# Transcript of Proceedings - July 19, 2018 Public Session

1	
2	
3	
4	THE STATE OF ARIZONA
5	CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING
11	
12	
13	
14	Phoenix, Arizona
15	July 19, 2018
16	9:31 a.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	COASH & COASH, INC. Court Reporting, Video & Videoconferencing
22	1802 North 7th Street, Phoenix, AZ 85006 602-258-1440 staff@coashandcoash.com
23	
24	Prepared by: LILIA MONARREZ, CSR, RPR
25	Certificate No. 50699

# Coash & Coash, Inc. 602-258-1440 www.coashandcoash.com

0101	zens Clean Elections Commission		July 19, 2018
	Page 2	31:52-09:32:56	Page 4
1	PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN	And I'm ease	uming we don't have Commissioner
2	ELECTIONS COMMISSION convened at 9:31 a.m. on July 19, 2018, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections		•
3	Commission, 1616 West Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the following Board members:	-	one. No one is on the phone.
4	Mr. Damien R. Meyer, Chairperson Mr. Mark S. Kimble	Correct, Tor	
5	Ms. Amy B. Chan		AS: That is no. We're good.
	Mr. Galen D. Paton	CHAIRMA	N MEYER: Thank you.
6	OTHERS PRESENT:	Next item, I	tem III on the agenda:
7	Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director Paula Thomas, Executive Officer	Discussion and	possible action on the executive
8	Gina Roberts, Voter Education Director Mike Becker, Policy Director	director's repor	t.
9	Alec Shaffer, Web Content Manager	Tom?	
10	Stephanie Cooper, Executive Support Specialist Mary O'Grady, Osborn Maledon	MR. COLLI	NS: Yes. Chairman,
11	Kara Karlson, Assistant Attorney General Rivko Knox, AZ League of Women Voters		s, I'll try to make this as quick as
12	Morgan Dick, AZAN Talei Hornback, RIESTER	possible.	s, Th try to make this as quick as
13	Tricia Kashima, RIESTER Christina Borrego, RIESTER	-	
	Ryan Wheelock, RIESTER		irst, you know, you see all
14	JP Twist, Ducey for Governor Sara Mueller, Ducey for Governor		ng on in voter education. So I want to
15	Zack Dean, Senate Jeffrey Ong, Senate	give a big shot	out to Gina and Alec and Stephanie for
16	, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _,	their work.	
17		Next week v	ve've got the pilot program that
18		initiated from	Commissioner Commissioner Paton.
19		We'll be havin	g forums or debates at Sahuarita, Sahuaro
20		and Empire Hi	gh Schools in Tucson. We're excited about
21		-	that's a good opportunity to connect
22			unity and connect with with folks.
23			at Gina presented at the
			an Right to Vote Conference last week, and
24			at the Municipal Clerks Association
25			a at the Wallerpar Clerks Association
09:3	B1:02-09:31:52 Page 3	32:59-09:34:05	Page 5
	5		Page 5
1	Page 3 P R O C E E D I N G	meeting.	
1 2	PROCEEDING	meeting. We've also,	this year for many years
1 2 3	P R O C E E D I N G CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning.	meeting. We've also, we've been ask	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates
1 2 3 4	P R O C E E D I N G CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the '	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and
1 2 3 4 5	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event
1 2 3 4 5 6	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m.	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event people to pay. We were able to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting.	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions?	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program.
1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman?	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how	this year for many years eed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates 'Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and ve previously declined because the event l people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions?	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does y folks. The reason we've always
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman?	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does ' folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble.	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does y folks. The reason we've always
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does ' folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting.	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does to folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second?	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event l people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does 'folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay re we're trying that this year. So
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spor really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does ' folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay re we're trying that this year. So bout that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to approve the minutes for the June 28th meeting. It has	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a COMMISSI	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates 'Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does ' folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay 'e we're trying that this year. So bout that. ONER PATON: I have a question.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to approve the minutes for the June 28th meeting. It has been first and seconded.	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a COMMISSI MR. COLLI	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does to folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay te we're trying that this year. So bout that. ONER PATON: I have a question. NS: Yeah. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to approve the minutes for the June 28th meeting. It has been first and seconded. All if favor say aye.	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a COMMISSI MR. COLLI COMMISSI	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event l people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does 'folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay 'e we're trying that this year. So bout that. ONER PATON: I have a question. NS: Yeah. Yes. ONER PATON: So are those statewide
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to approve the minutes for the June 28th meeting. It has been first and seconded. All if favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.)	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a COMMISSI MR. COLLI COMMISSI candidates? A	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event l people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does ' folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay e we're trying that this year. So bout that. ONER PATON: I have a question. NS: Yeah. Yes. ONER PATON: So are those statewide re there
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to approve the minutes for the June 28th meeting. It has been first and seconded. All if favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposition?	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a COMMISSI MR. COLLI COMMISSI	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does 'r folks. The reason we've always 'nsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay 'e we're trying that this year. So bout that. ONER PATON: I have a question. NS: Yeah. Yes. ONER PATON: So are those statewide re there NS: All candidates are invited
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to approve the minutes for the June 28th meeting. It has been first and seconded. All if favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposition? (No response.)	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a COMMISSI MR. COLLI COMMISSI candidates? A MR. COLLI from all over t	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does 'folks. The reason we've always onsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay e we're trying that this year. So bout that. ONER PATON: I have a question. NS: Yeah. Yes. ONER PATON: So are those statewide re there NS: All candidates are invited ne state, and my understanding is they
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Good morning. We're going to call to order the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission noticed for July 19 at 9:30 a.m. The next the first item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the June 28th, 2018 meeting. Any comments on the minutes or motions? COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move we approve the minutes of the June 28th, 2018 meeting. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have a motion to approve the minutes for the June 28th meeting. It has been first and seconded. All if favor say aye. (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposition?	meeting. We've also, we've been ask event that the ' we've we ha was required negotiate with in return, there actually come So that's, ag We'll see how get attended by objected to spo really not it voter education to get in, but w we're excited a COMMISSI MR. COLLI COMMISSI candidates? A MR. COLLI from all over t get fairly good	this year for many years ed to sponsor the Meet the Candidates Arizona Capitol Times" puts on, and we previously declined because the event a people to pay. We were able to them to become the biggest sponsor and, 's no charge. So the public can and meet the candidates. ain, a bit of a pilot program. it works. I know some I know it does 'r folks. The reason we've always 'nsoring with the charge is because it's doesn't make it a good voter event n event if, you know, folks have to pay 'e we're trying that this year. So bout that. ONER PATON: I have a question. NS: Yeah. Yes. ONER PATON: So are those statewide re there NS: All candidates are invited

	zens Clean Elections Commission	00551	July 19, 20
09:	34:08-09:35:32 Page 6	09:3	36:55-09:38:03 Page
1	something we could do to supplement our debates. If we	1	may affect voters that was filed by Rivko Knox, who is
	could do something like this in Phoenix and something		here and is always here. Rivko Knox v. Brnovich in
	like this in Tucson and something like this, you know,		that case is a challenge to the State's ballot
	in Prescott or Flag, you know, even in Yuma, we might		collection law, as is and questions whether it's
	be able to, you know, really supplement the debates by		preempted, essentially, by a federal postal law. And
	allowing informal opportunities for folks to meet with		we've given you a copy of the complaint and the
	candidates rather than just the debate context.		preliminary injunction motion there.
8	So that's the theory behind this. If it	8	My understanding is that they have moved to
9	works, it works. If it doesn't work, then we'll, you	9	consolidate on the merits, and I think they're also
10	know, think about not doing it again, but that's our	10	working on an expedited basis.
11	hope for that.	11	Is that correct?
12	I want to real briefly mention the proposed	12	MS. KARLSON: Yes. The lawsuit is
	consent decree between negotiated between LULAC,	13	expedited. So the hearing will be on August 10th.
	Secretary Reagan and Recorder Fontes has now been	14	MR. COLLINS: Okay. So the important thing
	signed by the judge, and an additional copy of that is		for voters to know there would be that the issue is
16	there.		whether or not the State's current ballot collection
17	Kara will correct me if I'm wrong, but		law which says that unless you fit into a certain
	essentially, what the guts of the consent decree are,		category, you can't collect another person's voted or
	anyone who submits any kind of form, whether a state or		unvoted ballot. If this were to be preempted, then, I
	federal form, but without proof of citizenship, will		guess, the result would be that you could collect
	but has been signed will automatically be a federal voter for sure. And then the whereas, the prior		ballots of other people. MS. KARLSON: Correct. The plaintiffs are
	policy was that county recorders were to were not to	22	seeking an injunction against the ballot collection
	accept but reject forms that didn't have proof of		restrictions for unauthorized proxies.
	citizenship.	25	MR. COLLINS: So there's a lot of action,
09:	35:33-09:36:52 Page 7	09:3	38:05-09:39:01 Page
09:: 1	735:33-09:36:52 Page 7 That now is that language has been		38:05-09:39:01 Page my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what
1	, and the second s	1	-
1 2 3	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have	1 2 3	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed.
1 2 3 4	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for	1 2 3 4	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be
1 2 3 4 5	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I	1 2 3 4 5	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website
1 2 3 4 5 6	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match.	1 2 3 4 5 6	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme Court decision. And the LULAC consent decree just says	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district court goes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme Court decision. And the LULAC consent decree just says it shouldn't matter what piece of paper you fill out. You get treated the same way as a voter, all consistent with state and federal laws.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district court goes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there any evidence to be presented in those, or is it just going to be MS. KARLSON: It's a legal argument.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme Court decision. And the LULAC consent decree just says it shouldn't matter what piece of paper you fill out. You get treated the same way as a voter, all consistent with state and federal laws. MR. COLLINS: That is a much better	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district court goes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there any evidence to be presented in those, or is it just going to be MS. KARLSON: It's a legal argument. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Interesting.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme Court decision. And the LULAC consent decree just says it shouldn't matter what piece of paper you fill out. You get treated the same way as a voter, all consistent with state and federal laws. MR. COLLINS: That is a much better description. In full disclosure, I worked on that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district court goes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there any evidence to be presented in those, or is it just going to be MS. KARLSON: It's a legal argument. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Interesting. Okay. Do any Commissioners have any
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme Court decision. And the LULAC consent decree just says it shouldn't matter what piece of paper you fill out. You get treated the same way as a voter, all consistent with state and federal laws. MR. COLLINS: That is a much better description. In full disclosure, I worked on that Supreme Court case. So my brain is still locked into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district court goes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there any evidence to be presented in those, or is it just going to be MS. KARLSON: It's a legal argument. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Interesting. Okay. Do any Commissioners have any questions on the executive director's report?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme Court decision. And the LULAC consent decree just says it shouldn't matter what piece of paper you fill out. You get treated the same way as a voter, all consistent with state and federal laws. MR. COLLINS: That is a much better description. In full disclosure, I worked on that Supreme Court case. So my brain is still locked into that way of looking at the world.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district court goes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there any evidence to be presented in those, or is it just going to be MS. KARLSON: It's a legal argument. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Interesting. Okay. Do any Commissioners have any questions on the executive director's report? (No response.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	That now is that language has been reconsidered and interpreted to allow county recorders to, essentially, bounce the information that they have on the form off the systems that they have for for confirming voter I.D. to get at least a soft match. I don't know if it needs to be a hard match. MS. KARLSON: Tom, that's a very good description of, like, the behind the scenes elections officials MR. COLLINS: Yeah. MS. KARLSON: what they do. I think that, perhaps, for the public it's better just to understand that Arizona had previously treated people who used the state form one way and people who used the federal form a different way pursuant to a Supreme Court decision. And the LULAC consent decree just says it shouldn't matter what piece of paper you fill out. You get treated the same way as a voter, all consistent with state and federal laws. MR. COLLINS: That is a much better description. In full disclosure, I worked on that Supreme Court case. So my brain is still locked into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	my point is, in terms of in terms of voters and what the rules are still for this election cycle, and we're trying to make do our best to keep you informed. And then and then we'll be that will be reflected, obviously, in what we put out on our website and those kinds of things. So thank you, Kara, for filling in the gaps. I appreciate that. That's the end of my report. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that hearing on the 10th is that just legal argument? MS. KARLSON: It's actually so the trial judge has consolidated the preliminary injunction motion with the trial on the merits. So it will be, you know, a final decision insofar as the district court goes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there any evidence to be presented in those, or is it just going to be MS. KARLSON: It's a legal argument. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Interesting. Okay. Do any Commissioners have any questions on the executive director's report?

Citiz	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
09:3	39:06-09:40:46 Page 10	09:42:20-09:43:35 Page 12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	possible action on the Clean Elections Voter Education and matters relating to informing public of debates. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, so we wrote this agenda item a little bit broadly to make sure that we could capture as much of discussion as you and others wanted to have about this, but first of all, the genesis of this is a Google AdWords ad that said to the effect of watch Governor Ducey defend his record. And after Governor Ducey had, first of all, declined to participate in the debate, it ran after that. And, second of all, it had some language that that language was you know, had an antagonistic quality and, indeed, the ad had the wrong primary date. So I want to, first off, just on behalf of the Commission staff and myself, really, actually, personally, you know, apologize for the ad content into the Governor Ducey's campaign. We did not intend for and try very hard, in fact, not to have our Voter Education Program cross over into actual candidate, you know, issues. So I apologize for that. That's my responsibility, and that really that buck on the Voter Education Program stops with me. What we have asked our ad company, or the	<ol> <li>importantly, I think that we'll be talking about, you</li> <li>know, making sure that we have we have all the</li> <li>checks and balances in place that we need to make sure</li> <li>that our stated objectives are met. And that's</li> <li>something, I think, RIESTER agrees with.</li> <li>RIESTER has several representatives here.</li> <li>I think Christina Borrego is going to speak was</li> <li>going to give a brief presentation on RIESTER and take</li> <li>her questions. And then and, Mr. Chairman, if I</li> <li>may, after Christina completes her statement, I thought</li> <li>it might be a good time for the commissioners to ask</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>And then I also want to note that after</li> <li>that, the Governor's campaign has been generous enough</li> <li>to take time out of the campaign to have the Campaign</li> <li>Manager JP Twist and Deputy Campaign Manger Sara</li> <li>Mueller here, and I think one or both of them were</li> <li>going to are going to speak.</li> <li>So I thought we'd go Christina, questions,</li> <li>and then to the Governor's Governor's campaign</li> <li>manager, if that works for you, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: It works for me.</li> <li>Ms. BORREGO: Yes. Thank you, Chairman.</li> </ol>
	company that has our voter education purchase order,	<b>25</b> Thank you, Commissioners. I do have a copy of the
09:4	10:49-09:42:17 Page 11	09:43:38-09:44:39 Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	RIESTER, to do is pull together as much information as we could. We have a timeline I was unable to get a chance to print that shows you the time of how this worked, but I can go over it very I just want to go over that really quickly before oh, you have it? You have copies? Okay. Can you well, you can bring MS. BORREGO: Finish up. MR. COLLINS: Okay. Basically, we were contacted by the governor's campaign general counsel on, I think, a Thursday night. I contacted Gina that night. Gina contacted RIESTER that night. By the next morning, we had we had a preliminary answer by midnight. We had some more specific and a little bit more detailed answers by 8:00 o'clock. That morning we got a stop on the ad as soon as possible. I think that very night, it stopped. RIESTER, working with Google, to get it stopped. We worked we asked some additional questions of RIESTER, which are in the formal memo that	<ol> <li>timeline.</li> <li>Would you like me to hand that to you to</li> <li>hand out?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: That will be perfect.</li> <li>MS. BORREGO: So as that's being passed</li> <li>out, first of all, RIESTER is not accustomed to this</li> <li>type of attention. We are a very standup shop and work</li> <li>very hard on behalf of many clients in the state and</li> <li>regionally.</li> <li>So on behalf of RIESTER, I would like to</li> <li>officially apologize. We have tremendous respect for</li> <li>the Citizens Corporation Commission Citizens</li> <li>excuse me Citizens Clean Election Commission</li> <li>wrong campaign its leaders and its important role in</li> <li>our community. We are deeply sorry about our</li> <li>employee's mistake that caused this incident.</li> <li>Upon being made aware of this incorrect ad,</li> <li>our firm acted immediately and swiftly. In fact, our</li> <li>actions are testament to how seriously we took this</li> </ol>
22 23 24	is in your packet, and we have provided all that information in real time to both you as commissioners and to Governor Ducey's campaign, pursuant to their request. And so we have we think we've we think we've caught up with things, and I think more	<ul> <li>21 By now you've had the opportunity to read</li> <li>22 the memorandum in your in your meeting packets that</li> <li>23 provides answers to questions posed about this matter.</li> <li>24 I will provide a succinct verbal summary of what</li> <li>25 occurred. And in front of you, you have the timeline</li> </ul>

Citi	zens Clean Elections Commission		July 19, 201
09:4	14:42-09:45:55 Page 14	09:	47:22-09:48:40 Page 16
2 3 4 5 6	that will help reinforce the series of events that transpired, and my hope is that this paints a very clear picture of what occurred because information and transparency is very important. And I think that will help you understand. So there may be some questions related to technicalities of the technology employed for use of	2 3 4	search software on the employee's desktop; however, instead of the sample ad remaining in draft mode, it was mistakenly set to live mode. Subsequently, the written recommendation with this new approach was never formally presented to the Clean Elections staff. As a result, the word choice and the information in this ad had not been
	this type of ad and the process that the department	0	authorized by Clean Elections staff nor the designated
	responsible for search engine marketing employs. I'll		RIESTER account manager.
	do my best to clarify those questions in my summary,	10	Once this ad was in the software and had
	but my may rely on my colleague Tricia Kashima, who	11	been set to live mode, it went undetected because it
12	is here she's our media director to help me	12	blended into other search ads that were running for
	answer any of your follow-up questions in regards to		Clean Elections. In the employee's mind, this
14	technicalities and process.		particular ad was not yet in live mode because they had
15	So you have the timeline. I'm going to		not yet realized their mistake.
	refer to it, and I'm going to start at the top where at	16	
17	9:53 p.m. on Thursday evening a week ago we were		be changing processes as follows: When the media team
	made aware of this of this and sent a screenshot of		has an idea on improving the performance of an ad, a
	this problem ad. We the ones who saw it, we		search engine marketing optimization recommendation, including sample ads, will be presented to Clean
	immediately recognized two things: one, the information was absolutely incorrect and, two, the word		Elections staff for review in a formal written
	choice was incorrect.		recommendation and for discussion but will now have a
23	Between 9:53 p.m. and 10:25 p.m., the		signature line for Clean Elections to sign providing
	executive leadership that oversees search engine		their authorization.
	marketing was immediately tracked down. Within about	25	
1	45:57-09:47:19Page 1545 minutes of the first communication from Clean	1	48:41-09:49:51 Page 17 document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If
1 2	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad	1 2	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be
1 2 3	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and	1 2 3	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine
1 2 3 4	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was	1 2 3 4	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for
1 2 3 4	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks.	1 2 3 4 5	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new
1 2 3 4 5 6	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in	1 2 3 4 5 6	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were	1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments are common because it's their job to continuously	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have ever encountered. We appreciate the collaboration with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments are common because it's their job to continuously improve exposure awareness on behalf of our client	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have ever encountered. We appreciate the collaboration with you, with Tom, with Gina and the whole staff to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments are common because it's their job to continuously improve exposure awareness on behalf of our client campaigns.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have ever encountered. We appreciate the collaboration with you, with Tom, with Gina and the whole staff to formulate stronger processes and to assure this never
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments are common because it's their job to continuously improve exposure awareness on behalf of our client campaigns. Through this assessment, they concluded	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have ever encountered. We appreciate the collaboration with you, with Tom, with Gina and the whole staff to formulate stronger processes and to assure this never happens again.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments are common because it's their job to continuously improve exposure awareness on behalf of our client campaigns. Through this assessment, they concluded that using the names of specific candidates and the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have ever encountered. We appreciate the collaboration with you, with Tom, with Gina and the whole staff to formulate stronger processes and to assure this never happens again. And that concludes my my formal remarks.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments are common because it's their job to continuously improve exposure awareness on behalf of our client campaigns. Through this assessment, they concluded	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have ever encountered. We appreciate the collaboration with you, with Tom, with Gina and the whole staff to formulate stronger processes and to assure this never happens again.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	45 minutes of the first communication from Clean Elections, the firm had identified this unauthorized ad in the firm's Google search software platform and halted it. By 10:27 p.m., when this specific ad was halted, it had received 2,557 views and 169 clicks. The next morning at 7:00 a.m., the staff in charge of search engine marketing and firm executives gathered on a call to ascertain how this incorrect ad made it into the software. The managers were instructed to interview the employee tasked with this work that morning. Through the interview with this employee, it was determined that the ad going live was an absolute mistake. At that moment, this employee was immediately taken off all projects for Clean Elections. Through conversations with this employee, it was uncovered that they had conducted an assessment of search terms for Clean Elections. These assessments are common because it's their job to continuously improve exposure awareness on behalf of our client campaigns. Through this assessment, they concluded that using the names of specific candidates and the term "defend" would generate more views and clicks for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document will be signed by Clean Elections staff. If the recommendation is not approved, it will not be signed. RIESTER's account manager will then determine the need and the timeline for a new recommendation for formal review. Only when Clean Elections signs a new recommendation will these ads be added to the search software by media team for activation. In addition, RIESTER will provide a weekly report of the ad performance of every search term to Clean Elections staff. The firm will also provide Clean Elections staff log-in access to the search engine software so that, at any time, they can log in and see the search engine marketing marketing terms. Again, we want to apologize and stress how rare this situation is. RIESTER has been providing Google search advertising since it has been available, and this is the first mistake like this that we have ever encountered. We appreciate the collaboration with you, with Tom, with Gina and the whole staff to formulate stronger processes and to assure this never happens again. And that concludes my my formal remarks. I'd defer to Tom on how you'd like to handle follow-ups.

Citiz	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
09:4	9:54-09:51:31 Page 18	09:52:37-09:53:44 Page 20
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the first thing, you know, I want to say is I do appreciate the fact that the RIESTER senior staff got on top of this as soon as Gina notified them. I think that was I think that was helpful to halting the ad, first of all, and then and then and then being able to get to at least a place where we know what happened with the ad and then and then and then be able to talk, at least, in general terms about a process going forward by, you know, within a week. And so I appreciate that. I also believe that the company and its members are sincerely concerned and apologetic about this. I think that when the Commission when the voter education staff vets State-approved vendors for voter education-type activities, you know, we look for certain things, including, you know, track record working with the State and reliability and the approach to trying to get the message out to voters about how they can participate. And that was part of that was the main that was really the driving reason for us working with RIESTER for the last two years. And so I would only say that, I mean, if there are questions that are unanswered in terms of in terms of the Commission or if you would like to hear more about specific actions that will be that we're	<ul> <li>really address the mistake that happened with regard</li> <li>to because the employee, apparently, didn't do it on</li> <li>purpose, so to speak, like, they knew there was a</li> <li>process for somebody above them to review and then</li> <li>approve before it went live, but they mistakenly let it</li> <li>go live? Is that what happened?</li> <li>So how does the new process address that,</li> <li>or is it going to be is that able to be addressed, I</li> <li>guess? I mean, how do you prevent a mistake like that</li> <li>from happening if an employee has the authority to make</li> <li>it go live on their own?</li> <li>MS. BORREGO: I think what will happen is</li> <li>that that the employee will not have that that</li> <li>authority. It would be</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. So that's</li> <li>possible, to take that authority away from a user?</li> <li>MS. BORREGO: Right. Right. And the</li> <li>copy the information, the words that are selected</li> <li>need to be run and collaborated our account manager</li> <li>would be responsible for assuring that the word choice</li> <li>is correct.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. And then, you</li> <li>know, from what I heard as far as our voter education</li> <li>folks, then, also having access to what is live, I</li> </ul>
09:5	i1:34-09:52:32 Page 19	09:53:44-09:54:44 Page 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	going to be undertaking going forward, you know, now is your time to do that. And I don't have anything more to add than what than that. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I have a question, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, Christina, thank you so much for being here, and I appreciate the tone because I when Tom notified us of what had had happened, I was I was not happy that it had occurred. And I know mistakes happen, but of course, campaigns and I know the campaign is here today to speak about this. I've never been involved, really, with a campaign, but having been in elections, I know how seriously these things affect candidates. And so I was very concerned. And I also appreciate you know, I want to echo what Tom said that, especially seeing the timeline, that everybody was available, working on it late at night, working on it early in the morning to try to fix it and then address the problem that allowed it to happen. My question is because I don't know how this all works technically, but if the how does this	<ol> <li>MS. BORREGO: Yes.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CHAN: That will be helpful as</li> <li>well because they'll be able to be even more in the</li> <li>loop as far as what's out there on the on the web.</li> <li>MS. BORREGO: Yes. I think it's an</li> <li>additional layer of quality control and just</li> <li>transparency.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. BORREGO: So we're very comfortable</li> <li>with providing that access.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: And if I could just add on to</li> <li>Christina's answer, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan,</li> <li>we're you know, Gina has been working with the</li> <li>RIESTER staff to look at ads that are out there and</li> <li>identify any other ads that, while not controversial or</li> <li>not within the you know, not within this</li> <li>particularly acute problem that might not have gone</li> <li>through the process, to just make sure we've got that</li> <li>all under control.</li> <li>So and we appreciate, you know, RIESTER</li> <li>getting us that data so we can so we can go over it</li> <li>ourselves, and that's something that Gina has been</li> <li>doing over the course of the last week. So that's</li> <li>been, I think, very helpful in starting the process of</li> </ol>

	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19	, 2018
09:	54:46-09:56:12 Page 22	22 09:57:05-09:58:10 Pag	ge 24
1	exactly what Christina is talking about.	1 I'm with RIESTER. I'm the media director.	
2	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman?	2 So what will usually when we have this	
3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble, go	<ul><li>3 software, the draft edit it's like a drafting</li></ul>	
	ahead.	<ul><li>4 software that's allowed on your desktop, we will</li></ul>	
5	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Ms. Borrego, I think	5 disallow the linking to the actual software live. So	
	this kind of follows up on Commissioner Chan's	<ul><li>6 that way when they do a draft, there's no way for them</li></ul>	
	-	<ul> <li>7 to actually link it to the account. So it's just</li> </ul>	1
	question, but just reading through the reports you provide, I guess I was I was pretty surprised that		
		8 it's just a program on the computer that's not	
	an employee who you've had for five months and,	<b>9</b> connected to the internet. It's just connected to the	
	according to the report, did not grasp the true role	<b>10</b> computer. There's no access to upload it to the Google	e
	and responsibility of Clean Elections had the technical	11 AdWords campaign.	
	ability to post something on his or her own without	12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: But here there was	
	anyone knowing.	13 somehow, right?	
14	That that seems to me at the crux of the	14 MS. KASHIMA: Typically	
	problem, and I just want to make sure that there's some	<b>15</b> CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think that's the	
	kind of a measures to prevent that technically from	<b>16</b> question is how how did that happen? Was that	
	happening, that someone who doesn't grasp the role and	<b>17</b> access supposed to be there? And how do we know,	
	responsibility of Clean Elections is not going to be	<b>18</b> moving forward, how that issue is being addressed? Is	S
	just on their own posting something.	<b>19</b> that	
20	MS. BORREGO: Right. So within the agency,	20 MS. KASHIMA: So, technically, people with	
	the account manager is the person that is the	<b>21</b> this job title and his amount of experience are allowed	
	gatekeeper for all work. And so his misunderstanding	<b>22</b> access. That's their job to go into the into	
	of the brand what we would call your brand, your	<b>23</b> AdWords, into the platform and look at it, how the	
	you as an organization, was evident after this was	<b>24</b> information or how the campaign is pacing, basically.	
25	written. So the his understanding his lack of	<b>25</b> So that is a technical part of their job, but because	
09:	56:18-09:57:04 Page 23	23 09:58:13-09:59:13 Pag	ge 25
1	understanding was was missed, I guess, but the	1 of the sensitivity of the nature of what we're	
	within the organization, there will be checks and	2 conducting here, we for anybody working on this	
	balances as far as information going live.	3 account, we could disallow access, in general.	
4	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.		
_		4 MS. BORREGO: We will	
5	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have	<ul> <li>4 MS. BORREGO: We will</li> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> </ul>	
	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have		
6		5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.	
6	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton.	<ul><li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li><li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li></ul>	
6 7	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> </ul>	
6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> </ul>	
6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying?	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> </ul>	
6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> </ul>	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> </ul>	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> </ul>	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> </ul>	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button.	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> </ul>	),
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> </ul>	,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> </ul>	,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as MS. BORREGO: Yes.	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> <li>18 definitely restrict the access.</li> </ul>	,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as MS. BORREGO: Yes. MS. KASHIMA: So, Chairman, members of the	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> <li>18 definitely restrict the access.</li> <li>19 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you.</li> </ul>	,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as MS. BORREGO: Yes. MS. KASHIMA: So, Chairman, members of the commission, yes.	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> <li>18 definitely restrict the access.</li> <li>19 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you.</li> <li>20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I had a question on the</li> </ul>	,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as MS. BORREGO: Yes. MS. KASHIMA: So, Chairman, members of the commission, yes. MS. THOMAS: Please give your name	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> <li>18 definitely restrict the access.</li> <li>19 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you.</li> <li>20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I had a question on the</li> <li>21 I guess, the detection issue, the I guess, after the</li> </ul>	), , n
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as MS. BORREGO: Yes. MS. KASHIMA: So, Chairman, members of the commission, yes. MS. THOMAS: Please give your name MS. KASHIMA: There's a way	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> <li>18 definitely restrict the access.</li> <li>19 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you.</li> <li>20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I had a question on the</li> <li>21 guess, the detection issue, the I guess, after the</li> <li>22 mistake was made, it took a few weeks for this to com</li> </ul>	, n ne
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as MS. BORREGO: Yes. MS. KASHIMA: So, Chairman, members of the commission, yes. MS. THOMAS: Please give your name MS. KASHIMA: There's a way MS. THOMAS: Excuse me. Please give your	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> <li>18 definitely restrict the access.</li> <li>19 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you.</li> <li>20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I had a question on the</li> <li>21 I guess, the detection issue, the I guess, after the</li> <li>22 mistake was made, it took a few weeks for this to com</li> <li>23 to anyone's attention when we were put on notice by the</li> </ul>	, n le he
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just go ahead, Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: So is there a way physically that somebody can't punch that button, I guess? Is that basically what you're saying? MS. BORREGO: Right. I think I don't know, Tricia, if you want to come up here and sort of explain how the software it's really a matter of toggling over a button and not, like, hovering hovering over a button. COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, we're not technical people as far as MS. BORREGO: Yes. MS. KASHIMA: So, Chairman, members of the commission, yes. MS. THOMAS: Please give your name MS. KASHIMA: There's a way	<ul> <li>5 MS. KASHIMA: We will. Yes.</li> <li>6 MS. BORREGO: disallow acces.</li> <li>7 COMMISSIONER PATON: And for me</li> <li>8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.</li> <li>9 COMMISSIONER PATON: I mean, that's</li> <li>10 because anybody could do a mistake like that. I mean,</li> <li>11 we all have posted stuff when we didn't really mean to</li> <li>12 but so physically, if they can't do it and it's only</li> <li>13 the account manager or whatever, then, I mean, that</li> <li>14 makes me feel a lot better.</li> <li>15 MS. KASHIMA: Yes. This is</li> <li>16 unfortunately, the nature of our business is, you know,</li> <li>17 timeliness and how fast can we react. So but we car</li> <li>18 definitely restrict the access.</li> <li>19 COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you.</li> <li>20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I had a question on the</li> <li>21 guess, the detection issue, the I guess, after the</li> <li>22 mistake was made, it took a few weeks for this to com</li> </ul>	, n le he

	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
09:5	59:17-10:00:37 Page 26	10:01:57-10:03:20 Page 28
2	about a bundling and they are viewed individually, I guess, but could you explain, like, moving forward, how does something like this get detected sooner. MS. BORREGO: Right. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Taken down sooner. MS. BORREGO: Yes. So, Chairman, what's	<ol> <li>us the most and I think will be remedied is that you</li> <li>know, and the memo speaks to this is that, you know,</li> <li>we were we did have a discussion with RIESTER about</li> <li>candidate names specifically because we understand that</li> <li>those are potentially good drivers of traffic to the</li> <li>debate site, but we and RIESTER acknowledges this</li> </ol>
	explained in the memo is that because there were active	<ul><li>7 that we specifically rejected that recommendation</li></ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	campaigns already in the system, that this ad sort of was blended into that that work, but as Tricia just explained, moving forward on this particular on this work, on this account, that will be disabled. So so this should not happen again. So any campaigns that are that are there will be an additional layer of what campaigns are actually live. I think you started by asking how did it how did it go undetected, and the answer is that it blended into the other efforts that were already in the system and it was flagged as just by the name of the account, which is Clean Elections. So and, in his mind, because it wasn't intended to go live, it didn't exist. It wasn't it wasn't in the system, from his perspective. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, if I might, can I ask may I ask a clarifying question on that point? It sounds, like, I think is it an accurate analogy	<ul> <li>8 because we believe Gina and I believe firmly that</li> <li>9 for the reasons that Commissioner Chan has already</li> <li>10 cited, that when we get in the business of mentioning</li> <li>11 candidate names, we get outside of what our what our</li> <li>12 chief goal is, which is to inform voters about how to</li> <li>13 participate, not to inform voters about, you know</li> <li>14 you know, what candidate is doing what.</li> <li>15 So I think that the I think that that</li> <li>16 you know, that didn't communicate to this particular</li> <li>17 staff member, but that is I think there's no</li> <li>18 misunderstanding between us and the account the</li> <li>19 account manager and the other members of the team that</li> <li>20 we work with that has been unequivocally our position</li> <li>21 on that issue.</li> <li>22 CHAIRMAN MEYER: So, Tom, has the staff</li> <li>23 Clean Elections staff approved every proposed search or</li> <li>24 af from RIESTER before it goes live? Is that the</li> <li>25 process, or do they go ahead and post things just based</li> </ul>
10:0	00:40-10:01:52 Page 27	10:03:24-10:04:30 Page 29
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to say that when you are buying SEM or Google AdWords type of things for the purpose of, you know, informing folks about debates and informing folks about whatever, you're buying it sort of in a bulk group? You are putting out a bunch of words in a bulk. And so if this is one line one you know, in one section of that bulk thing, it would go undetected until somebody saw that specific ad, potentially. Is that a correct analogy?	<ol> <li>upon, sort of, the guidelines that you've given them?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: I'd have to defer to Gina on</li> <li>the specifics of that, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,</li> <li>anything before anything goes live, Clean Elections</li> <li>staff does have to approve it, whether it's search,</li> <li>whether it's a print ad. And usually, depending on the</li> <li>type of media we're talking about, we get verification</li> <li>of what was ran. So, for example, if we run a print</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to say that when you are buying SEM or Google AdWords type of things for the purpose of, you know, informing folks about debates and informing folks about whatever, you're buying it sort of in a bulk group? You are putting out a bunch of words in a bulk. And so if this is one line one you know, in one section of that bulk thing, it would go undetected until somebody saw that specific ad, potentially.	<ol> <li>upon, sort of, the guidelines that you've given them?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: I'd have to defer to Gina on</li> <li>the specifics of that, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,</li> <li>anything before anything goes live, Clean Elections</li> <li>staff does have to approve it, whether it's search,</li> <li>whether it's a print ad. And usually, depending on the</li> <li>type of media we're talking about, we get verification</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to say that when you are buying SEM or Google AdWords type of things for the purpose of, you know, informing folks about debates and informing folks about whatever, you're buying it sort of in a bulk group? You are putting out a bunch of words in a bulk. And so if this is one line one you know, in one section of that bulk thing, it would go undetected until somebody saw that specific ad, potentially. Is that a correct analogy? MS. BORREGO: Certainly. I think so. Would you I think you would MS. KASHIMA: So it's basically, when	<ol> <li>upon, sort of, the guidelines that you've given them?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: I'd have to defer to Gina on</li> <li>the specifics of that, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,</li> <li>anything before anything goes live, Clean Elections</li> <li>staff does have to approve it, whether it's search,</li> <li>whether it's a print ad. And usually, depending on the</li> <li>type of media we're talking about, we get verification</li> <li>of what was ran. So, for example, if we run a print</li> <li>ad, we get a tear sheet for that. So that's actually a</li> <li>tear our hard copy proof saying this has created the</li> <li>message that you approved and this is the proof that it</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to say that when you are buying SEM or Google AdWords type of things for the purpose of, you know, informing folks about debates and informing folks about whatever, you're buying it sort of in a bulk group? You are putting out a bunch of words in a bulk. And so if this is one line one you know, in one section of that bulk thing, it would go undetected until somebody saw that specific ad, potentially. Is that a correct analogy? MS. BORREGO: Certainly. I think so. Would you I think you would	<ol> <li>upon, sort of, the guidelines that you've given them?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: I'd have to defer to Gina on</li> <li>the specifics of that, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,</li> <li>anything before anything goes live, Clean Elections</li> <li>staff does have to approve it, whether it's search,</li> <li>whether it's a print ad. And usually, depending on the</li> <li>type of media we're talking about, we get verification</li> <li>of what was ran. So, for example, if we run a print</li> <li>ad, we get a tear sheet for that. So that's actually a</li> <li>tear our hard copy proof saying this has created the</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to say that when you are buying SEM or Google AdWords type of things for the purpose of, you know, informing folks about debates and informing folks about whatever, you're buying it sort of in a bulk group? You are putting out a bunch of words in a bulk. And so if this is one line one you know, in one section of that bulk thing, it would go undetected until somebody saw that specific ad, potentially. Is that a correct analogy? MS. BORREGO: Certainly. I think so. Would you I think you would MS. KASHIMA: So it's basically, when we the campaigns are set up under a one-umbrella campaign. So when he accidentally uploaded it, it just became an extension of the debates campaign which has	<ol> <li>upon, sort of, the guidelines that you've given them?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: I'd have to defer to Gina on</li> <li>the specifics of that, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,</li> <li>anything before anything goes live, Clean Elections</li> <li>staff does have to approve it, whether it's search,</li> <li>whether it's a print ad. And usually, depending on the</li> <li>type of media we're talking about, we get verification</li> <li>of what was ran. So, for example, if we run a print</li> <li>ad, we get a tear sheet for that. So that's actually a</li> <li>tear our hard copy proof saying this has created the</li> <li>message that you approved and this is the proof that it</li> <li>ran.</li> <li>For example, on social media, so if we have</li> <li>an ad that we run on Facebook, we will get a</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to say that when you are buying SEM or Google AdWords type of things for the purpose of, you know, informing folks about debates and informing folks about whatever, you're buying it sort of in a bulk group? You are putting out a bunch of words in a bulk. And so if this is one line one you know, in one section of that bulk thing, it would go undetected until somebody saw that specific ad, potentially. Is that a correct analogy? MS. BORREGO: Certainly. I think so. Would you I think you would MS. KASHIMA: So it's basically, when we the campaigns are set up under a one-umbrella campaign. So when he accidentally uploaded it, it just	<ol> <li>upon, sort of, the guidelines that you've given them?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: I'd have to defer to Gina on</li> <li>the specifics of that, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,</li> <li>anything before anything goes live, Clean Elections</li> <li>staff does have to approve it, whether it's search,</li> <li>whether it's a print ad. And usually, depending on the</li> <li>type of media we're talking about, we get verification</li> <li>of what was ran. So, for example, if we run a print</li> <li>ad, we get a tear sheet for that. So that's actually a</li> <li>tear our hard copy proof saying this has created the</li> <li>message that you approved and this is the proof that it</li> <li>ran.</li> <li>For example, on social media, so if we have</li> </ol>

Citi	zens Clean Elections Commission		July 19, 2018
10:0	04:32-10:05:33 Page 30	10:	06:42-10:07:51 Page 32
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approve or excuse me. It should already be approved if it's in there, but review just to make sure everything is what we agreed upon. So we do anything that comes to us, it must be approved before it is live, and usually we have a mechanism in there to go in and verify that that's the case. With search, we would usually get a spreadsheet of some sort to identify the key words that would work well, you know, what our what our voter is looking for when they go to Google, what do they type in, that type of thing. And then, yes, we either make edits or we approve it and then that is what is made live. So, absolutely, anything that is going to be out there to the public and marketed, we have to give formal approval on that. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, may I ask one question to Gina just to make clear for the for the record? In this particular case, this particular item did not go through the Clean Elections staff for approval. Correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for lack of a better word, where someone can click a button and that post is now live, where what I'm hearing from staff is before anything can go live, it needs to be approved by us. So my question is, why are we putting these draft posts and these live posts in the same bin? We should be running them through a filter so there's no way anything over here on the draft side there's no way anyone can hit a button that would make that live until it goes through the filter of Clean Elections and now it's out on the other side. Then we hit it live. So that's why I asked the question, and that's kind of the process. Maybe that's too simplistic. I don't know, but does that make sense? And I don't understand why why these live and drafts are in the same bin. COMMISSIONER PATTON: Ball game. MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, I'll defer to Tricia to talk a little bit more on that process. And if I could add to that, too, if we could verify, I seem to recall in the response from RIESTER somewhere that they would no longer keep pending or draft key words in
23	MS. ROBERTS: That is correct. We	23	the system. I think I recall reading that as well.
	absolutely did not see any type of this language. When		So, you know, removing that or ensuring that nothing
25	I was first notified about this, I completely thought	25	will be entered unless it's actually live, I think
	i was mist notified about ans, i completely alought		•
	r was mist notified about tins, r completely alought		•
	D5:35-10:06:37         Page 31		07:54-10:09:00 Page 33
10:0		10:	07:54-10:09:00 Page 33
10:0 1	D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We	10: 1	07:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification.
10:0 1 2	D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was	10: 1 2	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too,
10:0 1 2 3	D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise.	10: 1 2 3	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know
10:0 1 2 3 4	D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed	10: 1 2 3 4	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work
10:0 1 2 3 4 5	D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from	10: 1 2 3 4 5	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6	D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules.
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it,
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question.	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question. MR. COLLINS: No. I understand.	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question. MR. COLLINS: No. I understand. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think listen,	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question. MR. COLLINS: No. I understand.	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question. MR. COLLINS: No. I understand. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think listen, this is a mistake. Everybody makes a mistake. I make mistakes every day. I guess my process question is it	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how it's supposed to lay out. So when we when we want
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question. MR. COLLINS: No. I understand. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think listen, this is a mistake. Everybody makes a mistake. I make mistakes every day. I guess my process question is it seems like the processes may be two ships passing in	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how it's supposed to lay out. So when we when we want to show your draft, we want to show you what it actually looks like with the words and the URL.
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question. MR. COLLINS: No. I understand. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think listen, this is a mistake. Everybody makes a mistake. I make mistakes every day. I guess my process question is it	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how it's supposed to lay out. So when we when we want to show your draft, we want to show you what it actually looks like with the words and the URL.
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	25:35-10:06:37 Page 31 it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise. And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question. MR. COLLINS: No. I understand. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think listen, this is a mistake. Everybody makes a mistake. I make mistakes every day. I guess my process question is it seems like the processes may be two ships passing in	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how it's supposed to lay out. So when we when we want to show your draft, we want to show you what it actually looks like with the words and the URL. And it actually helps us cost out the ad,
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31</li> <li>it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise.</li> <li>And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: No. I understand. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think listen, this is a mistake. Everybody makes a mistake. I make mistakes every day. I guess my process question is it seems like the processes may be two ships passing in the night here because I hear from the RIESTER folks</li> </ul>	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how it's supposed to lay out. So when we when we want to show your draft, we want to show you what it actually looks like with the words and the URL. And it actually helps us cost out the ad, as well, to see, based on what we're inputting into the
10:0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>D5:35-10:06:37 Page 31</li> <li>it was fake; it had the wrong date, the wrong tone. We would never use a candidate name. So it was it was a surprise.</li> <li>And I when I received the detailed instructions from or the detailed explanation from RIESTER about how this happened, it made it very clear to me, okay, this employee was new. He was five months in, because my thought was I could not imagine that RIESTER would even supply us with this type of recommendation knowing our brand, knowing the rules that we have in place, that we don't ever utilize a candidate name and how we work very hard to being non-partisan. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: And just I didn't ask that question about assigning blame. I'm just asking a process question.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: No. I understand. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think listen, this is a mistake. Everybody makes a mistake. I make mistakes every day. I guess my process question is it seems like the processes may be two ships passing in the night here because I hear from the RIESTER folks how we have we have these draft ads and some of them</li> </ul>	10: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	207:54-10:09:00 Page 33 would be part of the verification. And then, if I could also ask, too, Mr. Chairman, as they consider that response, I do know that they have to see what key words would work would work the best for the client, too. So maybe if we could get a response that how do we ensure that we do not have anything that is not live in the system ever so we don't have that mistake of triggering it but also ensuring that the client will still receive the best recommendation that works within our normal our normal process, our normal rules. MS. KASHIMA: So I think working in the editor form and not letting that program have access to the internet at all will be the best way to do it, because we use the editor feature in that platform because Google has a set amount of standards of how many text words you can have, how it's supposed how it's supposed to lay out. So when we when we want to show your draft, we want to show you what it actually looks like with the words and the URL. And it actually helps us cost out the ad, as well, to see, based on what we're inputting into the ad, how many impressions we'll get, how much it will

Citizens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
10:09:04-10:10:07 Pa	e 34 10:11:46-10:12:50 Page 36
<ol> <li>expenses are correct.</li> <li>So that's how we use that draft editor</li> <li>AdWords editor for, but not letting it have access to</li> <li>the actual account, the live account is the best way to</li> <li>do it because we still need to utilize it for making</li> <li>sure that we are in Google standards for the ad, that</li> <li>they'll actually when we say it's ready to run, it</li> <li>will actually get run and not get rejected by Google.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Gina, does that did</li> <li>they answer</li> <li>MS. ROBERTS: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.</li> <li>Any other commissioners have any questions</li> <li>or comments thank you very much for being here to</li> <li>answer those questions.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER CHAN: Well, Mr. Chairman,</li> <li>just one brief one of Tom.</li> <li>So are you you and Gina satisfied with</li> <li>whatever changes are being made this will not happen</li> <li>again?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Well, like I said, the</li> <li>buck the buck ultimately the buck ultimately</li> <li>stops here with me. And so if this happens again, you</li> <li>know, you're in a position to be very critical of me.</li> <li>I have a great deal of confidence that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>So I think we're in the best place we can</li> <li>be given the circumstances, and so I do recommend we</li> <li>continue to move forward along the lines of the plan</li> <li>that RIESTER has outlined. And and that's my view.</li> <li>MS. BORREGO: If I can just add,</li> <li>Mr. Chairman, you have our utmost commitment that this</li> <li>will never happen again as well. Me and my colleagues,</li> <li>we have been very distressed. This is a very</li> <li>important very important work for us personally. We</li> <li>believe so much in the mission of the Commission. So</li> <li>you have our commitment that this this will never</li> <li>happen again as well.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much, and</li> <li>thank you for coming here and answering questions. We</li> <li>very much appreciate it both of you.</li> <li>Tom, I believe you had mentioned some of</li> <li>the Ducey campaign members are here.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yes. This is JP Twist, who</li> <li>is the campaign manager for the Ducey campaign. I</li> <li>think he has some comments, and maybe and I'm not</li> <li>sure. I don't know that we have questions for him, but</li> <li>I think he had some at least had some statements to</li> <li>make.</li> <li>And so I would just allow him to if he</li> </ol>
10:10:14-10:11:46 Pa	e 35 10:12:53-10:13:45 Page 37
<ol> <li>based on both our past experience working with RIES'</li> <li>and the and the kind of approach that they take to</li> <li>ensuring that our voter education materials stay within</li> <li>the boundaries that we want and achieve the goals we</li> <li>want of reaching voters and with the steps that have</li> <li>been outlined, that, yes, we should be able to avoid</li> <li>this.</li> <li>I'm confident that if it if it happens</li> <li>again, if something like this happens again, we won't</li> <li>be here just with RIESTER being in a position to, you</li> <li>know, just describe it. We'll be here in a position</li> <li>with me, essentially, saying that, you know, like I</li> <li>said, this is that would be at that point, that</li> <li>would be my responsibility that that happened.</li> <li>And so so with that having been said, I</li> <li>think we are in as good a position as we can be, given</li> <li>all of the technical aspects of this. And I know that</li> <li>Gina and I are committed to ensuring that everything</li> <li>that we have tried to put into our Voter Education</li> <li>know that we have tried to put into our Voter Education</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>MR. TWIST: Sure.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: down.</li> <li>MR. TWIST: Sure.</li> <li>Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the record,</li> <li>my name is JP Twist. I'm here with my colleague Sara</li> <li>Mueller. Sara and I together, over the last four</li> <li>years, are in charge of running the Governor's</li> <li>political operation here in Arizona. We are here today</li> <li>on our official capacity. I'm the campaign manager for</li> <li>the Governor's reelection campaign.</li> <li>I don't think I need to say anything about</li> <li>what's been said here. Obviously, we object to the</li> <li>to come here today on behalf of our campaign is just to</li> <li>thank the Commission, particularly your staff, for the</li> <li>quickness that you guys moved to make sure that these</li> <li>ads were removed and the professionalism that that</li> <li>they shared with our campaign over communicating,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>21 Program, which we think is our the crown jewel of</li> <li>22 what the Commission does, really, and we want to sec</li> <li>23 that and keep that and make sure that that continues to</li> <li>24 be an impartial and reliable source of information for</li> <li>25 candidates.</li> </ul>	

Ciu	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
10:	13:47-10:14:46 Page 38	10:16:12-10:17:47 Page 40
1	say it meant a lot to us that your staff showed the	1 reasons: One, AZAN objects to our rule that allows
	professionalism that they did. And that's it. That's	<ul><li>2 clean you know, because of the contribution</li></ul>
	all I wanted to share, that we very much appreciate	3 definition change expressly allows candidates who are
	taking swift action, and we look forward to continuing	<ul><li>4 running clean to work with political parties if their</li></ul>
	to work with you guys not in this circumstance, but	<ul><li>5 nominee is just like any other candidate. And then, on</li></ul>
	again, the professionalism was just quite remarkable.	<ul><li>6 the other hand on the other side, they are</li></ul>
	And I felt compelled to come and say that.	<ul><li>7 supportive of our rule on political campaign</li></ul>
	So thank you. And I'm happy to answer your	
8	questions, but I just wanted to say that.	
10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much. MR. TWIST: Thank you.	<ul><li>10 and whether or not they owe a filing enforceable by</li><li>11 penalty under Article 2 of the Clean Elections Act.</li></ul>
	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.	<ul> <li>12 That those are really the that's</li> </ul>
12	And, Tom and Gina, thank you.	<ul><li>12 That mose are rearry the that s</li><li>13 really the thrust of their of their complaint is the</li></ul>
13	Any further comments on that?	<b>14</b> Voter Protection Act and then Article 7 of the Arizona
14	MR. COLLINS: I don't I don't believe	
15		<b>15</b> Constitution which provides specifically that
	Ryan, you don't have you're not you're good?	<b>16</b> information that is related to campaigns shall be
	Everybody? And everybody good? Gina, good?	<b>17</b> well, the legislature shall pass laws that require the
18	Does anybody else want to comment on this	<b>18</b> disclosure of contributors, the disclosure of
	item? No? I think that closes out this item,	<b>19</b> expenditure and the publicity of those.
	Mr. Chairman.	<b>20</b> And our position is that 1516 did not do
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. All right. On to	<b>21</b> that and our rule, therefore, is a is a correct
	Agenda Item Number V, which is discussion and possible	<b>22</b> interpretation of the constitution. So we are sort of
	action on legal matters involving the Clean Elections	<b>23</b> straddling the two sides of the argument that AZAN is
	Act and/or the Clean Elections Commission. We have	<b>24</b> bringing while, the Secretary of State and GRRC are
25	three matters here stated. I guess we'll just take	<b>25</b> sort of they are really focused on the our
10:	14:51-10:16:07 Page 39	10:17:51-10:19:23 Page 41
	-	_
	.1 . 1	
	them in order.	1 definition of de facto political committee, and and
2	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So	2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.
2 3	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is	<ul><li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li><li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li></ul>
2 3 4	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan.	<ol> <li>that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there.	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire.	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your perusal. I think we have and I don't have an oral	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> <li>19 the sense that we have put the legislative council on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your perusal. I think we have and I don't have an oral argument, though, however, for a little while, but	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> <li>19 the sense that we have put the legislative council on</li> <li>20 notice that these were going to be legal deficiencies</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your perusal. I think we have and I don't have an oral argument, though, however, for a little while, but that's so that's there.	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> <li>19 the sense that we have put the legislative council on</li> <li>20 notice that these were going to be legal deficiencies</li> <li>21 and they did not solve that problem. So we are we</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your perusal. I think we have and I don't have an oral argument, though, however, for a little while, but that's so that's there. That case, just for everybody's	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> <li>19 the sense that we have put the legislative council on</li> <li>20 notice that these were going to be legal deficiencies</li> <li>21 and they did not solve that problem. So we are we</li> <li>22 are feel like this you know, we've already made</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your perusal. I think we have and I don't have an oral argument, though, however, for a little while, but that's so that's there. That case, just for everybody's understanding, involves Voter Protection Act and	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> <li>19 the sense that we have put the legislative council on</li> <li>20 notice that these were going to be legal deficiencies</li> <li>21 and they did not solve that problem. So we are we</li> <li>22 are feel like this you know, we've already made</li> <li>23 the decision to file a suit, but we are working to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your perusal. I think we have and I don't have an oral argument, though, however, for a little while, but that's so that's there. That case, just for everybody's understanding, involves Voter Protection Act and Arizona and other Arizona constitutional law	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> <li>19 the sense that we have put the legislative council on</li> <li>20 notice that these were going to be legal deficiencies</li> <li>21 and they did not solve that problem. So we are we</li> <li>22 are feel like this you know, we've already made</li> <li>23 the decision to file a suit, but we are working to</li> <li>24 expedite the resolution of it through the expedited</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know Item Number A is Arizona Advocacy, et al., versus Reagan. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So what there's really there's sort of more than three items because the one is all litigation related to HCR 2007, which involves two there's at least two matters involved there. With respect to the with respect to the well, Mary is here to answer any specific legal questions and, obviously, you have the option to go into executive session, if you so desire. The Arizona Advocacy case is now I think the briefing on summary judgment is now closed. I think we got you the last brief that was filed by the State and GRRC. Both filed both the Secretary of State and GRRC filed briefs. You have those for your perusal. I think we have and I don't have an oral argument, though, however, for a little while, but that's so that's there. That case, just for everybody's understanding, involves Voter Protection Act and	<ul> <li>2 that's sort of where things are, to summarize.</li> <li>3 I'd like to try to move as quickly as I can</li> <li>4 through the public portion of this. HCR 2007, there</li> <li>5 are two lawsuits. One, we filed, and we just filed</li> <li>6 you have a copy of the we have a very expedited</li> <li>7 summary judgment calendar on that. We filed our motion</li> <li>8 for summary judgment yesterday, which you have a copy</li> <li>9 of. We legislative council will file their</li> <li>10 response their cross motion on Monday and then I</li> <li>11 think, and then we'll have responses. And I'm not</li> <li>12 and, again, I have forgotten what the hearing dates are</li> <li>13 on these things, but we'll get you those as quickly as</li> <li>14 possible.</li> <li>15 That, again, you know, it reflects you</li> <li>16 know, we sent a letter I sent a letter to "leg"</li> <li>17 council outlining many of these flaws that are in the</li> <li>18 complaint. So the complaint should look familiar in</li> <li>19 the sense that we have put the legislative council on</li> <li>20 notice that these were going to be legal deficiencies</li> <li>21 and they did not solve that problem. So we are we</li> <li>22 are feel like this you know, we've already made</li> <li>23 the decision to file a suit, but we are working to</li> </ul>

	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
10:	19:24-10:20:55 Page 42	10:22:33-10:23:45 Page 44
1	The second HCR 2007 suit which was brought	1 dismiss there.
	by former Commissioner Hoffman and Commissioner Chan in	2 We sued to enforce our order and demand
	her personal capacity so can I call you Amy Chan?	3 both payment and the filing of reports that still
	Lewis Hoffman and Amy Chan, as opposed to Commissioner	4 haven't been filed. They countersued to say somehow
	Chan and they're represented by the Center for Law	5 they have the right to relitigate the issues they
	in the Public Interest. The judge in that case it's	<ul><li>6 already have foregone the opportunity to litigate and</li></ul>
	a single subject case. Basically, the constitution, at	7 had the Supreme Court tell them they've foregone the
	least as I had understood it, requires legislative	8 opportunity to litigate, but nevertheless, they are
	bills to contain but one subject.	9 litigating.
10	And we believe we lobbied during the	<b>10</b> So that case is, frankly, frustrating and
11	session that this combination of doing stuff to	11 border it's unbelievable to me, just in my just,
	participating candidates and combining it with the	<b>12</b> if I might, but it is what it is.
	Governor's Regulatory Review Council was a single	<b>13</b> So those are the cases. I don't believe
	subject violation.	14 that any of them, other than the HCR 2007, would be
15	The judge in this case the Superior	<b>15</b> would be necessarily require an executive session.
16	Court judge in this case, of all the ways that she	<b>16</b> And that's only if you have questions on anything that
	could have ruled, she ruled that legislative	<b>17</b> I've talked about.
18	referendums which are passed by the legislature	<b>18</b> Mary is here in the event that that that
19	pursuant to Article 4, they are enactments of the	<b>19</b> you that anyone wants to go into executive session,
20	legislature pursuant to the Article 4 of the Arizona	<b>20</b> but again, I don't think it's a priority.
21	Constitution are somehow completely exempt from the	21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: So the HCR 2007 cases,
22	single subject requirement, despite the fact that the	<b>22</b> there's two of them.
23	legislature has to vote for them like any other bill	23 MR. COLLINS: Yeah.
24	and despite the fact that the constitution specifically	24 CHAIRMAN MEYER: The one we filed, we have
25	says that such bills are not subject to gubernatorial	<b>25</b> just filed the motion for summary judgment.
10::	21:00-10:22:28 Page 43	10:23:47-10:24:34 Page 45
1	veto.	1 MR. COLLINS: Yeah.
2	Nevertheless, the judge determined that	2 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Have we gotten an answer
	this was this was a that it simply doesn't apply	<b>3</b> on that yet?
	at all. So you can have a bill apparently, you can	4 MS. O'GRADY: You want me to give the
	have a referendum that, you know, can merge, you know,	5 deadlines?
	standards for cattle ranching with standards for school	6 MR. COLLINS: Yeah. That would be good.
	buses and that's perfectly fine. And I so, you	
		7 MS. O'GRADY: The State's
o	· · ·	
	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I	8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.
9	· · ·	8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.
9	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the	<ul><li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li><li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li></ul>
9 10 11	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect.	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I	<ul> <li>MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that.	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing I would think you I'm not recommending an executive	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> <li>18 We are getting right to summary judgment motions.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing I would think you I'm not recommending an executive session, but that may be one thing you might want to	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> <li>18 We are getting right to summary judgment motions.</li> <li>19 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm just curious have we</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing I would think you I'm not recommending an executive session, but that may be one thing you might want to have of all these.	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> <li>18 We are getting right to summary judgment motions.</li> <li>19 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm just curious have we</li> <li>20 gleaned any defenses from the answer, but it doesn't</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing I would think you I'm not recommending an executive session, but that may be one thing you might want to have of all these. And then, finally, we get to our LFAF	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> <li>18 We are getting right to summary judgment motions.</li> <li>19 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm just curious have we</li> <li>20 gleaned any defenses from the answer, but it doesn't</li> <li>21 sound like that.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing I would think you I'm not recommending an executive session, but that may be one thing you might want to have of all these. And then, finally, we get to our LFAF friends, the Legacy Foundation Action Fund from Iowa.	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> <li>18 We are getting right to summary judgment motions.</li> <li>19 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm just curious have we</li> <li>20 gleaned any defenses from the answer, but it doesn't</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing I would think you I'm not recommending an executive session, but that may be one thing you might want to have of all these. And then, finally, we get to our LFAF friends, the Legacy Foundation Action Fund from Iowa. I don't know why, Mr. Chairman, you haven't been able	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> <li>18 We are getting right to summary judgment motions.</li> <li>19 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm just curious have we</li> <li>20 gleaned any defenses from the answer, but it doesn't</li> <li>21 sound like that.</li> <li>22 And then the second case is Amy Chan's</li> <li>23 case.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know, notwithstanding the fact that's not our case, I think that the decision personally I think the decision was is incorrect. The Center for Law has indicated that they are filing an appeal and well, I don't know. I don't know. I mean, I don't think and and we'll see what happens after that. If you have questions specifically on anything the Commission might or might not want to do on that, that, if any of these, would be the one thing I would think you I'm not recommending an executive session, but that may be one thing you might want to have of all these. And then, finally, we get to our LFAF friends, the Legacy Foundation Action Fund from Iowa.	<ul> <li>8 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mary.</li> <li>9 MS. O'GRADY: The "leg" council's response</li> <li>10 is due Monday. Our reply is due Wednesday, and oral</li> <li>11 argument is next Friday at 1:30. So it's expedited</li> <li>12 because the printing deadline is in August. So we</li> <li>13 wanted to leave time both for expedited Supreme Court</li> <li>14 review, if necessary, and for legislative council to</li> <li>15 remedy the problems if we prevail.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Did they file an answer?</li> <li>17 MS. O'GRADY: They didn't file an answer.</li> <li>18 We are getting right to summary judgment motions.</li> <li>19 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm just curious have we</li> <li>20 gleaned any defenses from the answer, but it doesn't</li> <li>21 sound like that.</li> <li>22 And then the second case is Amy Chan's</li> </ul>

Citizens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
10:24:36-10:25:27 Page 4	i 10:26:41-10:27:51 Page 48
<ol> <li>MS. O'GRADY: I can update that if there</li> <li>has been an they did appeal.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: And there's a briefing</li> <li>schedule set.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>more comfortable in executive session in terms of, you</li> <li>know, our legal strategies of what we might do in the</li> <li>future in court.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Well, I don't</li> <li>know that it's that it's worth that but</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: So, just from a timing</li> </ol>
7 MS. O'GRADY: And that, too, is on an	7 perspective, this is the last chance we have to discuss
8 expedited basis at the Supreme Court, and that will be	8 that before we get a ruling, most likely.
<ul><li>9 conferenced and decided late August.</li><li>10 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.</li></ul>	9 Correct?
<ul><li>10 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.</li><li>11 Commissioner Kimble?</li></ul>	<ul><li>MR. COLLINS: That is correct. It's also,</li><li>just on the HCR 2007 and I don't know how what we</li></ul>
12 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman, I have	<b>12</b> your on the rick 2007 and room rick whow what we <b>12</b> would do with Commissioner Chan's role there, but it's
<b>13</b> one question, Mary.	<b>13</b> also the last opportunity we'll have to discuss if
14 The first case, the one we filed and I	14 and this is a big if the Commission sees the need to
<b>15</b> don't think this has to be in executive session, but	<b>15</b> file an amicus on any issues related to the description
<b>16</b> should we prevail, do we have some proposed wording to	<b>16</b> of Clean Elections or other other kinds of I
<b>17</b> submit to the judge that we would like, or how is this	<b>17</b> don't want to get more detailed than that, but other
<ul><li><b>18</b> going to proceed?</li><li><b>19</b> MS. O'GRADY: We've identified the</li></ul>	<ul><li>18 kinds of things that the Commission itself needs to</li><li>19 speak to, if any. This is our this is our last</li></ul>
20 particular problems.	<b>20</b> opportunity to do that, too.
<b>21</b> COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Right.	<b>21</b> CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Well, I think
22 MS. O'GRADY: And so our hope is that the	<b>22</b> we should go into executive session.
<b>23</b> Court agrees with us that those are, indeed, problems.	<b>23</b> Anyone I'll make that motion.
<b>24</b> And so some of them, the language fix is evident from	24 Any comments from
<b>25</b> the description of the problem, but we didn't say make	<b>25</b> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I'll second the motion.
10:25:31-10:26:38 Page 4	I         10:27:52-10:45:56         Page 49
<ul> <li>10:25:31-10:26:38 Page 4</li> <li>1 legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>2 it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>3 so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>4 problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>5 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10:27:52-10:45:56 Page 49</li> <li>1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>2 executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>3 motion.</li> <li>4 All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>5 session say aye.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> <li>resumes at 10:45 a.m.)</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: the shortest of the three.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> <li>resumes at 10:45 a.m.)</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: the shortest of the three.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So would it be</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> <li>resumes at 10:45 a.m.)</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer</li> <li>had to leave because of a scheduling conflict. We're</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: the shortest of the three.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So would it be</li> <li>advantageous to have something ready to and tell me</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> <li>resumes at 10:45 a.m.)</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer</li> <li>had to leave because of a scheduling conflict. We're</li> <li>out of executive session, and the public portion of the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: the shortest of the three.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So would it be</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> <li>resumes at 10:45 a.m.)</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer</li> <li>had to leave because of a scheduling conflict. We're</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: the shortest of the three.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So would it be</li> <li>advantageous to have something ready to and tell me</li> <li>if you think this needs to be discussed in executive</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> <li>resumes at 10:45 a.m.)</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer</li> <li>had to leave because of a scheduling conflict. We're</li> <li>out of executive session, and the public portion of the</li> <li>July 19th meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>legislative council say this. We said make them</li> <li>it's wrong because they omitted this information or</li> <li>so it sort of it's evident by the nature of the</li> <li>problem what the solution is, for the most part.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Because I know</li> <li>previously it was</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Careful we don't discuss</li> <li>anything that we discussed in executive session.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, I don't think</li> <li>this was.</li> <li>It other other ballot descriptions</li> <li>are substantially lengthier than this one. I mean,</li> <li>it's not like there's a hard word limit that this one</li> <li>is up against as written by the legislative council.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: That's right. There were two</li> <li>others approved that they, and this is</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.</li> <li>MS. O'GRADY: the shortest of the three.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So would it be</li> <li>advantageous to have something ready to and tell me</li> <li>if you think this needs to be discussed in executive</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I move we go into</li> <li>executive session. Commissioner Chan has seconded that</li> <li>motion.</li> <li>All in favor of going into executive</li> <li>session say aye.</li> <li>(Chorus of ayes.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any opposed?</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Motion carries 4-0.</li> <li>We are in executive session, and I'd ask the attendees</li> <li>to please step out.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>(The following section of the meeting is in</li> <li>executive session and bound under separate cover.)</li> <li>*****</li> <li>(End of executive session. Public meeting</li> <li>resumes at 10:45 a.m.)</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer</li> <li>had to leave because of a scheduling conflict. We're</li> <li>out of executive session, and the public portion of the</li> <li>July 19th meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections</li> <li>Commission is resuming with Item VI: Discussion and</li> </ol>

Citi	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 2018
10:4	45:57-10:47:17 Page 50	10:52:35-10:53:46 Page 52
1	MR. COLLINS: Yes. Commissioners, this	1 specific work on Millennials that we've worked on for
2	is this is a the good news good news/bad news.	2 the last several years. So I think that the report
	The bad news is not enough people are voting, and in	3 also validates, from a research perspective, the work
	the future it looks like there may be fewer people	4 that the Commission has been doing.
	voting. The good news is that this particular project,	5 I also wanted to kind of describe the scene
	in working with the Morrison Institute and, actually,	6 at the that we had. And if you-all want me to
	working with RIESTER, because RIESTER really did help	7 you know, if you get tired of listening to me, let
8	us pull this together, and then and then, obviously,	8 me let me know, but we're very I'm thrilled about
9	Gina and her staff helped us make this into an event	9 this even. And I have a lot to say about it but, you
10	that I really think was one of the best events that	10 know, the event itself, once the research was done
11	we've hosted.	<b>11</b> and Commissioner Chan and I were on the panel. We
12	We had 70 people there live. I have some	<b>12</b> assured that there was ideological diversity on the
13	metrics on the media coverage. We got coverage on	13 panel.
14	television stations from Phoenix to Tucson, Channel 15	<b>14</b> We had Paul Avelar, who is the managing
15	here, channel a number of a number of Tucson	<b>15</b> partner of the Institute for Justice's chapter here in
16	channels. I don't know. I don't know if we have any	<b>16</b> Arizona was on the panel with us. And he has different
17	metrics on the social engagement. We did see a lot of	<b>17</b> views about about these results, the results of the
18	social engagement.	<b>18</b> research for sure, but I thought he brought a very good
19	Ryan, I'm looking at you. I don't know if	<b>19</b> perspective because it's important, when we do research
20	we	<b>20</b> like this, that we be they'd be able to withstand
21	MR. WHEELOCK: We do have some metrics.	<b>21</b> and take criticism directly. And so we brought that
22	MR. COLLINS: Okay. Okay. That will be	<b>22</b> into the process, and I think that was important.
	that might that will be great to add here, but while	<b>23</b> I also I also think that you know, we
	Ryan is looking for that, what I'd like to do is	<b>24</b> talk a lot about civility in politics right now, and I
25	play we put together RIESTER working with	<b>25</b> thought that the panel was an example of you can
10:4	47:20-10:52:32 Page 51	10:53:49-10:55:22         Page 53
	A7:20-10:52:32 Page 51 RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for	10:53:49-10:55:22       Page 53         1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example
1	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1 2	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for	1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example
1 2 3	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>that we had at the event.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>that we had at the event.</li> <li>We will be doing two more of these reports</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn.	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>that we had at the event.</li> <li>We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.)	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>that we had at the event.</li> <li>We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>that we had at the event.</li> <li>We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>that we had at the event.</li> <li>We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>information on resources for voters.</li> <li>Also I also just a couple of quick</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what	<ol> <li>talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>that we had at the event.</li> <li>We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>information on resources for voters.</li> <li>Also I also just a couple of quick</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we have that we have to work with. I mean, in other	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> <li>18 anymore on the night of the event. And, actually,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we have that we have to work with. I mean, in other words, some people want different laws changed, but	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> <li>18 anymore on the night of the event. And, actually,</li> <li>19 while this meeting is going on, David Daugherty, who is</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we have that we have to work with. I mean, in other words, some people want different laws changed, but that wasn't the purpose of this report.	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> <li>18 anymore on the night of the event. And, actually,</li> <li>19 while this meeting is going on, David Daugherty, who is</li> <li>20 the is also the is one of the authors of this</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we have that we have to work with. I mean, in other words, some people want different laws changed, but that wasn't the purpose of this report. The purpose of this report was to look at	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> <li>18 anymore on the night of the event. And, actually,</li> <li>19 while this meeting is going on, David Daugherty, who is</li> <li>20 the is also the is one of the authors of this</li> <li>21 report, and I pre-taped an interview with KJZZ, which</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we have that we have to work with. I mean, in other words, some people want different laws changed, but that wasn't the purpose of this report. The purpose of this report was to look at where we are now, and the other purpose of this report,	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> <li>18 anymore on the night of the event. And, actually,</li> <li>19 while this meeting is going on, David Daugherty, who is</li> <li>20 the is also the is one of the authors of this</li> <li>21 report, and I pre-taped an interview with KJZZ, which</li> <li>22 is which aired literally while we were meeting</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we have that we have to work with. I mean, in other words, some people want different laws changed, but that wasn't the purpose of this report. The purpose of this report was to look at where we are now, and the other purpose of this report, from a voter education perspective, is we have	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> <li>18 anymore on the night of the event. And, actually,</li> <li>19 while this meeting is going on, David Daugherty, who is</li> <li>20 the is also the is one of the authors of this</li> <li>21 report, and I pre-taped an interview with KJZZ, which</li> <li>22 is which aired literally while we were meeting</li> <li>23 today, again, talking about the report and the</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	RIESTER we put together an intro video for the for the live event that's now on our website. And Alec has done a great job of featuring all of these items on our website. It really looks sharp. And we're really proud of it, but we'd like to without further ado. MS. ROBERTS: And, Commissioners, unfortunately, due to the power outage we had last week, this is the only TV that we have that is operating right now. So my apologies. You'll have to turn. (Video playing.) MR. COLLINS: So that video aired before the Morrison Institute presented their findings, and I think it helped set the tone for what we're what we're looking at, which is, you know, what are what is the status now and what we're looking at in the future. And that's within the legal framework that we have that we have to work with. I mean, in other words, some people want different laws changed, but that wasn't the purpose of this report. The purpose of this report was to look at where we are now, and the other purpose of this report,	<ul> <li>1 talk a lot about civility, but the panel was an example</li> <li>2 of people who have wildly different ideological views</li> <li>3 who had a very healthy and and meaningful, I</li> <li>4 thought, discussion and actually came to agree on</li> <li>5 certain issues around the problems with respect to</li> <li>6 people turning out to vote. And I really I'm really</li> <li>7 proud of the fact that that's the kind of discussion</li> <li>8 that we had at the event.</li> <li>9 We will be doing two more of these reports</li> <li>10 and events. The next one is on independents in August,</li> <li>11 and that will be an event up in Flagstaff. And then</li> <li>12 we'll be in Tucson in the general election, providing</li> <li>13 information on resources for voters.</li> <li>14 Also I also just a couple of quick</li> <li>15 other mentions. One of Morrison researchers and I were</li> <li>16 on Arizona Horizon, which is the local PBS equivalent</li> <li>17 to Arizona Illustrated if Arizona Illustrated exists</li> <li>18 anymore on the night of the event. And, actually,</li> <li>19 while this meeting is going on, David Daugherty, who is</li> <li>20 the is also the is one of the authors of this</li> <li>21 report, and I pre-taped an interview with KJZZ, which</li> <li>22 is which aired literally while we were meeting</li> </ul>

Ciu	zens Clean Elections Commission		July 19, 2018
10:5	55:24-10:56:47 Page 54	10:	58:11-10:59:13 Page 56
1	there's some really important messages here and some	1	on the spot, I know.
	really important points that I think that we are	2	
	really with the work that Gina and both her team	3	
	internal team and external team are addressing.	4	
5	You know, Millennials, for example I	5	a lot of it most of the important pieces.
	keep going back to this example for the most part	6	
		7	
	with different economic opportunities than Baby Boomers		data?
	grew up with or that even Gen X'ers grew up with. And	9	
	so, you know, the disconnect we have 45 percent of	10	want me to just go ahead and come up?
	them aren't even registered to vote, but part of the	11	
	disconnect is they have different issues.	12	
13	They face higher higher education costs	13	MR. COLLINS: You have to introduce
14	which changed, you know, the decision factors. They	14	yourself for the record whether you like it or not.
	are putting off buying homes longer than than prior	15	
	generations because of that financial thing. I know	16	Commissioners, thank you.
17	that's something you've probably seen, Commissioner	17	The event earned about 506,000 online
	Paton putting off having families, all of that	18	impressions. We pulled this report yesterday. It had
	stuff. They have just a whole different group of	19	six TV mentions equalling about 104,000 impressions for
20	issues and, as we all know, they have an entirely	20	the TV mentions, and it got picked up in Prescott and
21	different media landscape that they interact with.	21	Tucson. For social media, the live tweeting garnered
22	So with our 18 in 2018 campaign, for	22	700 or 7,343 impressions on the day of the event.
23	example, and the way we interact with Snapchat and	23	The day after the event, there was an additional 5,711
24	Shazam, the way we, through the voter dashboard, have	24	impressions. There were 329 engagements on Twitter, 22
25	individualized and revolutionized, I believe, the	25	new followers on Twitter and 12 mentions, and the
10:5	56:51-10:58:07 Page 55	10:	59:17-11:00:40 Page 57
1	ability to get all the information that you need in	1	Facebook event reached 2.5000 people and garnered 65
	order to vote and know who is who you are voting		responses. It was a great event.
	for, I think those kinds of steps that are bringing	3	
	individualized information to voters really works for	4	
5	the Millennial voter who sees themselves as an	5	MR. COLLINS: No, no. I think I think
6	individual and may see themselves as not having	6	that I think that that about captures it. We're
	their their issues met.	7	looking forward to the additional parts of this
8	So we're doing the work to reach those	8	process, and we think that you know, we had a rich a
9	voters. At the same time, with the candidate statement	9	discussion when we decided to go ahead with this
10	pamphlet, we are continuing to reach out to those	10	project, and I think that this first go around, I will
11	voters who either are not computer savvy or live in	11	say, from my perspective, the whole package, it
12	areas of the state, particularly the rural areas and	12	exceeded my expectations both in terms of reach oh,
13	many of the many reservation areas where there's		do you want to oh, okay both in terms of reach
14	simply not the connectivity.		and in terms of attendance and in terms of value to our
15	And so that the candidate statement	15	underlying Voter Education Program in terms of showing
	pamphlet, which, you know, we have changed and made		the connection between what we're doing and what the
	more effective by by not including 300 pages of	17	numbers show.
	people you aren't going to be able to vote for, but	18	
	we've made that more effective. And we continue to be		everybody involved in this did, and I just want to
	the only state agency that provides that kind of		thank you know, thank Gina and Christina and Ryan
	information in both English and Spanish to try to to		for their help and, of course, the Morrison Institute
	try to make sure that we maintain that integrity and		for drafting the report. And it's just something we're
23	compliance with the Voting Rights Act.		just we're just super proud of. That's all I have
24	So those are some of my takeaways. I don't	24	to say.
25	know if Gina, if there's others that you would add	25	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's a very
1		1	

Citi	zens Clean Elections Commission	July 19, 201
11:	00:40-11:02:02 Page 58	11:03:18-11:04:35 Page 60
1	impressive report. And I can't claim to be a	1 initiatives. And folks the very folks who claim
	Millennial, but I am a political independent. And I'm	2 they don't want people to vote also don't want
	disturbed by looking here that of the 1.2 million	<b>3</b> governments by the ballot box, but that's the result of
	independents, 458,000 vote did not vote at all in	4 the fact that we're not getting the best cross section
	2016, and that's very discouraging.	5 of voters.
6	MR. COLLINS: You know, and to that point,	6 So there's a real catch-22 there for folks
7	Commissioner Kimble, we talked about that and that	7 who say, oh, this isn't really a crisis because if you
8	has there's about three different three different	8 don't think it's a crisis now, it might get worse, but
9	takeaways I have from that. First, the Commission has	<b>9</b> it also is going to lead to, I think, predictably more
10	in the past focused on independent voters and as part	<b>10</b> initiatives and referendums because folks who feel
11	of our campaigns, our efforts to get people to be aware	<b>11</b> marginalized are going to take those issues up because
12	of their voting rights. I'm not sure what we have	<b>12</b> of their frustration.
13	planned if we have that planned for this year.	<b>13</b> So I think those are the reasons why
14	MS. ROBERTS: We're planning it now.	14 independents ought to be engaged because, otherwise,
15	MR. COLLINS: We are doing that now. And	<b>15</b> they're foregoing their opportunity to have a have
	what we found in Maricopa County the first time we did	<b>16</b> to you know, when you vote for a legislator, you're
	this was there was even the number of the	<b>17</b> delegating that person the authority to make decisions
	absolute number of independent voters remained quite	<b>18</b> on your behalf. And I think communicating to them
	low. The increase percentage increase was	<b>19</b> that I said this yesterday in the interview with
	significant. So there is some hope there.	<b>20</b> KJZZ. An independent who doesn't vote isn't just not
21	The real issue the other on the other	<b>21</b> voting. They're actually doubling the weight of the
	hand, the issue with independents is partially driven	<b>22</b> person who votes. So you're not just staying out of
	by the fact obviously many independents don't want to	<b>23</b> it. You are actually multiplying the power of the vote
	be part of a party, but the parties are key to driving	<ul> <li>24 that you didn't you know, you didn't cast.</li> <li>25 And I don't think that that kind of I</li> </ul>
25	turnout. And what the parties have done, I think, over	<b>25</b> And I don't think that that kind of I
11:	D2:04-11:03:15 Page 59	11:04:38-11:05:30 Page 61
	D2:04-11:03:15 Page 59 time is decided who their voters are and focused on	11:04:38-11:05:30       Page 61         1 don't think I don't think a lot of independents
1	C C	
1 2	time is decided who their voters are and focused on	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> </ol>
1 2 3	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever.	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that.	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know,	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not such a big deal.	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> <li>the primary? Can I not? So so, I mean, she's an</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not such a big deal. People don't want to vote, but there's a	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> <li>the primary? Can I not? So so, I mean, she's an</li> <li>educated woman with a master's degree.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not such a big deal. People don't want to vote, but there's a catch-22 there because if you believe that it's okay	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> <li>the primary? Can I not? So so, I mean, she's an</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not such a big deal. People don't want to vote, but there's a	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> <li>the primary? Can I not? So so, I mean, she's an</li> <li>educated woman with a master's degree.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not such a big deal. People don't want to vote, but there's a catch-22 there because if you believe that it's okay that people aren't going to vote and then you end up	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> <li>the primary? Can I not? So so, I mean, she's an</li> <li>educated woman with a master's degree.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And she's confused.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not such a big deal. People don't want to vote, but there's a catch-22 there because if you believe that it's okay that people aren't going to vote and then you end up with city councils and board of supervisors and other	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> <li>the primary? Can I not? So so, I mean, she's an</li> <li>educated woman with a master's degree.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And she's confused.</li> <li>So one thing I think we need to, as far as our</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	time is decided who their voters are and focused on those folks. So they're not necessarily going to focus on an independent unless they see some record of that independent voting in a particular primary or whatever. And I think that is that may work for them strategically, but it ultimately has potential problems for the overall public good in the context of a democratic republic. So, you know, communicating to voters that they independent voters they have the opportunity to vote in the primaries is a very is a very important part of that. The other irony is, you know, and one of the one of the things that was brought out was, you know, some criticism for whether or not you know, 45 45 percent of people who are either eligible to vote but didn't register or didn't or chose not to vote at all in the 2016 election, maybe that's just not such a big deal. People don't want to vote, but there's a catch-22 there because if you believe that it's okay that people aren't going to vote and then you end up with city councils and board of supervisors and other entities that might not be representative, you end up	<ol> <li>don't think I don't think a lot of independents</li> <li>understand how much power they are giving to the folks</li> <li>who do vote when they choose not to vote because</li> <li>every for every vote that isn't there, the vote that</li> <li>replaces it is double weighted, basically.</li> <li>So those are some of my takeaways from the</li> <li>independents.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I say something</li> <li>briefly?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: As far as the</li> <li>independents, there seems to be a lot of confusion</li> <li>about them voting in primaries.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yeah.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And I know my own wife</li> <li>is an independent and she's always, like, can I vote on</li> <li>the primary? Can I not? So so, I mean, she's an</li> <li>educated woman with a master's degree.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Sure.</li> <li>COMMISSIONER PATON: And she's confused.</li> <li>So one thing I think we need to, as far as our</li> <li>education program goes and I know that Gina has done</li> </ol>

Cit	izens Clean Elections Commission		July 19, 2018
11:	05:34-11:06:53 Page 62	11:0	08:12-11:08:47 Page 64
1	they are confused. And then, secondly, the in my	1	primary to put a big headline independents can vote on
	view, both major parties are to both wings and aren't		this primary, you know, and just put that to all the
	talking to people in the middle and as to their both		Arizona newspapers like the day before.
	of the parties' detriments, I think.	4	
5		5	of thing we can certainly look at. Gina and I can look
6	Commissioner Paton, I think you make some absolutely	6	at that with our team.
7	valid points. I mean, the biggest single issue with	7	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman
	confusion about independents well, I should say	8	
	there's two issues, but the biggest single issue is the	9	against that if you're a newspaper?
	fact that our presidential preference election does not	10	
	allow independents to vote and our open primary for	11	
	the for the state offices does. And that is		newspaper.
	something that is a cycle that repeats itself every two	13	1 , 2
	years.		we have it put on the ADOT signs because maybe even
15	And we still, as a as an election community, including the county election directors and		more people read those these days than the newspapers, unfortunately.
	the recorders and the Secretary of State, all of us are	17	COMMISSIONER PATON: And we spoke about
	aware of this problem. All of us have worked on it and		that before.
	continue to work on it, but it is the single biggest	19	MR. COLLINS: Yeah.
	driver of confusion.	20	
21		-	stuff on it.
	biggest driver of confusion is if you are on the PVL,	22	
	the primary voting list, you have to tell your county	23	
	recorder what ballot you want or they won't send you	24	actually.
25	one. That's another area of confusion.	25	MR. COLLINS: Yeah, I know.
11:	:06:56-11:08:07 Page 63	11:0	08:48-11:09:46 Page 65
1	And then the third area of confusion is	1	COMMISSIONER CHAN: That was
	that it's not an open primary in the sense of the sort	2	
	of top 2 type of stuff you have in California. You	3	little phrases that they use.
4	have to pick a ballot of a party and you are not in a	4	
5	position to pick and choose among among them.	5	MS. ROBERTS: Commissioners, if I could,
6	e ,		that's a sore subject for me. We have tried very, very
	think, the top areas of confusion. I think that the		hard for several years to get ADOT to post signs on
	consensus among election administrators is that those		there and even so more recently since they seem to be a
	are the things we need to address. And we have been,		little bit more relaxed on the type of message they are
	but it takes I mean, the Commission started the		putting out there. I sent an email, you know, maybe a
	first time we did we did address the specific		month ago to try to touch base again, and so we are
	independent voters was, I want to say, 2014. And we've		working on that effort for sure. We definitely want to
13	persisted in doing that since then. And I think that it takes one of the	13 14	see if we can utilize that tactic. And then, as far as the newspaper coverage
	nice things about the Commission itself is because		goes, we were fortunate where in 2016, a lot of the
	there's such there's stability in the membership;		materials that we created to educate independent voters
	it's not and because you're appointed in a way that		and about the primary election, in general, AZ
	makes you non-partisan and independent and all the		Central and the "Republic," they did pick those up.
	things that we think are important, but the ability to		They did utilize our infographics, and this was, I
	sustain an effort over time is key to what to		think, on the bottom fold of the front page. So we are
	addressing those issues.		making headway there to work with our partners in the
22	Commissioner Paton?	22	media to make sure that we can get that message out
23	C	23	there.
24	march a it's a superation that morely has any negative. but		
	maybe it's a suggestion that would be appropriate, but	24	
	maybe we can convince the newspapers the day before the		As Tom mentioned, the biggest issues that we run across with independents are, one, just the

Citi	zens Clean Elections Commission		July 19, 2018
11:0	09:48-11:10:46 Page 66	11:11	1:57-11:13:14 Page 68
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Page 66</li> <li>education point of it and understanding that you can participate, navigating through the different rule changes across each election. So, for example, as Tom mentioned, the presidential preference election, but then with our open primary, you have an open primary, yet the libertarian party has a closed primary. So you can only choose you can't choose from every party, and that changes from year to year. So sometimes they do have an open primary. And then, also, once we get past that</li> <li>education standpoint, we are seeing a lot of the commentary that comes on the information that we put out there is then they don't like having to choose the ballot, and then they say they don't want to participate because they don't like that system. So we have a lot that we have to work through to encourage and promote that participation to get you know, see those numbers raise. And as Tom mentioned, in 2014, when we saw this, we were looking at a 7 percent turnout rate increase to, I believe, in the primary election, 14</li> </ul>	1     2   3   4   1 5   1 6   0 7   1 8   1 9   1 10   6 11   1 12   1 13   1 14   5 15   2 16   0 17   1 18   1 19   1 20   § 21	<ul> <li>Page 68</li> <li>partisan elections.</li> <li>And I know that's a very politically loaded</li> <li>statement, probably, but it just just thinking about</li> <li>the system, it just I continue to I've started</li> <li>wondering and continue to have the question of where</li> <li>did that come from and why do we continue to do it? So</li> <li>I know there was an initiative. I didn't remember if</li> <li>we voted on it, but to have a top 2, you know, primary.</li> <li>But I just I continue to believe with</li> <li>everything that I see from people I know and in the</li> <li>media and on social media and, again, that's</li> <li>probably my microcosm. So it may be very like-minded</li> <li>people, but that many tend to believe that our partisan</li> <li>system does tend to have some extreme viewpoints in it.</li> <li>And having the primaries be even though they're</li> <li>open, having more party members participate than, you</li> <li>know, the independents can lead to some polarization</li> <li>that I believe might not be there if we had more people</li> <li>participate at the very beginning than just at the</li> <li>general election.</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, can I just make</li> <li>two quick points?</li> <li>ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Tom.</li> </ul>
24	percent. So while the numbers themselves aren't great, we're going in the right direction. And so we do have	24	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Tom. MR. COLLINS: And I'm sure you're probably ready to head back down to south of the Gila, but to
11:"	10:49-11:11:54 Page 67	11:13	3:18-11:14:37 Page 69
2 3 4 5	education efforts out right now aimed at independents, and we're going to continue that. COMMISSIONER PATON: Mr. Kimble, was a former newspaper man, as well as Tom. Maybe they have ideas about, like, the week before, the day before primaries, how the newspapers can promote the fact that	2 ( 3 2 4 1 5 t	Commissioner Chan's point, you know, we have an open door to, you know, specifically the county administrators but, really, anybody who wants to propose a policy for Mike and I to review as we put together our legislative agenda as to whether or not we would lend support or not. We have always been open to
	independents could vote in primaries.		that. Folks haven't taken us up on that, but we
8	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yeah, I don't I		have we do have that open.
	think that's pretty widely promoted in the newspapers. You know, it's not a big surprise that newspaper	9 10 1	Now, whether or not we would agree or recommend, that's a whole other vetting process we'd
11	readership has fallen off the cliff. So I'm not sure		have to go through, but that is something we are open
	that that's the best solution. That's certainly one of	12 t	
13 14	them, though. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman.	13 14 l	And, also, I just wanted to say it should be noted that the decree that Kara negotiated on behalf
15	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.		of Secretary Reagan itself may bring in more voters
16	COMMISSIONER CHAN: If I could just make a		because it is, by its terms, as she noted earlier,
	comment. You know, one thing that just continually comes to my mind when we talk about voter participation		designed to eliminate some of the confusion on the on the registration, and it's for folks who aren't
	and taking into account the increase in the folks who		using Service Arizona but are using paper forms.
20	are not registered with recognized parties and who, you	20	So we do have a positive step there in
22 23	know, for a variety of reasons, but including the confusion we're talking about with participating, and then just the not real barriers but barriers in the sense of, oh, now I can vote, but I have to choose a	22 1 23 (	terms of voter registration, you know, notwithstanding my antiquated views about about the case I worked on, but no, but in all seriousness, that's as Kara said, that's the that's the end goal is that
	ballot, it just makes me wonder why we continue to have		there's no wrong door now for folks who file by paper

11:1	4:41-11:15:19 Page
1	to register to vote And these are these are
	to register to vote. And these are these are good these are all going in the same direction, and
	that's something that I think I just wanted to give
	• • •
	Kara credit for her work on resolving that case and
	that and that and expanding those opportunities.
6	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.
7	Item VII, public comment.
8	Is there anyone who wants to comment?
9	(No response.)
10	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Item VIII,
11	adjournment.
12	MR. COLLINS: Do we have a motion? We need
13	a motion.
14	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote that we adjourn
15	the meeting.
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second?
17	COMMISSIONER PATON: Second.
18	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: All those in favor
19	of adjourning say aye.
20	(Chorus of ayes.)
21	ACTING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We are adjourned.
22	(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at
23	11:15 a.m.)
24	
25	
	Page
1	Page 7
1 2	
-	STATE OF ARIZONA )
2	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA )
2 3	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer
2 3 4	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified
2 3 4 5	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding
2 3 4 5 6 7	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had an
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had are adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had are adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had are adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties thereof nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had are adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had are adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties there the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had are adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties there the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereor authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had an adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereof authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had are adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties there the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereor authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had an adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereor authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had an adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereor authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had an adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereor authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had an adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA ) COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings wer taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona, and by virtue thereor authorized to administer an oath; that the proceeding were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had an adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties theret nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of July, 2018. </pre>

**Public Session** 

#### **Transcript of Proceedings** July 19, 2018

Citizens Clean Elections (	Commission	
	added (1) 17:6	amic
Α	addition (1) 17:8	amo
A	additional (6) 6:15;	amo
	11:19;21:6;26:13;	33
ability (3) 22:12;55:1;	56:23:57:7	Amy
63:19	,	-
able (10) 5:6;6:5;18:6,	address (5) 19:22;20:1,	anal
8;20:8;21:3;35:6;	7;63:9,11	and/
43:23;52:20;55:18	addressed (3) 20:8;	anta
above (1) 20:4	24:18;25:24	antio
absolute (2) 15:13;	addressing (2) 54:4;	anyr
58:18	63:21	apol
absolutely (4) 14:21;	<b>adjourn (1)</b> 70:14	apol
	adjourned (1) 70:21	apol
30:13,24;62:6	adjourning (1) 70:19	13
accept (1) 6:24	adjournment (1) 70:11	appa
acces (1) 25:6	administrators (2) 63:8;	43 appa
access (11) 17:11;		
20:24;21:10;24:10,17,	69:3	appe
22;25:3,18;29:18;	ado (1) 51:5	45
33:13;34:3	ADOT (2) 64:14;65:7	appl
accidentally (1) 27:14	ads (8) 16:12,20;17:6;	appo
according (1) 22:10	21:15,16;31:23;37:14,	appi
account (14) 16:9;17:3;	18	17
20:19;22:21;24:7;25:3,	advantageous (1)	18
	47:20	appr
13;26:11,19;28:18,19;	advertising (1) 17:16	18
34:4,4;67:19	Advocacy (2) 39:4,14	
accurate (1) 26:25		appr
accustomed (1) 13:6	AdWords (6) 10:8;	appr
achieve (1) 35:4	24:11,23;27:1;29:23;	appr
acknowledges (1) 28:6	34:3	20
across (2) 65:25;66:3	affect (2) 8:1;19:16	appr
Act (5) 38:24;39:23;	again (19) 5:10;6:10;	17
40:11,14;55:23	17:14,21;26:12;29:25;	- 30
acted (1) 13:18	34:20,23;35:9,9;36:7,	area
ACTING (13) 49:18;	12;38:6;41:12,15;	area
57:3,25;61:11;65:4;	44:20;53:23;65:11;	63
	68:11	argu
67:8,15;68:23;70:6,10,	against (3) 8:23;47:14;	39
16,18,21	64:9	Ariz
action (8) 3:8;4:7;8:25;	age (1) 37:24	37
10:1;38:4,23;43:22;	age (1) 37.24	
49:23	agency (2) 22:20;55:20	40
actions (2) 13:19;18:25	agenda (6) 3:7;4:6;	52
activation (1) 17:7	9:25;10:5;38:22;69:5	64
active (1) 26:7	<b>ago (2)</b> 14:17;65:11	arou
activities (1) 18:15	agree (2) 53:4;69:9	Artic
activity (1) 4:14	agreed (2) 29:22;30:3	42
actual (3) 10:20;24:5;	agrees (2) 12:5;46:23	asce
	ahead (7) 19:6;22:4;	aspe
34:4	23:6;25:8;28:25;56:10;	asse
actually (18) 5:9;9:12;	57:9	
10:16;24:7;26:14;		21
29:10;32:25;33:20,21,	aimed (1) 67:1	asse
24;34:7,8;50:6;53:4,	aired (2) 51:12;53:22	assi
18;60:21,23;64:24	<b>al (1)</b> 39:4	Asse
acute (1) 21:18	Alec (3) 4:15,25;51:2	assı
ad (31) 10:8,14,17,24;	allow (3) 7:2;36:24;	assı
11:16;13:17;14:8,19;	62:11	assı
	allowed (3) 19:22;24:4,	assi
15:2,4,8,12;16:2,7,10,	21	atter
14,18;17:9;18:4,7;	allowing (1) 6:6	57
26:8;27:8;28:24;29:7,		
10,15,16;33:21,23,25;	allows (2) 40:1,3	atter
34:6	along (1) 36:3	atter
add (6) 19:3;21:12;	always (4) 5:12;8:2;	atter
32:20;36:5;50:23;	61:17;69:6	Aug
55:25	American (1) 4:24	46
55.25		

amiaua (1) 19.15
amicus (1) 48:15
among (3) 63:5,5,8
amount (3) 24:21;
33:16;40:9
Amy (3) 42:3,4;45:22
analogy (2) 26:25;27:9
and/or (1) 38:24
antagonistic (1) 10:13
antiquated (1) 69:22
anymore (1) 53:18
apologetic (1) 18:12
apologies (1) 51:9
apologize (4) 10:17,21;
13:11;17:14
apparently (2) 20:2;
43:4
appeal (3) 43:12;
45:25;46:2
apply (1) 43:3
appointed (1) 63:17
appreciate (9) 9:8;
17:18;18:2,10;19:10,
18;21:21;36:15;38:3
approach (3) 16:5;
18:17;35:2
appropriate (1) 63:24
approval (2) 30:15,21
approve (6) 3:13,18;
20:5;29:6;30:1,12
approved (9) 16:25;
17:2;28:23;29:12,16;
30:1,4;32:4;47:16
area (2) 62:25;63:1
areas (4) 55:12,12,13;
63:7
argument (5) 9:11,19;
39:20;40:23;45:11
Arizona (16) 5:4;7:13;
37:9;39:4,14,24,24;
40:14;42:20;49:23;
52:16;53:16,17,17;
64:3;69:19
around (2) 53:5;57:10
Article (4) 40:11,14;
42:19,20
ascertain (1) 15:8
aspects (1) 35:17
assessment (2) 15:16,
21
assessments (1) 15:17
assigning (1) 31:15
Association (1) 4:25
assuming (1) 4:1
assure (1) 17:20
assured (1) 52:12
assuring (1) 20:20
attendance (2) 5:24;
57:14
attended (1) 5:12
attendees (1) 49:10
attention (2) 13:7;25:23
August (4) 8:13;45:12;
46:9;53:10
,

	July 19, 2018
authority (4) 20.10 14	blame (1) 31:15
<b>authority (4)</b> 20:10,14, 16;60:17	blended (3) 16:12;26:9,
authorization (1) 16:24	17
authorized (1) 16:8	board (1) 59:22
authors (1) 53:20	Boomers (1) 54:8
automatically (1) 6:21	border (1) 44:11
available (2) 17:16;	BORREGO (20) 11:8;
19:20	12:7,23,24;13:5;20:12,
Avelar (1) 52:14	17;21:1,5,9;22:5,20;
avoid (1) 35:6	23:11,18;25:4,6;26:4,
aware (4) 13:17;14:18;	6;27:10;36:5
58:11;62:18	both (15) 11:22;12:17;
awareness (1) 15:19	35:1;36:15;39:17,17;
away (1) 20:16	44:3;45:13;54:3;55:21;
aye (3) 3:20;49:5;	57:12,13;62:2,2,3
70:19	<b>bottom (1)</b> 65:20
ayes (3) 3:21;49:6;	bounce (1) 7:3
70:20	bound (1) 49:14
AZ (1) 65:17	boundaries (1) 35:4
AZAN (2) 40:1,23	box (2) 59:24;60:3
	brain (1) 7:22
В	brand (3) 22:23,23;
	31:10
Baby (1) 54:8	brief (3) 12:8;34:17;
back (2) 54:6;68:25	39:16
bad (1) 50:3	briefed (1) 51:24
balances (2) 12:3;23:3	briefing (3) 39:15;
Ball (1) 32:17	41:25;46:4
ballot (11) 8:3,16,19,	briefly (2) 6:12;61:9
23;47:11;59:24;60:3;	briefs (1) 39:18
62:24;63:4;66:15;	bring (2) 11:7;69:15
67:25	bringing (2) 40:24;55:3
ballots (1) 8:21	Brnovich (1) 8:2
barriers (2) 67:23,23	broadly (1) 10:5
base (1) 65:11	brought (4) 42:1;52:18,
based (3) 28:25;33:22;	21;59:13
35:1	buck (4) 10:22;34:22,
Basically (7) 11:9;	22,22
23:10;24:24;27:12,21;	bulk (3) 27:4,5,7
42:7;61:5	<b>bunch (1)</b> 27:5
<b>basis (2)</b> 8:10;46:8	<b>bundling (1)</b> 26:1
became (1) 27:15	<b>buses (1)</b> 43:7
<b>become (1)</b> 5:7	<b>business (2)</b> 25:16;
beginning (1) 68:19 behalf (7) 10:15;13:8,	28:10 <b>button (5)</b> 23:9,14,15;
10;15:19;37:15;60:18;	32:2,9
69:14	buying (3) 27:1,4;54:15
behind (2) 6:8;7:8	buying (0) 27:1,+,5+:15
best (10) 9:3;14:10;	С
33:5,10,14;34:4;36:1;	
50:10;60:4;67:12	cadence (1) 27:18
better (4) 7:12,20;	calendar (1) 41:7
25:14;32:1	California (1) 63:3
beyond (1) 47:25	call (4) 3:4;15:8;22:23;
<b>big (5)</b> 4:15;48:14;	42:3
59:18;64:1;67:10	called (1) 29:19
biggest (6) 5:7;62:7,9,	came (1) 53:4
19,22;65:24	campaign (25) 10:18;
bill (2) 42:23;43:4	11:10,23;12:14,15,15,
bills (2) 42:9,25	16,20;13:14;19:13,15;
bin (2) 32:6,16	24:11,24;25:24;27:14,
<b>bit (5)</b> 5:10;10:5;11:14;	15;36:17,19,19;37:10,
32:19;65:9	11,15,19;40:7;54:22

**Min-U-Script**®

Childens Clean Elections	Commission		1	suly 17, 2010
<b>compoints (8)</b> 15:20:	CHAN (20) 2.16.10.47	27.6	a a m m unity (2) 4.22.	a = 1/2
campaigns (8) 15:20;	<b>CHAN (28)</b> 3:16;19:4,7,	37:6	community (3) 4:22;	conversations (1) 15:15
19:13;26:8,12,14;	8;20:15,22;21:2,8,11,	colleagues (1) 36:7	13:15;62:16	convince (1) 63:25
27:13;40:16;58:11	13;28:9;34:16;42:2,3,	collect (2) 8:18,20	company (3) 10:24,25;	copies (1) 11:6
can (47) 5:8;11:4,7,7;	4,5;48:25;49:2;52:11;	collection (3) 8:4,16,23	18:11	copy (8) 6:15;8:6;
17:12;18:19;21:22,22;	64:7,10,13,22;65:1;	<b>COLLINS (49)</b> 4:10;	compelled (1) 38:7	12:25;20:18;29:11,22;
25:17,17;26:23;27:20;	67:14,15,16;70:14	5:19,22;7:10,20;8:14,	complaint (4) 8:6;	41:6,8
29:17,20,24;32:1,3,9;	chance (2) 11:3;48:7	25;10:4;11:9;13:4;	40:13;41:18,18	Corporation (1) 13:12
33:17;35:16;36:1,5;	change (1) 40:3	17:25;21:12;26:23;	completely (2) 30:25;	cost (2) 33:21,24
41:3,25;42:3;43:4,4,5;	changed (3) 51:19;	27:23;29:2;30:16;	42:21	costs (1) 54:13
46:1;52:25;56:11;61:8,	54:14;55:16	31:17;34:21;36:18;	completes (1) 12:10	council (7) 41:9,17,19;
17,18,25;63:25;64:1,5,	changes (3) 34:19;	37:3;38:15;39:2,5;	compliance (1) 55:23	42:13;45:14;47:1,14
5;65:13,22;66:1,7;	66:3,9	44:23;45:1,6,8;48:10;	computer (3) 24:8,10;	councils (1) 59:22
67:6,24;68:17,21	changing (1) 16:17	50:1,22;51:12;56:3,6,	55:11	council's (1) 45:9
candidate (9) 10:20;	Channel (2) 50:14,15	11,13;57:5;58:6,15;	concerned (2) 18:12;	counsel (1) 11:10
	channels (1) 50:16		19:17	countersued (1) 44:4
28:4,11,14;31:2,12;		61:10,15,20;62:5;64:4,		
40:5;55:9,15	Chan's (4) 22:6;45:22;	11,19,25;68:21,24;	concerns (1) 27:25	county (6) 6:23;7:2;
Candidates (11) 5:3,9,	48:12;69:1	70:12	concluded (2) 15:21;	58:16;62:16,23;69:2
21,22,24;6:7;15:22;	chapter (1) 52:15	combination (1) 42:11	70:22	couple (1) 53:14
19:16;35:25;40:3;			concludes (1) 17:22	
	charge (4) 5:8,13;15:7;	combining (1) 42:12		course (4) 19:13;21:24;
42:12	37:8	comfortable (2) 21:9;	conducted (1) 15:16	27:25;57:21
capacity (2) 37:10;42:3	checks (2) 12:3;23:2	48:1	conducting (1) 25:2	Court (12) 7:16,22,25;
Capitol (1) 5:4	chief (1) 28:12	coming (1) 36:14	Conference (1) 4:24	9:16;23:24;37:1;42:16;
capture (1) 10:6	choice (3) 14:22;16:7;	comment (4) 38:18;	conferenced (1) 46:9	44:7;45:13;46:8,23;
captures (1) 57:6	20:20	67:17;70:7,8	confidence (1) 34:25	48:3
Careful (1) 47:7	choose (6) 61:3;63:5;	commentary (1) 66:13	confident (1) 35:8	cover (1) 49:14
carries (2) 3:24;49:9	66:8,8,14;67:24	comments (5) 3:10;	confirming (1) 7:5	coverage (3) 50:13,13;
case (19) 7:22,25;8:3;	<b>Chorus (3)</b> 3:21;49:6;	34:14;36:20;38:14;	conflict (1) 49:19	65:14
30:6,19;39:14,22,25;	70:20	48:24	confused (3) 25:25;	covered (1) 56:4
42:6,7,15,16;43:8;	<b>chose (1)</b> 59:16	<b>Commission (20)</b> 3:5,8;	61:21;62:1	created (3) 15:25;
44:10;45:22,23;46:14;	Christina (6) 12:7,10,	10:16;13:12,13;18:13,	confusion (9) 61:13;	29:11;65:16
69:22;70:4	19;19:9;22:1;57:20	24;23:20;35:22;36:10;	62:8,20,22,25;63:1,7;	credit (1) 70:4
cases (2) 44:13,21	Christina's (1) 21:13	37:16;38:24;43:16;	67:22;69:17	crisis (3) 49:23;60:7,8
cast (1) 60:24		48:14,18;49:22;52:4;		
	circumstance (1) 38:5		connect (2) 4:21,22	critical (1) 34:24
catch-22 (2) 59:20;60:6	circumstances (1) 36:2	58:9;63:10,15	connected (2) 24:9,9	criticism (2) 52:21;
category (1) 8:18	cited (1) 28:10	COMMISSIONER (76)	connection (1) 57:16	59:14
cattle (1) 43:6	<b>Citizens (5)</b> 3:5;13:12,	3:11,12,13,16;4:1,18,	connectivity (1) 55:14	cross (4) 10:20;41:10;
caught (1) 11:25	12,13;49:21	18;5:18,20;19:4,6,8;	consensus (1) 63:8	43:25;60:4
caused (1) 13:16	citizenship (2) 6:20,25	20:15,22;21:2,8,11,13;	consent (3) 6:13,18;	crown (1) 35:21
Center (2) 42:5;43:11	city (1) 59:22	22:2,3,5,6;23:4,5,7,8,	7:16	crux (1) 22:14
Central (1) 65:18	civility (2) 52:24;53:1	16;25:7,9,19;28:9;	consider (1) 33:3	curious (1) 45:19
certain (3) 8:17;18:16;	claim (2) 58:1;60:1	32:17;34:16;42:2,2,4;	consistent (1) 7:18	current (1) 8:16
53:5	clarify (1) 14:10	46:11,12,21;47:5,9,17,	consolidate (1) 8:9	cycle (2) 9:2;62:13
				JUE (2) 3.2,02.13
Certainly (3) 27:10;	clarifying (1) 26:24	19;48:4,12,25;49:2,18;	consolidated (1) 9:13	n
64:5;67:12	Clean (29) 3:5;10:1;	52:11;54:17;58:7;61:8,	<b>Constitution (5)</b> 40:15,	D
CHAIRMAN (87) 3:3,	13:13;15:1,14,17;16:6,	11,12,16,21;62:6;	22;42:7,21,24	
11,12,15,17,22,24;4:5,	8,13,20,23;17:1,5,10,	63:22,23;64:7,8,10,13,	constitutional (1) 39:24	dashboard (1) 54:24
10;9:10,17,20,24;10:4;	11;22:11,18;26:19;	17,20,22,23;65:1,2;	contacted (3) 11:10,11,	data (2) 21:22;56:8
12:9,21,22,24;19:5,6,8;	28:23;29:5;30:20;	67:3,14,15,16;69:1;	12	database (1) 31:25
21:13;22:2,3;23:6,19;	32:10;38:23,24;40:2,4,	70:14,17	contain (1) 42:9	date (2) 10:14;31:1
24:12,15;25:8,20;26:5,	11;48:16;49:21	Commissioners (12)	content (1) 10:17	dates (1) 41:12
6,23;27:23;28:22;29:3,	clear (3) 14:3;30:17;	4:11;9:21;11:22;	context (2) 6:7;59:7	Daugherty (1) 53:19
4;30:16;31:14,18;	31:6	12:11,25;27:24;29:4;	continually (1) 67:17	David (1) 53:19
32:18;33:3;34:9,12,16;	Clerks (1) 4:25	34:13;50:1;51:6;56:16;	continue (9) 36:3;	day (7) 31:20;37:24;
36:6,13;37:5;38:10,12,	<b>click (1)</b> 32:1	65:5	55:19;62:19;67:2,25;	56:22,23;63:25;64:3;
20,21;39:3;43:23;	clicks (2) 15:5,23	commitment (2) 36:6,11	68:4,5,6,9	67:5
44:21,24;45:2,16,19;	client (3) 15:19;33:5,9	committed (1) 35:18	continues (1) 35:23	days (1) 64:15
		committee (1) 41:1		
46:3,6,10,12;47:7;48:6,	clients (1) 13:8		<b>continuing (2)</b> 38:4;	de (2) 40:9;41:1
21;49:1,7,9,18;57:3,25;	cliff (1) 67:11	committees (2) 40:8,9	55:10	deadline (1) 45:12
61:11;62:5;64:7,10;	closed (2) 39:15;66:7	common (1) 15:18	continuously (1) 15:18	deadlines (1) 45:5
65:4;67:8,14,15;68:21,	closes (1) 38:19	communicate (1) 28:16	contribution (1) 40:2	deal (2) 34:25;59:18
23;70:6,10,16,18,21	collaborated (1) 20:19	communicating (3)	contributors (1) 40:18	deals (1) 40:9
challenge (1) 8:3	collaboration (1) 17:18	37:19;59:8;60:18	control (2) 21:6,20	debate (3) 6:7;10:11;
				ucuale (J) 0./.10.11.
ahallan (1) 20 0"				
challenges (1) 39:25	colleague (2) 14:11;	communication (1) 15:1	controversial (1) 21:16	28:6

**Min-U-Script**®

		1		July 19, 2018
	discussion (11) 2.8.4.7.	52.12.50.17.62.10.15	averation during (4) 20:22	facture (4) 22.15
debates (7) 4:19;6:1,5;	discussion (11) 3:8;4:7;	53:12;59:17;62:10,15,	everybody's (1) 39:22	feature (1) 33:15
10:2;15:24;27:3,15	9:25;10:6;16:22;28:3;	16;63:8;65:17;66:3,5,	evidence (1) 9:17	featuring (1) 51:3
decided (3) 46:9;57:9;	38:22;49:22;53:4,7;	23;68:20	evident (3) 22:24;	federal (6) 6:20,21;
59:1	57:9	Elections (29) 3:5;7:8;	46:24;47:3	7:15,19,25;8:5
deciding (1) 45:25	dismiss (1) 44:1	10:1;15:2,14,17;16:6,8,	exactly (2) 22:1;37:22	feel (4) 25:14;41:22;
decision (7) 7:16;9:15;	distressed (1) 36:8	13,21,23;17:1,5,10,11;	example (8) 29:9,14;	47:25;60:10
	district (1) 9:15	19:15;22:11,18;26:19;		felt (1) 38:7
41:23;43:9,10;45:24;			52:25;53:1;54:5,6,23;	
54:14	disturbed (1) 58:3	28:23;29:5;30:20;	66:4	few (1) 25:22
decisions (1) 60:17	diversity (1) 52:12	32:10;38:23,24;40:11;	exceeded (1) 57:12	fewer (1) 50:4
declined (2) 5:5;10:10	document (1) 17:1	48:16;49:21;68:1	Excel (1) 27:21	file (6) 41:9,23;45:16,
decree (4) 6:13,18;	done (4) 51:3;52:10;	eligible (1) 59:15	excited (2) 4:20;5:17	17;48:15;69:25
7:16:69:14	58:25;61:23	eliminate (1) 69:17	excuse (3) 13:13;	filed (11) 8:1;39:16,17,
deeply (1) 13:15	door (2) 69:2,25	else (2) 38:18;57:4	23:23;30:1	18;41:5,5,7;44:4,24,25;
				46:14
defend (2) 10:9;15:23	double (1) 61:5	email (1) 65:10	executive (18) 4:7;	
defenses (1) 45:20	doubling (1) 60:21	Empire (1) 4:20	9:22;14:24;39:13;	filing (3) 40:10;43:12;
defer (3) 17:23;29:2;	down (4) 14:25;26:5;	employed (1) 14:7	43:18;44:15,19;46:15;	44:3
32:18	37:3;68:25	employee (9) 15:10,12,	47:8,21;48:1,22;49:2,4,	fill (1) 7:17
deficiencies (1) 41:20	draft (10) 16:2;24:3,6;	13,15;20:2,10,13;22:9;	10,14,16,20	filling (1) 9:7
definitely (2) 25:18;	31:23,24;32:6,8,22;	31:7	executives (1) 15:7	filter (2) 32:7,10
65:12	33:19;34:2	employee's (3) 13:16;	exempt (1) 42:21	final (2) 9:15;29:22
				finally (1) 43:21
definition (2) 40:3;41:1	drafting (2) 24:3;57:22	16:1,13	exist (1) 26:21	
degree (1) 61:19	drafts (1) 32:16	employs (1) 14:9	exists (1) 53:17	financial (1) 54:16
delegating (1) 60:17	drastically (1) 33:25	enactments (1) 42:19	expanding (1) 70:5	findings (2) 51:13;
demand (1) 44:2	driven (1) 58:22	encountered (1) 17:18	expect (1) 37:25	53:24
democratic (1) 59:8	driver (2) 62:20,22	encourage (1) 66:17	expectations (1) 57:12	fine (1) 43:7
department (1) 14:8	drivers (1) 28:5	end (5) 9:9;49:16;	expedite (1) 41:24	Finish (1) 11:8
depending (1) 29:7	driving (2) 18:20;58:24	59:21,23;69:24	expedited (7) 8:10,13;	firm (5) 13:18;15:2,7;
<b>Deputy (1)</b> 12:16	<b>Ducey (5)</b> 10:9,10;	enforce (1) 44:2	41:6,24;45:11,13;46:8	16:16;17:10
describe (2) 35:11;52:5	25:24;36:17,19	enforceable (1) 40:10	expenditure (1) 40:19	firmly (1) 28:8
description (4) 7:8,21;	Ducey's (2) 10:18;	engaged (1) 60:14	expenses (1) 34:1	firm's (1) 15:3
46:25;48:15	11:23	engagement (2) 50:17,	experience (2) 24:21;	first (17) 3:7,19;4:13;
descriptions (1) 47:11	due (3) 45:10,10;51:7	18	35:1	10:7,10,15;13:6;15:1;
designated (1) 16:8	during (1) 42:10	engagements (1) 56:24	explain (2) 23:13;26:2	17:17;18:1,5;30:25;
designed (1) 69:17		engine (6) 14:9,24;	explained (2) 26:7,10	46:14;57:10;58:9,16;
desire (1) 39:13	Ε	15:7;16:19;17:12,13	explanation (1) 31:5	63:11
	E			
desktop (2) 16:1;24:4		English (1) 55:21	export (1) 27:20	fit (1) 8:17
despite (2) 42:22,24	earlier (1) 69:16	enough (2) 12:14;50:3	exposure (1) 15:19	five (2) 22:9;31:7
detailed (4) 11:15;31:4,	early (1) 19:21	ensure (1) 33:6	expressly (1) 40:3	fix (2) 19:22;46:24
5;48:17	earned (1) 56:17	ensuring (4) 32:24;	extension (1) 27:15	Flag (2) 6:4;27:21
detected (2) 26:3;27:17	eanieu (1) 30.17	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		Flay (2) 0.4,27.21
	echo (1) 19:19	33:9;35:3,18	external (1) 54:4	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22
detection (1) 25:21	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25		flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12;	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15;	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23	external (1) 54:4	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25;
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8,	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15;
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 <b>F</b> face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18;	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3;
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14;	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8;	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6,
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25;	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10;	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18,
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20;	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15;	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3; 31:9;52:9;54:9,11;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16 director's (2) 4:8;9:22	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15;	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3; 31:9;52:9;54:9,11;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16 director's (2) 4:8;9:22 disabled (1) 26:11	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3; 31:9;52:9;54:9,11; 58:17;64:14;65:8; 68:15	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25 following (2) 37:21; 49:13
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16 director's (2) 4:8;9:22 disabled (1) 26:11 disallow (3) 24:5;25:3,6	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3; 31:9;52:9;54:9,11; 58:17;64:14;65:8; 68:15 evening (1) 14:17	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25 following (2) 37:21; 49:13 follows (2) 16:17;22:6
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16 director's (2) 4:8;9:22 disabled (1) 26:11 disallow (3) 24:5;25:3,6 disclosure (3) 7:21;	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9	33:9;35:3,18 entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5;35:12 et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3; 31:9;52:9;54:9,11; 58:17;64:14;65:8; 68:15 evening (1) 14:17 event (17) 5:4,5,14,15;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25 following (2) 37:21; 49:13 follows (2) 16:17;22:6 follow-up (1) 14:13
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16 director's (2) 4:8;9:22 disabled (1) 26:11 disallow (3) 24:5;25:3,6 disclosure (3) 7:21; 40:18,18	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9 effective (2) 55:17,19	$\begin{array}{c} 33:9; 35:3, 18\\ \textbf{entered (1)} & 32:25\\ \textbf{entirely (1)} & 54:20\\ \textbf{entities (1)} & 59:23\\ \textbf{equalling (1)} & 56:19\\ \textbf{equivalent (1)} & 53:16\\ \textbf{erroneous (1)} & 27:22\\ \textbf{especially (1)} & 19:19\\ \textbf{essentially (4)} & 6:18; 7:3;\\ 8:5; 35:12\\ \textbf{et (1)} & 39:4\\ \textbf{even (10)} & 6:4; 21:3;\\ & 31:9; 52:9; 54:9, 11;\\ & 58:17; 64:14; 65:8;\\ & 68:15\\ \textbf{evening (1)} & 14:17\\ \textbf{event (17)} & 5:4, 5, 14, 15;\\ & 43:25; 44:18; 50:9; 51:2; \end{array}$	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11 familiar (1) 41:18	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25 following (2) 37:21; 49:13 follows (2) 16:17;22:6 follow-up (1) 14:13 follow-ups (1) 17:24
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16 director's (2) 4:8;9:22 disabled (1) 26:11 disallow (3) 24:5;25:3,6 disclosure (3) 7:21; 40:18,18 disconnect (3) 27:25;	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9 effective (2) 55:17,19 effort (2) 63:20;65:12	33:9; $35:3,18$ entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5; $35:12$ et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3; 31:9;52:9;54:9,11; 58:17;64:14;65:8; 68:15 evening (1) 14:17 event (17) 5:4,5,14,15; 43:25;44:18;50:9;51:2; 52:10;53:8,11,18;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11 familiar (1) 41:18 families (1) 54:18	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25 following (2) 37:21; 49:13 follows (2) 16:17;22:6 follow-up (1) 14:13 follow-ups (1) 17:24 Fontes (1) 6:14
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) 66:25;70:2 directly (1) 52:21 director (2) 14:12;24:1 directors (1) 62:16 director's (2) 4:8;9:22 disabled (1) 26:11 disallow (3) 24:5;25:3,6 disclosure (3) 7:21; 40:18,18 disconnect (3) 27:25; 54:10,12	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9 effective (2) 55:17,19 effort (2) 63:20;65:12 efforts (4) 26:17;58:11;	$\begin{array}{c} 33:9; \overline{3}5:3, 18\\ \textbf{entered (1)} 32:25\\ \textbf{entirely (1)} 54:20\\ \textbf{entities (1)} 59:23\\ \textbf{equalling (1)} 56:19\\ \textbf{equivalent (1)} 53:16\\ \textbf{erroneous (1)} 27:22\\ \textbf{especially (1)} 19:19\\ \textbf{essentially (4)} 6:18; 7:3;\\ 8:5; 35:12\\ \textbf{et (1)} 39:4\\ \textbf{even (10)} 6:4; 21:3;\\ 31:9; 52:9; 54:9, 11;\\ 58:17; 64:14; 65:8;\\ 68:15\\ \textbf{evening (1)} 14:17\\ \textbf{event (17)} 5:4, 5, 14, 15;\\ 43:25; 44:18; 50:9; 51:2;\\ 52:10; 53:8, 11, 18;\\ 56:17, 22, 23; 57:1, 2\end{array}$	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11 familiar (1) 41:18 families (1) 54:18 far (7) 20:23;21:4;23:3,	flagged (2) $26:18;27:22$ Flagstaff (1) $53:11$ flaws (1) $41:17$ focus (1) $59:2$ focused (3) $40:25;$ 58:10;59:1 fold (1) $65:20$ folks (18) $4:22;5:12,15;$ 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) $56:25$ following (2) $37:21;$ 49:13 follows (2) $16:17;22:6$ follow-up (1) $14:13$ follow-ups (1) $17:24$ Fontes (1) $6:14$ foregoing (1) $60:15$
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) $66:25;70:2$ directly (1) 52:21 director (2) $14:12;24:1$ directors (1) $62:16$ director's (2) $4:8;9:22$ disabled (1) $26:11$ disallow (3) $24:5;25:3,6$ disclosure (3) $7:21;$ 40:18,18 disconnect (3) $27:25;$ 54:10,12 discouraging (1) 58:5	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9 effective (2) 55:17,19 effort (2) 63:20;65:12 efforts (4) 26:17;58:11; 66:22;67:1	33:9; $\overline{3}5:3,18$ entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5; $\overline{3}5:12$ et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4; $21:3$ ; 31:9;52:9;54:9,11; 58:17;64:14;65:8; 68:15 evening (1) 14:17 event (17) 5:4, $5,14,15$ ; 43:25;44:18;50:9;51:2; 52:10;53:8,11,18; 56:17,22,23;57:1,2 events (3) 14:1; $50:10$ ;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11 familiar (1) 41:18 families (1) 54:18 far (7) 20:23;21:4;23:3, 17;61:12,22;65:14	flagged (2) $26:18;27:22$ Flagstaff (1) $53:11$ flaws (1) $41:17$ focus (1) $59:2$ focused (3) $40:25;$ 58:10;59:1 fold (1) $65:20$ folks (18) $4:22;5:12,15;$ 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) $56:25$ following (2) $37:21;$ 49:13 follows (2) $16:17;22:6$ follow-up (1) $14:13$ follow-ups (1) $17:24$ Fontes (1) $60:15$ foregong (2) $44:6,7$
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) $66:25;70:2$ directly (1) 52:21 director (2) $14:12;24:1$ directors (1) $62:16$ director's (2) $4:8;9:22$ disabled (1) $26:11$ disallow (3) $24:5;25:3,6$ disclosure (3) $7:21;$ 40:18,18 disconnect (3) $27:25;$ 54:10,12	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9 effective (2) 55:17,19 effort (2) 63:20;65:12 efforts (4) 26:17;58:11;	$\begin{array}{c} 33:9; \overline{3}5:3, 18\\ \textbf{entered (1)} 32:25\\ \textbf{entirely (1)} 54:20\\ \textbf{entities (1)} 59:23\\ \textbf{equalling (1)} 56:19\\ \textbf{equivalent (1)} 53:16\\ \textbf{erroneous (1)} 27:22\\ \textbf{especially (1)} 19:19\\ \textbf{essentially (4)} 6:18; 7:3;\\ 8:5; 35:12\\ \textbf{et (1)} 39:4\\ \textbf{even (10)} 6:4; 21:3;\\ 31:9; 52:9; 54:9, 11;\\ 58:17; 64:14; 65:8;\\ 68:15\\ \textbf{evening (1)} 14:17\\ \textbf{event (17)} 5:4, 5, 14, 15;\\ 43:25; 44:18; 50:9; 51:2;\\ 52:10; 53:8, 11, 18;\\ 56:17, 22, 23; 57:1, 2\end{array}$	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11 familiar (1) 41:18 families (1) 54:18 far (7) 20:23;21:4;23:3,	flagged (2) $26:18;27:22$ Flagstaff (1) $53:11$ flaws (1) $41:17$ focus (1) $59:2$ focused (3) $40:25;$ 58:10;59:1 fold (1) $65:20$ folks (18) $4:22;5:12,15;$ 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) $56:25$ following (2) $37:21;$ 49:13 follows (2) $16:17;22:6$ follow-up (1) $14:13$ follow-ups (1) $17:24$ Fontes (1) $6:14$ foregoing (1) $60:15$
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) $66:25;70:2$ directly (1) 52:21 director (2) $14:12;24:1$ directors (1) $62:16$ director's (2) $4:8;9:22$ disabled (1) $26:11$ disallow (3) $24:5;25:3,6$ disclosure (3) $7:21;$ 40:18,18 disconnect (3) $27:25;$ 54:10,12 discouraging (1) 58:5	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9 effective (2) 55:17,19 effort (2) 63:20;65:12 efforts (4) 26:17;58:11; 66:22;67:1	33:9; $\overline{3}5:3,18$ entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5; $\overline{3}5:12$ et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4;21:3; 31:9; $52:9:54:9,11$ ; 58:17; $64:14;65:8$ ; 68:15 evening (1) 14:17 event (17) 5:4, $5,14,15$ ; 43:25; $44:18;50:9;51:2$ ; 52:10; $53:8,11,18$ ; 56:17,22,23; $57:1,2$ events (3) 14:1; $50:10$ ; 53:10	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11 familiar (1) 41:18 families (1) 54:18 far (7) 20:23;21:4;23:3, 17;61:12,22;65:14 fast (1) 25:17	flagged (2) 26:18;27:22 Flagstaff (1) 53:11 flaws (1) 41:17 focus (1) 59:2 focused (3) 40:25; 58:10;59:1 fold (1) 65:20 folks (18) 4:22;5:12,15; 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) 56:25 following (2) 37:21; 49:13 follows (2) 16:17;22:6 follow-up (1) 14:13 follow-ups (1) 7:24 Fontes (1) 60:15 foregone (2) 44:6,7 forgotten (1) 41:12
detection (1) 25:21 determine (1) 17:3 determined (2) 15:12; 43:2 detriments (1) 62:4 different (11) 7:15; 51:19;52:16;53:2;54:8, 12,19,21;58:8,8;66:2 direction (2) $66:25;70:2$ director (2) $14:12;24:1$ directors (1) $62:16$ director's (2) $4:8;9:22$ disabled (1) $26:11$ disallow (3) $24:5;25:3,6$ disclosure (3) $7:21;$ 40:18,18 disconnect (3) $27:25;$ 54:10,12 discouraging (1) $58:5$ discuss (3) $47:7;48:7,$	echo (1) 19:19 economic (1) 54:8 edit (1) 24:3 editor (4) 33:13,15; 34:2,3 edits (1) 30:12 educate (2) 61:24; 65:16 educated (1) 61:19 education (17) 4:14; 5:15;10:1,20,23,25; 18:14;20:23;35:3,20; 51:23;54:13;57:15; 61:23;66:1,12;67:1 education-type (1) 18:15 effect (1) 10:9 effective (2) 55:17,19 effort (2) 63:20;65:12 efforts (4) 26:17;58:11; 66:22;67:1 either (3) 30:11;55:11;	33:9; $\overline{3}5:3,18$ entered (1) 32:25 entirely (1) 54:20 entities (1) 59:23 equalling (1) 56:19 equivalent (1) 53:16 erroneous (1) 27:22 especially (1) 19:19 essentially (4) 6:18;7:3; 8:5; $\overline{3}5:12$ et (1) 39:4 even (10) 6:4; $21:3$ ; 31:9; $52:9;54:9,11$ ; 58:17; $64:14;65:8$ ; 68:15 evening (1) 14:17 event (17) 5:4, $5,14,15$ ; 43:25; $44:18;50:9;51:2$ ; 52:10; $53:8,11,18$ ; 56:17,22,23; $57:1,2$ events (3) 14:1; $50:10$ ;	external (1) 54:4 extreme (1) 68:14 F face (1) 54:13 Facebook (3) 29:15,16; 57:1 fact (11) 10:19;13:18; 18:2;42:22,24;43:8; 53:7;58:23;60:4;62:10; 67:6 facto (2) 40:9;41:1 factors (1) 54:14 fairly (1) 5:24 fake (1) 31:1 fallen (1) 67:11 familiar (1) 41:18 families (1) 54:18 far (7) 20:23;21:4;23:3, 17;61:12,22;65:14	flagged (2) $26:18;27:22$ Flagstaff (1) $53:11$ flaws (1) $41:17$ focus (1) $59:2$ focused (3) $40:25;$ 58:10;59:1 fold (1) $65:20$ folks (18) $4:22;5:12,15;$ 6:6;20:24;27:3,3; 31:22;59:2;60:1,1,6, 10;61:2;67:19;69:7,18, 25 followers (1) $56:25$ following (2) $37:21;$ 49:13 follows (2) $16:17;22:6$ follow-up (1) $14:13$ follow-ups (1) $17:24$ Fontes (1) $60:15$ foregong (2) $44:6,7$

Min-U-Script®

**Public Session** 

## Transcript of Proceedings July 19, 2018

Citizens Clean Elections	Commission			July 19, 2018
	5 1 1 2 1 5 5 1 2 1 1			
formal (5) 11:20;16:21;	5:14,24;7:7;12:11;	helped (2) 50:9;51:14	individualized (2)	9:25;10:5;30:20;38:19,
17:5,22;30:15	28:5;35:16;38:16,17,	helpful (3) 18:4;21:2,25	54:25;55:4	19,22;39:3;49:22;70:7,
formally (1) 16:5	17;45:6;50:2,2,5;	helps (1) 33:21	individually (1) 26:1	10
former (2) 42:2;67:4	52:18;59:7;70:2	<b>High (1)</b> 4:20	infographics (1) 65:19	items (2) 39:6;51:3
forms (2) 6:24;69:19	Google (11) 10:8;	higher (2) 54:13,13	inform (2) 28:12,13	IV (1) 9:25
				IV (I) 9.23
formulate (1) 17:20	11:17;15:3,25;17:16;	hit (2) 32:9,11	informal (1) 6:6	-
fortunate (1) 65:15	24:10;27:1;30:10;	Hoffman (2) 42:2,4	information (17) 7:3;	J
forums (1) 4:19	33:16;34:6,8	homes (1) 54:15	11:1,22;14:3,21;16:7;	
forward (8) 18:9;19:1;	governments (1) 60:3	Hootsuite (1) 29:19	20:18;23:3;24:24;	jewel (1) 35:21
24:18;26:2,10;36:3;	Governor (4) 10:9,10,	hope (5) 5:25;6:11;	35:24;40:16;47:2;	job (5) 15:18;24:21,22,
38:4;57:7	18;11:23	14:2;46:22;58:20	53:13;55:1,4,21;66:13	25;51:3
found (1) 58:16	governor's (7) 11:10;	Horizon (1) 53:16	informed (1) 9:3	<b>JP (4)</b> 12:16;36:18,25;
Foundation (1) 43:22	12:14,20,20;37:8,11;	hosted (1) 50:11	informing (3) 10:2;27:2,	37:6
founded (1) 15:24	42:13	hovering (2) 23:14,15	3	judge (8) 6:15;9:13;
four (1) 37:7	grasp (2) 22:10,17		initiated (1) 4:18	42:6,15,16;43:2;46:17;
framework (1) 51:17	great (7) 34:25;50:23;	I	initiative (1) 68:7	47:23
frankly (1) 44:10	51:3;54:7;57:2;64:23;	-	initiatives (2) 60:1,10	judgment (5) 39:15;
Friday (1) 45:11	66:24	<b>ID (1)</b> 7:5	injunction (3) 8:7,23;	41:7,8;44:25;45:18
friends (1) 43:22	grew (4) 54:7,7,9,9	idea (1) 16:18	9:13	July (2) 3:5;49:21
front (2) 13:25;65:20	group (2) 27:4;54:19	ideas (1) 67:5	input (1) 29:18	June (3) 3:9,14,18
frustrated (1) 59:25	GRRC (3) 39:17,18;	identified (2) 15:2;	inputting (1) 33:22	Justice's (1) 52:15
frustrating (1) 44:10	40:24	46:19	insofar (1) 9:15	
frustration (1) 60:12	gubernatorial (1) 42:25		instead (2) 16:2;65:2	K
		identify (2) 21:16;30:8		
full (1) 7:21	guess (11) 8:20;20:9,	ideological (2) 52:12;	Institute (4) 50:6;51:13;	
Fund (1) 43:22	25;22:8;23:1,10;25:21,	53:2	52:15;57:21	Kara (5) 6:17;9:7;
further (2) 38:14;51:5	21;26:2;31:20;38:25	<b>III (1)</b> 4:6	instructed (1) 15:10	69:14,24;70:4
future (3) 48:3;50:4;	guidelines (1) 29:1	Illustrated (2) 53:17,17	instructions (1) 31:5	<b>KARLSON (6)</b> 7:7,11;
51:17	guts (1) 6:18	imagine (1) 31:8	integrity (1) 55:22	8:12,22;9:12,19
51.17	guys (2) 37:17;38:5		intend (1) 10:18	
G	guys (2) 57.17,58.5	immediately (4) 13:18;		Kashima (11) 14:11;
G		14:20,25;15:14	intended (1) 26:20	23:19,22,25,25;24:14,
	H	impartial (1) 35:24	interact (2) 54:21,23	20;25:5,15;27:12;
game (1) 32:17		important (13) 8:14;	Interest (1) 42:6	33:12
gaps (1) 9:8	halted (2) 15:4,5	13:14;14:4;36:9,9;	Interesting (1) 9:20	keep (4) 9:3;32:22;
garnered (2) 56:21;	halting (1) 18:4	37:25;52:19,22;54:1,2;	internal (1) 54:4	35:23;54:6
57:1	hand (4) 13:2,3;40:6;	56:5;59:11;63:19	internet (2) 24:9;33:14	key (6) 27:17;30:8;
gatekeeper (1) 22:22	58:22	importantly (1) 12:1	interpretation (1) 40:22	32:22;33:4;58:24;
gathered (1) 15:8	handle (1) 17:23	impressions (5) 33:23;	interpreted (1) 7:2	63:20
Gen (1) 54:9	happen (8) 19:12,23;	56:18,19,22,24	interview (4) 15:10,11;	<b>KIMBLE (29)</b> 3:11,12,
general (6) 11:10;18:8;	20:12;24:16;26:12;	impressive (1) 58:1	53:21;60:19	13;22:2,3,5;23:4;
25:3;53:12;65:17;	34:19;36:7,12	improve (1) 15:19	into (21) 7:22;8:17;	46:11,12,21;47:5,9,17,
68:20	happened (7) 18:7;	improving (1) 16:18	10:17,20;15:9;16:12;	19;48:4;49:18;57:3,25;
			24:22,22,23;26:9,17;	
generate (1) 15:23	19:11;20:1,6;31:6;	incident (2) 13:16;		58:7;65:4;67:3,8,15;
generations (1) 54:16	35:14;37:22	16:16	33:22;35:20;39:13;	68:23;70:6,10,16,18,21
generous (1) 12:14	happening (2) 20:10;	including (5) 16:20;	44:19;48:22;49:1,4;	kind (12) 6:19;22:6,16;
genesis (1) 10:8	22:17	18:16;55:17;62:16;	50:9;52:22;67:19	25:25;31:25;32:12;
Gila (1) 68:25	happens (5) 17:21;	67:21	intro (1) 51:1	35:2;52:5;53:7;55:20;
Gina (24) 4:15,23;	34:23;35:8,9;43:14	incorrect (5) 13:17;	introduce (2) 36:25;	60:25;64:4
11:11,12;17:19;18:3;	happy (2) 19:11;38:8	14:21,22;15:8;43:10	56:13	kinds (4) 9:6;48:16,18;
21:14,23;28:8;29:2;	hard (7) 7:6;10:19;	increase (4) 58:19,19;	invited (1) 5:22	55:3
30:17;34:9,18;35:18;	13:8;29:11;31:12;	66:23;67:19	involved (3) 19:14;	<b>KJZZ (2)</b> 53:21;60:20
38:13,17;50:9;51:24;	47:13;65:7	indeed (2) 10:14;46:23	39:8;57:19	knew (1) 20:3
54:3;55:25;57:20;	HCR (6) 39:7;41:4;	independent (13)	involves (2) 39:8,23	knowing (3) 22:13;
61:23;64:5;65:4	42:1;44:14,21;48:11	51:25;58:2,10,18;59:3,	involving (1) 38:23	31:10,10
given (5) 8:6;29:1,24;	head (1) 68:25	4,9;60:20;61:17;63:12,	lowa (2) 43:22,24	Knox (2) 8:1,2
35:16;36:2	headline (1) 64:1	18;65:16;66:22	irony (1) 59:12	
55.10.50.2	115au1116 (1) 04.1			<b>T</b>
giving (1) 61:2	headway (1) 65:21	independents (16)	issue (8) 8:15;24:18;	L
giving (1) 61:2 gleaned (1) 45:20	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3	53:10;58:4,22,23;	25:21;28:21;58:21,22;	
giving (1) 61:2	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3 hear (2) 18:24;31:22		25:21;28:21;58:21,22; 62:7,9	L lack (2) 22:25;32:1
giving (1) 61:2 gleaned (1) 45:20	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3	53:10;58:4,22,23;	25:21;28:21;58:21,22;	
giving (1) 61:2 gleaned (1) 45:20 goal (2) 28:12;69:24 goals (1) 35:4	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3 hear (2) 18:24;31:22 heard (1) 20:23	53:10;58:4,22,23; 60:14;61:1,7,13,25; 62:8,11;64:1;65:25;	25:21;28:21;58:21,22; 62:7,9 issues (12) 10:21;44:5;	lack (2) 22:25;32:1 landscape (1) 54:21
giving (1) 61:2 gleaned (1) 45:20 goal (2) 28:12;69:24 goals (1) 35:4 goes (6) 9:16;28:24;	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3 hear (2) 18:24;31:22 heard (1) 20:23 hearing (4) 8:13;9:10;	53:10;58:4,22,23; 60:14;61:1,7,13,25; 62:8,11;64:1;65:25; 67:1,7;68:17	25:21;28:21;58:21,22; 62:7,9 <b>issues (12)</b> 10:21;44:5; 48:15;49:24;53:5;	lack (2) 22:25;32:1 landscape (1) 54:21 language (5) 7:1;10:12,
giving (1) 61:2 gleaned (1) 45:20 goal (2) 28:12;69:24 goals (1) 35:4 goes (6) 9:16;28:24; 29:5;32:10;61:23;	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3 hear (2) 18:24;31:22 heard (1) 20:23 hearing (4) 8:13;9:10; 32:3;41:12	53:10;58:4,22,23; 60:14;61:1,7,13,25; 62:8,11;64:1;65:25; 67:1,7;68:17 Indian (1) 4:24	25:21;28:21;58:21,22; 62:7,9 <b>issues (12)</b> 10:21;44:5; 48:15;49:24;53:5; 54:12,20;55:7;60:11;	lack (2) 22:25;32:1 landscape (1) 54:21 language (5) 7:1;10:12, 12;30:24;46:24
giving (1) 61:2 gleaned (1) 45:20 goal (2) 28:12;69:24 goals (1) 35:4 goes (6) 9:16;28:24; 29:5;32:10;61:23; 65:15	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3 hear (2) 18:24;31:22 heard (1) 20:23 hearing (4) 8:13;9:10; 32:3;41:12 help (5) 14:1,5,12;50:7;	53:10;58:4,22,23; 60:14;61:1,7,13,25; 62:8,11;64:1;65:25; 67:1,7;68:17 Indian (1) 4:24 indicated (1) 43:11	25:21;28:21;58:21,22; 62:7,9 <b>issues (12)</b> 10:21;44:5; 48:15;49:24;53:5; 54:12,20;55:7;60:11; 62:9;63:21;65:24	lack (2) 22:25;32:1 landscape (1) 54:21 language (5) 7:1;10:12, 12;30:24;46:24 last (11) 4:24;7:24;
giving (1) 61:2 gleaned (1) 45:20 goal (2) 28:12;69:24 goals (1) 35:4 goes (6) 9:16;28:24; 29:5;32:10;61:23;	headway (1) 65:21 healthy (1) 53:3 hear (2) 18:24;31:22 heard (1) 20:23 hearing (4) 8:13;9:10; 32:3;41:12	53:10;58:4,22,23; 60:14;61:1,7,13,25; 62:8,11;64:1;65:25; 67:1,7;68:17 Indian (1) 4:24	25:21;28:21;58:21,22; 62:7,9 <b>issues (12)</b> 10:21;44:5; 48:15;49:24;53:5; 54:12,20;55:7;60:11;	lack (2) 22:25;32:1 landscape (1) 54:21 language (5) 7:1;10:12, 12;30:24;46:24

# **Public Session**

Citizens Clean Elections	Commission	L		July 19, 2018
39:16;48:7,13,19;51:7;	local (1) 53:16	36:20;59:17;63:24,25;	67:18	navigating (1) 66:2
52:2	locked (1) 7:22	64:13,14;65:10;67:4	minutes (5) 3:8,10,14,	necessarily (2) 44:15;
late (3) 19:21;37:20;	log (1) 17:12	mean (14) 18:22;20:9;	18;15:1	59:2
46:9	log-in (3) 17:11;29:20,	23:16;25:9,10,11,13;	missed (1) 23:1	necessary (1) 45:14
<b>law (6)</b> 8:4,5,17;39:24;	24	43:13;47:12;51:18;	mission (1) 25.1	need (11) 12:3;17:4;
42:5;43:11	longer (2) 32:22;54:15	61:18;62:7;63:10;64:8	mistake (11) 13:16;	20:19;34:5;37:12;
<b>laws (3)</b> 7:19;40:17;	look (9) 18:15;21:15;	meaningful (1) 53:3	15:13;16:15;17:17;	48:14;55:1;61:22,24;
51:19	24:23;27:20;38:4;	means (1) 37:23	20:1,9;25:10,22;31:19,	63:9;70:12
lawsuit (1) 8:12	41:18;51:21;64:5,5	meant (1) 38:1	19:33:8	needs (4) 7:6;32:4;
lawsuits (1) 41:5	looked (1) 51:24	measures (1) 22:16	mistakenly (2) 16:3;	47:21:48:18
lay (1) 33:18	looking (9) 7:23;30:10;	mechanism (1) 30:5	20:5	negotiate (1) 5:7
layer (2) 21:6;26:13	50:19,24;51:15,16;	media (12) 14:12;	mistakes (2) 19:12;	negotiated (2) 6:13;
lead (2) 60:9;68:17	57:7;58:3;66:21	16:17;17:7;24:1;29:8,	31:20	69:14
leaders (1) 13:14	looks (3) 33:20;50:4;	14;50:13;54:21;56:21;	misunderstanding (2)	Nevertheless (2) 43:2;
leadership (1) 14:24	51:4	65:22;68:11,11	22:22;28:18	44:8
least (6) 7:5;18:6,8;	loop (1) 21:4	Meet (3) 5:3,9;6:6	mode (4) 16:2,3,11,14	new (6) 16:5;17:4,5;
36:22;39:8;42:8	lot (14) 8:25;25:14;	meeting (12) 3:4,9,14,	moment (1) 15:13	20:7;31:7;56:25
leave (2) 45:13;49:19	37:23;38:1;50:17;52:9,	18;5:1;13:22;49:13,16,	Monday (2) 41:10;	news (4) 50:2,2,3,5
leg (2) 41:16;45:9	24;53:1;56:5;61:1,13;	21;53:19,22;70:15	45:10	news/bad (1) 50:2
Legacy (1) 43:22	65:15;66:12,17	member (1) 28:17	monitoring (1) 27:19	newspaper (5) 64:9,12;
legal (7) 9:11,19;38:23;	low (1) 58:19	members (1) 28.17 members (5) 18:12;	month (1) 65:11	65:14;67:4,10
39:11;41:20;48:2;	LULAC (2) 6:13;7:16	23:19;28:19;36:17;	months (2) 22:9;31:7	newspapers (5) 63:25;
51:17		68:16	more (22) 11:14,15,25;	64:3,15;67:6,9
legislation (1) 59:24	Μ	membership (1) 63:16	15:23;18:25;19:2;21:3;	next (7) 3:7;4:6,17;
legislative (8) 41:9,19;	172	memo (4) 11:20;25:25;	32:19;39:6;48:1,17;	11:12;15:6;45:11;
42:8,17;45:14;47:1,14;	main (1) 18:20	26:7;28:2	53:9;55:17,19;59:24;	53:10
69:5	maintain (1) 55:22	memorandum (1) 13:22	60:9;64:15;65:8,9;	nice (1) 63:15
legislator (1) 60:16	major (1) 62:2	mention (1) 6:12	68:16,18;69:15	night (8) 11:11,12,12,
legislature (5) 40:17;	makes (4) 25:14;31:19;	mentioned (4) 36:16;	morning (6) 3:3;11:13,	17;19:21;31:22;37:20;
42:18,20,23;59:25	63:18;67:25	65:24;66:4,20	15;15:6,11;19:21	53:18
lend (1) 69:6	making (3) 12:2;34:5;	mentioning (1) 28:10	Morrison (4) 50:6;	nominee (1) 40:5
lengthier (1) 47:12	65:21	mentions (4) 53:15;	51:13;53:15;57:21	non-partisan (2) 31:13;
letter (2) 41:16,16	man (1) 67:4	56:19,20,25	most (5) 28:1;47:4;	63:18
letting (2) 33:13;34:3	Manager (10) 12:16,21;	merge (1) 43:5	48:8;54:6;56:5	nor (1) 16:8
level (1) 35:19	16:9;17:3;20:19;22:21;	merits (2) 8:9;9:14	motion (14) 3:16,17,24;	normal (3) 33:10,11,11
Lewis (1) 42:4	25:13;28:19;36:19;	message (4) 18:18;	8:7;9:14;41:7,10;	note (1) 12:13
LFAF (1) 43:21	37:10	29:12;65:9,22	44:25;48:23,25;49:3,9;	noted (2) 69:14,16
libertarian (1) 66:7	managers (1) 15:9	messages (1) 54:1	70:12,13	notice (2) 25:23;41:20
likely (1) 48:8	managing (1) 52:14	met (2) 12:4;55:7	motions (3) 3:10;43:25;	noticed (1) 3:5
like-minded (1) 68:12	Manger (1) 12:16	metrics (3) 50:13,17,21	45:18	notification (1) 29:16
limit (1) 47:13	many (9) 5:2;13:8;	<b>MEYER (46)</b> 3:3,12,15,	move (5) 3:13;9:24;	notified (3) 18:3;19:10;
line (2) 16:23;27:6	33:17,23;41:17;55:13,	17,22,24;4:5;9:10,17,	36:3;41:3;49:1	30:25
lines (1) 36:3	13;58:23;68:13	20,24;12:22;19:6;22:3;	moved (2) 8:8;37:17	notwithstanding (2)
link (1) 24:7	marginalized (1) 60:11	23:6;24:12,15;25:8,20;	moving (3) 24:18;26:2,	43:8;69:21
linking (1) 24:5	Maricopa (1) 58:16	26:5;28:22;31:14,18;	10	Number (7) 9:25;38:22;
list (1) 62:23	marketed (1) 30:14	34:9,12;36:13;38:10,	much (13) 7:20;10:6;	39:3;50:15,15;58:17,
listen (1) 31:18	marketing (6) 14:9,25;	12,21;39:3;44:21,24;	11:1;19:9;33:23;34:14;	18
listening (1) 52:7	15:7;16:19;17:13,13	45:2,16,19;46:3,6,10;	36:10,13,15;38:3,10;	numbers (3) 57:17;
literally (1) 53:22	Mary (4) 39:11;44:18;	47:7;48:6,21;49:1,7,9,	41:25;61:2	66:19,24
litigate (2) 44:6,8	45:8;46:13	18;61:11	Mueller (2) 12:17;37:7	<u>^</u>
litigating (1) 44:9	master's (1) 61:19	microcosm (1) 68:12	multiplying (1) 60:23	0
litigation (1) 39:7	match (2) 7:5,6	middle (1) 62:3	Municipal (1) 4:25	
little (6) 10:5;11:14;	materials (2) 35:3;	midnight (1) 11:14	must (1) 30:4	object (1) 37:13
32:19;39:20;65:3,9	65:16	might (13) 6:4;12:11;	myself (1) 10:16	objected (1) 5:13
live (30) 15:12;16:3,11,	matter (3) 7:17;13:23;	21:18;26:23;43:16,16,	NT	objectives (1) 12:4
14;20:5,6,11,24;23:3;	23:13	19;44:12;48:2;50:23;	Ν	objects (1) 40:1
24:5;26:14,20;28:24;	matters (4) 10:2;38:23,	59:23;60:8;68:18		obviously (5) 9:5;
29:5;30:5,13;31:24;	25;39:8	Mike (1) 69:4	name (10) 23:21,24,25;	37:13;39:12;50:8;
32:2,3,6,9,11,16,25;	may (13) 8:1;12:10;	Millennial (2) 55:5;58:2	26:18;29:20,24;31:2,	58:23
33:7;34:4;50:12;51:2;	14:6,11;26:24;30:16;	Millennials (2) 52:1;	12;37:6;56:15	occurred (3) 13:25;
55:11;56:21	31:21;43:19;50:4;55:6;	54:5	names (3) 15:22;28:4,	14:3;19:12
loaded (1) 68:2	59:5;68:12;69:15	million (1) 58:3	11 noture (2) 25.1 16.47.2	o'clock (1) 11:15
lobbied (1) 42:10	Maybe (10) 32:14;33:5;	mind (3) 16:13;26:20;	nature (3) 25:1,16;47:3	<b>off (6)</b> 7:4;10:15;15:14;

**Public Session** 

## Transcript of Proceedings July 19, 2018

Citizens Clean Elections	commission			July 19, 2018
54:15,18;67:11	11:4,5;21:22,24;23:14,	payment (1) 44:3	policy (2) 6:23;69:4	process (16) 14:8,14;
			political (7) 37:9;40:4,7,	
offices (1) 62:12	15;32:8;37:7,19;58:25;	<b>PBS (1)</b> 53:16		18:9;20:4,7;21:19,25;
official (1) 37:10	63:20	penalty (1) 40:11	8,9;41:1;58:2	28:25;31:16,20;32:13,
officially (1) 13:11	overall (1) 59:7	pending (1) 32:22	politically (1) 68:2	19;33:11;52:22;57:8;
officials (1) 7:9	oversees (1) 14:24	people (25) 5:6;7:13,	politics (1) 52:24	69:10
O'GRADY (12) 45:4,7,	overspending (1) 33:25	14;8:21;23:17;24:20;	portion (2) 41:4;49:20	processes (3) 16:17;
9,17;46:1,4,7,19,22;	owe (1) 40:10	50:3,4,12;51:19;53:2,	posed (1) 13:23	17:20;31:21
47:15,18,24	own (5) 20:11;22:12,	6;55:18;57:1;58:11;	position (7) 28:20;	professionalism (3)
omitted (1) 47:2	19;29:20;61:16	59:15,19,21,24;60:2;	34:24;35:10,11,16;	37:18;38:2,6
Once (3) 16:10;52:10;		62:3;64:15;68:10,13,	40:20;63:5	program (9) 4:17;5:10;
66:11	Р	18	positive (1) 69:20	10:20,23;24:8;33:13;
one (34) 4:2;7:14;		percent (4) 54:10;	possible (9) 3:8;4:7,12;	35:21;57:15;61:23
12:17;14:20;27:6,6,6,	pacing (1) 24:24	59:15;66:21,24	10:1;11:16;20:16;	project (2) 50:5;57:10
24;30:16;34:17,17;	package (1) 57:11	percentage (1) 58:19	38:22;41:14;49:23	projects (1) 15:14
39:7;40:1;41:5;42:9;	packet (1) 11:21	perfect (1) 13:4	post (4) 22:12;28:25;	promote (2) 66:18;67:6
43:17,19;44:24;46:13,	packets (1) 13:22	perfectly (1) 43:7	32:2;65:7	promoted (1) 67:9
14;47:12,13;50:10;	page (1) 65:20	performance (2) 16:18;	postal (1) 8:5	proof (4) 6:20,24;29:11,
53:10,15,20;59:12,13;	pages (1) 55:17	17:9	posted (1) 25:11	12
61:22;62:25;63:14;		perhaps (1) 7:12	posting (1) 22:19	propose (1) 69:4
65:25;67:12,17	paints (1) 14:2 pamphlet (2) 55:10,16	periodically (2) 29:21,	posting (1) 22.19 posts (2) 32:6,6	proposed (3) 6:12;
		25 periodically (2) 29:21,		
ones (1) 14:19	panel (5) 52:11,13,16,		potential (1) 59:6	28:23;46:16
one-umbrella (1) 27:13	25;53:1	persisted (1) 63:13 person (3) 22:21;60:17,	potentially (2) 27:8;	<b>Protection (2)</b> 39:23; 40:14
online (1) 56:17	paper (3) 7:17;69:19,		28:5	
<b>Only (7)</b> 17:5;18:22;	25	22	power (3) 51:7;60:23;	proud (4) 51:5;53:7;
25:12;44:16;51:8;	part (9) 18:19;24:25;	personal (1) 42:3	61:2	57:18,23
55:20;66:8	33:1;47:4;54:6,11;	personally (3) 10:17;	predictably (1) 60:9	provide (4) 13:24;17:8,
open (10) 62:11;63:2;	58:10,24;59:11	36:9;43:9	preempted (2) 8:5,19	10;22:8
66:6,6,10;68:16;69:1,6,	partially (1) 58:22	person's (1) 8:18	preference (2) 62:10;	provided (1) 11:21
8,11	participate (7) 10:11;	perspective (6) 26:22;	66:5	provides (3) 13:23;
operating (1) 51:9	18:19;28:13;66:2,16;	48:7;51:23;52:3,19;	preliminary (3) 8:7;	40:15;55:20
operation (1) 37:9	68:16,19	57:11	9:13;11:13	providing (4) 16:23;
opportunities (3) 6:6;	participating (2) 42:12;	perusal (1) 39:19	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20	17:15;21:10;53:12
<b>opportunities (3)</b> 6:6; 54:8;70:5	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9;	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24,	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6;	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18,	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23;	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5;
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ougselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21; 35:19;38:19;49:11,20;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18, 20;23:5,7,8,16;25:7,9,	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23; 49:11	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17; 68:3,12,24	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5; 54:15,18;65:10
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21; 35:19;38:19;49:11,20; 53:6;55:10;59:13,25;	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18, 20;23:5,7,8,16;25:7,9, 19;54:18;61:8,11,12,	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23; 49:11 pm (4) 14:17,23,23; 15:4	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17; 68:3,12,24 problem (8) 14:19;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5; 54:15,18;65:10 PVL (1) 62:22
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21; 35:19;38:19;49:11,20; 53:6;55:10;59:13,25; 60:22;65:10,22;66:14; 67:1	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18, 20;23:5,7,8,16;25:7,9, 19;54:18;61:8,11,12, 16,21;62:6;63:22,23;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23; 49:11 pm (4) 14:17,23,23;	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17; 68:3,12,24 problem (8) 14:19; 19:22;21:18;22:15;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5; 54:15,18;65:10
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ought (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21; 35:19;38:19;49:11,20; 53:6;55:10;59:13,25; 60:22;65:10,22;66:14; 67:1 outage (1) 51:7	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18, 20;23:5,7,8,16;25:7,9, 19;54:18;61:8,11,12, 16,21;62:6;63:22,23; 64:8,17,20,23;65:2;	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23; 49:11 pm (4) 14:17,23,23; 15:4 point (7) 9:1;26:24;	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17; 68:3,12,24 problem (8) 14:19; 19:22;21:18;22:15; 41:21;46:25;47:4; 62:18	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5; 54:15,18;65:10 PVL (1) 62:22 Q
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21; 35:19;38:19;49:11,20; 53:6;55:10;59:13,25; 60:22;65:10,22;66:14; 67:1 outage (1) 51:7 outlined (2) 35:6;36:4	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18, 20;23:5,7,8,16;25:7,9, 19;54:18;61:8,11,12, 16,21;62:6;63:22,23; 64:8,17,20,23;65:2; 67:3;70:17	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23; 49:11 pm (4) 14:17,23,23; 15:4 point (7) 9:1;26:24; 35:13;58:6;64:13;66:1; 69:1	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17; 68:3,12,24 problem (8) 14:19; 19:22;21:18;22:15; 41:21;46:25;47:4;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5; 54:15,18;65:10 PVL (1) 62:22
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21; 35:19;38:19;49:11,20; 53:6;55:10;59:13,25; 60:22;65:10,22;66:14; 67:1 outage (1) 51:7 outlined (2) 35:6;36:4 outlining (1) 41:17	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18, 20;23:5,7,8,16;25:7,9, 19;54:18;61:8,11,12, 16,21;62:6;63:22,23; 64:8,17,20,23;65:2; 67:3;70:17 PATTON (1) 32:17	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23; 49:11 pm (4) 14:17,23,23; 15:4 point (7) 9:1;26:24; 35:13;58:6;64:13;66:1;	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17; 68:3,12,24 problem (8) 14:19; 19:22;21:18;22:15; 41:21;46:25;47:4; 62:18 problems (5) 45:15;	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5; 54:15,18;65:10 PVL (1) 62:22 Q quality (3) 10:13;21:6; 35:19
opportunities (3) 6:6; 54:8;70:5 opportunity (8) 4:21; 13:21;44:6,8;48:13,20; 59:10;60:15 opposed (2) 42:4;49:7 opposition (1) 3:22 optimization (1) 16:19 option (1) 39:12 oral (2) 39:19;45:10 order (5) 3:4;10:25; 39:1;44:2;55:2 organization (2) 22:24; 23:2 others (3) 10:7;47:16; 55:25 otherwise (1) 60:14 ourselves (1) 21:23 out (28) 4:15,25;7:17; 9:5;12:15;13:3,6; 18:18;21:4,15;27:5; 30:14;32:11;33:18,21; 35:19;38:19;49:11,20; 53:6;55:10;59:13,25; 60:22;65:10,22;66:14; 67:1 outage (1) 51:7 outlined (2) 35:6;36:4	participating (2) 42:12; 67:22 participation (2) 66:18; 67:18 particular (8) 16:14; 26:10;28:16;30:19,19; 46:20;50:5;59:4 particularly (3) 21:18; 37:16;55:12 parties (5) 40:4;58:24, 25;62:2;67:20 parties' (1) 62:4 partisan (2) 68:1,13 partner (1) 52:15 partners (1) 65:21 parts (1) 57:7 party (5) 58:24;63:4; 66:7,8;68:16 pass (1) 40:17 passed (2) 13:5;42:18 passing (1) 31:21 past (3) 35:1;58:10; 66:11 Paton (26) 4:18;5:18, 20;23:5,7,8,16;25:7,9, 19;54:18;61:8,11,12, 16,21;62:6;63:22,23; 64:8,17,20,23;65:2; 67:3;70:17	perusal (1) 39:19 Phoenix (2) 6:2;50:14 phone (2) 4:2,2 phrases (1) 65:3 physically (2) 23:9; 25:12 pick (3) 63:4,5;65:18 picked (1) 56:20 picture (1) 14:3 piece (1) 7:17 pieces (1) 56:5 pilot (2) 4:17;5:10 place (5) 12:3;18:6; 31:11;36:1;40:8 plaintiffs (1) 8:22 plan (1) 36:3 planned (2) 58:13,13 planning (1) 58:14 platform (5) 15:3;24:23; 29:18,19;33:15 play (1) 50:25 playing (1) 51:11 Please (3) 23:21,23; 49:11 pm (4) 14:17,23,23; 15:4 point (7) 9:1;26:24; 35:13;58:6;64:13;66:1; 69:1 points (4) 27:24;54:2;	Prescott (2) 6:4;56:20 presentation (1) 12:8 presented (5) 4:23; 9:18;16:5,20;51:13 presidential (2) 62:10; 66:5 pre-taped (1) 53:21 pretty (2) 22:8;67:9 prevail (2) 45:15;46:16 prevent (2) 20:9;22:16 previously (3) 5:5;7:13; 47:6 primaries (5) 59:10; 61:14;67:6,7;68:15 primary (15) 10:14; 59:4;61:18;62:11,23; 63:2;64:1,2;65:17; 66:6,6,7,10,23;68:8 print (3) 11:3;29:7,9 printing (1) 45:12 prior (2) 6:22;54:15 priority (1) 44:20 probably (4) 54:17; 68:3,12,24 problem (8) 14:19; 19:22;21:18;22:15; 41:21;46:25;47:4; 62:18 problems (5) 45:15; 46:20,23;53:5;59:7	17:15;21:10;53:12 proxies (1) 8:24 public (11) 5:8;7:12; 10:2;30:14;41:4;42:6; 47:25;49:16,20;59:7; 70:7 publicity (1) 40:19 pull (4) 11:1;27:17; 43:24;50:8 pulled (1) 56:18 pulls (1) 27:19 punch (1) 23:9 purchase (1) 10:25 purpose (5) 20:3;27:2; 51:20,21,22 pursuant (4) 7:15; 11:23;42:19,20 put (13) 9:5;25:23; 35:19,20;41:19;50:25; 51:1;64:1,2,14,20; 66:13;69:4 puts (1) 5:4 putting (5) 27:5;32:5; 54:15,18;65:10 PVL (1) 62:22 Q quality (3) 10:13;21:6;

Min-U-Script®

Citizens cican Elections				5uly 17, 2010
quickly (3) 11:5;41:3,13	recorders (3) 6:23;7:2;	resolution (1) 41:24		49:2,5,10,14,16,20
quickness (1) 37:17	62:17	resolve (1) 43:24	C	set (6) 16:3,11;27:13;
quite (2) 38:6;58:18	reelection (1) 37:11	resolved (1) 37:20	S	33:16;46:5;51:14
<b>quite (2)</b> 58.0,58.18	refer (1) 14:16	resolving (1) 70:4	• • • • • • • • •	several (3) 12:6;52:2;
R	referendum (1) 43:5	resources (1) 53:13	Sahuarita (1) 4:19	65:7
<b>N</b>			Sahuaro (1) 4:19	
	referendums (2) 42:18;	respect (4) 13:11;	same (6) 7:18;31:25;	shall (2) 40:16,17
raise (1) 66:19	60:10	39:10,10;53:5	32:6,16;55:9;70:2	share (1) 38:3
ran (3) 10:11;29:9,13	reflected (1) 9:5	response (9) 3:23;9:23;	sample (2) 16:2,20	shared (1) 37:19
ranching (1) 43:6	reflects (1) 41:15	32:21;33:3,6;41:10;	Sara (3) 12:16;37:6,7	sharp (1) 51:4
rare (1) 17:15	regard (1) 20:1	45:9;49:8;70:9	satisfied (1) 34:18	Shazam (1) 54:24
rate (2) 66:21,22	regards (1) 14:13	responses (2) 41:11;	savvy (1) 55:11	sheet (2) 27:21;29:10
rather (1) 6:7	regionally (1) 13:9	57:2	saw (4) 14:19;27:7;	ships (1) 31:21
reach (4) 55:8,10;	register (2) 59:16;70:1	responsibility (4) 10:22;	66:20,22	<b>shop (1)</b> 13:7
57:12,13	registered (2) 54:11;	22:11,18;35:14	saying (3) 23:10;29:11;	shortest (1) 47:18
reached (1) 57:1	67:20	responsible (2) 14:9;	35:12	<b>shot (1)</b> 4:15
reaching (1) 35:5	registration (2) 69:18,	20:20	<b>SB (1)</b> 39:25	<b>show (3)</b> 33:19,19;
react (1) 25:17	21	restrict (1) 25:18	scene (1) 52:5	57:17
read (3) 13:21;47:23;	Regulatory (1) 42:13	restrictions (1) 8:24	scenes (1) 7:8	showed (1) 38:1
64:15	reinforce (1) 14:1	result (4) 8:20;16:6,16;	schedule (1) 46:5	showing (1) 57:15
readership (1) 67:11	reject (1) 6:24	60:3	scheduling (1) 49:19	shows (1) 11:3
reading (2) 22:7;32:23	rejected (2) 28:7;34:8	results (2) 52:17,17	school (1) 43:6	side (3) 32:8,11;40:6
reads (1) 64:11	related (5) 14:6;39:7;	resumes (1) 49:17	Schools (1) 4:20	sides (1) 40:23
ready (4) 34:7;47:20,	40:16;48:15;49:24	resuming (1) 49:22	screenshot (1) 14:18	sign (1) 16:23
22;68:25	relating (1) 10:2	return (1) 5:8		signature (1) 16:23
<b>Reagan (3)</b> 6:14;39:4;	relaxed (1) 65:9	review (8) 16:21;17:5;	search (17) 14:9,24;	signed (4) 6:15,21;
69:15	reliability (1) 18:17	20:4;29:25;30:2;42:13;	15:3,7,17;16:1,12,19;	17:1,3
real (5) 6:12;11:22;	reliable (1) 35:24	45:14;69:4	17:6,9,11,13,16;27:21;	significant (1) 58:20
58:21;60:6;67:23	relitigate (1) 44:5	revolutionized (1) 54:25	28:23;29:6;30:7	signs (3) 17:5;64:14;
realized (1) 16:15	rely (1) 14:11	rich (1) 57:8	second (10) 3:15,16;	65:7
really (29) 5:14;6:5;	remained (1) 58:18	<b>RIESTER (32)</b> 11:1,12,	10:12;42:1;45:22;	simplistic (1) 32:14
			48:25;62:21,21;70:16,	simply (2) 43:3;55:14
10:16,22;11:5;18:20;	remaining (1) 16:2	17,20;12:5,6,8;13:6,10;	17	
19:15;20:1;23:13;	remarkable (1) 38:6	16:9;17:8,15;18:2,21;	seconded (2) 3:19;49:2	simultaneously (1)
25:11;35:22;39:6;	remarks (1) 17:22	21:15,21;24:1;28:3,6,	secondly (1) 62:1	15:25
40:12,13,25;50:7,10;	remedied (1) 28:1	24;29:18;31:6,9,22;	Secretary (5) 6:14;	sincerely (1) 18:12
51:4,4;53:6,6;54:1,2,3;	remedy (1) 45:15	32:21;35:1,10;36:4;	39:17;40:24;62:17;	single (6) 42:7,13,22;
55:4;57:18;60:7;61:24;	remember (1) 68:7	50:7,7,25;51:1	69:15	62:7,9,19
69:3	removed (1) 37:18	RIESTER's (1) 17:3	section (3) 27:6;49:13;	site (1) 28:6
reason (3) 5:12;18:20;	removing (1) 32:24	right (19) 3:3;4:24;	60:4	situation (2) 13:20;
37:14	repeats (1) 62:13	20:17,17;22:20;23:11;	secure (1) 35:22	17:15
reasons (4) 28:9;40:1;	replaces (1) 61:5	24:13;26:4;38:21;44:5;	seeing (2) 19:19;66:12	<b>six (1)</b> 56:19
60:13;67:21	reply (1) 45:10	45:18;46:21;47:15;	seeking (1) 8:23	Snapchat (1) 54:23
recall (2) 32:21,23	report (16) 4:8;9:9,22;	48:21;51:9;52:24;54:7;	seem (2) 32:20;65:8	social (6) 29:14;50:17,
recap (1) 49:23	17:9;22:10;27:17;	66:25;67:1	seems (3) 22:14;31:21;	18;56:7,21;68:11
receive (1) 33:9	49:23;51:20,21,22;	Rights (2) 55:23;58:12	61:13	<b>soft (1)</b> 7:5
received (2) 15:5;31:4	52:2;53:21,23;56:18;	<b>Rivko (2)</b> 8:1,2	sees (2) 48:14;55:5	software (10) 15:3,9;
recently (1) 65:8	57:22;58:1	<b>ROBERTS (9)</b> 29:4;	selected (1) 20:18	16:1,10;17:7,12;23:13;
Recession (1) 54:7	reporter (2) 23:24;37:1	30:23;32:18;34:11;	SEM (1) 27:1	24:3,4,5
recognized (2) 14:20;	reports (3) 22:7;44:3;	51:6;56:2,4;58:14;65:5	send (1) 62:24	solution (2) 47:4;67:12
67:20	53:9	role (4) 13:14;22:10,	senior (1) 18:2	solve (1) 41:21
recommend (2) 36:2;	representative (1)	17;48:12	sense (4) 32:15;41:19;	somebody (3) 20:4;
69:10	59:23	rule (5) 40:1,7,8,21;	63:2;67:24	23:9;27:7
recommendation (11)	representatives (1) 12:6	66:2	sensitivity (1) 25:1	somehow (3) 24:13;
15:24;16:4,19,22,25;	represented (1) 42:5	ruled (2) 42:17,17	sent (4) 14:18;41:16,	42:21;44:4
17:2,4,6;28:7;31:10;	republic (2) 59:8;65:18	rules (3) 9:2;31:10;		someone (2) 22:17;
33:10	request (1) 11:24	33:11	16;65:10	32:1
recommending (1)	require (2) 40:17;44:15	ruling (1) 48:8	separate (1) 49:14	sometimes (1) 66:9
43:18	required (1) 5:6	run (7) 20:19;29:9,15;	series (1) 14:1	somewhere (1) 32:21
reconsidered (1) 7:2	requirement (1) 42:22	34:7,8;37:14;65:25	seriously (2) 13:19;	soon (2) 11:16;18:3
record (8) 10:9;18:16;	requires (1) 42:8	running (4) 16:12;32:7;	19:16	sooner (2) 26:3,5
30:18;37:1,5;47:25;	research (4) 52:3,10,	37:8;40:4	seriousness (1) 69:23	sore (1) 65:6
56:14;59:3	18,19	rural (1) 55:12	Service (1) 69:19	sorry (1) 13:15
<b>Recorder (2)</b> 6:14;	researchers (1) 53:15	<b>Ryan (6)</b> 38:16;50:19,	session (16) 39:13;	
			42:11;43:19;44:15,19;	<b>sort (11)</b> 23:12;26:8;
62:24	reservation (1) 55:13	24;56:7,15;57:20	46:15;47:8,22;48:1,22;	27:4;29:1;30:8;39:6;
	1	1	1	

Min-U-Script®

**Public Session** 

## Transcript of Proceedings July 19, 2018

				July 19, 2018
40.00.05.41.0.47.0	4. 1. 1. 1. (4) 40.02	1.1.1.1(1) 15.10	( ) (A) (7 11	
40:22,25;41:2;47:3;	straddling (1) 40:23	tasked (1) 15:10	touch (1) 65:11	unfortunately (3) 25:16;
63:2	strategically (1) 59:6	team (7) 16:17;17:7;	track (1) 18:16	51:7;64:16
sound (1) 45:21	strategies (1) 48:2	28:19;54:3,4,4;64:6	tracked (1) 14:25	unless (3) 8:17;32:25;
sounds (1) 26:25	stress (1) 17:14	tear (2) 29:10,11	traffic (1) 28:5	59:3
source (1) 35:24	strings (1) 43:24	technical (4) 22:11;	transparency (2) 14:4;	unvoted (1) 8:19
south (1) 68:25	stronger (1) 17:20	23:17;24:25;35:17	21:7	up (18) 11:8,25;22:6;
Spanish (1) 55:21	stuff (6) 25:11;42:11;	technicalities (2) 14:7,	transpired (1) 14:2	23:12;27:13;47:14;
speak (5) 12:7,18;	54:19;61:24;63:3;	14	treated (2) 7:13,18	53:11;54:7,7,9,9;56:10,
19:14;20:3;48:19	64:21	technically (3) 19:25;	tremendous (1) 13:11	20;59:21,23;60:11;
speaks (1) 28:2	subject (6) 42:7,9,14,	22:16;24:20	trial (2) 9:12,14	65:18;69:7
specific (9) 11:14;15:4,	22,25;65:6	technology (1) 14:7	Tricia (5) 14:11;23:12,	update (1) 46:1
22;18:25;27:8;39:11;	submit (1) 46:17	television (1) 50:14	25;26:9;32:19	upload (1) 24:10
51:25;52:1;63:11	submits (1) 6:19	tend (2) 68:13,14	tried (2) 35:20;65:6	uploaded (1) 27:14
specifically (7) 28:4,7;	submitted (1) 29:17	tens (1) 27:19	triggering (1) 33:8	<b>Upon (3)</b> 13:17;29:1;
40:15;42:24;43:15;	Subsequently (1) 16:4	term (2) 15:23;17:9	true (1) 22:10	30:3
51:24;69:2	substantially (1) 47:12	terms (15) 9:1,1;15:17;	try (7) 4:11;10:19;	URL (1) 33:20
specifics (1) 29:3	succinct (1) 13:24	17:13;18:8,23,24;48:1;	19:22;41:3;55:21,22;	use (5) 14:7;31:2;
spoke (1) 64:17	sued (1) 44:2	57:12,13,14,14,15;	65:11	33:15;34:2;65:3
sponsor (2) 5:3,7	suggestion (1) 63:24	69:16,21	trying (3) 5:16;9:3;	used (2) 7:14,14
sponsoring (1) 5:13	suit (2) 41:23;42:1	testament (1) 13:19	18:18	user (3) 20:16;29:20,24
<b>spot (1)</b> 56:1	summarize (1) 41:2	theory (1) 6:8	Tucson (6) 4:20;6:3;	using (3) 15:22;69:19,
spot-check (1) 29:21	summary (7) 13:24;	therefore (1) 40:21	50:14,15;53:12;56:21	19
spreadsheet (1) 30:8	14:10;39:15;41:7,8;	thinking (2) 15:25;68:3	turn (1) 51:10	usually (4) 24:2;29:7;
stability (1) 63:16	44:25;45:18	third (1) 63:1	turning (1) 53:6	30:5,7
staff (21) 10:16;15:6;	super (1) 57:23	THOMAS (3) 4:4;	turnout (3) 58:25;66:21,	utilize (5) 29:19;31:11;
16:6,8,21;17:1,10,11,	Superior (1) 42:15	23:21,23	22	34:5;65:13,19
		,		
19;18:2,14;21:15;	supervisors (1) 59:22	though (3) 39:20;	<b>TV (3)</b> 51:8;56:19,20	utilizes (1) 29:18
28:17,22,23;29:6;	supplement (2) 6:1,5	67:13;68:15	tweeting (1) 56:21	utmost (1) 36:6
30:20;32:3;37:16;38:1;	supply (1) 31:9	thought (7) 12:10,19;	Twist (6) 12:16;36:18;	
50:9	support (1) 69:6	30:25;31:8;52:18,25;	37:2,4,6;38:11	V
standards (4) 33:16;	supportive (1) 40:7	53:4	Twitter (2) 56:24,25	
34:6;43:6,6	supposed (3) 24:17;	thousands (2) 27:16,19	two (15) 14:20,21;	valid (1) 62:7
standpoint (1) 66:12	33:17,18	three (6) 38:25;39:6;	18:21;31:21;39:8,8,25;	validates (1) 52:3
standup (1) 13:7	Supreme (5) 7:15,22;	47:18;58:8,8;63:6	40:23;41:5;44:22;	value (1) 57:14
start (1) 14:16	44:7;45:13;46:8	thrilled (1) 52:8	47:15;53:9;62:9,13;	variety (1) 67:21
started (3) 26:15;63:10;	sure (25) 6:22;10:6;	thrust (1) 40:13	68:22	vendors (1) 18:14
68:4	12:2,3;21:19;22:15;	Thursday (2) 11:11;	type (10) 13:7;14:8;	verbal (1) 13:24
		14:17		
starting (1) 21:25	29:21;30:2;33:24;34:6;		27:2;29:8;30:11,11,24;	verification (2) 29:8;
state (13) 5:23;6:19;	35:23;36:21;37:2,4,17;	timeline (6) 11:2;13:1,	31:9;63:3;65:9	33:1
7:14,19;13:8;18:17;	52:18;55:22;56:2;	25;14:15;17:4;19:20		
39:17,18;40:24;55:12,			<b>Typically (3)</b> 24:14;	verify (2) 30:6;32:20
20.621217	58:12;61:10,20;65:12,	timeliness (1) 25:17	<b>Typically (3)</b> 24:14; 27:18;37:24	versus (1) 39:4
20;62:12,17	58:12;61:10,20;65:12, 22;67:11;68:24		27:18;37:24	
20;62:12,17 State-approved (1)		timeliness (1) 25:17		versus (1) 39:4
	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6	27:18;37:24	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14
<b>State-approved (1)</b> 18:14	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4	U	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2	27:18;37:24 U ultimately (3) 34:22,22;	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10;	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9,	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21;	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4,	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5	U U Utimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24;	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23;
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4,	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14	U U Utimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7;	U Ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10,	U Ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13;	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23;
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13;	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11;	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23;
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11; 69:20 Stephanie (1) 4:15	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13 takeaways (3) 55:24; 58:9;61:6	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4; 65:24;66:4,20;67:4; 68:23	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14 underlying (1) 57:15 underspending (1)	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23; 53:6;54:11;55:2,18; 58:4,4;59:10,16,17,19,
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11; 69:20 Stephanie (1) 4:15 steps (2) 35:5;55:3	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13 takeaways (3) 55:24; 58:9;61:6 talk (6) 18:8;25:25;	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4; 65:24;66:4,20;67:4; 68:23 tone (4) 19:10;31:1;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14 underlying (1) 57:15 underspending (1) 33:25	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23; 53:6;54:11;55:2,18; 58:4,4;59:10,16,17,19, 21;60:2,16,20,23;61:3,
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11; 69:20 Stephanie (1) 4:15 steps (2) 35:5;55:3 still (6) 7:22;9:2;33:9;	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13 takeaways (3) 55:24; 58:9;61:6 talk (6) 18:8;25:25; 32:19;52:24;53:1;	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4; 65:24;66:4,20;67:4; 68:23 tone (4) 19:10;31:1; 37:14;51:14	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14 underlying (1) 57:15 underspending (1) 33:25 understood (1) 42:8	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23; 53:6;54:11;55:2,18; 58:4,4;59:10,16,17,19, 21;60:2,16,20,23;61:3, 3,4,4,17,25;62:11;64:1;
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11; 69:20 Stephanie (1) 4:15 steps (2) 35:5;55:3 still (6) 7:22;9:2;33:9; 34:5;44:3;62:15	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13 takeaways (3) 55:24; 58:9;61:6 talk (6) 18:8;25:25; 32:19;52:24;53:1; 67:18	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4; 65:24;66:4,20;67:4; 68:23 tone (4) 19:10;31:1; 37:14;51:14 took (2) 13:19;25:22	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14 underlying (1) 57:15 underspending (1) 33:25 understood (1) 42:8 undertaking (1) 19:1	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23; 53:6;54:11;55:2,18; 58:4,4;59:10,16,17,19, 21;60:2,16,20,23;61:3, 3,4,4,17,25;62:11;64:1; 67:7,24;70:1,14
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11; 69:20 Stephanie (1) 4:15 steps (2) 35:5;55:3 still (6) 7:22;9:2;33:9; 34:5;44:3;62:15 stop (1) 11:16	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13 takeaways (3) 55:24; 58:9;61:6 talk (6) 18:8;25:25; 32:19;52:24;53:1; 67:18 talked (2) 44:17;58:7	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4; 65:24;66:4,20;67:4; 68:23 tone (4) 19:10;31:1; 37:14;51:14 took (2) 13:19;25:22 top (5) 14:16;18:3;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14 underlying (1) 57:15 underspending (1) 33:25 understood (1) 42:8 undertaking (1) 19:1 undetected (3) 16:11;	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23; 53:6;54:11;55:2,18; 58:4,4;59:10,16,17,19, 21;60:2,16,20,23;61:3, 3,4,4,17,25;62:11;64:1; 67:7,24;70:1,14 voted (2) 8:18;68:8
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11; 69:20 Stephanie (1) 4:15 steps (2) 35:5;55:3 still (6) 7:22;9:2;33:9; 34:5;44:3;62:15 stop (1) 11:16 stopped (2) 11:17,18	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13 takeaways (3) 55:24; 58:9;61:6 talk (6) 18:8;25:25; 32:19;52:24;53:1; 67:18 talked (2) 44:17;58:7 talking (6) 12:1;22:1;	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4; 65:24;66:4,20;67:4; 68:23 tone (4) 19:10;31:1; 37:14;51:14 took (2) 13:19;25:22 top (5) 14:16;18:3; 63:3,7;68:8	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14 underlying (1) 57:15 underspending (1) 33:25 understood (1) 42:8 undertaking (1) 19:1 undetected (3) 16:11; 26:16;27:7	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23; 53:6;54:11;55:2,18; 58:4,4;59:10,16,17,19, 21;60:2,16,20,23;61:3, 3,4,4,17,25;62:11;64:1; 67:7,24;70:1,14 voted (2) 8:18;68:8 voter (25) 4:14;5:14,15;
State-approved (1) 18:14 stated (2) 12:4;38:25 statement (4) 12:10; 55:9,15;68:3 statements (1) 36:22 State's (3) 8:3,16;45:7 statewide (1) 5:20 stations (1) 50:14 status (1) 51:16 stay (1) 35:3 staying (1) 60:22 step (3) 37:21;49:11; 69:20 Stephanie (1) 4:15 steps (2) 35:5;55:3 still (6) 7:22;9:2;33:9; 34:5;44:3;62:15 stop (1) 11:16	22;67:11;68:24 surprise (2) 31:3;67:10 surprised (1) 22:8 sustain (1) 63:20 swift (1) 38:4 swiftly (1) 13:18 system (8) 26:8,18,21; 32:23;33:7;66:16;68:4, 14 systems (1) 7:4 T tactic (1) 65:13 takeaways (3) 55:24; 58:9;61:6 talk (6) 18:8;25:25; 32:19;52:24;53:1; 67:18 talked (2) 44:17;58:7	timeliness (1) 25:17 Times (1) 5:4 timing (1) 48:6 tired (1) 52:7 Titla (1) 4:2 title (1) 24:21 today (4) 19:14;37:9, 15;53:23 together (6) 11:1;37:7; 50:8,25;51:1;69:5 toggling (1) 23:14 Tom (19) 4:3,9;7:7; 10:3;17:19,23;19:10, 19;28:22;34:17;36:16; 38:13;49:25;57:4; 65:24;66:4,20;67:4; 68:23 tone (4) 19:10;31:1; 37:14;51:14 took (2) 13:19;25:22 top (5) 14:16;18:3;	U ultimately (3) 34:22,22; 59:6 unable (1) 11:2 unanimously (1) 3:25 unanswered (1) 18:23 unauthorized (2) 8:24; 15:2 unbelievable (1) 44:11 uncovered (1) 15:16 under (4) 21:20;27:13; 40:11;49:14 underlying (1) 57:15 underspending (1) 33:25 understood (1) 42:8 undertaking (1) 19:1 undetected (3) 16:11;	versus (1) 39:4 veto (1) 43:1 vets (1) 18:14 vetting (1) 69:10 VI (1) 49:22 video (3) 51:1,11,12 view (2) 36:4;62:2 viewed (1) 26:1 viewpoints (1) 68:14 views (5) 15:5,23; 52:17;53:2;69:22 VII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:7 VIII (1) 70:10 violation (1) 42:14 Vote (29) 4:24;42:23; 53:6;54:11;55:2,18; 58:4,4;59:10,16,17,19, 21;60:2,16,20,23;61:3, 3,4,4,17,25;62:11;64:1; 67:7,24;70:1,14 voted (2) 8:18;68:8

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\frac{18:18;28:12,13;35:5;}{51:25;53:13;55:4,9,11;} 51:18,25;52:1,3;54:3; 55:8;57:18;59:5;62:19; 65:21;66:17;70:4 \\ \textbf{worked (6) 7:21;11:4,} 69:15 \\ \textbf{voting (9) 50:3,5;55:2,} 23;58:12;59:4;60:21; 61:14;62:23 \\ \textbf{W} \\ W$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
60:5;63:12;65:16;       worked (6) 7:21;11:4,       2016 (3) 58:5;59:17;         69:15       19;52:1;62:18;69:22       65:15         votes (1) 60:22       working (16) 8:10;       11:17;18:17,21;19:20,         23;58:12;59:4;60:21;       21;21:14;25:2;33:12;       2018 (3) 3:9,14;54:22         61:14;62:23       21;21:14;25:2;33:12;       35:1;37:20;41:23;50:6,         W       vorks (9) 5:11,25;6:9,       3	
69:15       19;52:1;62:18;69:22       65:15         votes (1) 60:22       working (16) 8:10;       11:17;18:17,21;19:20,         23;58:12;59:4;60:21;       11:17;18:17,21;19:20,       21;21:14;25:2;33:12;         61:14;62:23       35:1;37:20;41:23;50:6,       7,25;65:12         W       works (9) 5:11,25;6:9,       3	
votes (1) 60:22       working (16) 8:10;         voting (9) 50:3,5;55:2,       11:17;18:17,21;19:20,         23;58:12;59:4;60:21;       21;21:14;25:2;33:12;         61:14;62:23       35:1;37:20;41:23;50:6,         W       7,25;65:12         works (9) 5:11,25;6:9,       3	
voting (9) 50:3,5;55:2,       11:17;18:17,21;19:20,         23;58:12;59:4;60:21;       21;21:14;25:2;33:12;         61:14;62:23       35:1;37:20;41:23;50:6,         W       7,25;65:12         works (9) 5:11,25;6:9,       3	
23;58:12;59:4;60:21;       21;21:14;25:2;33:12;         61:14;62:23       35:1;37:20;41:23;50:6,         7,25;65:12       7,25;65:12         Works (9) 5:11,25;6:9,       3	
61:14;62:23     35:1;37:20;41:23;50:6,       W     7,25;65:12       works (9) 5:11,25;6:9,       0 12 21:22:10 25	
W works (9) 5:11,25;6:9,	
W works (9) 5:11,25;6:9,	
9:12:21.22:19:25: 200 (4) 55.17	
<b>300 (1)</b> 33.17	
wants (3) 44:19;69:3;         33:10;55:4         329 (1) 56:24           70:8         world (1) 7:23         329 (1) 56:24	
watch (1) 10:9 worse (1) 60:8 4	
way (16) 7:14,15,18,23; worth (1) 48:5	
-22.14.24.4.27.21	
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{T}) + \mathbf{T}$	
57.15,15	
430.00011.00.4	
website (3) 9:5;51:2,4 wrote (1) 10:5	
Wednesday (1) 45:10         5           week (7) 4:17,24;         X	
14:17;18:9;21:24;51:8; <b>5,711 (1)</b> 56:23	
67:5 X'ers (1) 54:9 506,000 (1) 56:17	
weekly (2) 17:8;27:20	
weeks (1) 25:22 Y 6	
weight (1) 60:21	
weighted (1) 61:5 year (5) 5:2,16;58:13; 65 (1) 57:1	
welcome (1) 56:3 66:9,9	
what's (4) 21:4;26:6; years (6) 5:2;18:21; 7	
37:13;47:25 37:8;52:2;62:14;65:7	
WHEELOCK (5) 50:21; yesterday (3) 41:8; 7 (2) 40:14;66:21	
56:9,12,15,15     56:18;60:19     7,343 (1) 56:22	
viberana (4) (-22 view all (4) 52 (	
Whenever (4) 70.22	
<b>10 (1)</b> 50.12	
<b>whole (4)</b> 17:19;54:19; 57:11;69:10 <b>1</b>	
widely (1) 67:9     8       wife (1) 61:16     1.2 (1) 58:3	
windly (1) 55:2       1:30 (1) 45:11         wings (1) 62:2       10:25 (1) 14:23	
22:10:25:2:51:17 404 000 (4) 5(.10	
33:10;35:3;51:17 <b>104,000 (1)</b> 56:19 <b>9:30 (1)</b> 3:6	
without (3) 6:20;22:12; 10th (2) 8:13;9:11 9:53 (2) 14:17,23	
51:5 <b>11:15 (1)</b> 70:23	
withstand (1) 52:20 12 (1) 56:25	
woman (1) 61:19 14 (1) 66:23	
wonder (1) 67:25 15 (1) 50:14	
wondering (1) 68:5 1516 (2) 39:25;40:20	
word (6) 14:21;16:6; 169 (1) 15:5	
20:20;27:17;32:1; <b>18 (1)</b> 54:22	
47:13 <b>19 (1)</b> 3:5	
wording (1) 46:16 19th (1) 49:21	
words (11) 20:18;27:5,	