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| PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN <br> ELECTIONS COMMISSION convened at 9:34 a.m. on November 16, 2017, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections Commission, 1616 West Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the following members: <br> Mr . Damien Meyer, Acting Chairman <br> Mr. Mark S. Kimble <br> Mr. Galen D. Paton <br> OTHERS PRESENT: <br> Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director <br> Paula Thomas, Executive Officer <br> Sara Larsen, Financial Affairs Officer <br> Mike Becker, Policy Director <br> Alec Shaffer, Web Content Manager <br> Stephanie Cooper, Executive Support Specialist <br> Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General <br> Joseph La Rue, Assistant Attorney General <br> Kara Karlson, Assistant Attorney General <br> Joseph A. Kanefield, Ballard Spa <br> Morgan Dick, Az Advocacy Network <br> Rivko Knox, LWV/AZ | 1 (No response.) <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Motion carries <br> unanimously. <br> Item Number III on the agenda is "Discussion and Possible Action on Executive Director's Report." <br> Mr. Collins? <br> MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, <br> we want to go through just a few things. It's a little <br> 9 more extensive report than we've had from time to time. <br> 10 But as you may or may not know, under 16-949, the Auditor <br> 11 General takes a look at our spending in the Clean <br> 12 Elections fund every four years. And as you'll see in the <br> 13 letter attached to the review, they found no instances of <br> 14 noncompliance or significant deficiencies. And Sara and <br> 15 Paula worked to make sure that all their questions were <br> 16 answered as they came up. So we're pleased with that, and <br> 17 that's good news. <br> 18 As you can see, we have a very active voter <br> 19 education calendar. We do have a new "ID at the Polls" <br> 20 tool on our website. So if you go to <br> 21 azcleanelections.gov/idatpoll, something -- well, I think. <br> 22 I should have gotten that URL, but I don't -- But it is <br> 23 also available on our website at our announcements. You <br> 24 don't need to know the URL. <br> 25 It's actually really a pretty cool tool. |
| PROCEEDING <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good morning. We'll <br> call to order the Citizens Clean Elections Commission <br> meeting on Thursday, November 16th, 2017, at 9:30. I'm <br> Commissioner Damien Meyer. I will be acting chair today <br> due to Commissioner Titla's absence. We're down two <br> commissioners today. Commissioner Titla and Commissioner <br> Chan are not here, but we do have a quorum, so we will proceed. <br> Item Number II on the agenda is "Discussion <br> and Possible Action on Commission Minutes for the <br> September 28, 2017, meeting." <br> Any questions or comments on the minutes <br> from our last meeting in September? And if not, a motion to approve. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman, I move <br> that we approve the minutes for the Commission meeting of September 28th, 2017. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Second. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton <br> seconds that motion. <br> All in favor say aye. <br> (Chorus of ayes.) <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All opposed? | 1 You click through, it asks you what documents you have, <br> 2 whether those documents meet the requirements. And then, <br> 3 of course, if you don't have the documents that are there, <br> 4 it urges you to give us a call so we can help you figure <br> 5 out what you're missing and how to get it. <br> 6 And Gina's out today working right now on <br> 7 voter education stuff. <br> So far we have 37 legislative candidates, 17 <br> 9 statewide candidates. <br> I want to get to the other stuff that I <br> think is a little more substantial. Some of this stuff you may already know about. <br> We did get sued yesterday, although I don't <br> think we have been served. The Arizona Advocacy Network <br> 15 and a number of state lawmakers and a union sort of filed <br> 16 a lawsuit. The upshot of the lawsuit is that when the <br> 17 legislature passed Senate Bill 1516 back in 2015, that <br> 18 portions of that don't comply with the Voter Protection <br> 19 Act and there may be some other claims in there as well. <br> 20 I haven't had a chance to read the full Complaint yet. <br> 21 We'll be working with counsel on that presumably in time <br> 22 for the next meeting or if we need to talk about it <br> 23 sooner. <br> 24 I will say there's been one news story, <br> 25 which I'll get to you, on this in the AP, and the Speaker |

of the House of Representatives said, "Well, there's no way we could have done anything to the Clean Elections Commission" -- But the headline, as you see on the thing, is the press uses the advocacy organization thinks this is
5 a -- believes this is a step necessary to defend what we
6 do. The Speaker of the House said in the news story,
7 "There's no way we could have done that because we didn't
8 get a three-quarter vote for 1516." So in some sense, I
9 guess we're all in agreement, then, because, you know,
10 last year in a vote of four yeses and one abstention, you
know, we voted to reject language that said
"notwithstanding any law or rule, you shall do this" in our rules.

So I guess the Speaker needs to call the
chairwoman of GRRC and we can get this all resolved without any further adieu.

That was a joke.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I smiled.
MR. COLLINS: You smiled. Well, you're not
0 paying me for the jokes, but, you know -- That comes
free.
I want to point out that -- I think you all
know this as well -- an independent investigator hired by
the Attorney General to look at the Secretary of State's
distribution of 2016 special election publicity pamphlet
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released his report. That's available for you at that link.
The See the Money beta site is up,
seethemoney.gov. Folks are playing around with that and we hope giving feedback to the Secretary's Office. In the right corner, there's a feedback box where you can put in your name, email, and that will actually send an email
directly to the folks who are developing the site.
9 We also had some news this month in the case
10 of the former Attorney General Horne. There were other --
1 there were two parallel proceedings, one at Clean
Elections, one that had moved to the Attorney General's
Office and then been assigned to outside counsel. That
outside counsel ordered amendments onto Mr. Horne's
reports and essentially ratified the fine that this
Commission imposed on him in 2014. Because they
ordered some amendment of the reports under the
conciliation agreement that Mr. Horne reached with us, he
is obligated to follow through on that or appeal, so we'll
be getting back to you probably sometime early next year if there's anything further to be done there.

And then I also wanted to note Joe and Kara
are here. I guess effective -- effective recently, would
be a fair word, they have been moved out of the Solicitor General's section into a section of the AG's office that

1 is called Agency Counsel. My understanding is all the
2 election work will now be in the Agency Counsel except for
3 election-related enforcement actions, which are in another
4 section. I'll be working with Joe and Kara to figure out
5 what, if anything, about this changes the nature of their
6 work. But it's -- We've been represented by the
7 Solicitor General's office, I think, since the inception
8 of the program, in fact. So that's new. Not
9 necessarily -- you know, the impact of that is -- so far
10 has not been discernible, but just FYI. We will be
1 finding out how that changes things, if any.
So it's a bit longer report than usual, but a lot of activity in the last two months since we -- since we met. So I don't know if you have any questions about any of those things.

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman?
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble.
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Collins, I just
9 want to say that I came up to one of the training
20 workshops for participating candidates and was very
21 impressed with the program put on by Sara and Gina and
22 Stephanie. I learned a lot about what it takes to be a
23 participating candidate. But I thought they went through
24 a very complex set of rules and regulations in a way that 25 was clearly understandable to any candidates.
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1 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any other comments 2 or questions?
3 Tom, is the number of participating
4 candidates -- is that up or is that --
5 MR. COLLINS: It is up. I'm not sure --
6 this is the time of year where folks are -- it should be
7 up around now. It may come down depending upon who
8 actually ends up filing --
9 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sure.
10 MR. COLLINS: -- at the end. But so far
it's up. I don't know what percentage, but it is up a bit.

It's also a statewide election year, and we
have -- I will say the one thing -- we have a number of
contested primaries where -- with multiple clean
candidates who are planning to run clean, so that's going
to be -- that's going to raise the numbers there in the
statewide races specifically.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Item
0 Number IV on the agenda is "Discussion and Possible Action on MUR 17-01 Jesus Rubalcava."

Tom?
MR. COLLINS: I'm going to step down here
4 just for sake of -- you know, to address your questions
25 more easily.

1 As you all know, just to kind of bring you
up to speed, we have not heard from Mr. Rubalcava, to the
best of my knowledge.
MS. THOMAS: No, sir.
5 MR. COLLINS: I'm going to proceed. We
haven't heard from him since I filed this brief, to the
best of my knowledge. So just to walk you through the
procedure here really quickly, and then we'll get to the
9 substantive part of the penalties, we've gone through
10 essentially -- this process has gone through really four
phases, of which we think this is the last one. We
started with a random audit that Mr. Rubalcava was
selected for, gosh, more than a year ago now, I think was
the primary, right? That random audit came back with
enough discrepancies that we recommended a full audit of
all of his campaign activity, which we completed. It took
some time to complete, but that was finally completed. We
then in the spring, I think May -- I want to say May of
this year, we filed a reason-to-believe statement alleging
that there was reason to believe violations of the Act may have occurred.

In August, we asked you to issue a repayment
order for the corpus of the amount of money that we
believed that Mr. Rubalcava owed the fund, which you did.
And now we're at what we think is the
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ultimate resolution of this issue, which is the potential
to find probable cause to believe that the violations
occurred and to impose penalties.
In the meantime, as we all know,
Mr. Rubalcava resigned his position in the legislature.
And that's where we are.
So ultimately, we did an investigation. The investigation was -- we conducted it in a way that
attempted to be as efficient as possible to see if there
was any way to get, frankly, any further information from
Mr. Rubalcava about how he was handling his finances and
how he was spending his money. And as you can see as
outlined in the facts at page 3, we received very
little -- 3 and 4, we received very little in the way of new information, and that new information, frankly, was itself inconsistent with other information that had been
reported. So, you know, what we see, you know, in each of
these counts is -- is a real inconsistency in -- in
approach to reporting, to say the least. And when you get behind the reports and into the actual fund -- funds in his checking account, you find that there's just simply no way to match those up with the applicable law.
So we have reached some calculations with
24 respect to penalties. Under 16-942(A), the penalties for
25 violation of the contribution and expenditures limits in

1941 are 10 times the amount of the violation, so what
2 we've done in each count is aggregated what we think the
3 amount of the violation is. We think those numbers are --
4 I mean, I think that the briefs outline how we reached
5 those numbers. When there was -- You know, as in Count
6 One, there was a discrepancy where he either exceeded the
7 amount by 3,900 or 3,600 . We gave him the benefit of the
8 doubt there and are only asking for a penalty based on the
9 \$3,600 excess contribution.
10 But, you know, we think -- I will say that,
11 you know, as staff, we think that you have some discretion
12 to adjust these penalties. We think you can -- you can
13 certainly do that. But we do think that the brief
14 itself -- given that Mr. Rubalcava has not seen fit to
15 provide a defense, let alone a reason why the brief should
16 have been withdrawn, I don't want to belabor it, but we
17 think that there is probable cause to believe that the
18 statute -- that 941(A)(1) and 941(A)(5) and -- I'm trying
19 to find the other 940 citation here -- if we need to for
20 the record.
21 I'm sorry.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Collins?
MR. COLLINS: Yes.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I reviewed this
25 earlier. And I'm just kind of writing down numbers now.
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1 So there's, I think, six different recommended penalties
2 in here or potential penalties in here?
3 MR. COLLINS: Correct.
4 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are those
5 cumulative, as in --
6 MR. COLLINS: No. We broke those down per
7 count, so --
8 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. But what I'm
9 saying is if I add up all these penalties, it's about
$10 \$ 300,000$.
MR. COLLINS: That's correct.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that -- is that the maximum amount of the fine?

MR. COLLINS: Based on our calculations, based on what we've been able to put together through a combination of his bank accounts, record filing, and the audits and the effort to try to do some supplemental investigation, yes.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: So remind me. How 0 much money can he not account for, and how much money was
1 not paid back to the Commission? My recollection was like 15- to \$17,000.
MR. COLLINS: Right. So, yeah, there is
4 a -- The amount that he owes the Commission is around $25 \$ 17,000$. He qualified for approximately 15,5 in the
primary election and then he received $\$ 1,415$ in the
general election, and he hasn't repaid any of that at this
point. So yes, I mean, in terms of -- Yes.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton?
COMMISSIONER PATON: But he did repay
something from the general election?
7 MR. COLLINS: No, he did not.
8 COMMISSIONER PATON: I thought he repaid --
you paid him and then --
MR. COLLINS: Oh, well, I'm sorry, yes,
that's right.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Uncontested -MR. COLLINS: He was issued an amount of
money for the general election that was a mistake, and he
repaid the money he was not entitled to. And he did that
in a relatively timely basis.
MS. LARSEN: 30 days.
MR. COLLINS: 30 days. He took as much time
as he had to repay it.
COMMISSIONER PATON: So all these different
things are quite -- It's amazing all the different things
that don't add up. My concern is like on page 3 here,
where you've got -- he's got these invoices that he
submitted. Do these not count on how much he owes?
MR. COLLINS: That's a good question. We
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have certainly considered the possibility that he could have, had he appealed, asked for an offset on the repayment for monies that he could have produced. He hasn't done that as of yet. And that is to say he never -- He didn't file a timely appeal. I would suggest that -- There are two ways to look at that. If you want to take that into account as a mitigating factor here in this penalty, you know, there's a provision in 16-941(D) which essentially says -- you know, reiterates that if you violated certain parts of the statute, we take possession of -- you essentially owe the entirety of the amount of money you received from the Commission as a penalty. You could mitigate that there on that penalty. You could mitigate the penalty however you want. I mean, frankly, you could -- I think that the biggest -- You know, I can't do that math in my head.

COMMISSIONER PATON: I guess my concern -and I've talked to you before. My concern is that he legitimately spent money on these items, and those, to me, seem like legitimate campaign expenses. And my sense that -- I don't think we should penalize him if he proved that he spent that on these invoices. And I don't know how you do all your auditing or whatever, but, I mean, obviously, he didn't do things correctly, and we have no idea on some of the stuff what he spent money on. And, of

1 course, that's wrong. But these other things, to me, seem
2 like they're legitimate campaign expenses, and I would
3 hate to penalize somebody for spending -- I mean us
4 penalizing him after he spent this money on items that are
5 legitimate campaign expenses.
6 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Well -- Mr. Chairman?
7 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner
8 Kimball.
9 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I would like to give
10 him the benefit of the doubt, but I think he has been 1 totally irresponsible in refusing to answer any questions.
12 He was on a phone call in one meeting and said he would
13 accept whatever we decided.
14 And in August, we voted to order him to 15 repay $\$ 17,459$ within 30 days of the date of this order, 16 which was August 21st -- 22nd. No one's heard from the
17 guy since then. I mean, I'd like to give him the benefit
18 of the doubt, but he's not being very helpful here. And I
19 don't know what more we can do. I think we have -- As
20 the chairman pointed out, the potential penalties are
21 huge. We asked -- we agreed that he should repay \$17,459
22 and he won't even respond to that. How much further
23 should we go in trying to work with this guy if he won't
24 even talk to us?
25 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Larsen?

Page 17
1 MS. LARSEN: Chairman, Commissioner Paton, 2 to help answer your question a little bit, we actually are 3 not able to confirm that those were campaign expenses.
4 Those transactions did not come out of his campaign bank
5 account. So we don't even know if there's a -- The
6 column on the very right of that chart says "Campaign
7 Account Transaction." There's only one that we can verify
8 actually came out of his campaign bank account and was
9 properly reported, and it was just a fraction of that
10 expenditure that was properly reported. All of those
11 transactions were not properly reported on his campaign
12 finance reports in a timely manner, and four out of those
13 five did not even come out of his campaign bank account.
14 So we can't verify that those were campaign expenditures,
15 so that's why we did not subtract those out of the
16 penalty.
17 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to suggest 18 to my fellow commissioners here that we don't relitigate 19 something we've already decided. We decided back in 20 August by unanimous vote, I believe, that he was to repay
21 the \$17,500.
22 And that would include these transactions
23 that we're looking at here. Correct, Tom?
MR. COLLINS: It may or may not include 25 them. We don't know.

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what I'm trying to do is look at this big picture. We have ordered Mr. Rubalcava to pay $\$ 17,500$, which he has not done.
MR. COLLINS: Right.
5 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: We are sitting here 6 today at this penalty stage, I guess we would call it, and
7 what I am trying to understand is is staff recommending
8 the issuance of the $\$ 300,000$ fine or is that just a tally
9 of what the ultimate one is and you have a different
10 number you're recommending?
MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,
that's a good question. The way I have approached it is this. The first thing is we do need to establish that there is probable cause to believe. So you can take that, in my view -- And you have counsel of your own, if I'm wrong, who will correct. I'm sure you'll do it correctly. But in my view, you can find probable cause to believe based on the entirety of the memo or you can break it down count by count. That's just the probable cause -- these are the facts that there's probable cause to believe. So that's stage one of the penalty phase.

Assuming you were to decide that, in fact,
there was probable cause to believe the facts as we
presented them, you know, it's incumbent on us to give notice to Mr. Rubalcava of the available penalties.
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You know, I think that in the past, the
Commission has sua sponte reduced penalties of even
violators who have -- who have shown no interest in coming
into compliance. For example, the Legacy Foundation
Action Fund, which is a 501(c)(4) group we're still
litigating with. But we actually reduced -- the
Commission sua sponte reduced their penalties even though they had shown no signs of complying. They did actually
show up at the meetings and argue, but they didn't -- they
10 were not going to file their reports, and they haven't.
So my point would be I think that what you
need to -- you know, so if it's not improper for me to go
ahead and talk about the penalty prior to -- if there's no objection, I'm going to go ahead head and talk about the penalty.

MS. GALVIN: You may.
MR. COLLINS: You know, I think that the order needs to be a deterrent and the order needs to reinforce to the public that if folks are going to work with the Clean Elections funding program, which is an expressly anticorruption measure, and they're going to do stuff that is corrupt, that we're going to take that seriously as possible.
The reason why the fines are set at the
level they're set at is that is an initial deterrent.

1 What that should do, if you read the statute together, is bring someone in for an administrative conciliation. Our rules provide that he can -- Mr. Rubalcava can still seek that conciliation after this stage. He has an express
right under our rules to ask for that meeting with me to work on some kind of settlement.
So my thought would be, you know, you
don't -- I guess, in my view, you don't want to minimize
this, but on the other hand if, you know, we're saying,
you know, recognizing that there may be some value in
showing at least some leniency, I think that -- you know,
I think somewhere in the nature of 3 times the amount he
owes in repayment is an appropriate general number. So it
would be -- so 17,000 times 3 is approximately --
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: 51.
MR. COLLINS: Yeah. Is that right? Yeah.
That would bring his total owing to us to
77,000 -ish, which I think is a pretty significant number.
I think it has a deterrent effect and might bring him to
the table.
On the other hand, frankly --
I don't have my rule book in front of me.
On the other hand, you're entitled to, we
think, issue fines in the amount we have identified.
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: If I could say one
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1 thing, Mr. Chairman?
2 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Of course.
3 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I guess there's two 4 concerns I have. Number one, I understand that we want
5 some kind of a penalty to stress the importance of this.
6 Number two, I don't want to set a penalty so
7 high that he's going to look at this and say, "Well, I'm never going to repay this. I can't possibly afford this, so why even bother talking to them."

MR. COLLINS: Look, I think that's the issue
that you have. And I just wanted to note that because of
our compressed -- or, our limited membership, all of you
have to vote for this today in order for this to go
forward. So if this were to be under R2-20-215 and
R2-20-217, it requires three commissioners, and there are
three commissioners. So I just wanted to make clear that y'all are going to have to be unanimous on this.

Look, I mean, the other way we could do
19 this, and I'd be willing to do this, is there's nothing in
20 the rules that precludes making a probable cause finding
21 today, you know, putting off the penalty phase until --
22 until next month or the month after; reach out to, you
23 know, Mr. Rubalcava again, make him aware of his
24 situation. You know, so we think the total would end
25 up -- you know, owing would be 52,000 -- would be 69,000

| total in penalties and repayments. 69,836 . <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would <br> include the 17,5. <br> MR. COLLINS: Correct. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Plus -- <br> MR. COLLINS: 52 -- <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: -- three times that amount. <br> MR. COLLINS: Right. <br> You know, I think that the -- I think that's <br> also -- Well, so we could do that. We have that option <br> too. <br> The other option we have is to do the <br> probable cause to believe today, make another effort to <br> reach out to Mr. Rubalcava and say, "Look, this is <br> serious, you need to take this seriously," and come back <br> with a -- with a recommendation or make even a <br> conciliation, if that's possible. I don't know if that's <br> possible, but it's possibly more efficient. <br> I mean, ultimately, what happens with these <br> cases when we've had them in the past is we issue a <br> repayment order, that repayment order goes unpaid -- or, a <br> 23 penalty order. It goes unpaid, and until that person <br> 24 wants to do something, like run for office again or -- <br> 25 because you have to pay up all your fines to run for | somebody paid up kind of thing, which is not atypical, I think, in that kind of situation. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? <br> So, Tom, we voted for this fine in August. <br> How was Mr. Rubalcava notified of this? In other words, how could he say, "Well, I didn't know about this?" <br> MR. COLLINS: I don't think there's any way <br> he could say he didn't know about this. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So we know he was <br> notified? And how do we know he was notified? <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: He was on the phone when we did it. <br> MR. COLLINS: That's one way he knows. And <br> then we issued an order to him via -- probably via email, <br> mail. We process -- we served -- <br> MS. THOMAS: Yeah, we've done everything, <br> email, Federal Express, and even process server. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: And we've gotten some <br> kind of confirmation that it was delivered by Federal <br> Express? <br> MS. THOMAS: Yes. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: He was personally <br> served by the process server with this order? <br> 24 MS. THOMAS: I think his wife was served, <br> but we have that certification. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 office again, those kind of things. And then all of a sudden, they say, "Oh, we want to pay." And it ends up <br> 3 becoming something that gets worked out on the back end by the Attorney General's collections attorneys. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: That was a question <br> I had for Tom or maybe Ms. Galvin. Is this like a civil <br> judgment that we can collect in that manner? I mean, how do you collect on these fines? <br> MS. GALVIN: It would be referred to <br> Attorney General's Office, who has staff to collect on the judgment. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: So it is treated <br> like a civil judgment? Like you have the remedies like garnishments and foreclosures? <br> MS. GALVIN: That, I'm not sure what the <br> remedies are, but I do know that they proceed to collect. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're saying <br> they can't run for office until they pay up all their <br> state fines, which would include this one? <br> MR. COLLINS: That is correct. And in my <br> experience -- I mean, not to overstep my bounds here, but in my experience, when I was at the Attorney General's <br> 23 Office working on resolving some of these older fine <br> 24 cases, you know, we certainly were willing to threaten a <br> 25 debtor's exam. Once you threatened a debtor's exam, | COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: And since this August meeting, no one in Clean Elections has heard from him in any form. Is that accurate? <br> MR. COLLINS: We did get a response to the <br> subpoena. And the subpoena specifically -- We subpoenaed <br> him. The subpoena basically said, "Give us everything you've got that you can come up with." <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Recordwise. <br> THE WITNESS: Yes. <br> As you can see, it's noted in the memo, he <br> provided these copies of his campaign finance reports <br> again, and copies of these invoices were interspersed in <br> there, in no particular order. And as Sara has pointed out, they're not tied to anything. <br> And then he wrote in an email that came <br> along with the subpoena, "This is all I have and essentially everything else is lost." It continues to be his -- the one thing -- Despite the inconsistencies in accounting and the inconsistencies between campaign <br> finance reports and actual bank account records and the <br> inconsistent usage of personal accounts and campaign <br> accounts, the one thing that Mr. Rubalcava has been clear about is he lost everything. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: He lost all of his <br> documents? |
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MR. COLLINS: Right. Right.
2 And, unfortunately -- and in our view, just
getting back to the facts as opposed to the penalty, you
4 know, that is itself evidence you can -- we believe you
can take an inference that those documents do not exist
because he had an obligation, pursuant to our rules in
deciding to be a candidate that participated in Clean
Elections funding program, to keep track of that stuff.
And so we think you can take an adverse inference at this
point. His admitted dereliction with respect to keeping
those records we think is evidence they don't exist.
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So since
September 14th, when he responded to the subpoena, we have not heard from him?

MR. COLLINS: That's correct. Yes,
Mr. Chairman -- Commissioner Kimball.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton?
COMMISSIONER PATON: I don't think we should
go any farther with it, I mean, drag this out another
month. I mean, if people are taking classes now for the
upcoming election, whatever, this will be a good
reinforcer that -- I mean, it's been a year since the
last election, and I don't think dragging it on any longer is going to be a good thing.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just for the fellow
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commissioners, my thoughts are I believe there's a reason
to believe the factual predicate is there to support
moving forward with this. And I am -- I like to think
I'm, you know, sympathetic to these types of issues, but I
am mindful -- and I very vividly remember that August
meeting, which -- I was on the phone. I remember
Commissioner Kimball stating and reminding us that we are,
as the commissioners, stewards of these funds and we're
responsible to make sure that they're properly accounted for.

So it's my position that we move forward
with the factual findings that Tom has suggested and that
we issue the penalty of three times the amount owed, which
would put us at the 69,000 figure. That's my suggestion
how we move forward today. I welcome thoughts of my
fellow commissioners or if anyone wants to make a motion.
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman, I would
make that motion, or you certainly can if you -- I move
that we -- that we impose the penalties of three times the
amount of \$17,459.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: If I could hit the
pause button on you, Commissioner Kimball.
Procedurally here, do we need to make the
finding of the factual issue first to then move forward to
the penalty phase?

5 make that motion.
for a violation of the Act, as indicated in the
November 3, 2014, Probable Cause Recommendation and Notice
of Possible Penalties prepared by Mr. Collins.
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I second that.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All in favor say
aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposition?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: None.
So that motion carries three to zero, so we
have a quorum of all the commissioners -- or, the
necessary votes of all the commissioners.
So now we can move forward with the penalty phase.

And we can have Commissioner Kimble's motion
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1 be heard?
2 MS. GALVIN: Yes. He's sort of argued it earlier, but if he has anything additional to say.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think we've heard Tom's thoughts on this.

MR. COLLINS: Yeah, we're comfortable on this.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble, do you want to reurge your motion at this time on the penalty?

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Yes. I would move that we impose penalty of three times the amount of $\$ 17,459$ on Mr. Rubalcava in addition to the initial amount.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I will second that motion.

MS. GALVIN: Is that clear? I thought the three --

MR. COLLINS: From my perspective, that's
clear. So 3 times 17,459 , which happens to be 52,377 .
And then --
MS. GALVIN: Plus the initial.
MR. COLLINS: Plus the repayment amount.
MS. GALVIN: Plus --
MR. COLLINS: But I think from my
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| :---: | :---: |
| perspective, we don't need to treat the repayment amount <br> as a penalty. I think the penalty is 52,377 . It's true <br> that that is in addition, but if -- <br> MS. GALVIN: I think to phrase it -- <br> MR. COLLINS: As long as the record is clear <br> that the 52,377 is the penalty, I think that that would be <br> what staff recommendation would be. <br> MS. GALVIN: And in addition, the repayment <br> amount, I think, is -- <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Right. <br> MS. GALVIN: Okay. Very good, then. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. So just to be <br> clear, my motion is that he be penalized three times the <br> initial amount, which would be 52,377 , and also be ordered <br> to repay the initial amount of 17,459 within 30 days. <br> MS. GALVIN: Of the effective date of the <br> order. Very good. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Galvin, are we <br> good with that motion? <br> MS. GALVIN: Yes. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I will second that <br> motion. <br> All in favor . . . <br> I'm going to stop that based upon what I was <br> just observing. Do we need to amend this motion or -- | opposition. <br> The imposition of the penalty of $\$ 52,377$ <br> carries unanimously with all commissioners present. <br> MR. COLLINS: Thank you. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Moving on to <br> Agenda Item V, "Discussion and Possible Action on MUR 17-02 and 17-03 American Federation for Children." <br> MR. COLLINS: I hope you all -- <br> Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, you may have received from me an email late last night. We were unable to get all of the attorneys -- or, specifically AFC's attorney, who's in D.C. today, to get a couple of details nailed down. We had hoped -- As you can see in the proposed conciliation, there's a blank. We are not -- we weren't able to fill that in and we weren't able to get that done, so we are holding that until next month. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: So move on to Item VI? <br> MR. COLLINS: Please, Mr. Chairman. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Item Number VI, <br> "Discussion and possible action on Clean Elections <br> Surcharge and related issues with the Arizona <br> Administrative Office of the Courts." <br> MR. COLLINS: And, Mr. Chairman, for this, I <br> would turn this over to Mr. Kanefield, who has -- update |
| MR. COLLINS: I think, again, as I tried to <br> iterate, it would be helpful for us, for the record, to <br> have the amount of the penalty be 52,377 . We don't need <br> to reiterate the repayment amount in the motion. We want to -- <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Because we only <br> penalized him. <br> MR. COLLINS: Right. We want a clean record <br> on the two amounts. That would be our only request. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: So, Commissioner <br> Kimball, can you withdraw your prior motion? <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I will withdraw and <br> try to restate it again. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Third time's the charm. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: That we impose a <br> penalty of Mr. Rubalcava of three times the initial <br> amount, which is $\$ 52,377$. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I will second that motion. <br> All in favor say aye. <br> (Chorus of ayes.) <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All opposed? Nay? <br> (No response.) <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: There are no | you and advise you on this issue. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good morning, Mr. Kanefield. <br> MR. KANEFIELD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. <br> My recommendation would be the Commission go into a very short executive session for a quick update. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I move that we adjourn to executive session. <br> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Second. <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All in favor say aye. <br> (Chorus of ayes.) <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: There's no <br> opposition. The motion carries 3-0. <br> (The following section of the meeting <br> beginning at 10:19 a.m. is in executive session <br> and bound under separate cover.) <br> (End of executive session. Public meeting <br> resumes at 10:38 a.m.) <br> ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. We're <br> back in open session. Thank you. <br> We are on to agenda Item Number VII, <br> discussion and possible action on Executive Director's |

request for authorization to enter into an IGA with
Maricopa County for voter and public education purposes.
MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners, this is -- really, I'm just asking for your
permission to go ahead and draw up an agreement with
6 Maricopa County. What this is going to do is ensure that
7 there's a seamlessness between Maricopa County and Clean
Elections with respect to some of the -- some of the
technological things that we do to provide voters with
voter registration information and other information that
the county's providing them. The county prefers to do
this in the form of an intergovernmental agreement. The county does not at this point -- There's no cost
associated with this, and so -- and then, as a matter of 5 statute, the actual document would go through the Attorney General's Office as to form.

What you see in the memo outlines
essentially what we're going to put into the document in addition to whatever -- in addition to sort of the formal boilerplate of an IGA. That's pretty much it. If something comes up where I think that I need you to look at the IGA itself, I'm certainly happy to put that back on the agenda, but we decided that the most efficient way to get this done would be to simply ask for authorization to enter into an agreement that is substantially in the terms
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outlined in the memorandum and move forward from there
2 So I'd ask for a motion to authorize me to
proceed to enter into an agreement on terms substantially
the same as those outlined in the memo dated 11-14-17.
5 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'll make a motion that we authorize Mr. Collins to move forward in entering
the intergovernmental agreement with the Maricopa County
Recorder's Office consistent with the terms set forth in
9 his memorandum of November 14, 2017.
10 Is there a second?
11 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Second.
12 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. All in favor say aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposition?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Motion carries three
to zero.
On to Item Number VIII, "Discussion and
Possible Action on the 2018 Legislative Agenda."
MR. COLLINS: Yes, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners, you'll find here a memo that Mike has
updated for 2018. Right now we are in a position where we continue to be concerned about efforts to supersede the
25 Clean Elections Act specifically with respect to

1 independent expenditures, but, you know, that could also
2 include traditional candidates. We are looking to see how
3 the election procedures manual gets drafted. Secretary
4 Reagan's office has been working on the elections
5 procedures manual for several years now, and one of
6 the chapters in that manual is anticipated to be about
7 campaign finance, and that may itself cause legislative
8 action.
9 And then we are aware that at the very least
10 the legislature continues to be interested in campaign
11 finance and election-related laws. We've seen in a
12 presentation that the election director did to a
13 recertification class a list of potential tweaks to his
14 campaign finance bill that he did a couple years ago, so
15 we'll need to continue to weigh in on those. The
16 principles continue to be, you know, if it's a ballot
17 measure that's misleading or illegal, we continue to
18 believe that it's our role and appropriate for us to
19 oppose those at the legislature and explain why. We
20 think, likewise, when the legislature is at risk of
21 violating the Voter Protection Act, it's appropriate to
22 advise them of that.
23 Finally, I want to note -- and this was in
24 last year's memo as well -- that we have supported efforts
25 to enhance voter education and participation, and I think
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1 we will continue to do that. As you know, you'll receive
2 starting soon -- because the first bill has actually been
3 filed in the legislature for next year already, which
4 should strike terror in the hearts of all.
5 In any event, we'll be -- Mike will be
6 keeping us abreast of those on a monthly basis, and any
7 bills we think we need your specific feedback on, we'll
get that. But you'll get an ongoing report, as you have
in the past, of all the election-related bills. And that
10 really is the memo.
We ask you to approve these principles
because -- we ask you to approve this agenda because it's
important to us as staff members to be able to say that
there are principles that the Commission has endorsed that
we're authorized to lobby on because I think that it's
important for several reasons, but most importantly, in
echoing the comments Commissioner Paton's made in the
past, you know, we are staff members, but we are staff
members who are responding to the Commission, and it's
not -- We like to make clear to the legislature as much
as possible that this is a unified process where staff
takes its direction from the legislature -- or, from the
Commission. And that, I think, aids our communication to
the legislature and keeps us on a -- usually on legal
25 terms, but on terms that we can feel very comfortable that

1 we're going to -- we represent you when we're in front of
2 legislative bodies. And so we would ask that if you agree
3 with the legislative agenda, that you approve the 2018
4 state legislative agenda that is Item VIII in your
5 materials.
6 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any questions or
7 comments from the commissioners on the agenda?
8 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman?
9 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.
10 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Collins, I
11 understand that because of the political nature of the
12 current legislature, the makeup of it, that we're required
13 pretty much to play a strong defense. But is there -- is
14 there something more that we ought to be doing other than
15 seeking stronger support of our voter education efforts?
16 Even if we don't think we're going to get very far with
17 it, is there -- You know, we do a lot of sitting around
18 defending ourselves. Is there something we ought to be
19 doing to try to make this program stronger and at least
20 get out there and push it, instead of just saying "Don't
21 kill us"?
MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner
23 Kimball, there are two answers to that question. The
24 first is that, you know, we can -- we can certainly make
more statements to that effect. We have worked with
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1 members, particularly in the minority party, to try to get
2 them to -- when they're drafting their bills, to recognize
3 that the Commission is a more appropriate enforcer for
4 many of their proposals than the Secretary's Office or the
5 Attorney General's Office ever would be. That's something
6 we can continue to dialogue with them about.
7 Getting a Republican sponsor on
8 enforcement-related things as far as campaign finance goes
9 is increasingly difficult because this is -- Whereas
1020 years ago, campaign finance was not ideologically --
11 was a have/have not issue, not an R-D issue, it's
12 increasingly an R-D issue, except here. This body is
13 unique in its ability to reach conclusions on a unanimous
14 basis -- or, generally unanimous -- often unanimous, I
15 should say. So I think that that's a harder challenge
16 finding a sponsor. It's easier to find sponsors and we
17 have found Republican sponsors on the voter education
18 front.
19 Finally, it will be interesting to see if
20 things play out in terms of other opportunities on the
21 voter participation side that develop that we might weigh
22 in on. I think that there are -- there is, you know --
23 These will be things that are controversial, to be sure,
24 but things we may want to weigh in on.
25 Number one, you know, I think for years now,

1 the counties have been asking that -- because 75 percent
2 of the folks in the state vote by mail, that we move to
3 all mail. That's something, if there was a bill
4 introduced, that we might consider weighing in on.
There have been discussions about -- Do you mind if I mention --

I mean, there have been discussions about
changing the way voter registration works to make it more
user-friendly. That would promote participation and be
consistent with our mandate. So those are issues that we
can weigh in on if -- and we would bring those to you
specifically because they might be more controversial.
But if you were to look at -- evaluate the policy and conclude on a bipartisan basis that, hey, this particular program really works, that would have some meaning, I think. And I think it would -- I think that's where we have our best opportunity to showcase the importance of having a commission like this beyond just don't kill us is the fact that it is bipartisan. We do have -- I hope
that -- and we'll try to continue to bring you materials that, you know, we think are things that all five of you can agree to and that would be the best place to do it.

I mean, I think that the thing the
Commission does -- and this is just my opinion, for what it's worth -- that doesn't fit into the legislative
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1 narrative of the world is that we're bipartisan. And in a
2 world where, you know, where everything is partisan, I
3 think that there should be some ways in which we can make
4 that a strength, because it is -- in the end, that is one
5 of the strengths of the Commission in terms of an
6 institution.
7 So those are sort of the way -- That's kind
8 of how I see it. That's a long answer to your question.
9 The short answer is if we don't -- you know,
0 if you ask any lobbyist, if you don't have a Republican
sponsor for the bill, you don't have a bill, which I think
you probably know. So . . .
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any other comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER PATON: No.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. There a
motion to adopt and approve the 2018 legislative agenda?
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So moved.
ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: I will second that 0 motion.
21 All in favor say aye.
22 (Chorus of ayes.)
23 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All opposed?
24 (No response.)
25 ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Motion carries three
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