NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING AND POSSIBLE EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

Location: Citizens Clean Elections Commission<br>1616 West Adams, Suite 110<br>Phoenix, Arizona 85007<br>Date: Monday, July 29, 2019<br>Time: $\quad 9: 30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § $38-431.02$, notice is hereby given to the Commissioners of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission and the general public that the Citizens Clean Elections Commission will hold a regular meeting, which is open to the public on July 29, 2019. This meeting will be held at 9:30 a.m., at the Citizens Clean Elections Commission, 1616 West Adams, Suite 110, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. The meeting may be available for live streaming online at www.livestream.com/cleanelections. Members of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission will attend either in person or by telephone, video, or internet conferencing.

The Commission may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for the purpose of obtaining legal advice on any item listed on the agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3). The Commission reserves the right at its discretion to address the agenda matters in an order different than outlined below.

All matters on the agenda may be discussed, considered and are subject to action by the Commission.
Possible action on any Matter Under Review (MUR) identified in this agenda may include authorizing or entering into a conciliation agreement with subject of the MUR, in addition to any other actions, such as finding reason to believe a violation has occurred, finding probable cause to believe a violation has occurred, applying penalties, ordering the repayment of monies to the Clean Elections Fund, or terminating a proceeding.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:
I. Call to Order.
II. Discussion and Possible Action on Commission Minutes for May 30, 2019 meeting.
III. Discussion and Possible Action on Executive Director's Report and Legislative Report. Possible Action may include directing staff to take positions on legislation or legal issues discussed in the report. The report is typically available online on the Clean Elections Commission website or via email request at ccec@azcleanelections.gov
IV. Discussion and Possible Action on the following proposed Rule Changes for 60 day public comment period. Possible action may include approval of the proposed rules, a determination whether any rules adopted unanimously should be made effective immediately, termination of a rulemaking docket, or directing staff to file a notice of supplemental rulemaking.
A. Amendment to A.A.C. R2-20-702 concerning participating candidates use of clean elections funding.
B. Amendment to A.A.C. R2-20-704 related to repayment of clean elections funding.
C. Amendment to A.A.C. R2-20-113 related to candidate statements.
D. Amendment to A.A.C. R2-20-104 related to loans to participating candidates.

The Commission may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for the purpose of obtaining legal advice on this item, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3).
V. Public Comment

This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism
VI. Adjournment.

This agenda is subject to change up to 24 hours prior to the meeting. A copy of the agenda background material provided to the Commission (with the exception of material relating to possible executive sessions) is available for public inspection at the Commission's office, 1616 West Adams, Suite 110, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Dated this $25^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2019.

Citizens Clean Elections Commission
Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director

Any person with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission at (602) 364-3477. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange accommodations.
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| PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN <br> ELECTIONS COMMISSION convened at 9:30 a.m. on May 30, 2019, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections <br> Commission, 1616 West Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix, <br> Arizona, in the presence of the following Board members: <br> Mr . Mark S. Kimble, Chairperson <br> Mr . Damien R. Meyer <br> Ms. Amy B. Chan <br> Mr. Galen D. Paton <br> others present: <br> Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director <br> Paula Thomas, Executive Officer <br> Gina Roberts, Voter Education Director <br> Mike Becker, Policy Director <br> Alec Shaffer, Web Content Manager <br> Avery Oliver, Voter Education Specialist <br> Fanessa Salazar, Administrative Assistant <br> Mary O'Grady, Osborn Maledon <br> Kara Karlson, AZ Attorney General's Office Joseph LaRue, AZ Attorney General's Office Ryan Wheelock, RIESTER <br> Rivko Knox, AZ League of Women Voters | 1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The Chair votes aye. <br> It's approved 4 to nothing. <br> Item III, discussion and possible action on <br> executive director's report and legislative report. <br> Tom? <br> MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, <br> Mr. Chairman. <br> We are -- a couple of things. I don't know <br> if we want to start at the top, but I do think, if you <br> haven't had a chance to read Chairman Kimble's <br> statement relating to the comments of some -- a couple <br> of legislators at the end of the session, please take a <br> look at that. You know, I think it's suffice it to <br> say, that whatever subjective intent the members had, I <br> think the language was -- somebody, I think, took <br> certainly myself and Chairman Kimble aback, and I think <br> we needed to -- I think it was appropriate to make that <br> statement. <br> 23 And I don't -- I don't have anything to add <br> 24 to it. I don't know if -- Chairman Kimble, if you <br> 25 wanted to add anything more. |
| ```09:25:39-09:30:54 PROCEEDING CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. I call to meeting the May 30th, 2019, meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission. Item II, discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for the April 25th, 19 -- 2019 meeting. Are there any comments or additions or corrections to the minutes? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, we'll do a roll call vote. Commissioner Chan? COMMISSIONER CHAN: Oh, do you need a motion, Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Oh, that would be helpful, yes. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I would move that we approve the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER MEYER: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Roll call vote. Commissioner Chan? COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.``` | 1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No, I don't think so. <br> Thank you. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. With respect to voter <br> education, we've been working with local jurisdictions <br> to help ensure folks have information about the <br> 6 elections that are happening. We did an interview in <br> 7 the -- or last -- I guess, last quarter with the City <br> of Phoenix clerks about the City of Phoenix elections. <br> 9 We did -- we spent some time with the mayor and town <br> administrators of Chino Valley about some significant <br> ballot questions there. <br> Part of that was our effort to help provide <br> voters with more information about the fact that, you <br> know, there are elections going on around the -- <br> throughout the year, some of which may be the most <br> important election to you. You know, in Chino Valley, <br> for example, they were putting -- offering their first <br> property tax in the town's history, and -- you know, <br> and that's a pretty significant decision there. So, in <br> any event, we've been doing that. I think that was <br> successful for the May 21st election. <br> We've had Avery and then -- and then Alec <br> and Gina at -- on a number of different events, <br> including events -- roundtable events hosted by the <br> 25 Maricopa County Recorder. We've -- Avery has been to |
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the Community Leadership Breakfast sponsored by the
Phoenix Indian Center. We have -- Avery attended a
meeting of the African-American Commission and -- and
tomorrow Alec, Avery and Gina will be at the Native
5 Vote Strategy Session.
6 So we're continuing to try, in effect,
enhancing, with the additional staff we have through
Avery, our ability to be, you know, in touch with
9 leaders from a variety of communities. And I think
10 that builds on what we've been -- what we've been doing
11 over the course of the last five years.
12 We are using -- we do have this Happy
Graduation Campaign on -- on the internet. It's pretty
4 cool. You can put a frame around your graduation
picture and a reminder to vote, you know, if you're 18.
So that was -- that was only put together relatively --
17 relatively quickly, but we hope to expand on going in
18 the future. We think it was a good -- a good pilot
19 there.
20 And we'll be -- we're a co-sponsor of the
21 Navajo Voters Coalition Voter Education Summit on
22 June 8th. So we've been helping to encourage
23 attendance, and my plan is to be -- I plan to be there
24 on the 8th.
25 So -- and, then, we're doing -- later on
today, we're doing a brief interview with one of the -with one of the experts on what's been going on at the legislature just so voters know what they need to expect at the ballot coming -- coming up going forward after the -- after the -- after the general effective date.
We have the U.S. Term Limits matter on this agenda. I think both Mr. LaSota and Mr. Gaona got notice, right?

MS. THOMAS: Yes, sir.
MR. COLLINS: Okay. So -- and then we're
working -- and we'll get into that when we get into the
item. We'll get into more detail.
We still have some outstanding legal
matters. The Legacy Foundation Action Fund case is now
16 at the Court of Appeals, maybe, or -- no, not yet.
17 It's not yet at the Court of Appeals nor is AZAN versus
18 State yet at the Court of Appeals, but eventually,
19 those cases are anticipated to go to the Court of
20 Appeals by somebody.
21 And that really -- oh, and then -- and,
22 then, getting into the -- you know, if you have
23 specific questions that Mike can answer, but the
24 legislative report, I think, the big takeaway from the
25 legislation this year was that, you know, there was

1 a -- on the last day, bills could be introduced. There
2 was a bill introduced, which we've been tracking, 2724,
3 which had serious constitutional problems in it. We
4 worked closely with the staffs of both houses, the
5 majority staff and minority staff, to articulate those
6 constitutional issues. We didn't actually -- bless
7 you.
8 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Excuse me.
9 MR. COLLINS: You know, we did not spend a
10 lot of time actually at -- physically at the capitol,
11 though we did, this year, make a concerted effort to
12 get out and meet more of the new lawmakers, as well as
13 lawmakers who had changed chairmanships and that kind
14 of thing. So we think overall this -- this was a
15 quite -- this was a pretty successful legislative
16 session, from our perspective.
17 COMMISSIONER PATON: I have a question.
18 MR. COLLINS: Sure, please.
19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.
20 COMMISSIONER PATON: So, to me, the fact
21 that we aren't able to have a lobbyist --
22 MR. COLLINS: Yeah.
23 COMMISSIONER PATON: -- may even be better
24 because you're lobbying, right?
25 MR. COLLINS: Well, I would say this. With

1 the strategy that Mike and I have developed -- and Mike
2 is, really, the principal architect of -- is to say,
3 look, we -- we're not in a position, really, where --
4 because, as you all know, the legislature is very
5 ideologically divided on campaign finance issues. So
6 we're not in a position to really convince anyone on a
7 policy basis. You know, you either like what we do or
8 you don't, but -- so we focused on talking -- on,
9 essentially, speaking about the legal issues because
10 those, to us, are black and white.
11 And so, yeah, we -- the one success -- the
12 referendum that passed last year had a section we were
13 able to get -- negotiate with the rules attorneys in
14 the senate to have removed from the final piece of --
15 the final referendum, which actually would have made
16 that referendum significantly more problematic than it
17 was. This year we wrote about a number of
18 constitutional issues to the extent that, I think,
19 basically, folks came to the conclusion that if the
20 bill did something, it would probably be a VK problem
21 or the bill might not do anything.
22 And I think that people had other
23 priorities with the budget and everything like that.
24 So it just didn't -- it didn't come -- it didn't come
25 back. We would expect -- so, yeah, I agree. I mean, I
think --
2 COMMISSIONER PATON: So you're, like, effectively warning them of passing something that would be dubious.
MR. COLLINS: That's exactly right,
Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Paton, and I think that
that's been a good strategy so far. We feel
comfortable with that, and it seems to -- it seems --
it seems to work. I mean, like, I think, both Mike and
I are more comfortable -- policy discussions don't
really get us anywhere but, you know -- you know, so we
really approach these things as legal issues more than political issues, at this point. And I think that's been helpful for the last couple of years. So I agree, and so I think we're doing all right.

CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other questions for
Mr. Collins on his executive director's report?
COMMISSIONER MEYER: I just have a quick
question, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer. COMMISSIONER MEYER: On the Happy
Graduation Campaign, one, that's a great idea. I'm
always continually amazed at the creative ideas you come up with to promote voter education.

MR. COLLINS: This was entirely 100 percent

Gina's idea. She literally walked into my office and said, hey, why don't we do something for graduation?
So it was -- that was -- so all -- you know, all
compliments to Gina on this one.
5 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Great job. And I'm 6 just curious, is there a way to track how many --
7 COMMISSIONER PATON: I was going to ask.
8 COMMISSIONER MEYER: -- people are doing 9 that?
10 MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner
11 Meyer, I just wanted to speak on the microphone so that
12 the reporter can hear me.
13 Yes, we track all of our campaigns. So we
14 will -- after -- the campaign is going to run for four
15 weeks total. So we have about three weeks left of it
16 to run, and once that ends, we will track the success
17 of it, the metrics, how many people -- you know,
18 impressions we got, how many people actually used it.
19 So, the frame that Tom mentioned, it says, "I
20 registered to vote."
We -- I think in the ED report, it
22 mentions, you know, we're targeting parents to say,
23 hey, when you're celebrating with your -- with your new
24 graduates, you know, present them with the voter
25 registration form as part of their present. So we can

1 track all of those posts that we have. It's a
2 completely digital campaign. So, yes, we would be able
3 to track the success for it.
4 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Can you -- is there,
5 like, email blast or anything you did, like, to send it
6 to school superintendents or stuff like that?
7 MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner,
8 we -- I did send an email to the Public Information
9 Officer at the Department of Education about this. I
10 haven't received a response back yet. I just sent it a
11 few days ago. As Tom mentioned, we, kind of, did this
12 really quickly, so I'm hopping to get a response back
13 from them. We would have loved the opportunity to even
14 get Superintendent Hoffman down to the mural and get,
15 you know, her in front of the wings to help support
16 this and amplify this message because we have been
17 seeing a lot of Happy Graduation messaging coming out
18 of, you know, the teachers and the administrators.
19 So we do have that email out there, but we
20 can, also, follow up on it to see if they can, also,
21 send it out to the other administrators, as well.
22 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I think it's a great
23 idea. I mean, something you can do every year and just
24 build on it. So, well done.
25 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

09:42:23-09:43:37
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1 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.
2 Any other comments on the executive
3 director's report?
4 (No response.)
5 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Item IV, discussion and
6 possible action on final audit approval for the 2018
7 general election participating candidates, Rebecca
8 Speakman and Kathy Hoffman.
9 Tom?
10 MR. COLLINS: Yeah. Yes. Mr. Chairman
11 and -- and Commissioners, so I believe -- both
12 candidates, I think, received notice this was going to
13 be on the agenda, I assume. I don't know. Do we know?
14 Yeah, they did. Okay. So -- but I'm not totally
15 shocked they're not here.
16 So, Mike has been working with the auditors
17 on these. Kathy Hoffman was just the last statewide
18 candidate to get wrapped up. The Speakman situation is
19 a little more complicated, as you can tell from reading
20 the -- the audit itself. So, as you know, approval of
21 the audit is not the same as approval of the -- you
22 know, the actions detailed in the audit.
23 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Sure.
MR. COLLINS: So, for that -- for that
25 purpose, we would recommend -- and I think we've -- I

| 09:43:41-09:44:58 Page 14 | 09:45:50-09:46:33 Page 16 |
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| sent -- we put out a recommended motion. Basically, what we're asking for is approval of the audits on A and B. Of course, Mike and I are willing -- or happy to take questions on those. And then, obviously, we are looking for authorization to do a more extensive audit on Candidate Speakman. <br> So with that, I welcome any questions <br> anyone has on the audits, and Mike and I are ready to answer any questions you might have. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any questions on these two audits? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: I have a question. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: So, from what -- from <br> reading the thing about the Candidate Speakman, did she turn anything in? <br> MR. BECKER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner <br> Paton, yes, the candidate did turn in some information <br> to get the preliminary audit moving, but when the auditor went back to her asking for more information regarding bank statements, receipts, things like that, there was no response. We contacted her, several phone calls, emails. I emailed her. The auditor emailed her. The auditor called her. I called her. We sent 25 her letters. In fact, I was even speaking with her | CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The Chair votes aye. <br> It's approved 4 to nothing. <br> Any other motions on these audits? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman? <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I move <br> we authorize staff to move forward with the full audit <br> of Candidate Speakman. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I would second that <br> motion, Mr. Chairman. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and <br> seconded that we authorize staff to move forward with <br> the full audit of Candidate Speakman. <br> Roll call vote. <br> Commissioner Chan? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The Chair votes aye. <br> It's approved 4 to nothing. <br> 23 Item V, discussion and possible action on <br> MUR 18-14, U.S. Term Limits, Inc. <br> 25 Tom? |
| ```09:45:03-09:45:49 father-in-law, who's also a candidate for the legislature, and he was trying to get her involved and still no response. And so this is the next step to move forward and do a full audit and then see what information we can gather. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other questions on these two audits? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Do we have a motion on both or either audit? COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I move we approve the Hoffman and Speakman audits. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER CHAN: Second. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We'll do a roll call vote. Commissioner Chan? COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton? COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.``` | MR. COLLINS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, <br> Commissioners, so a couple of things we're trying to accomplish here. We have what I would call -- well, sufficient agreement amongst myself as staff for the head of the AG's governmental accountability unit and as staff in his capacity as -- you know, staff capacity and Mr. LaSota on behalf of U.S. Term Limits. <br> I do need to note that the -- obviously, <br> the AG's office is an independent agency in this <br> 10 context. I am -- you know, they are moving on a <br> 11 similar trajectory to us in terms of getting final <br> 12 approval from their front office, much like you give <br> 13 final approval for our end of this. <br> 14 We think that this matter, this <br> 15 conciliation does a couple of things. Number 1, it's <br> 16 efficient because it ensures that the Commission and <br> 17 the Attorney General resolve two ends of a case that <br> 18 are not necessarily related -- or they're related, but <br> 19 they're not necessarily legally joined; but it gets the <br> 20 whole picture, which I think is a very good step and <br> 21 something we've been able to do consistently with the <br> 22 Attorney General's office. And I -- and I commend them <br> 23 for their help on that. <br> 24 So we assume they will -- we don't know and <br> 25 I can't assure, but I am confident that they will also |

approve this formally. I'm confident that Mr. LaSota
will sign if we approve it. So, really, it's -- and,
basically, what it does is goes over the fact that the
group, U.S. Term Limits, which is a -- it's a
longstanding 501. It's -- I mean, there's even -- I
mean, there's a very famous U.S. Supreme Court case
involving U.S. Term Limits where their efforts to have
term limits imposed on Congress by state legislators
9 were struck down.
10 So this is -- and so, you know, given that
there's not -- we do, you know, still maintain that
these were electioneering communications, but for
purposes of conciliation, obviously, we're -- we're
always willing to -- you know, to allow some, you now,
leeway on the -- well, not just leeway, but it's a
settlement. In other words, we don't have -- we don't
demand an admission of wrongdoing by USTL, and I don't
think that, frankly, as a national group coming in
Arizona, it would be -- I mean, it's not true of all
cases, but in this case, I think that it's reasonable.
The amount of the fine overall, though it's
split between us and AGO when this is all done, is
basically 10 percent of what they could have been
24 fined, which is consistent with our conciliation
25 practices. And, then, most importantly, we'll get the
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reports filed within two weeks after this -- after this
agreement is resolved.
So with all that having been said, unless
you have particular questions left over from the
background materials and things that -- that you -- any
other questions you have, my recommendation is that we
would approve this conciliation agreement, and then I
would -- you know, then we would, you know, circulate a
signed copy to, you know, our counterparties, so to
0 speak.
CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well --
MR. COLLINS: But the AG is not a
counterparty. It's just in this -- in its own
capacity, just -- but it's hard to figure out the
exact, correct language.
CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, Mr. Collins, so
this involves spending of close to $\$ 27,000$, and the
total settlement is $\$ 5,400$, roughly. You said this is
10 percent of what they could have been fined. To a
laymen such as myself, it seems awfully lenient.
MR. COLLINS: Well, it's 10 percent of --
22 see, our fines are based on time, not value. So,
23 because there hadn't been reports filed -- they also
24 cap out at twice the amount of the spend. So,
25 basically, once you get to 27,000 , you can -- or

1 whatever the number is, you double that. That's how
2 you get to the 58 -- whatever the --
3 COMMISSIONER MEYER: 53,000?
4 MR. COLLINS: -- the 53, and then we -- and
5 then we just took it down to 10 . Now, I think that,
6 you know, obviously, you know, the amount that we
7 enforce in terms of fines is always open to discussion.
8 You know, our issue has always been to try to get the
9 reports first, you know, and make sure we can get that
10 locked in and not let the dollars interfere with
11 getting the public information.
12 We don't -- the fact of the matter is that
13 because the fines don't really keep up with inflation
14 exactly, it's -- you know, it's -- because a day --
15 it's a day-to-day thing, not an overall spending thing,
16 that creates a -- I wouldn't call it a distortion.
17 It's just the metric for -- the metric for penalty is
18 different from the metric of value of the expenditure.
19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Well, I semi-understand
20 that, but you were -- you were just talking about that
21 U.S. Term Limits is a large national organization. And
22 is a fine of this amount going to be sufficient to
23 deter them from doing this again or -- it seems like
24 pocket change to them.
25 MR. COLLINS: I think that what is

1 sufficient to deter them from doing this again is the
2 fact that, notwithstanding the -- I don't know how to
3 put this. Entities that come from outside of Arizona
4 do not necessarily understand some of the differences
5 between Arizona law and the U.S. Supreme Court's law
6 with respect to absolute bans on -- on speech by
7 corporations, which are all gone. So reporting is
8 still allowed.
9 Our basis for requiring reporting is set
10 forth -- is set forth in both statute and case law. I
11 think the biggest concern of a group like this is they
12 don't want any trouble with the IRS. So I would think
13 that, even if they -- even if they were -- you know, we
14 believe they were communicating in electioneering, they
15 probably don't want the mailers counted towards their
16 advocacy, sort of, numbers as they're working with the
17 IRS. And that's really the big -- the big -- the IRS
18 carries the bigger hammer in this than we do.
19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Any other comments
20 or questions from other members of the commission?
21 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I have a question.
22 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer?
23 COMMISSIONER MEYER: So, Tom, help me
24 understand this.
25 Did the U.S. Term Limits -- do you think

| 09:54:22-09:55:25 Page 22 | 09:56:55-09:57:50 Page 24 |
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| 1 they understood they were violating our reporting obligations? <br> MR. COLLINS: No. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Okay. So -- so, one, <br> it looks, like, under paragraph E on page 2 here -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Yeah. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: -- that once you <br> notified them of the issue, they did comply and provide <br> the information that you requested. <br> MR. COLLINS: Both -- they both began to <br> file -- they filed for the General Election -- and, <br> also, they provided me the information that I've asked for. Yes. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: So once they were <br> aware of their obligations, they began to report? <br> MR. COLLINS: That's correct. They did not <br> go back and report the primary spending because they were, basically, you know, essentially, reserving <br> their, you know, right to litigate that. And, as you <br> recall, they narrowed it to the issue of expressed <br> 21 advocacy purely. They did not dispute the timing, <br> 22 targeting, any of that. It was expressly whether or <br> 23 not the document was expressed advocacy. Yeah, they <br> 24 reserved that to have this conversation, but -- I mean, <br> 25 to have -- to either -- to either have an | MR. COLLINS: Yeah. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: It seems to me that <br> maybe they didn't know exactly that they were violating <br> 5 our -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Yeah. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: -- our laws here. And <br> then they tried to play nice after that, but it does <br> seem like we are spending an inordinate amount of time <br> dealing with this. I mean, it's been on the agenda <br> many, many times. <br> MR. COLLINS: Right. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: So if it's on our <br> agenda, that means it's on our -- you know, your <br> staff's agenda. <br> MR. COLLINS: Yeah, yeah. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: And it's taken a lot of time. <br> MR. COLLINS: Sure. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: And I think we do a <br> lot of -- the staff seems to do a lot of work on these <br> items, and I think like Commissioner Kimble. \$5,000 <br> 23 seems like -- I mean, to a normal person maybe it seems <br> 24 like it's a lot, but to these people it's probably not. <br> 25 So I think maybe in the future we can look to making |
| administrative proceeding or else to conciliate. <br> And, obviously, we've already done probable <br> cause, I think, at the last meeting. And so this is -- <br> this is, in our rules, the next -- I mean, there's <br> always an opportunity to conciliate, but there's a <br> specific rule that allows for post probable cause <br> conciliation at the request of the respondent. And so that's where we are with USTL. <br> You know, as I say, I mean, we can have a <br> broader conversation -- and I'd be happy to -- about <br> what we want to do in terms of the ratio of fine to -- <br> potential fine. I mean, that's -- because that comes <br> up regularly. We have tried to become a little more <br> predictable in terms of setting some percentage -- some <br> threshold percentages. You know, they don't always <br> work out perfectly, but -- but, you know, we -- we <br> don't think we're out of step with other agencies. <br> The fact that the Attorney General's <br> Office, in its independent capacity, is considering the <br> same agreement and at least the person at my level, you <br> know, is going to present, as I am, the recommendation <br> that I'm making gives me some confidence we're in the <br> ballpark of -- you know, of reasonable. And I, also -- <br> you know, but there's, I mean -- <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: I have a question. | 1 this more expensive penalties because we're spending so 2 much time and effort to get to the bottom of this -- <br> 3 MR. COLLINS: Sure, sure. <br> 4 COMMISSIONER PATON: -- and get them to act <br> 5 and to get them to turn stuff in to us -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Right. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: -- and whatever. And <br> so, in this case, I would go with the conciliation <br> because -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Yeah. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: -- they haven't been <br> obstinate or anything like that, but I agree. It <br> sounds like a small amount when we are spending a lot <br> of time and effort dealing with it. <br> MR. COLLINS: Understood. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments from <br> commissioners? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Yes. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: I mean, I agree a lot <br> with what Commissioner Paton said. I -- due to the <br> 22 fact that, one, they weren't aware of this obligation <br> 23 to comply and then, two, they did comply and worked <br> 24 with us as soon as the requests were made or when the <br> 25 requests were made, I think this re -- this |
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| conciliation is fine at this time. I think now that <br> they're aware of what their obligations are, if this <br> happens again, then, I think -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Sure. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: -- we would look at <br> this through an entirely different lens. <br> MR. COLLINS: Sure. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: So on the -- so I <br> support this amount. <br> And on the issue of the amount of time and <br> whatnot we've put into this, I don't think that the <br> purpose of this fine is to -- is to compensate the <br> Commission for the work they've put into this. I think <br> the purpose of this fine is to try to provide notice <br> and deter them from doing this again in the future. <br> And, I think -- from the factual background I have <br> here, I think that's going to happen. So I will <br> support this conciliation, as well. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman? <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Since everybody else <br> weighed in, I'll take a turn, too. <br> I support this conciliation agreement. I'm <br> very cynical. So I feel like organizations like this <br> 25 probably know exactly what they're doing, and they're | 1 So I think that you do have to take each <br> 2 case individually, and it does matter to me and, I <br> think, it would matter to the Commission and the AG's <br> office, too, and to ourselves as commissioners if we <br> saw the same people coming back or doing the same thing <br> they had done in another jurisdiction and been dinged for here, if that makes sense. <br> So I agree, I guess, with everything <br> everybody has said, and I would support this <br> conciliation agreement. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I would -- I would just <br> say one other thing. We're not dealing with some naive <br> legislative candidate from Gila Bend who's never run <br> for office before and doesn't understand the <br> intricacies of it. This is an organization that this <br> is what they do. <br> MR. COLLINS: Right. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And if they didn't know <br> what Arizona law was, then they should have checked <br> 20 before they started playing in Arizona elections. And <br> 21 it still seems awfully little to me. <br> 22 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, if I can make <br> 23 one other observation. I mean, the other thing we have <br> 24 here is, you know, we have -- we have an expressed <br> 25 advocacy definition here in statute that's part of the |
| 1 playing fast and loose with the rules. I'm not <br> 2 saying -- again, I do support this. I'm not saying I <br> 3 don't, but I appreciate that we are willing to, kind <br> of, give somebody a mulligan. <br> And, then, I agree with what, I think, <br> Commissioner Meyer was trying to point out that, you <br> 7 know, if this particular organization comes back and <br> 3 does the same thing, I think definitely we have to go <br> 9 for more harsher penalties, like I would expect us to do in any case. <br> You know, I always harken back to when I <br> was election director for the Secretary of State, and <br> if I truly believed -- I mean, I like that human <br> aspect. When you're talking to the person who was <br> responsible for the spending and they're saying to you, <br> I am really sorry; I had no idea; we were doing our <br> best to exercise our First Amendments rights in a way <br> that we thought was legal and acceptable, I took them <br> at their word. <br> 20 Sometimes there were people I didn't <br> 21 believe because I knew they had experience in other <br> 22 arenas, maybe not with our office, but that they had <br> 23 done the same thing in our jurisdictions, et cetera. <br> 24 So those people maybe we would not be as conciliatory <br> 25 with. | 1 Clean Elections Act, and we also have a case called the <br> 2 Committee for Justice and Fairness v. -- whoever it <br> 3 was. And -- and that -- and that case lays out that <br> the standards for disclosure are not the standards <br> for -- for not speaking at all, which is what the issue was in this Wisconsin Right to Life case. <br> That's how we see the law here. It may <br> very well be -- and I know that, you know, if you're <br> 9 getting your compliance advice from Washington, the <br> 10 prevailing view is that WRTL controls all these things, <br> 11 which is just -- this is wishful reading to me, but -- <br> 12 but nevertheless, there is -- there are campaign <br> 13 finance agencies out of state who believe the Supreme <br> 14 Court has held other than it has held about disclosure <br> 15 in this context. <br> 16 And we also have a case that because of <br> 17 the -- for lack of a better way of putting it -- <br> 18 dilatory actions of the respondents, we already have a <br> 19 case on expressed advocacy that's not resolved. And <br> 20 I'm -- my preference -- and conciliation is a tradeoff. <br> 21 My preference is to get the reports from the people <br> 22 now, get what -- you know, have some monetary <br> 23 association but not start loading up the courts with <br> 24 questions about expressed advocacy because there is a <br> 25 case there, you know. And so it's a give and take. |

I mean, there's -- there's just -- you
know, if you can get the reports without having to go
through -- what are we now on, what, five years of
litigation we LFAF? Then I take the reports. That's
5 just my -- you know, so -- but definitely, we can have
6 a broader discussion about how this works in general
7 because I am -- certainly.
8 And you're -- you know, just so you know
and the parties know, everybody knows, I don't bind you
10 all. So you are -- you know, if you want to -- if you
want to vote on this now, that's your -- I mean, if
that was your choice, that's -- you're fully within
your rights. You're not obligated to follow any --
anything -- anything we recommend. That's just what we
recommend.
16 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any more comments on this 17 item?
18 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman?
19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer.
20 COMMISSIONER MEYER: As someone who does
21 litigation for a living, I just want to reinforce what
22 Tom just said about -- what I heard him say was
23 sometimes, you know, you have to resolve matters so you
24 get the information you want. And it's not about
25 winning or losing, per se, in that specific case. It's
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a bigger goal. It's the bigger picture of what is
our -- what are we trying to accomplish, what is our
objective. And getting the reports and being able to
provide that information, I think, is a primary
objective.
6 And -- I guess I'll leave it at that, but I
agree with what Tom just said very much.
CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other -- any other
comments on Item V?
COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, can I
just make a motion?
CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes. Commissioner Chan?
COMMISSIONER CHAN: Would it just be to
adopt the conciliation agreement?
MR. COLLINS: To authorize -- yeah. I
guess --
COMMISSIONER CHAN: I am sorry.
MR. COLLINS: No, It's my fault. Just,
yeah, to approve the conciliation agreement.
COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I
would move that we approve the recommended conciliation agreement.

COMMISSIONER MEYER: I would second that motion.

CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Second? It's been moved

1 and seconded that we approve the conciliation agreement
2 with U.S. Term Limits, Inc.
3 Roll call vote.
4 Commissioner Chan?
5 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.
6 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer?
7 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.
8 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton?
9 COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.
10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The Chair votes no. It 11 approves 3 to 1 .
12 Item VI, discussion and possible action on
13 the following rule changes for 60-day public comment
14 period: A, amendment to A.A.C. R2-20-702,
15 participating candidates use of clean elections
16 funding; B, amendment to A.A.C. R2-20-704, repayment of
17 Clean Elections funding; C, amendment to A.A.C.
18 R2-20-113, candidate statements; and, D, amendment to
19 A.A.C. R2-20-104, loans to participating candidates.
20 Tom?
21 MR. COLLINS: Yes. I don't -- I'm not sure
22 what the best order to taking them is, but I will take
23 them in the order they're presented, if that's all
24 right with everyone.
25 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Sure.

10:06:24-10:07:45
1 MR. COLLINS: And Mike is here. He drafted
2 these. So if you're -- he may have other -- if you
3 have questions that go beyond my knowledge, please do
4 and ask him. And then we have a voter-ed related one,
5 too.
6 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Before you start, is it
7 safe to say that all these changes are driven by
8 legislation?
9 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman --
10 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Not initiated by us?
11 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, the change in
12 Rule 702 is driven by legislation. The change in --
13 but the changes in 704, 113 and 104 -- specifically 704
14 and 104 , without getting into divulging any
15 attorney-client issues, have to do with some analysis
16 we've done about the rules and some problematic issues
17 in them that we would like to correct. They had --
18 those rules have not been reviewed in some time until
19 recently. And then 7 -- then 113 deals with a --
20 essentially, codifying what's become the practice of
21 the Commission so that they're -- with respect to
22 candidate statements.
23 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.
24 MR. COLLINS: So that's how it is.
25 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Go ahead. You
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want to go through them in order?
2 MR. COLLINS: Sure. So, 702, this is the 306 change. As you recall, Prop -- this is the party piece. As you recall, 306 said that money may not be paid directly or indirectly to a party or a 501 group
that can spend money on candidates. So -- and it,
also -- so what we've done here is we've taken out the
specific reference to tax-exempt status under 501(a) in
Section A. And then, with respect to parties, the
10 advanced payment to parties, we just took all this out
11 because it's -- that's just not allowed anymore.
So that's it. I mean, it's that simple. I
mean, basically, this is taking the language of 306
with respect to parties, taking any authorization that
was in 702 to use money in a way that's now prohibited
expressly by 306 , and that's it.
COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.
COMMISSIONER CHAN: Tom, they can still
20 make a payment from their campaign bank account to a
21 political committee, though, or civic organization?
22 What would examples of that be? And that wasn't
23 prohibited by 306 ?
24 MR. COLLINS: It was absolutely not
25 prohibited by 306. 306 was express about political
parties and 501(a) groups that can -- I shouldn't have
included the text in here. I'm sorry -- and 501(a)
groups that can spend on candidates. So our striking
here is actually even broader than what 306 requires
5 because we're not reserving the right to make
contributions to -- or not make contributions -- to
make purchases from 501 groups that don't make
expenditures on candidates. We're just taking the whole 501 out.
10 They did not say anything about political
committees. The only objection they raised to civic
organizations was the addition of the 501(a) language.
So that's gone, and there's nothing in the language.
COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, Tom, what
are -- what are some examples -- real world examples
how clean candidates would make -- like, what kind of
payments do our clean candidates make to political
18 committees or civic organizations? Do you -- I'm
19 putting you on the spot a little bit, but I'm just
20 curious about --
21 MR. COLLINS: Well, I think the most
22 obvious one is attendance at events. I think that, you
23 know, I mean, having a table set up might cost you 50
24 bucks at either a community -- or 25 bucks. I don't
25 know. I mean, there are all kinds of groups that are

10:10:29-10:11:27
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1 not political -- co-op political that invite folks to
2 talk about --
3 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Like the chamber or 4 something?
5 MR. COLLINS: Or the chamber or -- you
6 know, I mean, we've -- I mean, an example is we've had
7 a table at the Panhellenic Conference the last couple
8 of times before elections. We've had --
9 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay.
10 MR. COLLINS: We've had what -- we are out
11 at National Voter Registration Day. I mean, we're
12 doing a lot of stuff. I assume that, I mean, the
13 candidates do the same kinds of things. I mean, if
14 civic organization means anything, you know, if it
15 means that, you know, you send -- you spend $20--\$ 20$
16 to get your campaign ad in a -- in a program of a --
17 you know, of -- you know, your neighborhood association
18 or something like that, I mean, that's clearly not --
19 well, first of all, 306 does not affect that and,
20 second of all, that would be another example, you know,
21 here.
22 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. And,
23 Mr. Chairman, Tom, I didn't mean --
24 MR. COLLINS: No, no, no.
25 COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't mean that I
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1 thought that violated 306. I was just curious what
2 that actually looked like and meant.
3 MR. COLLINS: It's a fair question. I
4 didn't take it that way. I just -- I just -- 306 says
5 what it says, and it doesn't say what it doesn't say.
6 And so we've -- I mean, in analyzing this -- and, I
7 mean, this is -- this is my recommendation, as much as
8 any other, but you know, we did talk about that
9 arguable inconsistency. But it's not our job to fix
10 that.
11 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Well, and I think
12 that's why I supported the inclusion and specificity of
13 our rule which, again, the voters, obviously, approved
14 this change.
15 MR. COLLINS: Yeah.
16 COMMISSIONER CHAN: So, thank you for
17 explaining that.
MR. COLLINS: No. Yeah, I hope -- I hope
19 that's the explanation. I think -- I think, you know,
20 the political committee thing -- we'll see. You know,
21 we may be back in a year, you know, talking about --
22 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Civic organization?
23 MR. COLLINS: -- what's a civic
24 organization. I don't know, but I know that this both
25 addressed what's in the text of 306 and what Scott
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| Musey, who is the leading proponent of all of the anti-Clean Elections measures in the legislature, specifically complained about in his testimony. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other questions or comments about 2 -- 702? <br> MR. COLLINS: And he's got a chance to <br> public comment. People can -- this is only open for public comment. They can -- <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Right. Right, by people here. <br> Okay. Tom, you want to discuss 704? <br> MR. COLLINS: Yeah. 704 is pretty easy. <br> We came upon this rule which dates to, at least, at the best we can determine, 2001. It's problematic in two respects. One, it's a repayment-specific rule. The <br> statute of limitations for repayment to the State does not -- there is not one. So, by imposing by rule a one-year implicit rule of limitation, we are not -- we are, sort of, waiving -- or implicitly waiving an important defense. <br> 21 And -- and relatedly -- and this is where <br> 22 we bring the second piece, which is that the Clean <br> 23 Elections statute itself says the Commission is to <br> 24 monitor inflows and outflows from the account to make <br> 25 sure money is placed in accounts as necessary and not | through all of them. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yeah, let's go through <br> all of them and see if we need to -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. Okay. Okay. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other questions about <br> 704? <br> (No response.) <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Tom, 113? <br> MR. COLLINS: 113, this is -- <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, actually, I do <br> have a question. <br> MR. COLLINS: Yes. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: So if you -- so what's <br> the penalty if they keep on stringing this out more <br> than a year? <br> MR. COLLINS: This is -- the problem is <br> that the one year is a restriction on us, not on them. <br> So that's the issue. The issue is it's restricting our <br> ability to go out. <br> And, then, I would answer -- I would answer <br> one -- I would note one other thing. This rule is <br> vague as to what "election" would mean, anyway. So by <br> taking out the -- this self-imposed rule of limitation, <br> 25 you don't have to deal with which was the primary, |
| 10:14:01-10:14:48 <br> otherwise. <br> So those two things together, to me, make <br> clear that we need to make this change. Again, I don't <br> want to -- I don't -- I'm not sure how to quite talk <br> about this without waiving any -- I'm looking down at <br> Kara to -- <br> MS. KARLSON: Yeah. <br> MR. COLLINS: Do you have anything you want <br> to add? Let me put it that way. Do you have anything <br> you want to add, or have I covered what we need to say? <br> MS. KARLSON: I think you've covered what <br> you need to say, unless there's additional questions. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. All right. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Are there any questions <br> from the commissioners? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: I have a legal <br> question on the statute of limitations that I don't <br> really want to bring up. It's more of an executive session. <br> MS. KARLSON: Yeah, that -- <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: Well, we could always go into <br> executive session, if you'd like. <br> 24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Should we -- <br> 25 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Why don't we go | 1 general. It just doesn't -- it's a -- this is -- this <br> just makes sure that if we don't catch you with <br> something you need to repay within a year of the <br> election, we can still go after you under our -- under our -- <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: -- under our express <br> authority -- <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: It doesn't relieve <br> them of the fact they have to pay. <br> MR. COLLINS: That's absolutely correct. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman and <br> Commissioner Paton, thank you, because, for some <br> reason, I was reading it, I think, the way you were, <br> and then what Tom just said cleared it up for me. So, <br> frankly, I don't think I need to go into executive <br> session for this, but if we -- if other commissioners do, that's fine. I think I need more coffee. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Let's move on to <br> 113, Tom. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. 113 is an effort to <br> codify what has become -- I wouldn't say a formal <br> 23 practice because those words are crucid, but I would <br> 24 say an accommodation that we regularly make to <br> 25 candidates who request it, which is when they run |



| 10:22:23-10:23:35 Page 46 | 10:25:15-10:26:27 Page 48 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 running clean and -- you know, we have different | 1 to -- we want to be able to ask questions about and now |
| 2 interests that we're trying to promote through the | 2 seems like the appropriate time because all of these |
| 3 Clean Elections system, you know: improve the | 3 confluence of litiga |
| 4 | 4 know, stu |
| 5 influence of special in | 5 |
| 6 citizen participation in the | 6 last time. We have a little more -- a deeper |
| 7 And, on one hand, I | 7 little more extensive staff to ask questions about. |
| 8 monies are not going | 8 have some. So I would recommend we go into executi |
| 9 | 9 session with Mary for the |
| 10 own money to put the | 10 identified -- |
| 11 | 11 COMMISSIONER M |
| 12 grassroots support. And | 12 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer |
| 13 can su | 13 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Does that purpos |
| 14 of two | 14 include questions on these four rules we ju |
| 15 | 15 |
| 16 COMM | 16 MR. COLL |
| 17 circu | 17 especially concern -- well, let me put it this way. It |
| 18 MR. COLLINS: Well | 18 concerns all of them to the extent that GRRC |
| 19 Commissioner Chan, Commissioner Paton, | 19 involved and, obviously, 306 specifically. So what |
| 20 | 20 we're real |
| 21 money | 21 litigation with GRRC, the State of Arizona and |
| 22 agreement | 22 Secretary of the State of Arizona, all of whom |
| 23 those. | 23 opposed to our position, even though we're on the sam |
| 24 some kind of constitutional challenge that he can't be 25 required to agree to spending limits in order to have | 24 side of the V. So I think that -- I think that <br> 25 questions related to the GRRC process are -- are -- you |
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| 1 access to Clean Elections, then more power <br> 2 He -- the particular candidate in this case | 1 know, are clearly -- clearly relevant to what we would 2 be going into executive session to discuss. |
| 3 sued us twice just -- just to try to get his loan | 3 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman? |
| 4 and did not succeed. Joe defended both of those | 4 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer |
| 5 And I would simply say this about tha | 5 COMMISSIONER MEYER: I move that we go into |
| 6 the other important part of this is the fact that th | 6 executive session to discuss the issues that Tom ha |
| 7 candidate is required to repay, out of their Clea | 7 listed. |
| 8 Elections grant, this immediately. Well, that mean | 8 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? |
| 9 that -- if you make a loan to yourself of $\$ 43,000$, tha | 9 COMMISSIONER CHAN: I would second that |
| 10 means you're, essentially, making it -- you're repaying | 10 motio |
| 11 yourself, then, with public money, which is not what | 11 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We'll have a roll ca |
| 12 clearly not within what I think the best reading of the | 12 vote. |
| 13 Act is. | 13 Commissioner Chan |
| 14 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Any | 14 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye |
| 15 questions about 104? | 15 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? |
| 16 (No response.) | 16 COMMISSIONER MEYER: Ay |
| 17 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any questions about any | 17 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton? |
| 18 of these that require us to go into executive session? | 18 COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye |
| 19 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, if I ma | 19 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The Chair votes aye. |
| 20 interrupt for a moment. I would recommen | 20 We'll go into executive sessio |
| 21 purposes of ad | 21 (The following section of the me |
| 22 overlap, Mary O'Grady and Nathan Arrowsmith are here | 22 executive session and bound under separate cover |
| 23 for that specific p | $23 * * * * *$ |
| 24 executive session on that because, you know, we're | 24 /// |
| 25 a -- we're in a -- there are some steps that we want | 25 /// |
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| 1 governor who doesn't like Clean Elections. So why -- <br> it's, like, his philosophy or policy disagreement is <br> impacting the legitimacy of this independent, <br> non-partisan election body. And that really is what <br> the crux of the matter is, and how do we get him to move on that. <br> MR. COLLINS: Well, there are other <br> options. I mean, the other options are these. I think <br> 9 that -- let me put it this way. I'm sorry, <br> Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: No, please. <br> MR. COLLINS: I think there -- I think <br> there are a variety of options on the table. One thing <br> we haven't approached to the governor's office to do is <br> to have any kind of sit-down meeting with an <br> appropriately -- appropriate staff level meeting. You <br> know, I have -- you know, we have some connections, but <br> we've never, for example, asked for a meeting between <br> our chairman and the chief of staff of the Governor's office. <br> 21 I mean, that would be -- I mean, if we were <br> 22 to ask for that and ask for a prompt response to the <br> 23 request for a meeting, that would be one thing, too. <br> 24 That would be more -- in the -- you know, more -- <br> 25 arguably more aggressive than a mere letter. It would | CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been long that you <br> could have received a reply? Okay. <br> MS. KNOX: Yes. Probably -- I don't <br> know -- ten days or two weeks, something like that. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> MS. KNOX: At the most. <br> MR. LaRUE: Excuse me. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> MR. LaRUE: Chairman, could I recommend <br> that you have her come to the microphone? <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'm sorry. <br> MS. KNOX: I apologize. Thank you very <br> much. <br> Rivko -- for the record, Rivko Knox, with <br> the League of Women Voters. I think the letter was <br> sent probably about two weeks ago. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> MS. KNOX: Give or take. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. And you've <br> received no reply? <br> 21 MS. KNOX: No, no. <br> 22 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> 23 MS. KNOX: And we would share any response <br> 24 we got with the Commission, as appropriate, which I <br> 25 think -- thank you for bringing that up. |
| be, like, look, we really need to talk about this. I <br> don't -- I really don't have a recommendation to make <br> here, other than I do feel like, you know, as long as <br> this is an institutional concern, we'll need to make <br> some kind of move -- maybe more than one kind of move. <br> So -- <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Can I make a <br> statement, please? <br> MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: I would think that <br> would probably be the best policy. I mean, the letter <br> thing is, I mean, kind of, passive. I think if either <br> you or Mr. Kimble or -- you know, should, maybe, make <br> an appointment with somebody in the governor's office <br> and make a plea -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Sure. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: -- for them to follow <br> through with this. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I would ask, Ms. Knox, <br> have you received any reply? When -- how long ago did this go out? <br> 23 MR. COLLINS: A month? <br> 24 CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I don't see a date on it. <br> 25 MR. COLLINS: Three weeks? | CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. <br> Any other comments from commissioners? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I'll just state that I <br> think a meeting would be ideal at this point. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. I agree with <br> Commissioner Chan. I don't think we need a motion on this, do we? <br> MR. COLLINS: No, no. I think it's just -- <br> I mean, it sounds like the commissioners -- <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton, do <br> you have -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Commissioner Paton -- I think <br> we're all on the same page. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: No. I think we should <br> have -- somebody should have a meeting with the <br> governor's staff. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Are you okay with that? <br> Okay. So we're not going to work on a <br> letter right now. <br> 22 Item VIII, discussion and possible action <br> 23 on proposed 2019 Commission meeting dates for July <br> 24 through December. <br> 25 In our packet, we have the proposed meeting |
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| dates of July 29th, August 22nd, September 26th, <br> October 17th, November 14th and December 12th. <br> Any comments or concerns about any of these <br> meeting dates from members of the Commission? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Mr. Chairman. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes, Commissioner Meyer. <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: I would move that we <br> approve the meeting dates as presented in Item VIII of <br> our agenda for July through December 2019. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Question about -- <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Wait. <br> Is there a second? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Oh, I second. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Commissioner <br> Paton? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: And July 29th, that's <br> a Monday? <br> MS. THOMAS: Correct. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: Yeah. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: So the other ones are <br> Thursdays? <br> MS. THOMAS: Correct. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And, I guess, it's worth <br> stating that we're holding a Monday meeting because the | to put that on your radar. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: I may be able to attend by <br> phone. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Or you could have <br> some member of your staff be delegated to -- <br> MR. COLLINS: Okay. Absolutely. Yeah, <br> we're not worried about getting the meeting done. It's <br> more of a matter if you're -- if I'm not here. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yeah. Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: Huh? That's true. I know. <br> Look, someone -- someone made a sound cloud of <br> imitations of how I talk, and I'll never share it with you guys. <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: I don't want to hear it. <br> MS. KARLSON: I will find it. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay, then. So it's been <br> moved and seconded that we approve the meeting -- the <br> meeting dates for July through December of 2019. <br> Commissioner Chan? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton? |
| 11:15:17-11:16:16 <br> 30-day comment period would not have -MR. COLLINS: Correct. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: -- would not have been <br> reached if we held a Thursday meeting before that. <br> MR. COLLINS: That's correct. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: So you'll remind us, <br> like, on Thursday or Friday? <br> MS. THOMAS: Yes. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved <br> and seconded that -- to approve the meeting dates for <br> July through December that are in Attachment VIII -- <br> I'm sorry. Tom? <br> MR. COLLINS: Oh, I'm sorry. I had a -- I <br> had a quick question for you, Mr. Chairman. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. <br> MR. COLLINS: And for Joe and Kara, if it's <br> not irrelevant. I have a -- or it might be relevant to <br> Item I -- or Item III about the June 20th meeting we <br> have scheduled. We have a June 20th meeting which is <br> not on here because it's already scheduled. It is very <br> unlikely that I will be at the June 20th meeting. It <br> will be the first meeting that I've missed in -- I <br> don't know -- ever, but I have some unavoidable stuff <br> that I've got to deal with that day. So I just wanted | COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The Chair votes aye. <br> It's approved 4 to nothing. <br> Item XI, public comment. Is there anyone <br> who wants to make comment to the Commission? <br> Ms. Knox? <br> MS. KNOX: Yes. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Could you state your name <br> and address for the record. <br> MS. KNOX: Yes, definitely. Rivko Knox, on <br> behalf of the League of Women Voters of Arizona. <br> I was authorized to make this statement, <br> and we -- the League, although, like Tom mentioned a <br> few minutes ago, we do have new leadership. We have <br> three new co-presidents. I'm not quite sure how <br> quickly they respond, but we may be submitting a <br> letter, more or less, to this effect of paper, as well, <br> but I wanted to speak on this this morning. But if the <br> letter goes in, the title would be the antithesis -- <br> antithesis -- I can't speak well this morning -- of <br> civil dialogue. <br> The non-partisan League of Women Voters has <br> always encouraged civic participation in our democracy <br> and has tried to ensure that the public is well <br> informed about the issues that impact on their lives. |
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| 1 Accurate factual information is the bedrock of a <br> vibrant democracy. Further, civic engagement requires <br> civil dialogue. People do not want to engage if they <br> fear being belittled, yelled at, forced to face <br> personal criticism and rude comments and language or especially threatened. <br> League members live in our present society <br> and thus are aware of the sad state of so much of what <br> 9 goes for information, as well as conversations about <br> public policy issues. <br> However, we were shocked to read about the <br> comments of Representatives John Allen and Kevin Payne <br> relating to the head of Clean Elections. Although the <br> comment made by Representative Allen did include the <br> words "virtual" in the middle of this sentence "Can we <br> virtually shoot the head of Clean Elections?" to which <br> Payne replied "Yes," the sentiment was very disturbing. <br> The Clean Elections Commission and staff -- <br> Commissioners and staff do an outstanding job of voter <br> education, especially in less urban areas and with more <br> diverse populations, while educating candidates who <br> choose to run clean and providing transparency in the <br> electoral process. <br> League members helped write the language <br> that became the initiative that created Clean | COMMISSIONER CHAN: I would move to adjourn the meeting. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Second? I'll second it. <br> Commissioner Chan? <br> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer? <br> COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton? <br> COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: The Chair votes aye. <br> We are adjourned. <br> (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 11:20 a.m.) <br> /// |
| 1 Elections, worked for its passage and continue to <br> 2 monitor and attempt to protect its vital mission of <br> 3 ensuring integrity in government, encouraging <br> 4 involvement in elections and reducing the influence of <br> 5 money in the electoral process. Although some <br> 6 legislators oppose the concept of public funds (though <br> 7 it is not taxpayer money) being used to encourage <br> 8 candidates to run, a disagreement about policy should <br> 9 never lead to even virtual threats of violence. <br> 10 The League urges the leadership of the <br> 11 Legislature, as well as Governor Ducey, to speak out <br> 12 about the language used by Representatives Allen and <br> 13 Payne, encourage them both to apologize to the <br> 14 Commissioners and staff, and remind them that the words <br> 15 of public figures carry great weight and help establish <br> 16 a standard conduct for the public. <br> 17 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Well said. <br> MS. KNOX: So, we would like that to go on <br> the record. <br> CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Very, very well done. <br> Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Knox. <br> Does anyone else wish to address the <br> Commission? I guess not, since everyone else works for the Commission. <br> 25 Is there a motion to adjourn? | State of arizona ) <br> COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) <br> BE IT KNOWN the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that $I$ was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability. <br> I FURTHER CERTIFY that $I$ am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties thereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 31st day of May, 2019. |
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## CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT <br> July 29, 2019

## Announcements:

- The qualifying period for candidates interested in running with Clean Elections funding begins August 1 and training (live and online) begins August 2. Qualifying contributions are $\$ 5$ contributions candidates raise to show there is grassroots support from actual voters living in their district. They are turned in along with the candidates application for funding.
- The public can view Commission meetings live via the internet at www.livestream.com/cleanelections. A link is available on our website.
- Avery completed the first week of the Secretary of State's Election Officer Certification training course. He will become a certified election official after completing the remaining coursework this fall.


## Voter Education:

## Elections:

- Local elections will be held on August $27^{\text {th }}$.
- Voter registration deadline $=$ Monday, July 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
- Early voting begins = Wednesday, July $31^{\text {st }}$
- City of Phoenix = Proposition 105 and Proposition 106
- City of Peoria = Councilmember
- City of Prescott = Mayor \& Councilmember
- City of Tucson = Mayor \& Councilmember
- City of Yuma $=$ Municipal Judge \& Councilmember
- Navajo County = Proposition 421


## Community Outreach/Events

- The Navajo Voters Coalition Summit was held on June $8^{\text {th }}$ at Dine College in Tsaile. Tom presented on Clean Elections' voter education efforts and running for office.
- Chairman Kimble filmed the introductory video in our Commissioner video series, which is designed to educate voters on the commission, and the background and goals for each commissioner.
- Gina trained attendees at Election Officer Certification training on assisting and communicating with the public.
- Gina and Tom participated in the Secretary of State's statewide election security conference calls with county election officials.
- Gina attended the Election Officials of Arizona spring workshop.
- Avery participated in the SOS's Voter Outreach Advisory Committee meetings.
- Avery participated in the Arizona Commission of African American Affairs.
- Gina participated in the Department of Education's Civic Engagement Committee meeting.
- Avery participated in the Maricopa County Recorder's Town Hall event.
- A byline article by Commissioner Paton regarding the start of the qualifying period ran in the Apache Junction Independent, and is slated to run in the Arizona Capitol Times, West Valley View, Glendale Star, and Peoria Times this week.
- Outstanding legal matters
- Legacy Foundation Action Fund
- AZAN v. State et. al.
- GRRC
- GRRC Staff has removed our pending 2017 5-year-review from their internal agenda. Staff informs us that this was done as housekeeping. It is not clear if this means the Council will never address the additional report we filed, but that seems possible.
- Mike and Tom recently had a meeting with SOS staff about GRRC and other matters, including those covered by the Interagency Service Agreement. Although those discussions have not progressed, we still plan to work with the Secretary's office on shared items of interest.
- Challenge to Circulator "Strike out" law
- A coalition of voters, organizers, and organizations are challenging A.R.S. section 19-118(c) on First and Fourteenth Amendment Grounds.
- The law requires that, in the event of a challenge to initiative or referendum signatures, the failure of a properly subpoenaed signature gatherer to appear results in the striking of all that person's petition signatures.
- According to plaintiffs, the restrictions chill "core political speech" and have reduced the chances of a successful placement on the ballot, results in unfair content-based treatment based on the kind of petition, and impermissibly burdens the exercise of a fundamental right.
- Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction and complaint are available.
- Washington Supreme Court upholds Seattle public financing program.
- According to the Seattle television station KING 5, the court:
ruled that Seattle's democracy vouchers do not burden free speech, because they facilitate public discussion and encourage voter participation. Justices also found the program doesn't force taxpayers to associate with any particular message that the program may be conveying, and therefore doesn't violate First Amendment rights. https://www.king5.com/article/news/seattle-democracy-vouchers-are-constitutional-washington-supreme-court-rules/281-0c4b3b61-9b72-4df5-b6e2-f30a740be516
- The program distributes vouchers to voters that are used in its public financing program.
- This challenge was somewhat novel in that Plaintiffs are among the first to claim that Janus, a recent US Supreme Court case barring mandatory payments to unions by non-members on first amendment associational grounds, also barred the public financing program. The Court distinguished that case, stating, "Unlike the employees in Janus, [Plaintiffs] cannot show the tax [which funds the program] individually associated them with any message conveyed by the Democracy Voucher Program."
- The opinion can be read here: http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/pdf/966605.pdf

From: Spencer, Eric H. [espencer@swlaw.com](mailto:espencer@swlaw.com)
Date: Sunday, July 14, 2019
Subject: Question re proposed amended R2-20-104(E)
To: "michael.becker@azcleanelections.gov" [michael.becker@azcleanelections.gov](mailto:michael.becker@azcleanelections.gov), "thomas.collins@azcleanelections.gov" <thomas.collins @azcleanelections.gov>

Hi Mike and Tom,
Quick question for you about the highlighted phase below. Is 16-941(A)(1) really an expenditure limitation? It reads more like a provision governing how contributions may be accepted (a "contribution limitation" of sorts), but I'm not seeing the expenditure limitation part. Can you all help me out? Thanks!

Take care, Eric

## R2-20-104. Certification as a Participating Candidate

E. Loans. A participating candidate may accept an individual contribution as a loan or may loan his or her campaign committee personal monies during the exploratory and qualifying periods only. The total sum of the contributions received shall not exceed the expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. $\S 16-941(A)(1)$. Personal funds and loans shall not exceed the expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. $\S 16-941(A)(2)$. If the loan is to be repaid, the loans shall be repaid promptly upon receipt of Clean Elections funds if the participating candidate qualifies for Clean Elections funding. Loans from a financial institution or bank, to a candidate used for the purpose of influencing that candidate's election shall be considered personal monies and shall not exceed the personal monies expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. § 16-941(A)(2).

## R2-20-702. Use of Campaign Funds

A. A participating candidate shall use funds in the candidate's current campaign account to pay for goods and services for direct campaign purposes only. Funds shall be disbursed and reported in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-948(C).
B. A participating candidate may:

1. Make a payment from the candidate's campaign bank account:
a. To a political committee or civic organization including a person with tax exempt status under section 501 (a) of the internal revenue code or an unincorporated association. The payment is not a contribution if the payment is reasonable in relation to the value received.
b. For customary charges for services rendered, such as for printing and obtaining voter or telephone lists, shall be considered reasonable in relation to the value received.
c. Of not more than $\$ 200$ per person to attend a political event open to the public or to party members shall be considered reasonable in relation to the value received.
Z. Only make an advanced payment to a political party for services such as consulting, communications, field employees, canvassers, mailers, auto-dialers, telephone town halls, electronic communications and other advertising purchases and other campaign services if an itemized invoice identifying the value of the service is provided directly to the participating candidate at the time of the advanced payment.
a. Payment in the absence of an itemized invoice or advanced payment for such services shall be deemed a contribution to the political party.
b. Payment may be advanced for postage upon the receipt of a written estimate and solong as any balance is returned to the candidate if the advance exceeds the actual cost of the postage.
E. Payment may be advanced for advertising that customarily requires prepayment upon the receipt of a written estimate and solong as any balance is returned to the candidate if the advance exceeds the actual cost of the advertisement.
d. A political party may not mark up or add any additional charge to the value of services provided to the particular candidate. All expenditures must be for the services used by the particular participating candidate.
e. The Commission shall be included in the mail batch for all mailers and invitations. The Commission shall also be provided with documentation from the mail house, printer or other original source showing the number of mailers printed and the number of households to which a mailer was sent. Failure to provide this information within 7 days after a mailer has been mailed may be considered as evidence the mailer was not for direct campaign purposes.
A. In general, the Commission may determine that a participating candidate who has received payments from the Fund must repay the Fund as determined by the Commission.
2. A candidate who has received payments from the Fund shall pay the Fund any amounts that the Commission determines to be repayable. In making repayment determinations, the Commission may utilize information obtained from audits and examinations or otherwise obtained by the Commission in carrying out its responsibilities.
3. The Commission will notify the candidate of any repayment determinations made under this section as soon as possible.- but not later than one year after the day of the election.

## R2-20-113. Candidate Statement Pamphlet

A. The Commission shall publish a candidate statement pamphlet in both the primary and general elections as required by A.R.S. §16-956(A)(1). Commission staff shall send invitations for submission of a 200 word statement to every statewide and legislative candidate who has qualified for the ballot. Statements submitted for the primary candidate statement pamphlet shall be used for the general candidate statement pamphlet unless otherwise stated by the candidate.
B. The following candidates will not be invited to submit a statement for the candidate statement pamphlet: 1. In the primary election: write-in candidates for the primary election, independent candidates, no party affiliation or unrecognized party candidates. 2. In the general election: write in candidates

TITLE 2. ADMINISTRATION CHAPTER 20. CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION ARTICLE 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS Section R2-20-104. Certification as a Participating Candidate ARTICLE 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

R2-20-104. Certification as a Participating Candidate
A. No change

1. No change
2. No change
3. No change
4. No change
5. No change
6. No change
B. No change
7. No change
8. No change
9. No change
10. No change
C. No change
11. No change
12. No change
13. No change
14. No change
15. No change
16. No change
17. No change
18. No change
19. No change
20. No change
21. No change
D. No change
22. No change
23. No change
24. No change
25. No change
26. No change
a. No change
b. No change
27. No change
E. Loans. A participating candidate may accept an individual contribution as a loan or may loan his or her campaign committee personal monies during the exploratory and qualifying periods only. The total sum of the contribution contributions received or personal funds and loans shall not exceed the expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. \& 16-941(A)(1) and (2). Personal funds and toans shall not exceed the expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. \& 16-941(A)(2). If the loan is to be repaid, the loans shall be repaid promptly upon receipt of Clean Elections funds if the participating candidate qualifies for Clean Elections funding. Loans from a financial institution or bank, to a candidate used for the purpose of influencing that candidate's election shall be considered personal monies and shall not exceed the personal monies expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. \& 16-941(A)(2).
F. No change
G. No change

## R2-20-104. Certification as a Participating Candidate

E. Loans. A participating candidate may accept an individual contribution as a loan or may loan his or her campaign committee personal monies during the exploratory and qualifying periods only. The total sum of the contributions received or personalfunds and loans-shall not exceed the expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. § 16-941 (A)(1). Personal funds and loans shall not exceed the expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. § 16-941(A)(2). and-(2). If the loan is to be repaid, the loans shall be repaid promptly upon receipt of Clean Elections funds if the participating candidate qualifies for Clean Elections funding. Loans from a financial institution or bank, to a candidate used for the purpose of influencing that candidate's election shall be considered personal monies and shall not exceed the personal monies expenditure limits set forth in A.R.S. § 16-941(A)(2).

## Staff Recommended Motions

## Immediate effect motion language

Mr. Chairman, I move that pursuant to Ars 956 (c) and (d) that the amendment to r2-20-702 be approved for immediate effect.

Mr. Chairman, I move that pursuant to Ars 956 (c) and (d) that the amendment to r2-20-113 be approved for immediate effect.

Mr. Chairman, I move that pursuant to Ars 956 (c) and (d) that the amendment to r2-20-704 be approved for immediate effect.

## Delayed effect Motion Language

Mr. Chairman, I move that pursuant to Ars 956 (c) and (d) that the amendment to r2-20-702 be approved effective January 1.

Mr. Chairman, I move that pursuant to Ars 956 (c) and (d) that the amendment to r2-20-113 be approved effective January 1.

Mr. Chairman, I move that pursuant to Ars 956 (c) and (d) that the amendment to r2-20-704 be approved effective January 1.

## Termination of proceedings

Mr. chairman, I move that the commission terminate the rule amendment proceeding in R2-20-104.

Initiation of new amendment
Mr. Chairman, I move that, pursuant to 16-956(c) - (e) that the amendment to R2-20-104 be published for public comment period of no less than 60 days.

