyer. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Commissioner <br> Meyer? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: I want to again thank <br> Mr. Miller and Mr. Collins for their work on this and <br> for their ability to work with us and their willingness <br> to work with us today. <br> 22 Thank you. <br> 23 CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Any more comments? <br> 24 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, this is <br> 25 Commissioner Chan. I would echo Commissioner Meyer's, | MR. COLLINS: No, there is not. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: If not, what's next on <br> the agenda? <br> MR. COLLINS: Adjourn -- motion to adjourn. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Is there a motion to adjourn? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I move to adjourn, <br> Mr. Chairman. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Second. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Commissioner Chan <br> motioned. Second by Commissioner Meyer. <br> All in favor say aye. <br> (Chorus of ayes.) <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Opposed? <br> (No response.) <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Abstain? <br> (No response.) <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: We are adjourned. <br> Thank you, Commissioners. We'll see you <br> next time. <br> (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 10:28 a.m.) |
| you know, thanking of Mr. Miller for being so willing <br> to be here. And, frankly, it's been very nice to have <br> you work with us on this. <br> And, Tom, thank you for your hard work and all the staff for getting this done. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Any more <br> comments by the commissioners? <br> (No response.) <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: If not, those comments <br> are good. <br> Good work, Tom, and Mr. Miller. Good work. <br> Is there a motion to adjourn? <br> MR. COLLINS: Just as a formal matter, <br> Mr. Chairman, there is a public comment item, but there <br> is no one -- <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: There are -- no one seems <br> willing to comment, unless we make Mike do it. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Wait. Let me call for it. <br> MR. COLLINS: Yes, please. <br> CHAIRPERSON TITLA: Okay. Let's go to <br> public comment. <br> 24 Is there any public comment by anyone? <br> 25 (No response.) | STATE OF ARIZONA ) <br> COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) <br> BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that $I$ was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. <br> I FURTHER CERTIFY that $I$ am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties thereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 28th day of April, 2016. |
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