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PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: All right. This is Commissioner Amy Chan. I'll call this meeting to order. Since I'm the only one here in person, I guess -- Chairman Kimble, I guess I'm going to be doing all the official stuff today here in the -- in Phoenix. I hope that's alright with you, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Fine.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Perhaps to start, we can just do a roll call to see who's attending via phone. Let's see. Commissioner Kimble, you're here, correct?

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I'm here.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. Commissioner Paton?

COMMISSIONER PATON: Here.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Meyer?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Here.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. Is any other Commissioner on the line that I --

MS. THOMAS: No.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. All right. So -- and then I'm here, of course, Amy Chan. Please bear with me. I just got here. I think I need a minute to just get organized. And I'm sorry for being a little bit late, although that clock says I'm --

MR. COLLINS: That clock is wrong.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: -- early, or super late.

All right.

COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner Meyer. Take your time.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MEYER: You are doing fine.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you so much.

All right. Well, now that I have my agenda, which was in front of me but I just needed a minute to actually get situated, let's go on to Item Number II, which is discussion and possible action on Commission minutes for our May 30th, 2019 meeting.

Do -- do I have a motion and a second on that?

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: This is Commissioner Kimble. I move to approve the minutes.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. Second?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Commissioner Meyer, second.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: All right. Do we need a roll call vote then on that? We do, don't we?

MR. COLLINS: We've been taking those.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. All right. Let's go ahead and take a roll call vote on that to approve the minutes as written.

Commissioner Kimble?

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Meyer?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: And I vote aye.

COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: You forgot me.

COMMISSIONER PATON: You forgot me.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Oh. Oh, my God, Commissioner Paton. I am so sorry. How do you vote on this?

COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. All right. Do we need a roll call vote then on that? We do, don't we?

MR. COLLINS: Yes. Chairwoman Chan and
Commissioners, I just wanted to -- it's actually kind of a busy -- we're coming up on the beginning of a busy time here. We have the -- starting this week, if I can read my calendar, on --

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Can you identify yourself?
MR. COLLINS: Oh, sure. Yeah, I should.
This is Tom Collins. I'm the Executive director of the Commission. I hope you all can hear me.
(Court reporter request to speak louder.)
MR. COLLINS: Sure. Okay. Did you get my name, by any chance? No? Yes?
Okay. Cool. All right. I'm going to try this volume and see if this works.

All right. The most important thing we have happening this week is August 1st begin -- begins the qualifying period for candidates interested in running with Clean Elections funding.
So, qualifying contributions, as I think everybody knows now, are $5 contributions candidates raise to show grassroots support from voters who actually live and are registered in their district if they're a legislative candidate or in the state if they are running statewide. These $5 contributions are turned in along with the candidate's application for funding.

I also wanted to highlight that Avery completed his first week of the SOS election officer certification training course. So, when he's wrapped that up he'll be a certified election officer for the state. So, that's -- that's cool.

We've got some elections coming up that are listed there. I think that in the City of Phoenix, for what it's worth, Prop 105 and 106 are probably going to be pretty, I don't know, big, for lack of better putting it, for --

(Court reporter clarification.)

MR. COLLINS: Are going to be pretty big elections, I think.
We have -- you can see some of the community outreach events that the Voter -- that the Voter Education Department, as it were, is -- has been doing. Gina's been out at election officer certification to do trainings.
We've been working with -- Avery's been participating in voter outreach groups put together by a variety of government organizations, including the SOS, the Arizona Commission on African American Affairs, and the Maricopa County Recorder's Office. And Gina has participated in the Department of Education's Civic Engagement Committee meeting.

Supreme Court. One has to do with petition circulators for -- for initiatives, and one has to do with affirming the City of Seattle's public financing program which uses something called Democracy Vouchers. That was found not to violate the First Amendment.

The only reason I -- it's in the -- part of the reason it's in the notes is that reason. The other reason it's in the notes is because the, you know, the plaintiffs in that case were very hot-to-trot about the fact that the U.S. Supreme Court case Janus had recently been decided. That case basically said that non-union members couldn't be, quote, unquote, forced to pay an administrative offset when they are benefitting from a collective bargaining agreement.

The folks who were behind this lawsuit were bragging today in a column in the Hill, bragging about this is the end of public financing. And the Washington Supreme Court distinguished that case in a footnote. So I think that Janus does not necessarily mean that, you know, is a couple years old now and has been sitting there. What I was informed is that they were just doing housekeeping.

So I -- I can't say for certain that that means that that 2017 report is -- is anything. It's still on file there. But they may decide to wait until the 2020 5-year report, or else there may be some other interaction we have. But that's where we are right now on that old matter.

So, without further ado, I -- there's some information on a couple of lawsuits; one filed here in Arizona, one that just got resolved by the Washington GRRC's website they maintain a list of what is coming up in their pipeline. Our report, which has been -- you know, is a couple years old now and has been sitting there. What I was informed is that they were just doing housekeeping.

(Several names mentioned, along with discussion on various elections and legal cases.)

22 So, anyways, that's all I've got if -- unless anyone has any questions.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Tom.
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Madam Chair --
1 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner --
2 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: -- this is Commissioner Kimble.
3 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Go ahead, Commissioner Kimble.
4 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Tom, going back to another old matter, is there anything at all to report about the long overdue appointment to the Commission?
5 MR. COLLINS: Yeah, yes. There is -- I think we're -- we've reached out affirmatively to those administrations and are working to ensure that we can provide whatever support we need to -- to help them achieve getting a person who they believe is qualified.
6 So, we're just going to keep -- keep doing that. And I think it's -- I think -- I think that we've had -- we've focused their attention enough on it that I believe that -- that we'll make progress there. And that's -- that's where we are now.
7 So, following the last meeting we have made at least, you know, been undertaking our own efforts to keep the -- to support the Governor's office and the Secretary of State's office as much as we can.

1 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Is that all, Commissioner Kimble?
2 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. I guess so, yes.
3 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. Anyone else have any items to add or questions for Tom?
4 MR. COLLINS: If not, Tom, just a comment from myself, or maybe a request. I would love to share any such ads on my own personal Facebook page and Twitter accounts.
5 MR. COLLINS: Yep.
6 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: So when, if -- I don't know if they're already available, but if and when they are, I'd love to --
7 MR. COLLINS: Sure.
8 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: -- you know, get a copy or a link. I mean, I usually get the clean election stuff, so -- but I -- I don't know, sometimes I miss things.
9 So, if they're out there, I'll -- I'd love to get a link to share.
10 Unfortunately, I think I know a lot of politically active people, and many times that means they're precinct committeemen in either of the major two parties, but -- and they would be disqualified. But I'd love to share it with anybody who might know me or see something I post and be interested in -- in helping the Commission that way. So -- okay.
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1 recommending? I think that, to me, might be the easiest
2 way to go.
3 The only question is, do you want to vote --
4 to -- to vote per amendment or -- or do them all at the
5 end? That really is a question that you can -- you can
6 decide --
7 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Well --
8 MR. COLLINS: -- among yourselves.
9 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: -- and the other
10 Commissioners can weigh in here. Just from my
11 perspective, I think maybe I would like to take them
12 maybe each individually, if you guys don't mind, just to
13 avoid any confusion, particularly since we're not all
14 here in person. That might help me.
15 But Commissioner Paton, Kimble, Meyer, what do
16 you guys think?
17 COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner
18 Meyer. I think we should take them one by one.
19 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay.
20 MR. COLLINS: Okay. Great.
21 Madam Chairwoman, Commissioners, so
22 Item IV (A) is an amendment to Arizona Administrative
23 Code R2-20-702 concerning participating candidate's use
24 of Clean Elections funding.
25 The reason for this amendment, it actually is

1 absolutely risk, potentially, a violation of 16-948.
2 So, you know, there are stakes there that
3 would -- you know, there's both sides. On the -- on the
4 one hand it's not, you know, it's -- it's important that
5 these be aligned. But, as a legal matter, 702's
6 language we're striking is moot.
7 So, you know, there's arguments on both sides
8 for immediate. I think the question really becomes, in
9 my mind, if you believe there's any -- there's any
10 potential for people to be confused between 16-948 and
11 R2-20-702, I'd recommend that you make the rule
12 effective immediately. On the other hand, if -- if --
13 if we are not concerned about that, then I wouldn't.
14 So, you would be selecting between the first
15 effective motion language and the second top one. So,
16 number one under each category.
17 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. Do any Commissioners
18 have questions for Tom?
19 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Madam Chair, this is
20 Commissioner Kimble.
21 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Kimble.
22 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Tom, can we put
23 something into effect immediately? Does that require
24 unanimous vote?
25 MR. COLLINS: That's correct. It would

1 require unanimous vote for -- for you to have it be
2 immediate.
3 Again, if you were, I mean, I think that the
4 best reason, and I would want to state this for the
5 record, is in order to ensure that there's no gap or
6 confusion between what 702 purports to allow but no
7 longer does and what 16-948 disallows.
8 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. And -- and
9 unanimous is defined as everyone who's attending the
10 meeting, not all Commissioners?
11 MR. COLLINS: Correct. That's how the
12 Commission has carried out its business for -- since '98
13 or 2000, whenever the Commission was first assembled.
14 That is, in large part, because the statute
15 specifically, unlike many statutes, defines that three
16 commissioners is a quorum. Therefore, I think it's
17 clear that the drafters and the voters who passed that
18 aspect of it wanted the Commission to be able to operate
19 without all five commissioners being present.
20 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Anyone else?
21 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.
22 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Kimble, do you
23 have additional questions?
24 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No.
25 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay.
MR. COLLINS: One other note I would make for the record, we received no public comment on this amendment.

COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner Meyer.

I did have a question. And that is, Tom, is there any reason not to make this immediate? Because it seems as -- I mean, if this thing -- if this rule going just now?

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chair -- Madam Chairman, Commissioner Meyer, yes. I -- I -- I think, you know, we're going to be doing our training starting this week, for example. We will be making clear in those trainings that the language that hasn't, you know, officially been amended yet is to be ignored.

But, yes, I -- I think that's a crack that someone could say, "Oh, I didn't know, how was I to know?" kind of thing. We like to try to avoid those. And that is -- and confusion is -- and simplification is both a goal of the Commission and an express goal of the Arizona Procedure's Act, so I think that that is a -- a -- that's a good justification.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Anyone else?

Do -- Are we ready to take a vote on this, Commissioners, or --

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Madam Chair, this is Commissioner Kimble.

I move that, pursuant to A.R.S. 956(C) and (D), that the amendment to R2-20-702 be approved for immediate stay.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you. Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: This is Commissioner Meyer. I'll second the motion.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: All right. I'm going to take a roll call vote. This time I will not forget the next Commissioner from Tucson.

I'll start with Commissioner Kimble. How do you vote?

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Paton?

COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Meyer?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: And I vote aye, as well.

All right. By your vote of four ayes, zero nays, we have approved R2-20-702, the amendment to it, for -- with immediate effect.

Okay. We'll move on to the next proposed rule amendment. Tom?

MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you.

Members, Madam Chairman and Committee Council members -- or, Commission members, rather, the amendment to Arizona Administrative Code R2-20-704 relates to the repayment of Clean Elections funding.

You may recall, in some cases we have asked for repayment of funds for various reasons. In so doing, we had -- we've reviewed Rule 704 and found that there is a, what appears to be a rule of limitations on the Commission as far as recovering Clean Elections funding from candidates. It is a -- it has -- it has a number of problems.

First, I -- I do not -- it's not my experience that the state allows the statute to run on a -- on a debt like that as a statutory matter or a common law matter. So the rule is kind of questionable on those grounds and I'd hate to see it end up in litigation.

Number 2, you know, I think that policy idea that if you wait a year you can just walk away from whatever you might owe is inconsistent with the Commission's obligations under 16-956 to ensure that monies are paid into the Fund and out of the Fund as pursuant to the article -- or, pursuant to the -- pursuant to the Clean Elections Act.

Finally, R2-20-704 is not well drafted in that it is ambiguous about the meaning of the word "election." It just says "from the election." So -- which is, in a -- in a -- in a system that has a primary and a general is not -- that's just not clear enough.

So -- so for the principal reasons I outlined above, plus the -- the, sort of, confusing nature of it, we recommend just eliminating this altogether. We think it's, again, of questionable validity, questionable consistency with the Commission's obligations, and confusing. And for those three reasons we recommend the deletion of the one-year rule-based limitation on recovering Clean Elections funding that is owed to the Fund.

And with that, I'll take your questions.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Tom.

Are there any questions? If not, I think, Tom --

MR. COLLINS: Oh, yes. Sorry. I'm sorry.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: I was just going to ask about the effect.

MR. COLLINS: Yes, of course. I'm sorry.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: No, that's all right.
MR. COLLINS: I should have thought of that myself. Two things. One, we do believe that this should be given effective -- immediate effect. We -- we do not want candidates starting out the process without knowing that they -- that the -- that this doesn't exist any longer. And we don't want to end up in a situation where we have some people who might be under one set of rules and the other under another set of rules, because it's just -- it's -- you know, even though no one will be able to access Clean Elections funding until January, it's just -- we just think this is an important thing to communicate to folks. Secondly, we received no public comment on this rule whatsoever.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: All right. Thank you, Tom. With that, do I have a motion?

Oh, does anybody have anything else to say, though, first?

All right. With that, do I have a motion from anybody?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Madam Chair, this is -- COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: This is Commissioner Kimble.

COMMISSIONER MEYER: -- Commissioner Meyer.

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Madam Chair, I have a point of clarification.

MR. COLLINS: As I said, Madam Chair -- no, Madam Chairwoman, Commissioners -- well, it doesn't matter. I'm happy to readjust it. I'm sorry for my -- as I said, the -- we don't reconsidering -- we don't see any need for this to have an immediate effective date because it's -- because it doesn't -- that process is later in our own process.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. Thank you, Tom. Commissioners, do you have any comments or questions about the proposed amendment?

And, Tom, do you have a recommendation on the effective date?

MR. COLLINS: As I said, Madam Chair -- no, Madam Chairwoman, Commissioners -- well, it doesn't matter. I'm happy to readjust it. I'm sorry for my -- as I said, the -- we don't reconsidering -- we don't see any need for this to have an immediate effective date because it's -- because it doesn't -- that process is later in our own process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 26</th>
<th>Page 28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: This is Commissioner Kimble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Any other questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>All right. With that, is -- do we have a motion?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Madam Chair, this is Commissioner Kimble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move that pursuant to A.R.S. 956(C) and (D) that the amendment to R2-20-113 be approved for immediate effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: All right. Thank you. Do we have a second?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER MEYER: Commissioner Meyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner Meyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>All right. With that, we'll take our roll call vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Commissioner Kimble, how do you vote?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Paton?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Meyer?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Gina.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Any other questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>All right. With that, is -- do we have a motion?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Madam Chair, this is Commissioner Kimble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Kimble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I move that pursuant to A.R.S. 956(C) and (D) that the amendment to R2-20-113 be approved for immediate effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: All right. Thank you. Do we have a second?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER MEYER: Commissioner Meyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner Meyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>All right. With that, we'll take our roll call vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Commissioner Kimble, how do you vote?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Paton?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Commissioner Meyer?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>our statements from the candidates and so we don't really run into too many issues with deadlines there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>So we don't feel we need a deadline in the code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Okay. Thank you, Gina.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay. So, just to clarify, the candidates will know that if they do not submit a statement by a certain date, their primary statement will be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>MS. ROBERTS: Madam Chair, Commissioners, this is Gina Roberts, Voter Education director for the Commission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>What we have in the rules is that the deadline will be established by the Commission staff. So that allows us, based off of our print dates with our vender that vary a lot, to determine that deadline after consultation with the print office and the USPS. So we prefer not to have an actual hard deadline in code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>So, usually we have plenty of time to collect our statements from the candidates and so we don't really run into too many issues with deadlines there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>And with that we have approved the amendment to R2-20-113 with immediate effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 32 | 12 | However, that's where we started, with language that we knew had at least -- at least an ambiguity, if not -- if outright suggesting that you could stack these limits in a manner -- in the manner...
1 that this candidate did. So, that was problem one.
2 Problem two, and that we've talked about, we
3 tried to use the language that's been there, we think,
4 since approximately 2001, tried to work with the
5 ordinary language that was in the rule without changing
6 too much.
7 We did get a helpful public question or
8 comment from Eric Spencer, the former election director
9 and current partner at Snell & Wilmer, saying, you know,
10 the way you have these things set up it's not -- it's no
11 more clear. And, in fact, if you have a copy of the
12 contribution expenditures -- or, campaign expenditures with
13 campaign contributions. And, as a staff, we concluded
14 that's -- that that is correct.
15 We also went further, however, and realized
16 that what it looks like the original drafters of this
17 rule were doing was trying to cram together concepts
18 from up to three or four or five different statutes into
19 two sentences.
20 They wanted concepts from the definition of
21 contributions, which includes loans. They wanted all --
22 they wanted to lump together limiting language in 16-945
23 and 46, as well as 16-941(A) and (B). That is too much
24 work for two sentence to do.

1 And, frankly, it -- it -- it's confusing.
2 What we realized upon review is that, really, the rule
3 was -- is -- is -- is not serving a purpose, because the
4 individual limits received money, the personal limits
5 for your own personal funds if you agree to run clean,
6 and the requirement that the individual donate --
7 contributions come from individuals, actually, are all
8 apparent on the face of the statute.
9 So we think that allowing the statute to speak
10 for itself provides actually a much more reliable
11 indicator for -- for candidates of what is allowed and
12 what is not allowed.
13 And, therefore, with all of this input and --
14 and reconsideration in mind, we would like to terminate
15 the rule proceeding -- existing rule proceeding
16 regarding R2-20-104. We'd also like to initiate a new
17 proceeding where we strike out Paragraph E from -- from
18 R2-20-104 altogether.
19 So, that's our -- that's our recommendation
20 there. And we think it's the right one. We think where
21 we can, it's easier to use -- to rely on the language of
22 the statute than it is to try to recapitulate the
23 statute in shorthand, for lack of a better way of
24 putting it.
25 So we -- we -- this is the under -- a plan of

1 the undertaking we would like to see. So what you'll
2 see -- what we recommend is, A, a vote on termination
3 and, B, a vote on circulation of the -- of the
4 strike-out of -- of R2-21-104(E).
5 So, we've received, as I said, the one public
6 comment from Mr. Spencer. We received no other public
7 comments. And this really isn't an effective -- or,
8 this hasn't -- this does not have an effect -- immediate
9 effective date issue. Although, in order to assure the
10 voters -- or, candidates, rather, don't start loaning
11 themselves seed money in excess of the limits, you know,
12 when it comes back around, assuming there's been no
13 serious objections, we would probably recommend an
14 immediate effective date, you know, at that point. But
15 we'll see what we get.
16 Anyways, that's our recommended course of
17 action, Madam Chairwoman, and I'm available for any
18 questions.
19 CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Tom.
20 I would just have a comment. I think that is
21 why public comment is so necessary. I mean, even a
22 question from, of course, the previous election director
23 who's also well-versed in election law, can kind of
24 provoke additional thought and -- and comment and have
25 us reconsider what we're doing.
One is that the League, as I think you're all aware, had
I wanted to make a few very quick comments.
Voters of Arizona.
MS. KNOX: Thank you very much. My name is
And moving on to Agenda Item V, public
For my second motion, what I'm asking for
I see Rivko Knox is here. Would you like to
And if one of you could identify
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Madam Chair, this is
With that, I'll go ahead and take your votes.
Commissioner Kimble, how do you vote?
COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.
COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.
COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner Meyer.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner Paton?
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
And do we have a second?
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: And I vote aye, as well.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner Kimble.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner Meyer?
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner Meyer?
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you.
to support the work that you all do and try to protect
the work you all do at the state capitol, among others

But I just wanted to introduce our new team
member, Adrienne Carmack, who is going to be attending,
I think, just about all of these meetings on our behalf.
So I'm sure you'll get to know her very well.

But that's all I had. Thank you so much.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you very much, Joel.
Thank you everybody for being here. And, you
know, I think the Commission certainly appreciates the
support that both organizations give us.

So, if there's no other public comment, I
think the next -- we just need a motion for adjournment.

Thank you, Tom.
Can I get a motion?

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Commissioner Meyer. I
move that we adjourn the meeting.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner
Meyer.

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Commissioner Kimble,
second.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Thank you. All right.
Do we need to take a roll call vote?

MR. COLLINS: We need to take a vote.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Oh, okay. Let's just,
everybody in favor, please say aye.

Aye.

COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.

COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN CHAN: Anybody opposed to
adjournment, please say no.

And with that, we are adjourned. See you guys
next month.

(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at
10:18 a.m.)
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