

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING AND POSSIBLE EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

Location:	Citizens Clean Elections Commission
	1616 West Adams, Suite 110
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Date:	Thursday, May 19, 2022
Time:	9:30 a.m.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the Commissioners of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission and the general public that the Citizens Clean Elections Commission will hold a regular meeting, which is open to the public on May 19, 2022. This meeting will be held at 9:30 a.m., at the Citizens Clean Elections Commission, 1616 West Adams, Suite 110, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. The meeting may be available for live streaming online at https://www.youtube.com/c/AZCCEC/live. You can also visit https://www.azcleanelections.gov/clean-elections. Members of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission will attend either in person or by telephone, video, or internet conferencing. This meeting will be held virtually. Instructions on how the public may participate in this meeting are below. For additional information, please call (602) 364-3477 or contact Commission staff at ccec@@azcleanelections.gov.

Join Zoom Meeting

https://us02web.zohttps://us02web.zoom.us/j/82309502506

Meeting ID: 823 0950 2506

One tap mobile

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

Please note that members of the public that choose to use the Zoom video link must keep their microphone muted for the duration of the meeting. If a member of the public wishes to speak, they may use the Zoom raise hand feature and once called on, unmute themselves on Zoom <u>once the meeting is open for public comment</u>. Members of the public may participate via Zoom by computer, tablet or telephone (dial in only option is available but you will not be able to use the Zoom raise hand feature, meeting administrator will assist phone attendees). <u>Please keep yourself muted unless you are prompted to speak</u>. The Commission allows time for public comment on any item on the agenda. Council members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing Council staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

The Commission may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for the purpose of obtaining legal advice on any item listed on the agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3). The Commission reserves the right at its discretion to address the agenda matters in an order different than outlined below.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order.
- II. Discussion and Possible Action on Commission Minutes for March 24, 2022.
- III. Discussion and Possible Action on Executive Director's Report, Enforcement and Regulatory Updates and Legislative Update.
- IV. Discussion and possible action on legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, campaign finance.
- V. Presentation on election security and recognition and appreciation to Ken Matta, former SOS Chief Election Security Officer.
- VI. Public Comment

This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism

VII. Adjournment.

This agenda is subject to change up to 24 hours prior to the meeting. A copy of the agenda background material provided to the Commission (with the exception of material relating to possible executive sessions) is available for public inspection at the Commission's office, 1616 West Adams, Suite 110, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Dated this 17th day of May, 2022 Citizens Clean Elections Commission Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director Any person with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission at (602) 364-3477. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange accommodations.

THE STATE OF ARIZONA

CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona March 24, 2022 9:30 a.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

	2		
1	VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN		3
2	ELECTIONS COMMISSION, convened at 9:30 a.m. on March 24,	1	PROCEEDING
3 4	2022, in the presence of the following Board members: Mr. Damien Meyer, Chairman	2	
4	Mr. Mark S. Kimble	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good morning, all. Damien Meyer,
5	Mr. Steve Titla	4	I'm the chairperson this year for the Citizens Clean
	Mr. Galen Paton	5	Elections Commission; and we're going to go ahead and call
6	Ms. Amy Chan	6	this meeting to order, which is the first item on our
7	OTHERS PRESENT:	7	agenda. It's 9:30 a.m., March 24, 2022.
		8	I'd like to ask that audience members keep their
8	Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director Paula Thomas, Executive Officer	9	microphones on mute, please.
9	Mike Becker, Policy Director	10	
	Gina Roberts, Voter Education Director		And with that, I'll take attendance of my fellow
10	Avery Xola, Voter Education Specialist	11	Commissioners. Please identify yourselves for the record.
11	Julian Arndt, Executive Support Specialist Kara Karlson, Assistant Attorney General	12	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Commissioner Kimble is here.
	Kyle Cummings, Assistant Attorney General	13	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Commissioner Chan is here, too.
12	Patty Hansen, Coconino County Recorder	14	COMMISSIONER PATON: Commissioner Paton.
13	Monique Coady, Assistant Attorney General Cathy Herring, KCA	15	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Commissioner Titla here.
	Eric Sloan, Member of the public	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. We have all five
14	Brian Stanley, Esq., Law Office of Brian K. Stanley	17	Commissioners here which is always a good thing. So, good
15	Bob Branch, The Power of Fives	18	morning to all.
16		19	And then I'll move on to Agenda Item II, which is
17 18		20	discussion and possible action on Commission's minutes for
10		21	February 24, 2022.
20		22	Is there any discussion on the minutes; and if not,
21		23	do we have a motion to approve?
22 23		24	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we approve
24		25	the minutes as written.
25		25	
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
			5
	·		
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from	1	Commissioners.
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan.	2	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and
	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from		Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners.
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan.	2	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second?	2 3	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners.
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner	2 3 4	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla.	2 3 4 5	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla.	2 3 4 5 6	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with my audio situation, so I will just I will say candidate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for the February 22 meeting. And then we'll go to the third agenda item, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with my audio situation, so I will just I will say candidate debates are starting, you can see the reports. If you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for the February 22 meeting. And then we'll go to the third agenda item, which is discussion and possible action on Executive Director's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with my audio situation, so I will just I will say candidate debates are starting, you can see the reports. If you have questions I'm happy to answer them, but I won't belabor this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for the February 22 meeting. And then we'll go to the third agenda item, which is discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report, enforcement and regulatory updates and legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with my audio situation, so I will just I will say candidate debates are starting, you can see the reports. If you have questions I'm happy to answer them, but I won't belabor this with my audio issues.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for the February 22 meeting. And then we'll go to the third agenda item, which is discussion and possible action on Executive Director's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with my audio situation, so I will just I will say candidate debates are starting, you can see the reports. If you have questions I'm happy to answer them, but I won't belabor this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for the February 22 meeting. And then we'll go to the third agenda item, which is discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report, enforcement and regulatory updates and legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with my audio situation, so I will just I will say candidate debates are starting, you can see the reports. If you have questions I'm happy to answer them, but I won't belabor this with my audio issues.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We have a motion from Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I second. Commissioner Titla. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. All right. We have a motion to approve the minutes. Let's go ahead and call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So the motion carries 5-0 approving the minutes for the February 22 meeting. And then we'll go to the third agenda item, which is discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report, enforcement and regulatory updates and legislative updates.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commissioners. MR. COLLINS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I wanted to preview just real we'll have Patty Hansen, who is the Coconino County Recorder, joining us today on the next agenda item, so I'm going to move through this relatively quickly to try to get it to that. I think a couple of highlights. The next local election is on May 17th, with early voting beginning on April 20th. The candidate filing period the candidate filing period began (technical disruption) MS. HERRING: Tom, we lost your audio. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah. MS. HERRING: Tom, can you hear us? MR. COLLINS: If people can't hear me I'm going to need somebody to tell me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tom, we can't hear you. MR. COLLINS: Okay. I don't know what to do with my audio situation, so I will just I will say candidate debates are starting, you can see the reports. If you have questions I'm happy to answer them, but I won't belabor this with my audio issues. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a debate actually

	6		7
1	MR. COLLINS: No, no. They they will begin at	1	/ know, I think there's there the I mean, I think
2	that time. The schedule has already been put together.	2	
3	, , , ,	3	among the major issues, there is a case at the Arizona
4	Like I said well, you didn't hear obviously. The goal is to is to get to a place where we can get them	4	Supreme Court now involving whether or not to get rid of vote by mail; we don't know when that will be ruled on.
5		5	
	all done before early voting begins, because we think that's	6	We were, I guess, pleased to see that the some
6	the way to maximize the utility of the process. If Gina is	-	of the one of the amicus groups was incorporated some
7	there, she can probably answer more questions about debates	7	of the substance of our voter education efforts to try to
8	than I can and probably doesn't have the audio issues.	8	explain how the timing of this lawsuit in addition to the
9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your audio has actually been good	9	merits is particularly problematic. And, you know,
10	the last minute or two, so.	10	obviously the vote-by-mail balloting is something that
11	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman?	11	voters in Arizona rely upon in as the vast majority of
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.	12	voters do.
13	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I just want to say I appreciate	13	I think the other the other issue that I think
14	that goal and hopefully that's able to be done, that it's	14	is worth highlighting is that the State Senate subpoenaed
15	complete before early voting. I know personally I I	15	additional records from the Maricopa County Elections
16	actually consulted our debates when I was voting in	16	Department and/or the Recorder's Office this week I guess
17	different races if I wasn't familiar with the candidates, so	17	directly to the Board of Supervisors, but related to a
18	that was I found it super helpful as a voter.	18	report that came out of their audit relating or their
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.	19	their review that had to do with whether or not signatures
20	Any other comments or questions from the other	20	are being properly matched by folks who are reviewing those
21	Commissioners?	21	early voting envelope affidavits.
22	And then, Tom, anything more to add on the	22	So as you all know, when you submit your mail
23	Executive Director report?	23	ballot, you put the ballot in an envelope and sign that
24	MR. COLLINS: You know, I hang on.	24	envelope stating, you know, essentially affirming who you
25	Now that I've again, I I I I think, you	25	are; that envelope is an affidavit, the signatures are
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC 8		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
1		1	9 Want to move on?
1	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County	1	want to move on?
2	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database.	2	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I
2 3	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by	2 3	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was
2 3 4	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared	2 3 4	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what
2 3 4 5	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared	2 3 4 5	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review
2 3 4 5 6	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly	2 3 4 5 6	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly
2 3 4 5 6 7	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people.	2 3 4 5 6 7	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review." MR. COLLINS: Okay. Yeah, the yeah, they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report? I know there's you know, there's 27 pages of,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review." MR. COLLINS: Okay. Yeah, the yeah, they're not the the review didn't compare the signatures on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report? I know there's you know, there's 27 pages of, you know, very small print here of bills that are currently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review." MR. COLLINS: Okay. Yeah, the yeah, they're not the review didn't compare the signatures on file with the county, they compared the signatures to public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report? I know there's you know, there's 27 pages of, you know, very small print here of bills that are currently in the House or Senate Floor that could impact or relate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review." MR. COLLINS: Okay. Yeah, the yeah, they're not the the review didn't compare the signatures on file with the county, they compared the signatures to public databases (technical disruption) </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report? I know there's you know, there's 27 pages of, you know, very small print here of bills that are currently in the House or Senate Floor that could impact or relate to voting. Is there any anyone that wants to highlight any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review." MR. COLLINS: Okay. Yeah, the yeah, they're not the the review didn't compare the signatures on file with the county, they compared the signatures to public databases (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're losing Tom's audio again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report? I know there's you know, there's 27 pages of, you know, very small print here of bills that are currently in the House or Senate Floor that could impact or relate to voting. Is there any anyone that wants to highlight any of those that we need to be concerned about at this time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review." MR. COLLINS: Okay. Yeah, the yeah, they're not the the review didn't compare the signatures on file with the county, they compared the signatures to public databases (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're losing Tom's audio again. Mike, Gina, do you want to are you able to pick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report? I know there's you know, there's 27 pages of, you know, very small print here of bills that are currently in the House or Senate Floor that could impact or relate to voting. Is there any anyone that wants to highlight any of those that we need to be concerned about at this time? I know Commissioner Kimble usually weighs in a few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compared on that to the signatures on file with the County Recorder or through the state voter registration database. There was a the Senate had a review conducted by a contractor who looked at those signatures, compared them looked at a sample of those signatures and compared them initially to commercially available or publicly available signatures of, in theory, the same people. Elections experts are very skeptical of this mode of review, in part because (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: We lost you, Tom. Tom. We lost your audio there. "Election experts are skeptical of this review," I heard that. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, I didn't catch any of that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're back. I said the last thing I heard you say was "election experts are skeptical of this type of review." MR. COLLINS: Okay. Yeah, the yeah, they're not the the review didn't compare the signatures on file with the county, they compared the signatures to public databases (technical disruption) CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're losing Tom's audio again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 want to move on? MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I believe Tom caught most of it. Basically there was Maricopa County in their report they they basically, what what Tom was saying is that when the third-party review did the signature analysis, they looked at these publicly available signatures as opposed to the voter signatures that are already on file. So when the counties are doing their signature review, they're looking at all the signatures that they have on file for the voter's registration record, and then so there obviously could be concerns and issues with doing a signature comparison and analysis to publicly available signatures, you know, and the accuracy and and authenticity of doing so. So that I believe pretty much sums up what Tom was trying to communicate. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything more on the Executive Director report? I know there's you know, there's 27 pages of, you know, very small print here of bills that are currently in the House or Senate Floor that could impact or relate to voting. Is there any anyone that wants to highlight any of those that we need to be concerned about at this time?

	10		11
1	they think we should be aware of?	1	action on 2020 legislative bills on the topics of elections,
2	MR. BECKER: Chairman, Commissioners, there are a	2	voting, and administration.
3	couple of bills that were supposedly dead prior,	3	Obviously, if you want to, you know, move on to
4	Mr. Fillmore has a bill that's become a strike everything.	4	that item or move up move that up, I just I just want
5	Senator Kelly Townsend has taken up the mantle.	5	to be clear, you know, who is speaking about what and and
6	This is a bill that pretty much guts mail-in	6	what point we're addressing.
7	balloting, it requires all votes be counted within 24 hours.	7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.
8	It's it's an interesting bill to say the least.	8	Okay. Tom, I don't know if you want to give this a
9	From what I've heard it's it got on a committee,	9	go. Is there anything else in the Executive Director's
10	but from what I heard it's not going to make it out of the	10	report that you would like to convey to us that's not
11	Senate. There's still several Republicans that have said	11	that we haven't discussed?
12	they don't like they don't like it, they won't vote for	12	I see we have the continued great work that Avery
13	it, but we'll see. But it is it was killed at one point	13	and Gina are doing on voter education; and, of course, we
14	on the floor of the Senate and has now come back, but so	14	have Commissioner Chan has joined the Secretary of State's
15	we'll we'll keep an eye on that one.	15	office as their general counsel.
16	MS. KARLSON: Mr	16	Commissioner Chan, can I ask you to just tell us a
17	MR. BECKER: My from what I've heard from	17	little bit about your new role with the Secretary's office;
18	different people down there, it doesn't look like it will go	18	and, of course, congratulations.
19	anywhere.	19	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	MS. KARLSON: Mr. Chairman?	20	Yeah, I just started Monday, and it's nice to be
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Ms. Karlson.	21	working outside the home again and kind of getting back into
22	MS. KARLSON: I apologize for interrupting, but	22	the saddle legally.
23	since it's not the Executive Director who is speaking on	23	So the job entails, you know, giving legal advice
24	this issue right now, perhaps this discussion would be	24	to the agency; and because of the kind of distinct
25	better had under Agenda Item VI, discussion and possible	25	decoupling of the Secretary's office from the AG's office,
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	12		13
1	I'm going to be representing them in giving advice, things	1	We have an agenda item about that, if it's it's
2	that, you know, the AG's office would normally do. So a lot	2	okay with everyone, I'm just I'm happy to preterm that
3	of public records requests like any agency.	3	with this comment: If it went offline, we have not to the
4	We have multiple divisions here including the	4	best of my knowledge heard any complaints from candidates
5	library, which has its own boards and commissions and they	5	here at Clean Elections. As I said to the Cap Times this
6	need legal advice; we have of course elections is one, so	6	week, my hope is that this turns out not to be a big deal.
7	when candidate challenges comes up, the Secretary's office	7	Obviously the Secretary has started to push back
8	is there just nominally, so I'll be handling those; the	8	aggressively against candidates who complain, asking them,
9	business services division handles notary and UCC, and the	9	you know, had did you receive our communications on this
10	main thing is any notary complaints and administrative	10	and that kind of thing which, you know, of course that they
11	proceedings that entail that those entail.	11	had been communicating since they decided to start
12	So that's kind of a high-level overview. And I'm	12	communicating about the issues, so.
13	just really excited to be back at work actually, it's kind	13	So my hope is that folks have the notice that they
14	of the perfect fit for for me right now and what they	14	should have had and have been able to accommodate the issue.
15	needed. So I'm very grateful for the opportunity, so.	15	That said, it's the Attorney General's office had posted
16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, congratulations.	16 17	a request for complaints, I don't know if they've received
18	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.	18	any, and I don't know and I do know to the best of my
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: We're excited for you and the	19	knowledge the lawsuit between the Secretary's office and the Attorney General's office remains ongoing.
20	opportunity. Tom, the other issue on here that I want to ask you	20	So that is my update on that, Mr. Chairman, if
21	about is the E-Qual issue and taking that offline. Do we	21	anyone has any questions.
22	need to have any further discussion on that at this point in	22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.
23	time?	23	I don't have any other questions on the Executive
24	MR. COLLINS: I'm going to take a shot at this,	24	Director's report, do any of the other Commissioners?
1			
25	Mr. Chairman.	25	Seeing none, then I apologize for jumping ahead
25	Mr. Chairman. Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	25	Seeing none, then I apologize for jumping ahead Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

	14		15
1	here a little bit.	1	the resource challenges to Arizona elections today?
2	The next item on our agenda is Item Number IV, this	2	MS. HANSEN: Well, thank you very much, Chairman
3	is discussion or possible action on election voting	3	Meyer, and thank your your fellow Commissioners for
4	administration issues, including funding and policy with	4	letting me come today and visit with you.
5	Coconino County Recorder Patty Hansen.	5	There's many challenges facing elections
6	So Commissioners, last month we heard from Cochise	6	administration at the moment, but I think I want to
7	County Elections Director Lisa Mara, today we are fortunate	7	concentrate on the financial challenges.
8	to be joined by Coconino County Recorder Patty Hansen. We	8	As you heard I've been doing this for a long time,
9	asked Patty to be here today to discuss challenges facing	9	and, in fact, April 1st it will be 35 years I've been
10	election officials especially around resources which she has	10	involved in election administration. And I know I look way
11	been engaged with at the state and federal level for many	11	too young to be to have done it that long but no, just
12	years.	12	joking.
13	Patty began her election administration career in	13	But during that time I had never had enough money
14	1987 when she was appointed to be the Deputy Election	14	to do everything that I felt needed to be done to really
15	Commissioner for Lancaster County, Nebraska. She served in	15	have a very successful election and reach out to the people
16	a variety of election posts in Nebraska and Minnesota,	16	in our county.
17	including elections administrator for the Minnesota	17	But what happened in 2020 was the Center for
18	Secretary of State's office.	18	Technology and Civic Life gave us a \$614,000 grant, and that
19	She became the election administrator for Coconino	19	grant I think helped us not only have a successful election,
20	County, Arizona, in 2003, and she was first elected to	20	we broke all sorts of records in regards to number of people
21	Coconino County Recorder in 2012 and has held leadership	21	who registered to vote and voter turnout. And so and we
22	roles in numerous state and national election organizations.	22	did all of this by keeping everything safe and secure.
23	So on behalf of all the Commissioners, I want to	23	And I just want to give you an idea of what we've
24	welcome Ms. Hansen. And as an opening questioning, I wanted	24	spent the money on. We were able to do install ballot
25	to ask her: Based on your experience, how significant are	25	dropboxes throughout the county. As you're probably aware,
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	16		17
1	Coconino County is geographically quite large. Land size,	1	unreasonable amount of money to pay them for their work.
2	we're larger than I think it's seven U.S. states. So that's	2	We also used it for temporary employees on the
4	one of the challenges we faced. And what we did is we were able to expand the	4	Navajo Nation because we did not want to put dropboxes on the Navajo Nation because we were really concerned with the
5	number of ballot dropboxes from two that we've had for way	4 5	increase in early voting, that many of the Navajo voters had
6	over 30 years in Flagstaff, to 16 other locations throughout	6	not been voting by mail, and we wanted to make sure that
7	the county; and those dropboxes resulted in 45 percent of	7	they were able to have any necessary language assistance and
8	our early voters return their vote-early ballot through	8	also to check that that ballot affidavit envelope that Tom
9	those dropboxes.	9	mentioned earlier in his talk was actually signed, 'cause if
10	Now, one of the things with dropboxes, the box	10	it's not signed we can't count the ballot.
11	itself is not the only (indiscernible). We need to hire	11	We increased security, we were able to the
12	people to go out there on a regular basis every day or every	12	security at our Tuba City office. We have Flagstaff
13	other day in teams of two, opposite political party, to	13	satellite mall satellite office at the Flagstaff Mall.
14	retrieve those early ballots.	14	Our office was closed to the public because of COVID 'cause
15	So we've we're we hired many temporary	15	we don't have did not have a large area for people to be
16	employees with the funds given to us through the CTCL grant.	16	able to spread out and vote safely, so we had an office at
17	We used it for motor pool charges, 'cause to drive	17	the Flagstaff Mall.
18	around this county it takes a lot of gasoline and you need	18	We purchased additional equipment such as laptops
19	vehicles. We were able to give our poll workers what we	19	and our electronic poll books. And one thing I think that
20	called hazard pay I guess is the best way to put it. We	20	really helped a lot was we did ran radio ads and
21	were having trouble recruiting poll workers because of the	21	newspaper ads that were specific to the area advertising
22	pandemic, so we were able to increase our poll worker pay of	22	where these dropboxes were and other information; and for
23	\$120 by another hundred dollars. And that may seem like a	23	the first time since I've been with the office, which was
24	big increase, but when you consider they're starting usually	24	starting in 2003, we ran those ads in English. We've always
25	at 5:00 a.m. and going until 9:00 p.m., that's not an	25	had to run them in Navajo because we're under the minority
1	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

	18		19
1	language provision of the Voting Rights Act, but I think	1	difference between the Help America Vote Act funds and the
2	able to reach people in the rural areas of our county, radio	2	CTCL funds, was CTCL just said "spend it the way you think
3	was really the best way to do it.	3	it will help you the most." The Help America Vote Act funds
4	So we were very excited about that.	4	is usually a specific funds that is like for security, and
5	We've also received funding in the past and also in	5	it's to buy equipment. So, you know, we can buy keypa
6	2020 from the Help America Vote Act from the federal	6	or electronic poll books. Well, electronic poll books don't
7	government, and most of that money that is coming in for	7	program themselves and maintain themselves and do the
8	specific purposes such as the security grant that we	8	testing and that, so you need people for that. So that's
9	received that we had to use to increase security. And so	9	why the CTCL, we used it for hiring people to do what needed
10	what we did is we did some we had the Department of	10	to be done.
11	Homeland Security has people that came and they reviewed our	11	And since I've been involved in election
12	locations and gave us ideas on how we needed to improve	12	administration I've seen that the majority of the funds that
13	things. Like in our lobby area at our main office, it's a	13	come from the federal government, such as the funds that
14	big glass, and they said, "Well, somebody could drive right	14	came originally when the Help America Vote Act was passed,
15	through there." So we bought big boulders thank goodness	15	was to buy voting equipment. So we got rid of our punch
16	we have a lot of boulders up here in Flagstaff and put	16	card system and went to digital, optical scan equipment and
17	those up, you know, just as a barrier. Things we didn't	17	touch screen for accessible voting. Again, we bought the
18	even think about.	18	equipment but we needed a warehouse, we needed staff that
19	We also have installed camera systems for video	19	could take care of that equipment, and so it's always a
20	surveillance throughout our facilities. We used money to	20	blessing to get some money, but we don't always get money
21	buy a special software that will help us with cyber security	21	where it's needed for election administration.
22	through our IT network; and we now have keypad entry to keep	22	And an example, just at the state level there's a
23	track of who is coming and going into areas of our	23	bill, HB 2493, to create an election integrity fund. Well,
24	facilities.	24	again, that's to be used for security, and it does say in
25	Now, one of the things with funding and the	25	that that you can have staffing. Well, we've gotten a lot
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	20		21
1	of money from the federal government for security, security	1	felt like the money was tainted or was used to be part of
2	is I don't need funds for security, what would really	2	what I call "the big lie," which is that there was all this
3	help is if the State would give us funds to pay for the	3	fraud and it threw the elections and the elections in 2020
4	state voter registration system which is called AVID. The	4	were not secure and accurate.
5	counties have to pay for the system, and coming up with that	5	And so the legislature passed a bill that we can no
6	money makes it difficult.	6	longer accept money grant money except from governmental
7 8	So I've been involved with a group that kind of came out of the CTCL group which was working at the national	7 8	entities such as the federal government or the state
9	level for a to create or ask Congress for \$20 billion	9	government. And the Center when I've talked to people there,
10	over the next ten years for an election infrastructure	10	they really felt like you know, they stepped up and did
11	initiative, and this would be money that would come directly	11	this nationwide; I mean, they gave out millions of dollars
12	from the local offices instead of going through the state.	12	across the country to local election offices. And they
13	That was another advantage of the CTCL. When it	13	they said it's disturbing that we were relying on private
14	goes through the state, even at the Secretary of State or	14	a private organization, even though it's nonpartisan and
15	through the Governor's office, there's usually politics will	15	nonprofit, to fund our elections. Elections are integral to
16	come into play unfortunately and it's it's it makes it	16	our democracy. And that's why we feel that the
17	difficult for the money to come.	17	infrastructure of our country should include our elections,
18	Like, there was money available going through the	18	so that's why we're working on that.
19	Governor's office in 2020, but it was so burdensome to have	19	I want to thank the Commission. You have been such
20	to do the weekly reports and everything to get that money,	20	an important partner to the election officials across
21	we didn't apply for it. So the Center for Technology and	21	Arizona. We you have helped us in so many ways and
22	Civic Life is a private organization, it's a nonprofit.	22	through radio ads or like I know you helped us with our
23	It's from what I understand, most of it is funded through	23	voter guide, which is we mail out prior to every state
24	Mark Zuckerberg and his wife; and that became a political	24	primary election, and that's we do that to all registered
25	issue because people that don't like Mark Zuckerberg then	25	voters to help us clean our list, it's required under the

	22		00
1	National Voter Registration Act.	1	23
2	-		went that money had to go through the Governor's office
3	I mean, there's so many things that the Commission	2	from what I understand to be doled out, and the Governor's
4	has helped us with through your mission of educating voters	4	office put in place some requirements that made it, like, a
5	and, like I said, thank you so much. It's it's been I	4 5	weekly reporting that made it difficult when you're in a
6	don't know what we would do without you, truthfully, right	6	you know, a big crunch for the elections.
7	now.	7	Typically what we did when it goes to the Secretary of State's office is we submit our grant application, and
8	So I'd be willing to ask (verbatim) any questions.	8	
9	You're probably always hearing "money, money, money, that's	9	then after the elections we submit a report of what we've
	what people need," but it's we've done some things that I		spent it on and how and that but this was a little bit
10 11	know we're very proud of across the state, and hopefully	10	more burdensome-reporting type thing to go through.
	we'll be able to continue to do things and also in		CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah, and I think you said you got
12 13	partnership with your Commission. So, thank you.	12 13	a security grant. Was that through the federal government
	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you're very welcome. Thank		or was that a state a state fund?
14	you. Thank you for that. That was a lot of information, I	14	MS. HANSEN: That was the federal government, it
15 16	appreciate that.	15	was through the Help America Vote Act. And that came
_	I did have one question and I think I thought I	16	through the Secretary of State's office.
17	heard you say that there were some state funds available but	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Okay.
18 19	the reporting requirements were so onerous that that you	18 19	MS. HANSEN: And we applied to them and they then
	had decided not to apply for them or your office did. And I	20	gave us the money.
20 21	guess did I hear that right; and, two, if I did, can you	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Great.
21	tell me a little bit more about that?	21	Okay. Do any other Commissioners have any other
22	MS. HANSEN: Yeah, it was through the because of	22	questions for Ms. Hansen while she's here? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I do.
23	the pandemic it came it was part of the CARES Act	23 24	
24 25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. MS. HANSEN: in regards to funding. And it	24 25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, Ms Commissioner Chan.
25		25	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC 24		25
1		1	
1	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.	1	feeling optimistic about it right now.
2	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were	2	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great.
2 3	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how	2 3	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too.
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know,	2 3 4	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an	2 3 4 5	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job.	2 3 4 5 6	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these	2 3 4 5 6 7	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative O'Halleran, our representative, has signed onto it and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter education and that sort of thing, if you can kind of talk to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative O'Halleran, our representative, has signed onto it and I think a couple other Arizona representatives have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter education and that sort of thing, if you can kind of talk to us about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative O'Halleran, our representative, has signed onto it and I think a couple other Arizona representatives have. It it depends. You know, anything getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter education and that sort of thing, if you can kind of talk to us about that. MS. HANSEN: Well, in the security area I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative O'Halleran, our representative, has signed onto it and I think a couple other Arizona representatives have. It it depends. You know, anything getting through the U.S. Congress is difficult, but there does seem	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter education and that sort of thing, if you can kind of talk to us about that. MS. HANSEN: Well, in the security area I think there is support. Like, there was money attached to a bill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative O'Halleran, our representative, has signed onto it and I think a couple other Arizona representatives have. It it depends. You know, anything getting through the U.S. Congress is difficult, but there does seem to be a strong support. They did some national polling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter education and that sort of thing, if you can kind of talk to us about that. MS. HANSEN: Well, in the security area I think there is support. Like, there was money attached to a bill that that would have required us to use a certain type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative O'Halleran, our representative, has signed onto it and I think a couple other Arizona representatives have. It it depends. You know, anything getting through the U.S. Congress is difficult, but there does seem to be a strong support. They did some national polling and, in fact, I'm going to be sitting in on a meeting next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter education and that sort of thing, if you can kind of talk to us about that. MS. HANSEN: Well, in the security area I think there is support. Like, there was money attached to a bill that that would have required us to use a certain type of paper with holographic images and a lot of different things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Patty. It's so good to see you. And you were so informative, as always. And I love hearing exactly how many years you've been doing this because it just, you know, solidifies everything for me about why you are such an expert and do such an incredible job. I did have a few questions and you touched on these issues and and so did the Chairman, but what do you think do you have any idea of what the likelihood is of getting federal funding that your group is asking for? And can you reiterate for me how much money you're asking for, and you said over ten years I think. MS. HANSEN: Yes, it is 20 billion, with a B. I know it's kind of "oh," but it's nationwide and it's over ten years. And we there's I think the last I read there was 80 cosponsors on the bill. I know Representative O'Halleran, our representative, has signed onto it and I think a couple other Arizona representatives have. It it depends. You know, anything getting through the U.S. Congress is difficult, but there does seem to be a strong support. They did some national polling and, in fact, I'm going to be sitting in on a meeting next week, the results of the polling but they're finding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	feeling optimistic about it right now. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Great. MS. HANSEN: So and it's good to see you, too. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Yeah, and congrats on your new position. I look forward to hopefully I won't have to bother you too much in that role. COMMISSIONER CHAN: You're never a bother. Happy to talk to you any time. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CHAN: I didn't realize it was a bill already, so that's I mean, to me that's already huge progress. I thought it was more of an idea that you guys were pursuing, so that's fantastic news. And, you know, you kind of touched on this as well but, you know, how receptive are our state legislators to any additional funding for elections and, you know, voter education and that sort of thing, if you can kind of talk to us about that. MS. HANSEN: Well, in the security area I think there is support. Like, there was money attached to a bill that that would have required us to use a certain type of paper with holographic images and a lot of different things in it. There was money attached if that had passed would

	26		27
1	the cost was going to triple or, you know, really increase	1	legislature seems willing to provide money for election
2	if we had to go to that kind of paper.	2	security. And and, frankly, I would agree with what I
3	So when it's things dealing with preventing fraud,	3	think I heard you say which is our election security is
4	it seems like there is support now in the legislature.	4	already top notch. And that's not to say there can't be
5	But I really do feel that that is an area where we	5	improvements ever, but I think that, you know, part of the
6	are really being funded well at the national level through	6	problem with "the big lie" was was convincing people that
7		7	there was actual fraud that was a problem, when in reality
	the Help America Vote Act.		
8 9	So the pandemic I think I mean, if anything	8 9	that is that is not a problem in any way, shape, or form.
	could come out of such a tragic thing did open up some of		I mean, we all know that sometimes people have bad
10	the doors for us to get some funding in regards to, you	10 11	intent and get through with voting, you know, maybe in more
11	know, personal safety such as the sneeze guards and things	12	than one state, for example, but that's very, very rare.
12	like that. So I think we're doing pretty good there.		So I I appreciate what you've told us.
13	But otherwise, I don't see too much interest in	13	I did have a question and this is about voters.
14	providing money to the counties like for staffing issues.	14	You know, do you think there's anything that you can
15	Staffing I think you'll find across the state is the hardest	15	recommend for voters as far as how we communicate to voters,
16	thing for us, 'cause as things become more technical you	16	you know, about how they can stay involved in these
17	need staff with special skills and training and expertise.	17	processes?
18	It's not like we could just hire now, you know, any go to	18	I mean, that's kind of always the the rub for
19	a temp service and hire anybody to come in and do a lot of	19	us, right? Getting voters engaged and maintaining that. If
20	these things, so.	20	you have anything to offer on that, I'd love to hear it.
21	And we we ran background checks on people, too,	21	MS. HANSEN: I think continuing the education part.
22	and that's a little bit extra costs there, so.	22	I know through the years one of the most frustrating things
23	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I think, you know, one thing	23	for me is when people ask, "Where can I find information on
24	you mentioned and I did hear you say this in your original	24	candidates that's unbiased?" And, you know, you're
25	discussion that you gave us, is that, you know, our state	25	fulfilling that role in a lot of ways, but as much as you
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	28		29
1	can expand that out. I always refer people to your website	1	And two, it's confusing. I I always know when
2	and to the debates and that.	2	something goes wrong or there's an issue not going wrong,
3	But I know working with Gina has been very, very	3	but an issue in Maricopa County, 'cause my phones start
4	helpful. And now that I'm thinking, I should probably get a		
5		4	ringing off the hook that they think it's here. And it's
	list when you have those debates in place to have it posted	5	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's
6	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information	5 6	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now.
7	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more.	5 6 7	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how
7 8	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think.	5 6 7 8	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the
7 8 9	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved	5 6 7 8 9	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots,
7 8 9 10	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here	5 6 7 8 9 10	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back
7 8 9 10 11	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters.
7 8 9 10 11 12	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're processing we make sure that we've processed all of them.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question as far as you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're processing we make sure that we've processed all of them. And, you know, there's lots of things involved that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question as far as you talked about, you know, administration issues and, you know,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're processing we make sure that we've processed all of them. And, you know, there's lots of things involved that I think, you know, may be something that the Commission may	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question as far as you talked about, you know, administration issues and, you know, Mike had mentioned earlier about, you know, this bill that's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're processing we make sure that we've processed all of them. And, you know, there's lots of things involved that I think, you know, may be something that the Commission may want to look at, just teaching basic elections	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question as far as you talked about, you know, administration issues and, you know, Mike had mentioned earlier about, you know, this bill that's proposed to count all the ballots in 24 hours.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're processing we make sure that we've processed all of them. And, you know, there's lots of things involved that I think, you know, may be something that the Commission may want to look at, just teaching basic elections	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question as far as you talked about, you know, administration issues and, you know, Mike had mentioned earlier about, you know, this bill that's proposed to count all the ballots in 24 hours.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're processing we make sure that we've processed all of them. And, you know, there's lots of things involved that I think, you know, may be something that the Commission may want to look at, just teaching basic elections administration basics. Sorry, I'll give you more work.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question as far as you talked about, you know, administration issues and, you know, Mike had mentioned earlier about, you know, this bill that's proposed to count all the ballots in 24 hours. Can you kind of speak to that, is that possible to do? What type of challenges would that present to Coconino
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on our website, too, and so that we can get that information out more. But yeah, the education part I think. And I know people are wanting to be more involved and learn about elections, and I've thought about up here doing what I call kind of an election college, kind of a take on the electoral college. But during the off years to do an education kind of program of the basics of how elections work, 'cause a lot of people don't realize all of the steps we go through to keep things secure, such as following the ballot. I know Yavapai has a good program on that where, you know, when the early ballot comes in, you know, we count them all, we record the count; when we're processing we make sure that we've processed all of them. And, you know, there's lots of things involved that I think, you know, may be something that the Commission may want to look at, just teaching basic elections	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 like, no, that's not our county. But, you know, how it's hard right now. And another problem we have that I don't know how to solve is at NAU they sign people up for the provisional I mean, not provisional, for early ballots, students never pick 'em up. We get trays of ballots back after the election that were never picked up by the voters. And so, you know, how do you engage and reach people that a generation that doesn't even use snail mail at all. And it's like: Oh, I have a post office box? I didn't know I have a post office box. So things like that I guess. I'm sorry, I'll just ramble on. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question as far as you talked about, you know, administration issues and, you know, Mike had mentioned earlier about, you know, this bill that's proposed to count all the ballots in 24 hours.

	00		04
	30		31
1	Can you maybe shed some light on that or share your	1	know. It's if you want accuracy, you do not do it by
2	thoughts with us on that type of provision?	2	hand.
3	MS. HANSEN: I don't know how we would do it in	3	And you know, yeah, I'd like to give the legislator
4	Coconino County. It's like I mentioned earlier for poll	4	a big stack of like 500 ballots that are marked and ask him
5	workers, they're working 5:00 a.m. until about 9:00 p.m.	5	to tabulate it by hand to see what is involved. I mean,
6	We're going to ask them to stay another I mean, I would	6	it's easy if we only had one question on the ballot maybe,
7	say it's going to be another, you know, five or six hours to	7	you know, one race.
8	count a general election ballot. There are so many errors	8	But I just don't see how it can be done. I really
9	in hand counting.	9	don't.
10	And to get things I mean, you can't just do the	10	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Well, we saw how long it took
11	marks for the ballots, I've seen in counting. I've seen	11	for the ninjas to do two races, so.
12	people in that during our post-election hand audit you	12	MS. HANSEN: True.
13	have to do stacking method, which is you separate. So you	13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Any other
14	do I guess governor and then do that race, and then you have	14	Commissioners have any questions for Ms. Hansen?
15	to do attorney general and then that race. I mean	15	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman, this is
16	And it contrary to what people believe, we don't	16	Commissioner Kimble.
17	have people coming out of the woodwork that want to work for	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, Commissioner Kimble.
18	us as poll workers.	18	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Ms. Hansen, thank you very
19	And I just can't even imagine Maricopa County, how	19	much for your for your information, I learned a lot from
20	you could do almost two million or one and a half million	20	what you said.
21	ballots by hand.	21	I just had one question. You you talked about
22	I have seen when I worked in Nebraska there was	22	using funds for security, for ballot dropboxes. If you were
23	still several counties that small counties that tabulated	23	to get some funds without onerous restrictions placed on
24	by hand their votes and I would see mistakes. Numbers would	24	them, what's your greatest funding need now?
25	be recorded, you know numbers transposed and I don't	25	You mentioned people. Is that it or are there some
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
		-	
	32		33
1	32 physical things that you need other than that?	1	
2	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We	1 2	33
	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs.		33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff
2	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I	2	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us
2 3	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs.	2 3	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff
2 3 4	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I	2 3 4	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us
2 3 4 5	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election	2 3 4 5	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker
2 3 4 5 6 7	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to	2 3 4 5 6 7	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough to make it so they can actually learn all of that in detail. And with money I think we could expand that education program for training them and giving them the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just is gratifying to know that we have such an impact. Sometimes, you know, we we are in these meetings and we think that we're doing good, but this just points
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough to make it so they can actually learn all of that in detail. And with money I think we could expand that education program for training them and giving them the supplies. I mean, I don't know about you, but I if I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just is gratifying to know that we have such an impact. Sometimes, you know, we we are in these meetings and we think that we're doing good, but this just points out how how well we have improved things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough to make it so they can actually learn all of that in detail. And with money I think we could expand that education program for training them and giving them the supplies. I mean, I don't know about you, but I if I'm shown a new software program and it's four weeks before I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just is gratifying to know that we have such an impact. Sometimes, you know, we we are in these meetings and we think that we're doing good, but this just points out how how well we have improved things. So thank you for your for being such a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough to make it so they can actually learn all of that in detail. And with money I think we could expand that education program for training them and giving them the supplies. I mean, I don't know about you, but I if I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just is gratifying to know that we have such an impact. Sometimes, you know, we we are in these meetings and we think that we're doing good, but this just points out how how well we have improved things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough to make it so they can actually learn all of that in detail. And with money I think we could expand that education program for training them and giving them the supplies. I mean, I don't know about you, but I if I'm shown a new software program and it's four weeks before I'm going to even touch the equipment, and I will probably not remember everything they taught me four weeks prior. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just is gratifying to know that we have such an impact. Sometimes, you know, we we are in these meetings and we think that we're doing good, but this just points out how how well we have improved things. So thank you for your for being such a professional and and, you know, hopefully we can help in other ways, that we can think of other ways. And if you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough to make it so they can actually learn all of that in detail. And with money I think we could expand that education program for training them and giving them the supplies. I mean, I don't know about you, but I if I'm shown a new software program and it's four weeks before I'm going to even touch the equipment, and I will probably not remember everything they taught me four weeks prior. So how can we improve that they know how to use everything and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just is gratifying to know that we have such an impact. Sometimes, you know, we we are in these meetings and we think that we're doing good, but this just points out how how well we have improved things. So thank you for your for being such a professional and and, you know, hopefully we can help in other ways, that we can think of other ways. And if you can think of ways that we can help that we're not doing, let the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	physical things that you need other than that? MS. HANSEN: I would say right now it's people. We need to really beef up our training programs. What some people call fraud is human mistakes. I don't know anything else where we have made an election system so complicated for our poll workers that we expect them to work this long day after having only two to four hours at the most of training and have it be error free. I mean, you've got provisional ballots; you've got, you know, touch screen voting equipment; you have electronic poll books; it's ID checking. And I probably shouldn't say this, but I always say it after every election, it's a miracle that it works as well as it does; and it's because our poll workers are so dedicated they do want to do it correctly. But we just I don't believe supply them enough to make it so they can actually learn all of that in detail. And with money I think we could expand that education program for training them and giving them the supplies. I mean, I don't know about you, but I if I'm shown a new software program and it's four weeks before I'm going to even touch the equipment, and I will probably not remember everything they taught me four weeks prior. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	33 That's why a lot of election officials want to go to voting by mail because voting by mail takes the poll workers out of it, the process, and you have trained staff that is actually doing things. And it's much easier for us to train our staff. We can say: You're going to have to be trained for, you know, a week. You can't tell a poll worker they have to attend a five-day training session unless you're going to pay them a lot of money, so. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Thank you. MS. HANSEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton, you have any questions? COMMISSIONER PATON: Well, I just I guess I just have some observations. And it gratifies me to know that our education programs have have been so beneficial in ways that who would have thought, you know, that they could be so helpful to the Recorders and the, you know it just is gratifying to know that we have such an impact. Sometimes, you know, we we are in these meetings and we think that we're doing good, but this just points out how how well we have improved things. So thank you for your for being such a professional and and, you know, hopefully we can help in other ways, that we can think of other ways. And if you can

	34		35
1	Thank you.	1	Power of Fives who we've discussed before.
2	MS. HANSEN: Thank you very much.	2	I'm going to ask Tom to give us a little bit of
3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Paton.	3	background on this item and then I will call on Mr. Sloan or
4	Anyone else?	4	his counsel.
5	Commissioner Titla, do you have any questions or	5	Tom, let's hope for the best here.
6	comments?	6	MS. COADY: Good morning, Chairman Meyer and
7	(No response.)	7	members of the Board. Before Tom begins, I wanted to let
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I see you're on mute.	8	you know that this is Monique Coady, I'm the Board's
9	Okay. Well, Patty, I really want to thank you for	9	independent advisor on previous matters I have advised
10	joining us, I learned a lot this morning; it was very	10	you, and on this particular agenda item today I am your
11	helpful.	11	independent advisor. If you have any legal questions at all
12	Unless anyone else has any further questions, we	12	or want to go into executive session, I will be your
13	are going to move on to our next agenda item. But I guess	13	attorney, not Kara, on this agenda item only.
14	last chance for anyone for more questions?	14	Thank you.
15	Okay. Thank you so much, Patty. We really, really	15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Ms. Coady. Thank you
16	appreciate it.	16	so much.
17	MS. HANSEN: Thank you so much. Take care.	17	Tom?
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You, too.	18	MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners or
19	Okay. Now we're going to move on to Agenda Item	19	yeah.
20	Number V, which is discussion and possible action on	20	So briefly to give you the background here, as you
21	CV2021-007328, The Power of Fives, LLC v. Sloan, et al.	21	know and we did provide notice to TPOF to make sure they
22	For my other Commissioners, staff has scheduled	22	were aware of this hearing we have an ongoing
23	this agenda item in order to discuss a request from	23	enforcement-related action with this TPOF limited liability
24	Mr. Sloan and his attorney related to a contract action	24	company, and we have ongoing litigation in Superior Court
25	between Mr. Sloan and a limited liability company called The	25	with this TPOF company.
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	36		37
1	Mr. Sloan was a client of TPOF in 2020 elections.	1	I missed here, Kara is essentially the staff attorney for
2	You may recall we had an enforcement action related to him, we did a repayment order, and then in December we resolved	2	lack of better way of putting it on this item, so if there's anything that I missed that I need to I do I need to
4	the enforcement action as it relates to Mr. Sloan.	4	defer to her on, any blanks I need to fill in before.
5	Mr. Sloan has a had a contractual relationship	5	So Mr. Chairman, if you want to ask her that before
6	of some kind with The Power of Fives company; they went	6	you hand it off, that might be a good idea.
7	through an arbitration procedure, that then was converted	7	And I hope everybody heard me. I'm trying the
8	into well, that that subsequently turned into a	8	phone here now.
9	judgment that Mr. Sloan is seeking to appeal from.	9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, we did. We did hear you and I
10	By way of background, when this arbitration arose,	10	appreciate that, Tom.
11	we we were subpoenaed I was subpoenaed to testify at	11	And I don't know, Ms. Coady, do you have anything
12	that, and with the help of the with the help of Kara and	12	to add to what what Tom said there, or should I turn this
13	the Attorney General's office we had that subpoena quashed.	13	over to Mr. Sloan or Mr. Stanley I believe is his counsel?
14	The and I will the issue that was presented	14	MS. COADY: I believe Mr. Collins wanted to verify
15	to us and that we brought before you out of an effort to	15	with Kara as the staff attorney for Clean Elections whether
16	ensure that there is transparency in this and and to	16	she had anything to add to his summary before you turn it
17	ensure that we don't have any additional extraneous issues,	17	over. So I would offer Kara Karlson the opportunity right
18	is whether or not the Commission would file some form of	18	now if she wanted to add anything.
19	amicus brief or something in in this appeal from this	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.
20	judgment related to the whether or not because there was	20	MS. KARLSON: Yes, thank you.
21	an enforcement action brought in this, that the that	21	Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, I think Mr. Collins
22	there is a public policy argument for why this judgment	22	hit all of the key procedural points about where the various
23	shouldn't be enforced.	23	parties stand and where we stand in regards to to all the
24	I would leave it there. Before we before I hand	24	various parties and the different suits and enforcement
25	it back to Mr. Chairman, I would suggest if there's anything	25	actions that are either pending or have been resolved.
1	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

-		1	
	38		39
1	So unless do you have any specific questions or	1	MR. STANLEY: This is Brian Stanley speaking for
2	any Commissioners have specific questions on that before you	2	Eric Sloan.
3	proceed to opening it up to anyone else, I'm I'm	3	Eric Sloan has appealed from the judgment in the
4	available to answer them.	4	Superior Court case so it's now going to be in the Court of
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah, I don't I don't have any	5	Appeals.
6	additional questions.	6	The fact that there's an investigation or a
7	Do any of the other Commissioners have any	7	charge a complaint actually still pending to which The
8	questions?	8	Power of Fives has responded with a lawsuit keys up the fact
9	(No response.)	9	that there is a controversy there, a controversy that
10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So now is now the time	10	basically revolves around the legality of the arrangements
11	for a statement from Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan?	11	that Power of Fives is making with prospective clean
12	MS. COADY: Absolutely.	12	elections candidates; and I assume is still trying to make
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: 'Kay. Okay.	13	with or will in the future continue to try to make.
14	Mr. Stanley, Mr. Sloan, I leave it to whichever one	14	And I don't need to go into the issues that the
15	of you wants to address the Commission.	15	Clean Elections Commission has with the with the Power of
16	MR. STANLEY: This is	16	Fives' contract 'cause that's already the subject of the
17	MR. SLOAN: Thank you, Chairman. I'll speak	17	lawsuit, but we're talking about what the Commission might
18	briefly and then I'll introduce Mr. Stanley who is	18	be interested in doing with respect to the pending appeal.
19	representing me in this matter.	19	So let me just start out with a brief overview of
20	As the Commission knows, the background on this has	20	the amicus curiae process. Amicus curiae, as you probably
21	been going on for approximately two years. This	21	all know, means "friend of the court." And it's a long
22	Mr. Stanley is here specifically to speak to the legal	22	tradition that appellate courts, in the old days they would
23	arguments with regard to the public policy question that's	23	take the initiative or spontaneously reach out to specially
24	being put in front of the Court, so I'll turn it over to	24	interested public bodies to get perspective, whether it will
25	Mr. Stanley.	25	be a private trade association or a government agency, the
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	40		44
	40		41
1	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here,	1	41 how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as
1 2		1 2	
	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here,		how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as
2	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest,	2	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The
2 3	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's	2 3	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action.
2 3 4	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to	2 3 4	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the
2 3 4 5	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply	2 3 4 5	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are
2 3 4 5 6	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't	2 3 4 5 6	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in
2 3 4 5 6 7	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that	2 3 4 5 6 7	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever interest we represent, and we think the court should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular legal point or points that are at issue in the in the appeal. And that the amici get to see all the briefs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever interest we represent, and we think the court should have the benefit of our perspective on these issues, and then the Court decides whether it it thinks that is appropriate or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular legal point or points that are at issue in the in the appeal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever interest we represent, and we think the court should have the benefit of our perspective on these issues, and then the Court decides whether it it thinks that is appropriate or not. But as a as a state agency you don't have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular legal point or points that are at issue in the in the appeal. And that the amici get to see all the briefs, they're kind of the last party to be heard when the when amici are involved in an appeal, because they their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever interest we represent, and we think the court should have the benefit of our perspective on these issues, and then the Court decides whether it it thinks that is appropriate or not. But as a as a state agency you don't have to take that step.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular legal point or points that are at issue in the in the appeal. And that the amici get to see all the briefs, they're kind of the last party to be heard when the when amici are involved in an appeal, because they their briefs aren't due until after the last briefs of the parties
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever interest we represent, and we think the court should have the benefit of our perspective on these issues, and then the Court decides whether it it thinks that is appropriate or not. But as a as a state agency you don't have to take that step. I think it's appropriate for the Commission to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular legal point or points that are at issue in the in the appeal. And that the amici get to see all the briefs, they're kind of the last party to be heard when the when amici are involved in an appeal, because they their briefs aren't due until after the last briefs of the parties themselves.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever interest we represent, and we think the court should have the benefit of our perspective on these issues, and then the Court decides whether it it thinks that is appropriate or not. But as a as a state agency you don't have to take that step. I think it's appropriate for the Commission to allow its attorneys to monitor what's going on in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular legal point or points that are at issue in the in the appeal. And that the amici get to see all the briefs, they're kind of the last party to be heard when the when amici are involved in an appeal, because they their briefs aren't due until after the last briefs of the parties themselves. So I'm estimating that it will be something in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	court would say, well, there's a public policy issue here, let's hear from this interest this body of interest, because an appellate decision is going to be reported, it's going to be the law that all the judge judges have to follow; and the positions of the parties who are simply litigating, generally speaking about money, don't necessarily reflect all of the policy considerations that the new law made by a decision in the case might might affect. So that was the original origin. It's become more formalized, of course, over the years. In Arizona all state agencies have a right to present themselves as amici curiae, "friends of the court." And other agents other parties, individuals, agencies, groups, associations, have to apply to the court in each case and say: We are whoever we represent or whatever interest we represent, and we think the court should have the benefit of our perspective on these issues, and then the Court decides whether it it thinks that is appropriate or not. But as a as a state agency you don't have to take that step. I think it's appropriate for the Commission to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	how they affect the perspective of the Commission as as it involves the same issues that it's litigating with The Power of Fives in the the action. I mean, they're unrelated actions except that the policy considerations that the Commission thinks are important may be affected by a decision in the in Mr. Sloan's case, and the Commission has the right to get its perspective before the appellate court for that reason. So I think it's appropriate for the Commission to authorize its staff attorneys to monitor and to make recommendations as to whether the Commission should file an amicus. The brief of an amicus doesn't have to 100 percent support the position of any party in the appeal, it can just be limited to saying, well, we are such and such a Commission and our interest is, you know, in clean elections, and here's our perspective on this particular legal point or points that are at issue in the in the appeal. And that the amici get to see all the briefs, they're kind of the last party to be heard when the when amici are involved in an appeal, because they their briefs aren't due until after the last briefs of the parties themselves.

	42		43
1		1	
2	that a decision to present an amicus brief or not would have to be made. So what I think the Commission can do at this	2	to happen in this situation but to continue to happen in other situations moving forward.
3	point is authorize the staff attorneys to to monitor the	3	And so, you know, as we've talked about before, the
4	case, the appeal for that purpose, and to make a	4	Commission has made it very clear that the public policy has
5	recommendation after the briefs are filed, the parties'	5	been violated in this situation. There was a repayment
6	briefs are filed, as to whether the Commission wants to	6	order because of the violation; I've taken responsibility
7	to submit an amicus brief.	7	for the violation. You know, we you know, we have a
8	And I have to defer to the knowledge of the of	8	company that continues to want to violate the public policy
9	the staff attorneys in terms of whether they would also need	9	and the will of this Commission, and this this appeal is
10	to coordinate with the Attorney General's office in terms of	10	going to be on a variety of issues but specifically to the
11	the actual preparation of a brief, but for the staff to be	11	public policy arguments with regards to how it is applied in
12	authorized to to initiate those communications if	12	this case and in future cases.
13	necessary or to prepare a recommendation as to the	13	Thank you.
14	presentation of an amicus brief at when the time comes.	14	-
15		14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Stanley, do you have anything to add after Mr. Sloan made those comments?
16	MR. SLOAN: Mr. Chairman, if I can just add something here?	16	MR. STANLEY: Yes. The the implications as to
17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sloan, go ahead.	17	future cases is going to be there, especially if the Court
18	MR. SLOAN: You know, as I've said before, I'm not	18	
19	an attorney and I'm at quite the disadvantage because there	19	of Appeals opinion is reported. You know, it used to be when I was first called
20	are a lot of attorneys on this call, but the primary issue	20	to the Bar, oh, sometime before the flood, courts were
20	here is whether or not this arbitrator in her ignoring of	20	hostile to arbitration especially where public policy issues
22	the public policy and the policy of the Clean Elections	22	were involved. That has changed completely during my career
23	Commission will be codified in or would be equivalently	23	to a place where the courts are extremely deferential to
24	be well, would be case law. Right? That it would	24	arbitration. Basically it takes something off of their
25	basically create a pathway for this to continue not only	25	plate and leaves it in somebody a private party's lap.
20	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	20	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	44		45
1		1	
1	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far,	1	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in
2	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned.	2	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.
2 3	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to	2 3	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley.
2 3 4	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are	2 3 4	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or
2 3 4 5	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in	2 3 4 5	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan.
2 3 4 5 6	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if	2 3 4 5 6	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any
2 3 4 5 6 7	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That	2 3 4 5 6 7	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any questions? (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any questions? (No response.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. All right. Hearing none, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any questions? (No response.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. All right. Hearing none, I guess I would suggest that we do a very brief executive session to speak with Ms. Coady on this request. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any questions? (No response.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. All right. Hearing none, I guess I would suggest that we do a very brief executive session to speak with Ms. Coady on this request. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you have operators like Power of Fives that have arbitration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you have operators like Power of Fives that have arbitration clauses in their form contracts, you're never going to get a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you have operators like Power of Fives that have arbitration clauses in their form contracts, you're never going to get a court to say whether their contract was legal or not legal,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you have operators like Power of Fives that have arbitration clauses in their form contracts, you're never going to get a court to say whether their contract was legal or not legal, it will always be arbitrators.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. I I don't have any questions for Mr. Stanley or Mr. Sloan. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any questions? (No response.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. All right. Hearing none, I guess I would suggest that we do a very brief executive session to speak with Ms. Coady on this request. I don't we don't need a motion for that, I think we can just I can call that, correct, Tom? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman MS. COADY: I'm sorry, an executive session does require a motion and it requires a majority vote to go into executive session. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I had that wrong, I apologize. MR. COLLINS: You can you can adjourn it. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you have operators like Power of Fives that have arbitration clauses in their form contracts, you're never going to get a court to say whether their contract was legal or not legal, it will always be arbitrators. But anyway, that's one of the the issues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you have operators like Power of Fives that have arbitration clauses in their form contracts, you're never going to get a court to say whether their contract was legal or not legal, it will always be arbitrators. But anyway, that's one of the the issues involved in Mr. Sloan's appeal, but it's also a matter of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And in my opinion, that pendulum has swung too far, especially where public policy issues are concerned. It's very common for companies of various kinds to have arbitration clauses in their contracts. If you are going to be involved with their services, you have to in effect sign their form that says you can't go to court, if there is a dispute it's going to go to arbitration. That kind of a clause was in The Power of Fives' contract that they presented to Mr. Sloan and that's why a court has not actually been heard on the whole issue of the legality of The Power of Fives' contract. So it's it's a problem for the appeal that courts have adopted such a deferential attitude toward the arbitrator. In effect, the court could say, well, public policy can be disregarded by the arbitrator and, sorry, the courts can't do anything about it; and then as long as you have operators like Power of Fives that have arbitration clauses in their form contracts, you're never going to get a court to say whether their contract was legal or not legal, it will always be arbitrators. But anyway, that's one of the the issues involved in Mr. Sloan's appeal, but it's also a matter of concern I would think to the Commission. If there's ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>its perspective heard may be through an amicus brief and in a case of this kind.</pre>

	46		47
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.	1	So that motion passes 5-0. We're going to go into
2	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I move that we go into	2	executive session.
3	executive session to discuss this as you said.	3	It's been a while since we've done this on
4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. I have a motion. Is	4	virtually. Do we end the meeting? How do we how do we
5	there a second?	5	do this?
6	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Commissioner Kimble. Second.	6	MS. HERRING: The live stream will continue and
7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Great.	7	those that are to participate in the executive session will
8	MS. COADY: I I'm sorry, I just want to be clear	8	receive an invitation to join a breakout room.
9	that the motion is to go into executive session to receive	9	So just to confirm, I have Monique, the
10	legal advice on this this matter?	10	Commissioners, our court reporter, and Tom Collins.
11	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Yes.	11	Is there anyone else that should be joining the
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.	12	executive session?
13	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.	13	MS. KARLSON: Tom should not be joining the
14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So we have a motion that	14	executive session.
15	and we have a second, so now we'll take a vote. We're going	15	MS. HERRING: Thank you.
16	to do the roll-call vote.	16	Okay. So then everyone, as I mentioned, will
17	Ms. Chan.	17	receive an invitation to join the breakout; the live stream
18	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye.	18	will continue on Zoom for those staying in this Zoom
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Kimble.	19	meeting; and once those return from the breakout room we can
20	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.	20	go ahead and continue the meeting.
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Paton.	21	MS. COADY: So do we need to leave this meeting
22	COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.	22	before we join the breakout?
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Titla.	23	MS. HERRING: No. So those invited will see an
24	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	24	invitation to a breakout room, so I'll open that right now.
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I'll vote aye as well.	25	(Whereupon the proceeding is in executive session
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	48		49
	10		49
1	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.)	1	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think
1 2		1 2	
			Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think
2	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.)	2	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of
2	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.)	2 3	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief.
2 3 4	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) * * * * *	2 3 4	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't
2 3 4 5	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) * * * * * (Whereupon all members of the public are present	2 3 4 5	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get
2 3 4 5 6	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) ***** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.)	2 3 4 5 6	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any
2 3 4 5 6 7	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) * * * * * (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone	2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session. We did we did have executive session and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things today because, you know, if we continued this, I think there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session. We did we did have executive session and obtained advice from counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things today because, you know, if we continued this, I think there might be a belief on their part that we would and I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session. We did we did have executive session and obtained advice from counsel. I just wanted to comment briefly on the request and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things today because, you know, if we continued this, I think there might be a belief on their part that we would and I don't know how the vote is going to go, but for myself I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) ***** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session. We did we did have executive session and the request and that is, you know, I I think the Commission has made its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things today because, you know, if we continued this, I think there might be a belief on their part that we would and I don't know how the vote is going to go, but for myself I don't think I would support an amicus brief filing going forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) ***** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session. We did we did have executive session and the request and that is, you know, I I think the Commission has made its position clear on on our record regarding your position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things today because, you know, if we continued this, I think there might be a belief on their part that we would and I don't know how the vote is going to go, but for myself I don't think I would support an amicus brief filing going forward based on what we've heard today; and, you know, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) **** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session. We did we did have executive session and obtained advice from counsel. I just wanted to comment briefly on the request and that is, you know, I I think the Commission has made its position clear on on our record regarding your position as to the dispute between Mr. Sloan and Party of Five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things today because, you know, if we continued this, I think there might be a belief on their part that we would and I don't know how the vote is going to go, but for myself I don't think I would support an amicus brief filing going forward based on what we've heard today; and, you know, that's something that is the result of thinking about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from 10:44 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.) ***** (Whereupon all members of the public are present and the proceeding resumes in general session.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We are back. Is everyone else still there? MS. HERRING: We are here. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And do I need to reopen the meeting or do we just MS. COADY: You could announce the time, the meeting we're out of executive session and the meeting is reconvening at 11:06 a.m. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So this Damien Meyer, we have ended our executive session. It's now 11:07 by my watch and we are back in general session. We did we did have executive session and the request and that is, you know, I I think the Commission has made its position clear on on our record regarding your position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commission and Party of Five, and at the time I don't think it's appropriate for the Commission or in the interest of the Commission to consider filing an amicus brief. So at this time I you know, I don't I don't think this is something that the Commission should get involved in. That's my position and I open it up to any other Commissioners if they want to question me on that or have a you know, offer their own opinions but that's where I am. And if no one else has anything to offer I'm happy to entertain a motion. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll just have a make a brief comment and then, you know, as you mentioned, let the other Commissioners if they wish to make a statement. You know, I would echo what you said. My concerns today are twofold. I don't want to give Mr. Sloan and his counsel, you know, false hope of how I'm viewing things today because, you know, if we continued this, I think there might be a belief on their part that we would and I don't know how the vote is going to go, but for myself I don't think I would support an amicus brief filing going forward based on what we've heard today; and, you know, that's

		1	
	50		51
1	have going on, and just the fact that it's not something	1	before the Commission, you move that the Commission not file
2	that would be consistent with what the Commission has done	2	an amicus brief in this pending litigation, Sloan v.
3	in the past, you know, to to my experience being on the	3	Party sorry
4	Commission.	4	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Power of Fives.
5	I think it would be unusual for an amicus brief and	5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Power of Five (verbatim), thank
6	I just don't believe it's our role to do so, even though I	6	you.
7	appreciate the arguments that Mr. Sloan and Mr. Stanley have	7	Do I understand your motion correctly?
8	made today.	8	COMMISSIONER CHAN: That is exactly what I wish to
9	But I I believe that perhaps our transcripts	9	convey.
10	could be of help to them in their case. I mean, this is all	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. And just to clear that
11	public record of what has occurred, and if they wish to use	11	motion up, I'm sorry.
12	that in their arguments, certainly Power of Fives may wish	12	The motion is, Amy, that based on the information
13	to use it in their arguments, I don't know. But that	13	available now, you move that the Commission not file an
14	that's my feelings as of right now.	14	amicus brief in the action of The Power of Fives, LLC versus
15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.	15	Sloan; is that correct?
16	Any other Commissioners have any comments or can I	16	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Correct.
17	entertain a motion?	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Is there a second to that
18	COMMISSIONER CHAN: If there's no comments, I will	18	motion?
19	make a motion that	19	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman, this is
20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, Commissioner Chan.	20	Commissioner Kimble. I second the motion.
21	COMMISSIONER CHAN: that based on the current	21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.
22	information we have, not to file an amicus brief in this	22	Okay. We have a motion and then we have a second.
23	matter.	23	So we'll go ahead and vote and I'm going to call the roll.
24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Just so I understand the	24	I'm going to start with Commissioner Chan.
25	motion, your motion is: Based upon the current information	25	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye.
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	52		53
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble.	1	compiling. I think there was something like 14 bills that
1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble. COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.	1 2	compiling. I think there was something like 14 bills that failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks,
2	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.	2	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks,
2 3	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.	2 3	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.	2 3 4	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla.	2 3 4 5	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state.
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise concerns. Staff has a brief update, and if there's any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder Hansen articulated, in addition to raising a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise concerns. Staff has a brief update, and if there's any questions we'll ask those after the update.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder Hansen articulated, in addition to raising a number of obvious civil rights issues and and issues of fair law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise concerns. Staff has a brief update, and if there's any questions we'll ask those after the update. And I apologize for for my eagerness earlier to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder Hansen articulated, in addition to raising a number of obvious civil rights issues and and issues of fair law also, just doesn't work. It is literally unworkable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise concerns. Staff has a brief update, and if there's any questions we'll ask those after the update. And I apologize for for my eagerness earlier to jump ahead on this topic. But please enlighten us, staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder Hansen articulated, in addition to raising a number of obvious civil rights issues and and issues of fair law also, just doesn't work. It is literally unworkable. When when the county County Association's Lobbyist Jen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise concerns. Staff has a brief update, and if there's any questions we'll ask those after the update. And I apologize for for my eagerness earlier to jump ahead on this topic. But please enlighten us, staff members.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder Hansen articulated, in addition to raising a number of obvious civil rights issues and and issues of fair law also, just doesn't work. It is literally unworkable. When when the county County Association's Lobbyist Jen Marson testified on it this week, you know, she basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise concerns. Staff has a brief update, and if there's any questions we'll ask those after the update. And I apologize for for my eagerness earlier to jump ahead on this topic. But please enlighten us, staff members. MR. COLLINS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. As	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder Hansen articulated, in addition to raising a number of obvious civil rights issues and and issues of fair law also, just doesn't work. It is literally unworkable. When when the county County Association's Lobbyist Jen Marson testified on it this week, you know, she basically told the Senate that it would be impossible and it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I vote aye as well. So we have the motion carries 5-0. So with that we'll move on to Agenda Item Number VI, which we've already I think sort of discussed a bit, but that is discussion and possible action on 2022 legislative bills on the topics of elections, voting, administration, and campaign finance. Today is actually the 74th day of the legislative session. You may have read recently that a number of election bills have stalled in the State Senate, but there are still a number of measures out there that raise concerns. Staff has a brief update, and if there's any questions we'll ask those after the update. And I apologize for for my eagerness earlier to jump ahead on this topic. But please enlighten us, staff members. MR. COLLINS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. As you as Mr. Chairman, as you noted, you know, there are a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	failed on the floor of the Senate in the last two weeks, many of which were of of I think significant concern to the Commission, certainly to the election administratives (verbatim) and county recorders of the state. That said, I wanted to highlight a couple of bills specifically for you. One I think Mike mentioned and we can circle back to, is this the bill that's now offered to strike in the Senate, this is what I think I don't know who did it, but dubbed the "Kraken Bill." This is the sort of notion that the sponsor said his intention was to bring elections back to 1958, which for those of us who, you know, have a history and know a little bit about history, that is before, you know, basically the Voting Rights Act, and that's what the implication of that statement is clearly and obviously. That bill, however, as, you know, County Recorder Hansen articulated, in addition to raising a number of obvious civil rights issues and and issues of fair law also, just doesn't work. It is literally unworkable. When when the county County Association's Lobbyist Jen Marson testified on it this week, you know, she basically told the Senate that it would be impossible and it would be impossible to count count votes by hand at the

	54		55
1	I mean, it's a it's it's monumentally stupid.	1	who established who had essentially a driver's license on
2	My hope is that knowing that this would destroy the	2	file in from 1996 forward or backwards and were already
3	entire election process, that the Senate will not pass it	3	registered, did not need to provide this additional proof of
4	out and that certainly the Governor won't wouldn't sign	4	citizenship.
5	it. But we are keeping an eye on it; obviously it was a	5	The way that this bill is crafted it says that
6	bill that was dead in the House and got revived.	6	notwithstanding any other law, in order for a person to vote
7	The the other bill I think that is worth	7	in the in the presidential election who hasn't
8	pointing out and it's timely and I do have a request about	8	provided who has not provided this, they would have to
9	it, there's a bill called House Bill 2492. House Bill 2492	9	provide it.
10	does a number of things related to proof of citizenship.	10	The issue there is, number one, it expressly
11	By way of background, in 2004 voters passed at the	11	disenfranchises people who are qualified to vote under
12	ballot a referendum placed on the ballot by the legislature	12	federal law which has been established by a case that I
13	that created a requirement for a voter ID provision in our	13	mean, that we lost in 2013; and secondly, it would by
14	state law and additionally created a what is referred to	14	notwithstanding that, it would have I think an obvious
15	as documentary proof of citizenship requirement for voters	15	impact on what voters enacted in 2004, which brings in a
16	seeking to register to vote.	16	Voter Protection Act issue.
17	Just, you know, as a side note, you know,	17	The third point I'm concerned about is it's very
18	Commissioner Chan, when she was election director and my	18	confusing. You know, from a voter education perspective
19	and when I was an attorney at the Attorney General's office,	19	given all the other things we're dealing with, this would
20	were both involved in litigating this issue for years.	20	inject a whole level of uncertainty and education around who
21	We the bill, 2492, seeks to revamp this	21	is qualified, who is not, and it would center that issue
22	provision particularly around the citizenship proof issue,	22	around the presidential election which is just it's
23	and it has some really deeply problematic components.	23	it's the potential downside is quite quite
24	Whereas in 2004 when voters passed this initiative, they	24	significant.
25	expressly determined that people who had received their	25	I can so that's that's the upside of that
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	56		57
1	bill.	1	perspective on it, I mean I've been registered to vote in
2	I I can tell you that the, you know, the	2	Arizona since I was 18, and that is well before the
3	election the County Association has has weighed in	3	requirement for proof of citizenship, it was when we just
4	against the bill. I know that the county recorder some	4	swore that we were citizens and, you know, it was under the
5	of the county recorders are contemplating and will ask for a	5	penalty of perjury.
6	veto from Governor Ducey, and the Secretary of State's	6	So I'm one of the people affected by this bill and
7	office or Secretary of State herself, rather, has called	7	I imagine quite a few of us others on this, you know, Zoom
8	the Governor to veto this bill.	8 9	are probably affected by this bill.
9 10	Because it implicates the Voter Protection Act, the	9 10	To me that is a huge disenfranchisement hurdle for
11	fundamental right to vote, and because it triggers a real	11	folks registering in addition to the other issues that are associated with it. So I just wanted to make sure that
12	serous voter education/voter confusion systemic risk issue, I actually think in this particular case we may I I	12	that's kind of, you know, out there, that we all understand
13	think it would be worth communicating to the Governor's	13	how far this reaches.
14	office our concerns about the bill.	14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sure.
15	The fact that it got out of the Senate,	15	So any any other questions or comments by other
16	understandably that makes this not a bipartisan situation,	16	Commissioners?
17	this was a party-line vote. However, the legal and systemic	17	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman.
18	risk issues here are significant and it's very hard for me	18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble.
19	to sit here and say in view of the bipartisan County	19	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Tom, I want to make sure I
20	Association position, that that it that it's not worth	20	understand where this bill is. You said it passed the
21	communicating to the Governor's office in some way on behalf	21	Senate; is that right? The paperwork that you sent said it
22	of the Commission.	22	passed the House.
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Chan.	23	MR. COLLINS: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, Commissioner
24	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I just wanted to mention. You	24	Kimble, thank you. Yes, it passed the Senate literally
24 25	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I just wanted to mention. You know, Tom, just to kind of put additional more personal	24 25	yesterday, so it has now been

	58			59
1	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: It did.	1	that has to do a little bit more with changing the	
2	MR. COLLINS: it is going to be transmitted to	2	technicality of showing ID, but this bill in that sense I	
3	the Governor. So, yeah, that is breaking news.	3	don't think it creates those exceptions at all. If anything	
4	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Okay.	4	what it does is eliminates standing exceptions that exist.	
5	MR. COLLINS: Yeah, it	5	So while I I certainly believe that it is likely	
6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: What's the number of this?	6	that under the bill if someone presented that information to	
7	MR. COLLINS: happened about 5 o'clock yesterday	7	the to the County Recorder in order to register, the	
8	afternoon.	8	notwithstanding clause on the bill in my view makes it	
9	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Someone asked what number it	9	difficult to avoid at least having to have a new presentment	
10	is. It's H2492.	10	potentially of that information.	
11	And one other thing, is there an exception for	11	Now, it may be and I'm not I'm not enough of	
12	people who have the new driver's license with the gold star,	12	nuanced into what the election administrators' process would	d
13	which I guess you have to show proof of citizenship to get	13	be if this were to get signed to you know, for example,	
14	which allows you to get on an airplane?	14	there are various databases against which the counties can	
15	MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble,	15	and do compare information that they receive from voters,	
16	that I I do not think there's an exception anywhere.	16	but the the so that's where it really becomes a	
17	What in fact, what the way I read the bill and is	17	problem of uncertainty.	
18	that it you would still have to present that.	18	In other words, the plain language of the bill	
19	So in other words, the bill is, as I read it, is	19	says: Notwithstanding any other law, before the next	
20	not super clear on what additional procedures might be in	20	presidential election everyone must have complied with this	
21	place. For example, it expands and requires prosecution of	21	section of statute they did not have to previously apply	
22	folks who fill out the paperwork wrong and who are not	22	comply with. And so that that to me to your point really	
23	turn out to be citizens, but it doesn't really articulate	23	just raises any number of permutations of how that would be	e
24	very well what precisely would be looked at.	24	confusing for no real gain, if you will, in terms of the	
25	There's another bill that's already on the ballot	25	legitimacy of our voter roles.	
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	04
	60			61
1	COMMISSIONER KIMPLE: So just to be clear so this	1	perspective you know this this hill it would be it	
1	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: So just to be clear, so this	1	perspective, you know, this this bill, it would be it	
2	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you	2	would be better for the Governor's office to consider	
2 3	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do?	2 3	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office	
2 3 4	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it?	2 3 4	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it	
2 3 4 5	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble,	2 3 4 5	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out.	
2 3 4	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I	2 3 4	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been,	
2 3 4 5 6	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the	2 3 4 5 6	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the	
2 3 4 5 6 7	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I	2 3 4 5 6 7	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt. I don't think that this necessarily needs to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not necessarily a position that we particularly want the courts	s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt. I don't think that this necessarily needs to be a you know, I'm not talking about a press release or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not necessarily a position that we particularly want the courts to be put in, because I can just tell you from a narrative	S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt. I don't think that this necessarily needs to be a you know, I'm not talking about a press release or anything like that per se, I think it's simply a matter of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not necessarily a position that we particularly want the courts to be put in, because I can just tell you from a narrative perspective, I would anticipate some people who support this 	S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt. I don't think that this necessarily needs to be a you know, I'm not talking about a press release or anything like that per se, I think it's simply a matter of saying to the Governor's office, look, we have experience in voter education, we have experience with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not necessarily a position that we particularly want the courts to be put in, because I can just tell you from a narrative perspective, I would anticipate some people who support this law taking the judiciary to task for, in their view, not requiring people to prove citizenship and therefore creating somehow a falsely creating an impression that noncitizens	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt. I don't think that this necessarily needs to be a you know, I'm not talking about a press release or anything like that per se, I think it's simply a matter of saying to the Governor's office, look, we have experience in voter education, we have experience in the VPA, and we have in this case we have experience with the administration of this proof of citizenship law in Arizona	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not necessarily a position that we particularly want the courts to be put in, because I can just tell you from a narrative perspective, I would anticipate some people who support this law taking the judiciary to task for, in their view, not requiring people to prove citizenship and therefore creating somehow a falsely creating an impression that noncitizens will be voting in the 2024 presidential election.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt. I don't think that this necessarily needs to be a you know, I'm not talking about a press release or anything like that per se, I think it's simply a matter of saying to the Governor's office, look, we have experience in voter education, we have experience with the administration of this proof of citizenship law in Arizona over the course of the last, you know, 18 years which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not necessarily a position that we particularly want the courts to be put in, because I can just tell you from a narrative perspective, I would anticipate some people who support this law taking the judiciary to task for, in their view, not requiring people to prove citizenship and therefore creating somehow a falsely creating an impression that noncitizens will be voting in the 2024 presidential election. That that to me is a significant enough	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is now being transmitted to the Governor, and what are you asking or suggesting that we do? Lobby the Governor not to sign it? MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Kimble, yes, I think I mean, I think that it's I would I would I mean, essentially we would communicate with the Governor's general counsel's office and say, look, these are serious issues and and this bill is not the the this bill is essentially not ready for prime time. I think that that's I mean, we do have and we mentioned at the last meeting, we have expressed authority to make recommendations on policy issues, not everybody has that at the state level, and so it it seems to me that this falls within the the area in which it certainly couldn't hurt. I don't think that this necessarily needs to be a you know, I'm not talking about a press release or anything like that per se, I think it's simply a matter of saying to the Governor's office, look, we have experience in voter education, we have experience in the VPA, and we have in this case we have experience with the administration of this proof of citizenship law in Arizona	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be better for the Governor's office to consider vetoing this bill than it would be for the Governor's office to let this bill go into law and have the courts sort it out. The provisions of the bill I should say have been, you know, as we've talked I've talked about here, the legislature heard from their own attorneys that there are serious constitutional preemption and other problems with the bill, they know that. You know, having worked through this process on any number of bills is, you know, it is often easier to simply let the court sort it out, right? That often becomes a rationale for maybe letting something happen that wouldn't otherwise. In this particular case I think that it puts the courts in a particularly terrible position and it's not necessarily a position that we particularly want the courts to be put in, because I can just tell you from a narrative perspective, I would anticipate some people who support this law taking the judiciary to task for, in their view, not requiring people to prove citizenship and therefore creating somehow a falsely creating an impression that noncitizens will be voting in the 2024 presidential election.	

	62		63
1	is not a cost-free proposition for the election system.	1	(No response.)
2	Even if it's a relatively low-cost problem solution to	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No comment? Thank you.
3	the political actors in this case.	3	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: No, nothing else. Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman.	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. So Tom, do you need
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, Commissioner Chan.	5	do you need a motion or just this is just enough to ask
6	COMMISSIONER CHAN: From my I would support	6	you to do this, correct? Because I support I'm with
7	sending a veto letter to this bill. I think it's important,	7	Commissioner Chan and Commissioner Titla and I believe
8	S0.	8	Commissioner Kimble as well, just to confirm and I see him
9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else have any comments?	9	nodding
10	COMMISSIONER PATON: This is Commissioner Paton.	10	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Yes.
11	This is one item that I think is it's a kooky thing and I $% \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{T}}$	11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: that we would like you to
12	think we should submit a letter to the Governor.	12	send send a letter to the Governor's office.
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Commissioner Titla, any	13	MS. KARLSON: Yeah.
14	comments?	14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Or take whatever, you know,
15	(No response.)	15	lobbying measures I think you see fit on this.
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. All right.	16	MR. COLLINS: Sure. Mr. Chairman, I mean, my view
17	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, I yeah, I agree with	17	is the record is clear and there is not a requirement to
18	the comments that the Commissioners have made regarding this	18	vote on this, so.
19	bill. Sounds like a crazy bill. I don't see how seemingly	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.
20	intelligent people at the legislature would come up with	20	So that's Agenda VI. Are there any other bills we
21	this kind of kooky ideas and bills. I don't know what to	21	want to discuss on Agenda Item VI?
22	say. This flabbergasts me.	22	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Could I just make one other
23	So I will leave it at that, but I agree with you	23	comment, Mr. Chairman?
24	all. Thank you.	24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Kimble?	25	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: I think it would be helpful
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	64		65
1	to point out in a letter or whatever form of communication	1	see where it goes, but I just want to put it on your
2	this take this takes, that the Commission unanimously	2	radar is it requires the Clean it would go to the
4	supports you in this, Commissioners of both political parties, and no political parties support you in this.	4	voters and it would require among other things the Clean Elections Commission to essentially pick up the tab for all
5	MR. COLLINS: Mr Mr. Chairman I I think that	5	costs associated with eliminating early voting in the state.
6	was a question. I mean, again, I I think we can say that	6	So it it's a we'll see if that gets any legs.
7	based on this record	7	It's got a lot of real serious technical problems like
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah.	8	like the other bills we talked about here. It's not you
9	MR. COLLINS: without the without helping.	9	know, just it's something we're tracking and I want
10	But and before Mr. Chairman before	10	you-all to be aware of.
11	Mr. Chairman, before we move on, there's one other bill I	11	It's not a bill that would go through the Governor,
12	want to highlight that I think is important. I apologize,	12	and that that's just an important thing to to for
13	there's just one more bill.	13	you-all to be aware of because of it being a referendum.
14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Oh. No, that's okay.	14	So that was the other bill that I wanted to make
15	MR. COLLINS: But I want to make sure, I think I	15	sure that we got mentioned.
16	answered Mr. Kimble's question.	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Yes, you did. Thank you.	17	Okay. Any questions or comments on that bill?
18	MR. COLLINS: Okay.	18	Do you need directive from us on that, Tom?
19	The other bill that's out there and, you know,	19	(No response.)
20	we're tracking it yesterday and we're not sure exactly where	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.
21	it's going but it's it's a Hispanic concurrent resolution	21	MR. COLLINS: No. No, Mr. Chairman. Not at this
22	that would again make a number of changes to early voting,	22	time.
23	limiting early voting and and create it's called	23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any other questions or comments on
24	SCR1032.	24	Agenda Item VI before we move on?
25	The reason why that bill matters and we we will	25	Okay. Agenda Item Number VII, discussion and
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

	66		67
1	possible action on E-Qual electronic system for candidate	1	registration system.
2	petitions, candidate qualifications for the ballot and	2	The reason it's locked into the voter registration
3	qualifying contributions for participating candidates.	3	system is that what E-Qual does is it pings the voter's
4	As expected last week, the Secretary of State's	4	information off of the voter registration system in order to
5	office took the E-Qual electronic petition system offline to	5	determine if that voter is, in fact, eligible to sign a
6	legislative candidates to allow the updated districts based	6	petition.
7	on changes to the voter registration system because of	7	With legislative districts obviously that's more
8	redistricting.	8	complicated than statewide because of the issues with the,
9	Staff, do you have a quick update on where things	9	you know so this year being redistricting year, you know,
10	stand? Tom, please fill us in.	10	there is there are there is some interaction there.
11	MR. COLLINS: Yeah, yes. Mr. Chairman,	11	In December we learned that that would have to
12	Commissioners, thank you.	12	be taken that that that those changes that require
13	Just real briefly, I touched on this in the	13	action at the county level would require the electronic
14	Executive Director's report portion of the meeting. You	14	the E-Qual system to come offline. The Secretary's office
15	know, the issues surrounding how the E-Qual system, so so	15	starting in December then started communicating to
16	in 2011 the legislature authorized and the Secretary of	16	candidates about that; we've tried to amplify that to
17	State's office started implement (verbatim) a way for people	17	candidates.
18	to sign petitions for candidates and to give qualifying	18	I know that, you know, I saw and I think that
19	contributions to clean candidates through an electronic	19	and I assume it was widespread although that's certainly
20	portal called E-Qual.	20	anecdotally, efforts by campaign parties and others to
21	In December we learned that that the	21	ensure folks understood that this deadline was approaching.
22	redistricting would have some complicating factors because	22	And so the Secretary's office, you know,
23	of the way the E-Qual pings off of this program called AVID.	23	communicated I want to say I think 11 times or something
24	There's two computer programs at issue: The E-Qual system,	24	like that, I can't remember the precise number, with
25	which then is locked into AVID which is the voter	25	candidates.
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	68		69
1	You know, the reason this did cause, you know,	1	not.
2	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it	2	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get
2 3			
	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it	2	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get
3	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should	2 3	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain.
3 4	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I	2 3 4	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but
3 4 5	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although	2 3 4	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be
3 4 5 6	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to	2 3 4 5 6	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the
3 4 5 6 7	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions.
3 4 5 6 7 8	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the Secretary's office threatening some form of prosecution, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go ahead.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the Secretary's office threatening some form of prosecution, the Secretary's office then sued the Attorney General's office.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go ahead. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, yes. My my view at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the Secretary's office threatening some form of prosecution, the Secretary's office then sued the Attorney General's office. That case is still pending, however and then the Attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go ahead. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, yes. My my view at this point is that, you know, look, we've communicated with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the Secretary's office threatening some form of prosecution, the Secretary's office then sued the Attorney General's office. That case is still pending, however and then the Attorney General's office last week began soliciting complaints from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go ahead. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, yes. My my view at this point is that, you know, look, we've communicated with the I should say I have communicated with the county,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the Secretary's office threatening some form of prosecution, the Secretary's office then sued the Attorney General's office. That case is still pending, however and then the Attorney General's office last week began soliciting complaints from anyone who was not able to use the E-Qual system that wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go ahead. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, yes. My my view at this point is that, you know, look, we've communicated with the I should say I have communicated with the county, with with the with some of the bigger counties; I've
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the Secretary's office threatening some form of prosecution, the Secretary's office then sued the Attorney General's office. That case is still pending, however and then the Attorney General's office last week began soliciting complaints from anyone who was not able to use the E-Qual system that wanted to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go ahead. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, yes. My my view at this point is that, you know, look, we've communicated with the I should say I have communicated with the county, with with the with some of the bigger counties; I've communicated with Yavapai, I've communicated with Maricopa.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concern I think at the outset because, you know, it it was not communicated early enough perhaps than it should have been; I certainly think that. But, you know, and I also we made clear, particularly to the press, although it never made it into in any story, that we did not want to exaggerate the scope of the problem. And and so and so now that we are now past the date for the switchover, I'm hopeful and I said to the press again although this did make the paper, that I'm hopeful that this will not be a big deal. We have not received to my knowledge any complaints ourself. This situation sort of became more tenuous or problematic, there was interaction with the Attorney General's office election integrity unit and the Secretary's office. We talked about the last couple of months, first the Attorney General's Office sent a letter to the Secretary's office threatening some form of prosecution, the Secretary's office then sued the Attorney General's office. That case is still pending, however and then the Attorney General's office last week began soliciting complaints from anyone who was not able to use the E-Qual system that wanted to. No, I have not seen any update yet about whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	We don't administrate the E-Qual system, don't get me wrong; we are not the right place to make complaints, but we are a place where people complain. And so we hope at this point that this will be behind us. We don't have a firm date yet as to when the system may come back online for qualifying contributions. We know candidates have been since the window was open, candidates have been filing their petitions. The time to qualify for clean elections funding is a little longer than the time to qualify for the ballot, so as we get updated about that, you know, we will communicate that or attempt to amplify the Secretary's communication on that issue. So that concludes my report on this. If if if, Mr. Chairman, anyone has any questions about that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So Tom, are we still sort of in observation mode on this, then? We just sit back and go ahead. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, yes. My my view at this point is that, you know, look, we've communicated with the I should say I have communicated with the county, with with the with some of the bigger counties; I've communicated with Yavapai, I've communicated with Maricopa. I have not seen any evidence that there's anything that is

70	71
1 anticipating, so. 1 consideration and decision at a later date or response	
2 And certainly given that the the window 2 criticism.	
2And certainly given that the the window2Charles in.3or the the system came offline and we have not heard3Does any member of the public wish to n	nake comments
 4 anything really makes me hope that this is not a big just 4 at this time? 	
5 becomes not a big deal.5 You may also send comments to the Com	omission by
 6 So I I certainly what I don't think at this 6 mail or e-mail at CCEC@azcleanelections.gov. 	
 7 point, given the Attorney General's actions, that it would 7 Is there anyone on the line for public cor 	nment?
8benefit us or anyone at this point to try to to create8(No response.)	innent.
 9 any further, you know, consternation about this. You know, 9 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't see anyone b 	ut anvone
10our main point is just to try to make sure folks have the10there? Please let me know.	aryone
11 information from their county recorders and secretary's 11 Okay. So no one here for public comment	nt
12office they need; and, you know, if something comes up, you12Agenda Item Number IX is adjournment.	
12once they need, and, you know, it something comes up, you12Agenda term number is adjournment.13know, I mean, it's always possible. But there's nothing13motion to adjourn?	15 there a
14that we would need to do affirmatively at that at this14COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chair.	
15 COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Mr. Chairman.	
16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any questions or comments for Tom 16 COMMISSIONER TITLA: Motion to adjou	
17 on this issue? 17 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commission Chairman and Chairman and Commission Chairman and	
18 (No response.) 18 motion to adjourn.	
19CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you, Tom.19Is there a second?	
20 We'll move on to Agenda Item Number VII, which is 20 COMMISSIONER CHAN: I'll second it.	
20 We if move on to Agenda item Number VII, which is 20 Commission Exception 1. 21 public comment. 21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Commission	oner Chan
22 This is the time for consideration of comments and 22 thank you.	
 23 suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of 23 We have a motion to adjourn, it's been s 	econded
20suggestions norm the public. Action taken as a result of20we have a motion to adjoint, it's been s24public comment will be limited to directing staff to study24I'm going to call the roll. Commissioner	
24Public comment will be initial to directing start to study24Public comment will be initial to directing start to study25the matter or rescheduling the matter for further25COMMISSIONER KIMBLE: Aye.	KIIIDIE.
Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	
72	73
1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Chan. 2 2	
2 COMMISSIONER CHAN: Ave. 3 STATE OF ARIZONA)	
3CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Paton.4) ss.5COUNTY OF MARICOPA)	
4 COMMISSIONER PATON: Ave. 6	
 5 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Commissioner Titla. 7 BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceeding taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified R 	
6 Commissioner Titla. 8 No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and abil	
7 COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.9 that the proceedings were taken down by me in sh thereafter reduced to print under my direction.	northand and
8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, And I vote ave as well, so	
9 the motion 10 I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the	
10COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.11thereof.	
11 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Commissioner Titla, I 12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied wi	th the
12 got you. requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at L	
13I vote aye as well.13Park, Arizona, this 29th of March, 2022.14	tan
14 Motion carries 5 to 0. We are adjourned. Thank	lla
15 you, everybody. 15 Angela Furnis Miller, VRPR, QR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ501	
16 (Whereupon the meeting concludes at 11:41 a.m.) 16	
18 I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	•
19 18 complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 2	
20 19 March, 2022.	
21 20 MCP	
22 21 Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	
23 Arizona RRF No. R1058	
23 24 22 23	
23 22	

¢	4	37:16, 37:18, 42:15,	4:20, 5:6, 13:1, 14:2,	40:3, 41:8
\$	4	43:15	34:13, 34:23, 35:10,	application [1] - 23:7
\$120 [1] - 16:23	45 [1] - 16:7	addition [3] - 7:8,	35:13, 65:25, 71:12	applied [2] - 23:18,
\$20 [1] - 20:9		53:18, 57:10	Agenda [8] - 3:19,	43:11
\$614,000 [1] - 15:18	5	additional [8] - 7:15,	10:25, 34:19, 52:9,	apply [4] - 20:21,
401-1,000 [1] 10.10	5	17:18, 25:17, 36:17,	63:20, 63:21, 65:24,	22:19, 40:15, 59:21
I.	5 [2] - 58:7, 72:14	38:6, 55:3, 56:25,	70:20	appointed [1] - 14:14
	5-0 [3] - 4:18, 47:1,	58:20	agents [1] - 40:14	appreciate [6] - 6:13,
'em [1] - 29:10	52:8	additionally [1] -	aggressively [1] -	22:15, 27:12, 34:16,
	500 [1] - 31:4	54:14	13:8	37:10, 50:7
0	5:00 [2] - 16:25, 30:5	address [2] - 38:15,	agree [3] - 27:2,	approaching [1] -
• 	,	44:24	62:17, 62:23	67:21
0 [1] - 72:14	7	addressing [1] - 11:6	ahead [13] - 3:5, 4:8,	appropriate [4] -
	-	adjourn [7] - 45:20,	6:12, 13:25, 23:24,	40:19, 40:23, 41:9,
1	74th [1] - 52:14	45:21, 45:22, 71:13,	31:17, 42:17, 47:20,	49:2
		71:16, 71:18, 71:23	50:20, 51:23, 52:21,	approve [3] - 3:23,
100 [1] - 41:12	8	adjourned [1] - 72:14	62:5, 69:18	3:24, 4:7
10:44 [1] - 48:1	_	adjournment [1] -	airplane [1] - 58:14	approving [1] - 4:18
11 [1] - 67:23	80 [1] - 24:17	71:12	al [1] - 34:21	April [3] - 5:10, 5:24,
11:06 [2] - 48:1, 48:14		administrate [1] - 69:2	allow [2] - 40:24, 66:6	15:9
11:07 [1] - 48:17	9	administration [11] -	allows [1] - 58:14	arbitration [7] - 36:7,
11:41 [1] - 72:16	aa 44.07	11:2, 14:4, 14:13,	almost [1] - 30:20	36:10, 43:21, 43:24,
14 [1] - 53:1	90 [1] - 41:25	15:6, 15:10, 19:12,	America [6] - 18:6,	44:4, 44:7, 44:17
16 [1] - 16:6	9:00 [2] - 16:25, 30:5	19:21, 28:23, 29:20,	19:1, 19:3, 19:14,	arbitrator [3] - 42:21,
17th [1] - 5:9	9:30 [1] - 3:7	52:13, 60:23	23:15, 26:7	44:14, 44:15
18 [2] - 57:2, 60:24		administrative [1] -	amici [3] - 40:13,	arbitrators [1] - 44:20
1958 [1] - 53:12	Α	12:10	41:19, 41:21	area [6] - 17:15, 17:21,
1987 [1] - 14:14	a.m [7] - 3:7, 16:25,	administratives [1] -	amicus [16] - 7:6,	18:13, 25:20, 26:5,
1996 [1] - 55:2	30:5, 48:1, 48:14,	53:4	36:19, 39:20, 41:12,	60:15
19th [1] - 5:24	72:16	administrator [2] -	42:1, 42:7, 42:14,	areas [2] - 18:2, 18:23
1st [1] - 15:9	able [13] - 6:14, 8:24,	14:17, 14:19	45:1, 49:3, 49:22,	argument [1] - 36:22
	13:14, 15:24, 16:4,	administrators' [1] -	50:5, 50:22, 51:2,	arguments [5] - 38:23,
2	16:19, 16:22, 17:7,	59:12	51:14	43:11, 50:7, 50:12,
20 [1] - 24:13	17:11, 17:16, 18:2,	adopted [1] - 44:13	amount [2] - 17:1,	50:13
2003 [2] - 14:20, 17:24	22:11, 68:22	ads [4] - 17:20, 17:21,	60:25	Arizona [9] - 7:2, 7:11,
2003 [2] - 14.20, 17.24 2004 [3] - 54:11,	absolutely [1] - 38:12	17:24, 21:22	amplify [2] - 67:16,	14:20, 15:1, 21:21,
54:24, 55:15	accept [1] - 21:6	advantage [1] - 20:13	69:13	24:19, 40:12, 57:2,
2011 [1] - 66:16	accessible [1] - 19:17	advertising [1] - 17:21	Amy [1] - 51:12 analysis [2] - 9:6, 9:12	60:23
2012 [1] - 14:21	accommodate [1] -	advice [5] - 11:23,		arose [1] - 36:10
2012 [1] - 14.21 2013 [1] - 55:13	13:14	12:1, 12:6, 46:10, 48:20	anecdotally [1] - 67:20	arrangements [1] - 39:10
2020 [6] - 11:1, 15:17,	accuracy [2] - 9:13,	advised [1] - 35:9	announce [1] - 48:12	articulate [1] - 58:23
18:6, 20:19, 21:3,	31:1	advised [1] - 35.9 advisor [2] - 35:9,	answer [3] - 5:21, 6:7,	articulated [1] - 58:23 articulated [1] - 53:18
36:1	accurate [1] - 21:4	35:11	38:4	assistance [1] - 17:7
2022 [3] - 3:7, 3:21,	Act [12] - 18:1, 18:6,	affect [2] - 40:9, 41:1	answered [1] - 64:16	associated [2] -
52:11	19:1, 19:3, 19:14,	affected [3] - 41:6,	anticipate [1] - 61:19	57:11, 65:5
2024 [1] - 61:23	22:1, 22:23, 23:15,	57:6, 57:8	anticipating [1] - 70:1	Association [2] - 56:3,
20th [1] - 5:10	26:7, 53:14, 55:16,	affidavit [2] - 7:25,	anyway [1] - 44:21	56:20
22 [1] - 4:19	56:9	17:8	apologize [5] - 10:22,	association [1] -
24 [5] - 3:7, 3:21, 10:7,	action [16] - 3:20,	affidavits [1] - 7:21	13:25, 45:19, 52:20,	39:25
29:22, 53:25	4:21, 11:1, 14:3,	affirmatively [1] -	64:12	Association's [1] -
2492 [3] - 54:9, 54:21	34:20, 34:24, 35:23, 36:2, 36:4, 36:21	70:14	appeal [12] - 36:9,	53:21
2493 [1] - 19:23	36:2, 36:4, 36:21, 41:3, 51:14, 52:11,	affirming [1] - 7:24	36:19, 39:18, 40:25,	associations [1] -
27 [1] - 9:19	66:1, 67:13, 70:23	afternoon [1] - 58:8	41:13, 41:18, 41:21,	40:15
	actions [3] - 37:25,	AG's [2] - 11:25, 12:2	42:4, 43:9, 44:12,	assume [2] - 39:12,
3	41:4, 70:7	agencies [2] - 40:12,	44:22	67:19
	actors [1] - 62:3	40:14	appealed [1] - 39:3	attached [3] - 25:21,
30 [1] - 16:6	actual [2] - 27:7, 42:11	agency [4] - 11:24,	Appeals [2] - 39:5,	25:24, 25:25
35 [1] - 15:9	add [6] - 6:22, 37:12,	12:3, 39:25, 40:21	43:18	attempt [1] - 69:12
	· ···· [0] ······, ·····,	agenda [11] - 3:7,	appellate [3] - 39:22,	attend [1] - 33:7

I				
attendance [1] - 3:10	32:10	Bill [3] - 53:10, 54:9	С	46:1, 46:4, 46:7,
attitude [1] - 44:13	Bar [1] - 43:20	billion [2] - 20:9,	6	46:12, 46:14, 46:19,
Attorney [10] - 13:15,	barrier [1] - 18:17	24:13	camera [1] - 18:19	46:21, 46:23, 46:25,
13:19, 36:13, 42:10,	based [7] - 14:25,	bills [12] - 9:20, 10:3,	campaign [2] - 52:13,	48:7, 48:10, 48:15,
54:19, 68:14, 68:17,	49:23, 50:21, 50:25,	11:1, 52:12, 52:16,	67:20	50:15, 50:20, 50:24,
68:19, 68:20, 70:7	51:12, 64:7, 66:6	52:25, 53:1, 53:6,	candidate [6] - 5:10,	51:5, 51:10, 51:17,
attorney [7] - 30:15,	basic [1] - 28:22	61:11, 62:21, 63:20,	5:19, 12:7, 66:1,	51:21, 52:1, 52:3,
34:24, 35:13, 37:1,	basics [2] - 28:13,	65:8	66:2	52:5, 52:7, 56:23,
37:15, 42:19, 54:19	28:23	bipartisan [3] - 24:25,	candidates [15] - 6:17,	57:14, 57:18, 58:6,
attorneys [6] - 40:24,	basis [1] - 16:12	56:16, 56:19	13:4, 13:8, 27:24,	62:5, 62:9, 62:13,
41:10, 42:3, 42:9,	became [3] - 14:19,	bit [10] - 11:17, 14:1,	39:12, 66:3, 66:6,	62:16, 62:25, 63:2,
42:20, 61:8	20:24, 68:13	22:21, 23:9, 26:22,	66:18, 66:19, 67:16,	63:4, 63:11, 63:14,
audience [1] - 3:8	BECKER [2] - 10:2,	35:2, 41:25, 52:11,	67:17, 67:25, 69:8,	63:19, 63:24, 64:8,
audio [7] - 5:12, 5:19,	10:17	53:13, 59:1	69:9, 69:25	64:14, 65:16, 65:20,
5:22, 6:8, 6:9, 8:11,	become [3] - 10:4,	blanks [1] - 37:4	Cap [1] - 13:5	65:23, 69:16, 70:16,
8:23	26:16, 40:10	blessing [1] - 19:20	card [1] - 19:16	70:19, 71:9, 71:17,
audit [2] - 7:18, 30:12	becomes [3] - 59:16,	Board [2] - 7:17, 35:7	care [2] - 19:19, 34:17	71:21, 72:1, 72:3,
authenticity [1] - 9:14	61:13, 70:5	Board's [1] - 35:8	career [2] - 14:13,	72:5, 72:8, 72:11
authority [1] - 60:12	beef [1] - 32:3	boards [1] - 12:5	43:22	Chairman [6] - 15:2,
authorize [2] - 41:10,	began [3] - 5:11,	bodies [1] - 39:24	CARES [1] - 22:23	24:8, 35:6, 36:25,
42:3	14:13, 68:21	body [1] - 40:2	carries [3] - 4:18,	38:17, 45:14
authorized [2] - 42:12,	begin [2] - 5:25, 6:1	books [4] - 17:19,	52:8, 72:14	chairman [35] - 3:24,
66:16	beginning [1] - 5:9	19:6, 32:12	case [16] - 7:2, 39:4,	5:2, 6:11, 9:2, 10:2,
available [8] - 8:6, 8:7,	begins [2] - 6:5, 35:7	bother [2] - 25:7, 25:8	40:8, 40:16, 41:7,	10:20, 11:19, 12:25,
9:7, 9:13, 20:18,	behalf [2] - 14:23,	bought [2] - 18:15,	42:4, 42:24, 43:12,	13:20, 24:1, 31:15,
22:17, 38:4, 51:13	56:21	19:17	45:2, 50:10, 55:12,	35:18, 37:5, 37:21,
Avery [1] - 11:12	behind [1] - 69:6	boulders [2] - 18:15,	56:12, 60:22, 61:15,	42:15, 45:25, 49:12,
AVID [3] - 20:4, 66:23,	belabor [1] - 5:21	18:16	62:3, 68:20	51:19, 52:23, 52:24,
66:25	belief [1] - 49:20	box [3] - 16:10, 29:14,	cases [3] - 43:12,	57:17, 57:23, 58:15,
avoid [1] - 59:9	beneficial [1] - 33:14	29:15	43:17, 49:25	60:5, 62:4, 63:16,
aware [6] - 10:1,	benefit [2] - 40:18,	breaking [1] - 58:3	catch [1] - 8:14	63:23, 64:5, 64:10,
15:25, 35:22, 65:10,	70:8	breakout [5] - 47:8,	caught [1] - 9:3	64:11, 65:21, 66:11,
65:13, 70:15	best [5] - 13:4, 13:17,	47:17, 47:19, 47:22,	CCEC@	69:15, 69:19, 71:15
aye [22] - 4:10, 4:12,	16:20, 18:3, 35:5	47:24	azcleanelections.	chairperson [1] - 3:4 challenges [7] - 12:7,
4:14, 4:16, 4:17,	better [3] - 10:25,	Brian [1] - 39:1	gov [1] - 71:6	• • • •
46:18, 46:20, 46:22,	37:2, 61:2	brief [17] - 36:19,	Center [3] - 15:17,	14:9, 15:1, 15:5, 15:7, 16:3, 29:24
46:24, 46:25, 51:25,	between [5] - 13:18,	39:19, 41:12, 42:1,	20:21, 21:9	CHAN [34] - 3:13,
52:2, 52:4, 52:6,	19:1, 34:25, 48:24,	42:7, 42:11, 42:14,	center [1] - 55:21	3:24, 4:10, 6:11,
52:7, 71:25, 72:2,	48:25	45:1, 45:10, 49:3,	certain [1] - 25:22	6:13, 11:19, 12:17,
72:4, 72:7, 72:8,	big [11] - 13:6, 16:24,	49:13, 49:22, 50:5,	certainly [9] - 50:12,	23:23, 24:1, 25:2,
72:10, 72:13	18:14, 18:15, 21:2,	50:22, 51:2, 51:14,	53:4, 54:4, 59:5,	25:4, 25:8, 25:11,
	23:5, 27:6, 31:4,	52:18	60:15, 67:19, 68:4,	26:23, 29:18, 31:10,
В	68:11, 70:4, 70:5	briefly [4] - 35:20,	70:2, 70:6	45:25, 46:2, 46:11,
background	bigger [1] - 69:22	38:18, 48:21, 66:13	chair [1] - 71:14	46:13, 46:18, 49:12,
background [6] -	bill [47] - 10:4, 10:6,	briefs [5] - 41:19,	CHAIRMAN [102] - 3:3,	50:18, 50:21, 51:4,
26:21, 35:3, 35:20, 36:10, 38:20, 54:11	10:8, 19:23, 21:5,	41:22, 42:5, 42:6	3:16, 4:1, 4:6, 4:11,	51:8, 51:16, 51:25,
36:10, 38:20, 54:11	24:17, 25:11, 25:21,	bring [1] - 53:11	4:13, 4:15, 4:17,	56:24, 62:4, 62:6,
backwards [1] - 55:2	29:21, 53:8, 53:17,	brings [1] - 55:15	5:13, 5:17, 5:23, 6:9,	71:14, 71:20, 72:2
bad [1] - 27:9	54:6, 54:7, 54:9,	broke [1] - 15:20	6:12, 6:19, 8:10,	Chan [17] - 3:13, 4:2,
ballot [17] - 7:23, 15:24, 16:5, 16:8,	54:21, 55:5, 56:1,	brought [2] - 36:15,	8:16, 8:23, 9:17,	4:9, 6:19, 11:14,
17:8, 17:10, 28:16,	56:4, 56:8, 56:14,	36:21	10:21, 11:7, 12:16,	11:16, 23:25, 46:17,
28:17, 30:8, 31:6,	57:6, 57:8, 57:20,	burdensome [2] -	12:18, 13:22, 22:13,	50:15, 50:20, 51:24,
31:22, 54:12, 58:25,	58:17, 58:19, 58:25,	20:19, 23:10	22:24, 23:11, 23:17,	54:18, 56:23, 62:5,
66:2, 69:11	59:2, 59:6, 59:8,	burdensome-	23:20, 23:24, 29:19,	63:7, 71:21, 72:1
balloting [2] - 7:10,	59:18, 60:9, 60:10,	reporting [1] - 23:10	31:13, 31:17, 33:11,	chance [2] - 34:14,
10:7	61:1, 61:3, 61:4, 61:6, 61:10, 62:7	business [1] - 12:9	34:3, 34:8, 34:18, 35:15, 37:0, 37:10	44:24
ballots [8] - 16:14,	61:6, 61:10, 62:7, 62:10, 64:11, 64:13	buy [4] - 18:21, 19:5,	35:15, 37:9, 37:19, 38:5, 38:10, 38:13,	changed [1] - 43:22
29:9, 29:10, 29:22,	62:19, 64:11, 64:13, 64:19, 64:25, 65:11,	19:15	42:17, 43:14, 45:3,	changes [3] - 64:22,
30:11, 30:21, 31:4,	65:14, 65:17		45:9, 45:18, 45:22,	66:7, 67:12
, , , - ,	JJ. IT, JJ. I <i>I</i>		10.0, 10.10, 10.22,	

abanging up 50.4		50.1 EDE E4.40	66:22	66.2 66.10 00.7
changing [1] - 59:1	comment [9] - 13:3,	52:1, 52:5, 54:18,	66:22	66:3, 66:19, 69:7
charge [1] - 39:7	48:21, 49:13, 63:2,	56:23, 57:18, 57:23,	complied [1] - 59:20	controversy [2] - 39:9
charges [1] - 16:17	63:23, 70:21, 70:24,	58:15, 60:5, 62:5,	comply [1] - 59:22	converted [1] - 36:7
check [1] - 17:8	71:7, 71:11	62:10, 62:13, 62:25,	components [1] -	convey [2] - 11:10,
checking [1] - 32:12	comments [15] - 6:20,	63:7, 63:8, 71:17,	54:23	51:9
checks [1] - 26:21	34:6, 43:15, 50:16,	71:21, 71:24, 72:1,	computer [1] - 66:24	convincing [1] - 27:6
circle [1] - 53:8	50:18, 57:15, 62:9,	72:3, 72:5, 72:6,	concentrate [1] - 15:7	coordinate [1] - 42:10
citizens [2] - 57:4,	62:14, 62:18, 65:17,	72:11	concern [3] - 44:23,	correct [4] - 45:13,
58:23	65:23, 70:16, 70:22,	commissioner [4] -	53:3, 68:2	51:15, 51:16, 63:6
Citizens [1] - 3:4	71:3, 71:5	3:13, 3:15, 11:16,	concerned [4] - 9:23,	correctly [2] - 32:16,
citizenship [8] -	commercially [1] - 8:6	52:3	17:4, 44:2, 55:17	51:7
54:10, 54:15, 54:22,	Commission [39] -	Commissioners [28] -	concerns [5] - 9:11,	cosponsors [1] -
55:4, 57:3, 58:13,	3:5, 21:19, 22:2,	3:11, 3:17, 5:1, 5:3, 6:21, 9:2, 10:2,	44:24, 49:16, 52:18,	24:17
60:23, 61:21	22:12, 28:21, 36:18,	13:24, 14:6, 14:23,	56:14	cost [3] - 26:1, 62:1,
City [1] - 17:12	38:15, 38:20, 39:15, 20:17, 40:22, 41:1	15:3, 23:21, 31:14,	concludes [2] - 69:14,	62:2
Civic [2] - 15:18, 20:22	39:17, 40:23, 41:1,	34:22, 35:18, 37:21,	72:16	cost-free [1] - 62:1
civil [1] - 53:19	41:5, 41:7, 41:9, 41:11, 41:15, 42:2,	38:2, 38:7, 45:6,	concurrent [1] - 64:21	costs [2] - 26:22, 65:5
clause [2] - 44:8, 59:8	41:11, 41:15, 42:2, 42:6, 42:23, 43:4,	47:10, 49:7, 49:14,	conducted [1] - 8:3	counsel [5] - 11:15,
clauses [2] - 44:4,	42.0, 42.23, 43.4, 43:9, 44:23, 44:25,	50:16, 52:23, 57:16,	confirm [2] - 47:9,	35:4, 37:13, 48:20,
44:18	43.9, 44.23, 44.25, 48:22, 49:1, 49:2,	62:18, 64:3, 66:12	63:8	49:18
Clean [7] - 3:4, 13:5,	49:3, 49:5, 50:2,	commissions [1] -	confusing [3] - 29:1,	counsel's [1] - 60:8
37:15, 39:15, 42:22,	50:4, 51:1, 51:13,	12:5	55:18, 59:24	count [7] - 17:10,
65:2, 65:3	53:4, 56:22, 64:2,	committee [1] - 10:9	confusion [2] - 56:11,	28:18, 29:22, 30:8,
clean [5] - 21:25,	65:4, 71:5	common [1] - 44:3	61:25	53:24
39:11, 41:15, 66:19,	Commission's [2] -	communicate [4] -	congrats [1] - 25:5	counted [1] - 10:7
69:10	3:20, 49:25	9:16, 27:15, 60:7,	congratulations [2] -	counties [7] - 9:8,
clear [9] - 11:5, 43:4,	COMMISSIONER [72]	69:12	11:18, 12:16	20:5, 26:14, 30:23,
46:8, 48:23, 51:10,	- 3:12, 3:13, 3:14,	communicated [6] -	Congress [2] - 20:9,	59:14, 69:22
58:20, 60:1, 63:17,	3:15, 3:24, 4:4, 4:10,	67:23, 68:3, 69:20,	24:21	counting [2] - 30:9, 30:11
68:5	4:12, 4:14, 4:16,	69:21, 69:23	consider [3] - 16:24, 49:3, 61:2	
clearly [1] - 53:15	6:11, 6:13, 11:19,	communicating [5] -		country [2] - 21:12, 21:17
client [1] - 36:1	12:17, 23:23, 24:1,	13:11, 13:12, 56:13,	consideration [2] - 70:22, 71:1	County [20] - 5:5,
closed [1] - 17:14	25:2, 25:4, 25:8,	56:21, 67:15	considerations [2] -	7:15, 8:1, 9:4, 14:5,
COADY [7] - 35:6,	25:11, 26:23, 29:18,	communication [2] -	40:7, 41:5	14:7, 14:8, 14:15,
37:14, 38:12, 45:15,	31:10, 31:15, 31:18,	64:1, 69:13	consistent [1] - 50:2	14:20, 14:21, 16:1,
46:8, 47:21, 48:12	33:9, 33:12, 45:25,	communications [2] -	consternation [1] -	29:3, 29:25, 30:4,
Coady [4] - 35:8, 35:15, 37:11, 45:11	46:2, 46:6, 46:11,	13:9, 42:12	70:9	30:19, 53:17, 53:21,
	46:13, 46:18, 46:20,	companies [1] - 44:3	constitutional [1] -	56:3, 56:19, 59:7
Cochise [1] - 14:6	46:22, 46:24, 49:12,	company [5] - 34:25,	61:9	county [14] - 8:21,
Coconino [8] - 5:5, 14:5, 14:8, 14:19,	50:18, 50:21, 51:4,	35:24, 35:25, 36:6,	consulted [1] - 6:16	15:16, 15:25, 16:7,
14:21, 16:1, 29:24,	51:8, 51:16, 51:19,	43:8	contemplating [1] -	16:18, 18:2, 29:5,
30:4	51:25, 52:2, 52:4,	compare [2] - 8:20,	56:5	53:5, 53:21, 56:4,
codified [1] - 42:23	52:6, 56:24, 57:17,	59:15	context [1] - 44:25	56:5, 67:13, 69:21,
college [2] - 28:11,	57:19, 58:1, 58:4,	compared [4] - 8:1,	continue [7] - 22:11,	70:11
28:12	58:9, 60:1, 62:4,	8:4, 8:5, 8:21	39:13, 42:25, 43:1,	couple [5] - 5:8, 10:3,
COLLINS [26] - 5:2,	62:6, 62:10, 62:17,	comparison [1] - 9:12	47:6, 47:18, 47:20	24:19, 53:6, 68:16
5:15, 5:18, 6:1, 6:24,	63:3, 63:10, 63:22,	compiling [1] - 53:1	continued [2] - 11:12,	course [6] - 11:13,
8:14, 8:19, 12:24,	63:25, 64:17, 71:14,	complain [2] - 13:8,	49:19	11:18, 12:6, 13:10,
35:18, 45:14, 45:20,	71:15, 71:16, 71:20,	69:4	continues [1] - 43:8	40:11, 60:24
52:23, 57:23, 58:2,	71:25, 72:2, 72:4,	complaint [1] - 39:7	continuing [1] - 27:21	Court [8] - 7:3, 35:24,
58:5, 58:7, 58:15,	72:7, 72:10 Commissioner [46] -	complaints [7] -	contract [5] - 34:24,	38:24, 39:4, 40:19,
60:5, 63:16, 64:5,		12:10, 13:4, 13:16,	39:16, 44:8, 44:11,	43:17, 61:25
64:9, 64:15, 64:18,	3:12, 3:14, 4:2, 4:4,	68:12, 68:21, 68:25,	44:19	court [12] - 39:21,
65:21, 66:11, 69:19	4:6, 4:9, 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 6:19, 9:24,	69:3	contractor [1] - 8:4	40:1, 40:13, 40:15,
Collins [2] - 37:14,	4.15, 0.19, 9.24, 11:14, 14:15, 23:24,	complete [1] - 6:15	contracts [2] - 44:4,	40:17, 41:8, 44:6,
47:10	31:16, 31:17, 34:3,	completely [1] - 43:22	44:18	44:9, 44:14, 44:19,
collins [1] - 37:21	34:5, 46:6, 50:15,	complicated [2] -	contractual [1] - 36:5	47:10, 61:12
coming [4] - 18:7,	50:20, 51:20, 51:24,	32:6, 67:8	contrary [1] - 30:16	courts [8] - 39:22,
18:23, 20:5, 30:17	00.20, 01.20, 01.27,	complicating [1] -	contributions [3] -	43:20, 43:23, 44:13,

44:16, 61:4, 61:16, 61:17 COVID [1] - 17:14 crafted [1] - 55:5 crazy [1] - 62:19 create [5] - 19:23, 20:9, 42:25, 64:23, 70:8 created [2] - 54:13, 54:14 creates [1] - 59:3 creating [2] - 61:21, 61:22 criticism [1] - 71:2 crunch [1] - 23:5 CTCL [6] - 16:16, 19:2, 19:9, 20:8, 20:13 curiae [3] - 39:20, 40:13 current [2] - 50:21, 50:25 CV2021-007328 [1] - 34:21 cyber [1] - 18:21 Damien [2] - 3:3, 48:15 databases [1] - 8:2 databases [2] - 8:22, 59:14 date [3] - 68:9, 69:6, 71:1 days [2] - 39:22, 41:25 dead [2] - 10:3, 54:6 deadline [1] - 67:21 deal [3] - 13:6, 68:11, 70:5 dealing [2] - 26:3, 55:19 debates [5] - 5:20, 6:7, 6:16, 28:2, 28:5 December [4] - 36:3, 66:21, 67:11, 67:15 decides [1] - 40:19 decision [5] - 40:3, 40:8, 41:6, 42:1,	Department [2] - 7:16, 18:10 Deputy [1] - 14:14 destroy [1] - 54:2 detail [1] - 32:17 determine [1] - 67:5 determined [1] - 54:25 difference [1] - 19:1 different [4] - 6:17, 10:18, 25:23, 37:24 difficult [5] - 20:6, 20:17, 23:4, 24:21, 59:9 digital [1] - 19:16 directing [1] - 70:24 directive [1] - 65:18 directly [2] - 7:17, 20:11 director [4] - 6:23, 9:18, 10:23, 14:7 Director's [4] - 4:21, 11:9, 13:24, 66:14 disadvantage [1] - 42:19 discusse [5] - 4:24, 14:9, 34:23, 46:3, 63:21 discussion [11] - 3:20, 3:22, 4:21, 10:24, 10:25, 12:22, 14:3, 26:25, 34:20, 52:11, 65:25 disenfranchisement [1] - 57:9 disenfranchises [1] - 55:11 dispute [2] - 44:7, 48:24 disregarded [1] - 44:15 disruption [3] - 5:11, 8:9, 8:22 distinct [1] - 11:24 districts [2] - 66:6, 67:7 disturbing [1] - 21:13 division [1] - 12:9 divisions [1] - 12:4	doors [1] - 26:10 down [1] - 10:18 downside [1] - 55:23 drive [2] - 16:17, 18:14 driver's [2] - 55:1, 58:12 dropboxes [8] - 15:25, 16:5, 16:7, 16:9, 16:10, 17:3, 17:22, 31:22 dubbed [1] - 53:10 Ducey [1] - 56:6 due [1] - 41:22 during [4] - 15:13, 28:12, 30:12, 43:22 E e-mail [1] - 71:6 E-Qual [11] - 12:21, 66:1, 66:5, 66:15, 66:20, 66:23, 66:24, 67:3, 67:14, 68:22, 69:2 eagerness [1] - 52:20 early [14] - 5:9, 6:5, 6:15, 7:21, 16:8, 16:14, 17:5, 28:17, 29:9, 64:22, 64:23, 65:5, 68:3 easier [2] - 33:4, 61:12 easy [1] - 31:6 echo [1] - 49:16 educating [1] - 7:7, 11:13, 25:18, 27:21, 28:8, 28:13, 32:19, 33:14, 55:18, 55:20, 60:21 education/voter [1] - 56:11 effect [2] - 44:6, 44:14 effort [1] - 37:25 elected [1] - 14:20 election [40] - 5:9, 8:12, 8:17, 14:3, 14:10, 14:13, 14:16, 14:19, 14:22, 15:10, 15:15, 15:19, 19:11, 19:21, 19:23, 20:10,	Election [1] - 14:14 Elections [9] - 3:5, 7:15, 8:8, 13:5, 14:7, 37:15, 39:15, 42:22, 65:4 elections [23] - 11:1, 12:6, 14:17, 15:1, 15:5, 21:3, 21:15, 21:17, 23:5, 23:8, 24:25, 25:17, 28:10, 28:14, 28:22, 36:1, 39:12, 41:16, 52:12, 53:12, 69:10 electoral [1] - 28:12 electronic [8] - 17:19, 19:6, 32:11, 66:1, 66:5, 66:19, 67:13 eligible [2] - 5:25, 67:5 eliminates [1] - 59:4 eliminating [1] - 65:5 employees [2] - 16:16, 17:2 enacted [1] - 55:15 end [1] - 47:4 ended [1] - 48:16 enforcement [6] - 4:22, 35:23, 36:2, 36:4, 36:21, 37:24 enforcement [6] - 4:22, 35:23 engage [1] - 29:12 engaged [2] - 14:11, 27:19 English [1] - 17:24 enlighten [1] - 52:21 ensure [3] - 36:16, 36:17, 67:21 entail [2] - 12:11 entails [1] - 11:23 entertain [3] - 4:25, 49:11, 50:17 entire [1] - 54:3 entities [1] - 21:7 entry [1] - 18:22 envelope [5] - 7:21, 7:23, 7:24, 7:25, 17:8 equipment [8] - 17:18, 19:5, 19:15, 19:16, 19:18, 19:19, 32:11, 22:22	37:1, 55:1, 60:7, 60:10, 65:4 established [2] - 55:1, 55:12 estimating [1] - 41:24 et [1] - 34:21 evidence [1] - 69:24 exactly [3] - 24:3, 51:8, 64:20 exaggerate [1] - 68:7 example [4] - 19:22, 27:11, 58:21, 59:13 except [2] - 21:6, 41:4 exception [2] - 58:11, 58:16 exceptions [2] - 59:3, 59:4 excited [3] - 12:13, 12:18, 18:4 Executive [7] - 4:21, 6:23, 9:18, 10:23, 11:9, 13:23, 66:14 executive [14] - 35:12, 45:10, 45:15, 45:17, 46:3, 46:9, 47:2, 47:7, 47:12, 47:14, 47:25, 48:13, 48:16, 48:19 exist [1] - 59:4 expands [1] - 58:21 expect [1] - 32:6 expected [1] - 66:4 experience [5] - 14:25, 50:3, 60:20, 60:21, 60:22 expert [1] - 24:17 experts [3] - 8:8, 8:12, 8:18 explain [1] - 7:8 expressed [1] - 60:12 expressed [1] - 60:12 expressed [1] - 60:12 expressed [1] - 32:6 expect [1] - 24:25, 55:10 extra [1] - 26:22 extraneous [1] - 36:17 extremely [1] - 43:23 eye [2] - 10:15, 54:5
decided [2] - 13:11,	67:7	8:12, 8:17, 14:3,	17:8	extremely [1] - 43:23
	-			eye [2] - 10:15, 54:5
	divisions [1] - 12:4		19:18, 19:19, 32:11,	F
71:1	documentary [1] - 54:15	21:12, 21:20, 21:24,	32:22 equivalently [1] -	faced [1] - 16:3
decoupling [1] - 11:25 dedicated [1] - 32:15	doled [1] - 23:2 dollars [2] - 16:23,	27:1, 27:3, 28:11, 29:11, 30:8, 30:12,	42:23 Eric [2] - 39:2, 39:3	facilities [2] - 18:20, 18:24
deeply [1] - 54:23	21:11	32:5, 32:13, 33:1,	error [1] - 32:8	facing [2] - 14:9, 15:5
defer [2] - 37:4, 42:8 deferential [2] - 43:23,	done [10] - 6:5, 6:14, 15:11, 15:14, 19:10,	52:16, 53:4, 54:3, 54:18, 55:7, 55:22,	errors [1] - 30:8 especially [4] - 14:10,	fact [8] - 15:9, 24:23, 39:6, 39:8, 50:1,
44:13	22:9, 31:8, 47:3,	56:3, 59:12, 59:20,	43:17, 43:21, 44:2	56:15, 58:17, 67:5
democracy [1] - 21:16	50:2, 52:25	61:23, 62:1, 68:15	essentially [6] - 7:24,	factors [1] - 66:22

sized (r) 53:18 53:18 54:2 57:0.4 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 59:2.8 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
fair (n - 53:19) following (n - 28:16) goodness (n - 18:15) hansen (n - 23:22, 13:22, 14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14, 25:14,	failed [1] - 53:2	follow [2] - 32:25 40:5	gold [1] - 58:12	53:18	54:2, 69:5. 70:4
falls (i) + 00:15 (falls (i) + 00:15 (falls (i) + 01:12 form (i) - 27.8, 30:18, (falls (i) + 01:12 government (i) - 18.7, (falls (i) + 01:12 31:18 (falls (i) + 01:12 form (i) - 27.8, 30:18, (falls (i) + 01:12 form (i) - 12.7, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:15, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:12, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:12, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:12, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:12, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, (falls (i) + 02:15, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12, 20:12					
falser (n) - 49:18 44.6 44.6 44.6 44.7 13.201, 21.7, 21.7 happy (n) - 521, 13.2, 210, 258, 33.22 hapfy (n) - 521, 13.2, 210, 258, 33.22 familiar (n) - 61.7 formalizad (n) - 40.11 fortunate (n) - 14.7 governmental (n) - 12.7 governmental (n) - 14.1 fortunate (n) - 32.7 governmental (n) - 14.1 fortunate (n) - 32.7 governmental (n) - 14.2 fortunate (n) - 43.21 hours		• • •		•••	• • • •
falsetyrn - 61:22 68:18 218, 23:12, 23:14, 39:25 258, 49:10 226, 256, 33:22 boxtle (n - 43:21) familar (n - 25:14) forward (n - 25:6, 39:25 boxtle (n - 43:21) boxtle (n - 43:21) boxtle (n - 43:21) fat, 57:13 43:2, 49:22, 58:2 governmental (n - 21:6 governmental (n - 21:6 boxtle (n - 43:21) boxtle (n - 43:21) fatder [n - 14:11, 16:6, 10:13, 20:17 fatder [n - 28:2, 68:2] for or n - 228, 82:1, 56:11 for or n - 228, 82:1, 66:16 for or n - 228, 68:1, 16:16, 12:13, 21:1, 77: 73:24 boxtle (n - 43:21) boxtle (n - 43:21) fatder [n - 14:1], 42:10, 55:15 free or n - 228, 82:1, free or n - 228, 32:1 for or n - 228, 32:1 for or n - 228, 32:1 for or n - 228, 32:1 feel n - 16:14, 21:1, feel n - 16:14, 21:1, free or n - 32:1, 52:1, free or n - 32:1, 52:1, free or n - 32:1, 52:1, 52:1 for or n - 228, 32:1 for or n - 228, 32:1, 52:1, 57:9 fill n - 9:24, 24:7, 21:10, 52:13, 37:6 for or n - 228, 32:1, 53:1, 56:1 for or n - 228, 32:1, 57:9 hour n - 16:23 fill n - 9:24, 24:7, 21:10, 52:13, 57:6 for or n - 228, 32:1, 72:14 for or n - 228, 32:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72:14, 72			-		
Imail:rg:np. 6-17 formalized (n40:11 32:25 hard (a29:6. 56:18) hours (n43:21 fantastic (n25:14) forward (n25:6.) governor (n30:14) mard (a29:6. 56:18) hours (n43:21 failer (n43:21) formard (n25:6.) governor (n30:14) hard (a29:6. 56:18) hours (n16:2) february (n44:11) frankly (n27:2) formary (a44:1) frankly (n27:2) governor (n30:14) hours (n16:2) february (n5:12) frad (n39:2) formary (n46:1) formary (a46:1) formary (a46:1) formary (a46:1) february (n5:11) from (n39:2) formary (n46:1) formary (a46:1) formary (a46:1) formary (a66:1)					
Instatic pr. 25:14 protecting 12:15:21 Fortunate (p. 14:7) forumate (p. 14:7) pardest (p. 28:15) protecting 12:15:11 hardest (p. 28:15) protecting 12:15:11 hardest (p. 28:15) protecting 12:15:11 pardest (p. 28:15) protecting 12:15:12 pardest (p. 28:15) protecting 12:15:12 <thpardest (p.="" 28:15)<br="">protecting 12:15:12 pardest</thpardest>	-			,	
iar (n = 27:15, 29:19, 44:1, 57:13 forward (n = 25:6, 322, 4922, 55:2, 562, 566, 568, 583, 565, 568, 583, 57, 632, 2220, 565, 566, 568, 583, 57, 7, 63, 2220, 511, 521, 2214, 241, 5512 hazard (n = 162, 592, 2720, 379, 622, 4720, 379, 623, 4220, 421, 625, 421,					
441, 57:13 432, 4922, 562 governor (iii) - 303, 4 HB (iii) - 1023 house (iii) - 543, 532, 100, 510, 533, 71, 533, 517, 532, 231, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 324, 277, 327, 2116, 237, 2312, 2314, 315, 160, 100, 1010, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1023, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1012, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010, 1010					
February p: 3.21, 4:19 four (n) - 32.8, 3.22.1, 3.22.3 four (n) - 32.8, 3.22.1, 3.22.3 four (n) - 32.4 hear (n) - 5.14, 5.15, 5.65, 65.68, 58.3, 5.61, 65, 68.3, 5.61, 65, 68, 69.3, 5.61, 65, 68, 69.3, 5.61, 65, 61, 61, 68, 69, 69, 69, 69, 69, 69, 69, 69, 69, 69					
			•		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-			
24:10, 56:12 free [p. 32:9, 62:1] 20:15, 20:19, 23:1, 32:2, 56:13, 56:21, friend [n] - 30:21 10:3, 10:10, 10:17, 33:2, 56:13, 56:21, friend [n] - 30:21 hurdred [n] - 16:23, hurdle [n] - 16:24, hurdle [n] - 16:14, hurdle [n] - 16:15, hurdle [n] - 16:14, fill [n] - 16:14, fill [n] - 16:14, fill [n] - 16:15, hirg [n] - 16:15, hirg [n] - 16:16, fill [n] - 16:16, hurdle [n] - 16:16, hirg [n] - 16:					-
fellow [9] - 3:10, 15:3, 45:6 friends [1] - 40:13 front [1] - 38:24 60:8, 60:20, 61:2, 61:3, 63:12 22:17, 27:3, 37.7, 41:20, 44:10, 45:1, 42:23, 61:8, 70:3 hurt [1] - 60:16 21:10 front [1] - 27:25 front [1] - 15:18, 57:7 front [1] - 27:25 front [1] - 27:25 front [1] - 15:18, 57:7 front [1] - 15:23, 57:7 front [1] - 15:24, 57:7 fr					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
fettp: 15:14, 21:1, 10 frustrating (i) - 27:22 fulfilling (i) - 27:22 grant (i) - 16:18, 15:19, 16:10, 16:8, 16:19, 16:10, 16:8, 16:19, 16:10, 16:8, 16:23, 72:312 49:23, 61:8, 70:3 hearing (i) - 22:28, 24:3, 35:22, 45:24, 19:3, 10:14, 23:15 57.7 21:15, 23:13 file (i) - 81:, 8:21, 9:8, 9:10, 36:18, 41:11, 50:52 fundamental (i) - 9:6:10 gratifying (i) - 33:13 gratifying (i) - 33:17 26:7 19:3, 10:14, 22:5, 42:24, 26:6 10:19:-32:12, 54:13, 59:2 59:2 filling (i) - 51:0, 51:1, filling (i) - 51:0, 51:1, filling (i) - 51:0, 51:1, filling (i) - 10:4 15:5, 18:25, 52:25; funding (i) - 14:4, 19:3, 19:22, 69:9 gratefs(i) - 13:124 46:7 20:3, 21:25, 33:22, 30:24, 30:12, 30:22, 33:22, 43:22, 43:24, 30:16, 34:11, 30:22, 20:2, 22:2, 22:26; 24:10 help (i) - 18:21, 19:3, 10: - 3:10 idea (i) - 15:23, 24:9, 22:10 10: - 3:10 filling (i) - 61:0, 51:1, filling (i) - 10:6 funds (i) - 18:18, 90:11, 19:2, 19:3, 11: - 3:10 gratefs(i) - 25:13 10: - 3:10 imagine (i) - 5:12, 30:14, 33:12, 34:13, 45:10, 58:13 help (i) - 16:18, 90:14 (i) - 25:13 10: - 3:16 imagine (i) - 5:15 imagine (i) - 5:15 implement (i) - 66:17 implement (i) - 66:17 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>hurt [1] - 60:16</td>					hurt [1] - 60:16
$ \begin{array}{c} 21:10 \\ full [ling] 9:24, 24:7, \\ fill [ling] 9: 9: 10, 36:18, \\ fill [ling] 9: 10, 24:25, 24:7, \\ fill [ling] 9: 10, 24:25, 24:7, \\ fill [ling] 9: 10, 44:25, 42:6, \\ fill [ling] 9: 14:4, \\ fill [ling] 9: 16:10, 511, \\ fill [ling] 9: 16:21, 19:3, \\ fill [ling] 9: 16:24, 19:3, \\ finance [lin - 10:4, \\ finance [lin - 10:4, \\ finance [lin - 15:7, \\ finance [lin - 15:7, 20:2, 20:2, 22:7, \\ fill [ling] - 3:7, \\ fill [ling] - 3:7, \\ find [ling] - 3:7, \\ find [ling] - 3:7, \\ find [ling] - 3:7, \\ five [ay] - 3:7, \\ $					
		-	• • • •		
57:7 File [10] - 8:1, 8:21, 5:15, 23:13 grateful [1] - 12:15 held [1] - 14:21 59:2 file [10] - 8:1, 8:21, 5:13, 56:10 fundamental [1] - 56:10 grateful [1] - 33:17 grateful [1] - 31:11 grateful [1] - 31:12 grateful [1] - 33:16 grateful [1] - 33:16 grateful [1] - 31:12 grateful [1] - 31:12<		_		_	ID
The function is the function of the fun		•••			
9.8, 9:10, 36:18, 41:11, 50:22, 51:1, 51:13, 55:2 56:10 9ratifying [n] - 33:17 great [s] - 11:12, 23:20, 25:2, 52:4, 46:7 19:3, 19:14, 23:15, 22:7, 22:4, 24:4, 19:3, 19:14, 23:15, 22:7, 22:4, 24:4, 46:7 25:13, 37:6 lides [a] - 18:12, 19:3, 19:14, 23:15, 22:7, 20:8, 22:1 25:13, 37:6 lides [a] - 18:12, 19:3, 19:14, 23:15, 22:7, 10:28, 22:7, 10:28, 22:0, 23:22, 20:7, 20:12, 21:22, 20:3, 22:17, 10:20, 22:02, 20:16, 60:25 19:1, 19:2, 10:20, 21:21, 21:22, 22:3 19:1, 19:2, 10:20, 21:21, 21:22, 22:3 10:11, 20:18, 10:20, 21:22, 20:19, 60:25 10:11, 20:18, 10:20, 22:02, 29:16, 60:25 10:11, 20:18, 10:20, 22:02, 29:16, 60:25 10:11, 20:12, 10:20, 22:02, 29:16, 60:25 10:11, 20:12, 10:20, 22:02, 29:16, 60:25 10:11, 20:12, 10:12, 20:11, 21:23, 10:12, 21:24, 43:17 10:12, 20:14, 43:14, 10:12, 43:17 10:14, 33:12, 34:13, 10:12, 43:17 10:14, 43:17, 10:12, 43:17 10:14, 50:14, 47:16, 10:14, 60:17, 64:12, 10:14, 60:17, 64:12, 10:14, 60:17, 64:12, 10:14, 51:4, 47:16, 27:7, 7:1 10:14, 60:17, 64:12, 10:14, 60:17, 64:12, 10:14, 60:17, 64:12, 10:14, 61:17, 65:7 10:14, 50:14, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:14, 10:18, 10:14, 10:14, 10:14, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14, 10:15, 10:14,			•		
41:11, 50:22, 51:1, 51:13, 55:2 funded (2) - 20:23, 26:6 great (6) - 11:12, 23:20, 25:2, 45:24, 46:7 26:7 ideas (2) - 18:12, 52:3 filling (6) - 51:0, 51:11, 49:3, 49:22, 69:9 7 ideas (2) - 18:12, 23:20, 25:2, 45:24, 46:7 20:3, 21:25, 33:22, 33:24, 36:12, 50:10 ideas (2) - 18:12, 52:3 filling (6) - 51:0, filling (7) - 10:4, 66:10 16:5, 18:25, 22:25, 24:10, 24:25, 25:17, finance (1) - 52:13 26:10, 31:24, 69:10 20:3, 21:25, 33:22, greats (1) - 31:24, groups (2) - 7.6, 40:15 ideas (2) - 18:12, 33:24, 36:12, 50:10 filling (7) - 10:4, finance (1) - 15:7 funde (12) - 16:16, finance (1) - 15:7 groups (2) - 7.6, 40:15 22:3 image (1) - 25:23 finance (1) - 15:7 19:4, 19:12, 19:13, funde (11) - 15:7 groups (2) - 7.6, 40:15 28:4, 33:16, 34:11, fic20, 22:20, 29:16, 63:25 implect (0) - 51:2, gives (1) - 25:13 implication (1) - 53:15 five (a) - 34:21, 51:5 gasoline (1) - 16:18, general (0) - 15:14, 48:14, 53:15 gasoline (1) - 16:18, general (0) - 15:19, gives (1) - 25:13 H H helpful (1) - 64:9 implication (1) - 53:15 implication (1)			•		
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 42:5, 42:6, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 5:10, 5:11, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 37:4, 58:22, 69:9, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 37:4, 58:22, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 15:7, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 32:2, 20:3, 22:17, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 31:2, 31:23, 31:12, 33:16, 34:11, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 32:13, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 12:14, 63:15, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 12:14, 63:15, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 12:14, 63:15, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 32:13, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 16:16, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 32:13, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 12:12, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 32:13, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 32:13, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 12:12, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_1 - 12:12, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 33:16, 34:11, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 33:16, 34:14, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 33:26, 35:24, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g}_2 - 33:26, 35:24, \\ \mbox{fill} {\bf g$			0 11 /		••
				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-	-		
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{fill} [g] - 37:4, 58:22, \\ 66:10, 31:24, 69:10, \\ fill (g] - 37:4, 58:22, \\ 66:10, 31:24, 69:10, \\ find (g) [2] - 16:16, \\ fill (g) - 10:4, \\ finance [n] - 52:13, \\ financial (n] - 15:7, \\ firm (n] - 69:6, \\ first [g] - 36:1, 43:20, \\ five day (n] - 33:7, \\ five day (n] - 33:7, \\ 51:5, \\ 50:12, 51:4, 45:14, \\ 51:15, \\ 50:12, 51:4, 45:14, 51:14, \\ five day (n] - 33:7, \\ five day (n] - 30:9, \\ day (n] - 40:6, \\ day (n] - 66:8, 24, \\ five day (n] - 30:9, \\ day (n] - 25:3, \\ five day (n] - 40:6, \\ general (n] - 10:14, \\ five day (n] - 40:6, \\ general (n] - 10:14, \\ five day (n] - 40:6, \\ general (n] - 10:14, \\ five day (n] - $	• • • •		•		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
fillinore (t) - 10:4 fillinore (t) - 52:13 fillinore (t) - 52:14 fillinore (t) - 52:15 fillinore (t) - 52					• • • •
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			_		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			_		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					•
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $, ,	•••			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		43:12, 43:17			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		•	_		•
Five [3] - 48:24, 49:1, 51:5 gain [1] - 59:24 H high [1] - 12:12 41:6, 62:7, 64:12, 65:12 51:5 gasoline [1] - 16:18 general [6] - 11:15, 30:8, 30:15, 48:6, 48:18, 60:8 high [1] - 30:20 high [1] - 12:12 high [1] - 1		G	guys [1] - 25:13		
51:5gasoline [1] - 16:18 general [6] - 11:15, $30:8, 30:15, 48:6,$ $35:1, 36:6, 39:8,$ $35:1, 36:6, 39:8,$ $35:1, 36:6, 39:8,$ $35:1, 36:6, 39:8,$ $39:11, 41:3, 44:17,$ $50:12, 51:4, 51:14$ H2492 [1] - 58:10 half [1] - 30:20 hand [9] - 30:9, 30:12, $30:21, 30:24, 31:2,$ $30:21, 30:24, 31:2,$ $30:21, 30:24, 31:2,$ $31:5, 36:24, 37:6,$ $53:24$ high-level [1] - 12:12 highlights [1] - 7:14 highlights [1] - 7:14 highlights [1] - 5:8 highlights [1] - 6:15 highlights [1] - 6:11, 26:18, 22:24 26:19 highlight [1] - 16:15 highlight [1] - 16:15 highlight [1] - 16:15 highlight [1] - 19:9 27:5 highlight [3] - 10:14, 20:12 10:14 [1] - 21:17 include [1] - 21:17 includig [3] - 12:4, 14:17 10:14 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 25:23 11:13, 28:3 25:23 11:13, 28:3 25:23 11:13, 28:3 25:23 11:13, 28:3 25:23 11:13, 28:3 25:23 11:14:14 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, 25:23 25:23 16:0cr [1] - 11:21 16:24,		agin (4) 50.24			
five-day [1] - 33:7general [6] - 11:15, $30:8, 30:15, 48:6,$ $35:1, 36:6, 39:8,$ $35:1, 36:6, 39:8,$ $35:1, 36:6, 39:8,$ $35:1, 41:3, 44:17,$ $50:12, 51:4, 51:14$ H2492 [1] - 58:10 half [1] - 30:20 hand [9] - 30:9, 30:12, $30:21, 30:24, 31:2,$ $30:21, 30:24, 31:2,$ $11:1, 26:18,$ $32:24$ highlight [3] - 9:22, $53:6, 64:12$ impossible [2] - $53:23, 53:24$ highlights [1] - 5:8 highlights [1] - 16:13, $32:24$ Fives '[3] - 39:16, $44:8, 44:11$ flabbergasts [1] - generation [1] - 29:13 geographically [1] - 16:1Handling [1] - 12:9 hand [2] - 6:24, 69:25 Hang [2] - 6:24, 69:25 Hang [2] - 6:24, 69:25 Hispanic [1] - 64:21 history [2] - 53:13 hit [1] - 37:22 holographic [1] - 21:17 holographic [1] - 21:17 holographic [1] - 21:17 holographic [1] - 21:17 holographic [1] - 11:21 Holographic [1] - 11:11 Holographic [1] - 12:16 H		• • • •	н		
Fives [10] - 34:21, 30:8, 30:15, 48:6, half [1] - 30:20 53:6, 64:12 53:23, 53:24 35:1, 36:6, 39:8, 30:11, 41:3, 44:17, General's [10] - 13:15, 30:21, 30:24, 31:2, highlighting [1] - 7:14 impression [1] - 61:22 39:11, 41:3, 44:17, General's [10] - 13:15, 30:21, 30:24, 31:2, highlighting [1] - 7:14 impression [1] - 61:22 50:12, 51:4, 51:14 13:19, 36:13, 42:10, 31:5, 36:24, 37:6, hire [3] - 16:11, 26:18, 32:24 Fives [3] - 39:16, 54:19, 68:15, 68:17, 53:24 hand [9] - 12:8 hire [3] - 16:11, 26:18, 32:24 flabbergasts [1] - generally [1] - 40:6 hand [9] - 6:24, 69:25 history [2] - 53:13 include [1] - 21:17 flabbergasts [1] - geographically [1] - hAMSEN [17] - 15:2, history [2] - 53:13 include [1] - 21:17 flood [1] - 43:20 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 14:4, 14:17 floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 folice [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, home [1] - 18:11 26:1 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7		-	H2492 [4] = 58.10	-	
1 tots $[10] = 54.21,$ 1 tots $[10] = 154.21,$ 35:1, 36:6, 39:8,39:11, 41:3, 44:17,General's $[10] = 13:15,$ 30:21, 30:24, 31:2,highlighting $[1] = 7:14,$ impression $[1] = 61:22,$ 50:12, 51:4, 51:1413:19, 36:13, 42:10,31:5, 36:24, 37:6,hire $[3] = 16:11, 26:18,$ 32:24Fives' $[3] = 39:16,$ 54:19, 68:15, 68:17,53:24handles $[1] = 12:9,$ handles $[1] = 12:9,$ hired $[1] = 16:15,$ 44:8, 44:1168:19, 68:21, 70:7generally $[1] = 40:6,$ handles $[1] = 12:8,$ hired $[1] = 16:15,$ improved $[1] = 33:20,$ flabbergasts $[1] =$ generation $[1] = 29:13,$ geographically $[1] =$ handles $[1] = 12:8,$ hired $[1] = 16:15,$ include $[1] = 21:17,$ flagstaff $[5] = 16:6,$ geographically $[1] =$ HANSEN $[17] = 15:2,$ history $[2] = 53:13,$ including $[3] = 12:4,$ 16:1Gina $[4] = 6:6, 8:24,$ 23:18, 24:13, 25:3,holographic $[1] =$ incorporated $[1] = 7:6,$ flood $[1] = 43:20,$ flief $= 16:16,$ 27:21, 30:3, 31:12,home $[1] = 11:21,$ increase $[5] = 16:22,$ Floor $[1] = 9:21,$ given $[5] = 16:16,$ 27:21, 30:3, 31:12,home $[1] = 11:21,$ increase $[5] = 16:22,$ floot $[2] = 10:14, 53:2,$ foi:25, 70:2,32:2, 33:10, 34:2,home $[1] = 18:11,$ increased $[1] = 17:11,$ folks $[6] = 7:20, 13:13,$ foi:24, 17:5, 18:9,26:11,hook $[1] = 29:4,$ increased $[1] = 17:11,$ folks $[6] = 7:20, 13:13,,$ gass $[1] = 18:14,$ Ha		-			
39:11, 41:3, 44:17, General's [10] - 13:15, 30:21, 30:24, 31:2, highlights [1] - 5:8 improve [2] - 18:12, 50:12, 51:4, 51:14 13:19, 36:13, 42:10, 53:24 highlights [1] - 5:8 improve [2] - 18:12, Fives' [3] - 39:16, 54:19, 68:15, 68:17, 53:24 hird [1] - 16:15 improve [1] - 33:20 44:8, 44:11 68:19, 68:21, 70:7 handles [1] - 12:9 handling [1] - 12:8 hird [1] - 16:15 improved [1] - 33:20 flabbergasts [1] - generally [1] - 40:6 generation [1] - 29:13 hang [2] - 6:24, 69:25 history [2] - 53:13 include [1] - 21:17 flagstaff [5] - 16:6, geographically [1] - 22:22, 22:25, 23:14, hit [1] - 37:22 14:4, 14:17 18:16 Gina [4] - 6:6, 8:24, 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 14:4, 14:17 flood [1] - 43:20 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 25:23 increase [5] - 16:22, Floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floot [2] - 10:14, 53:2 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, 32:14 home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2				,	
50:11, 41:1, 50; 41:11, 50; 11:11, 50; 12: 51:14, 51:14 $13:19, 36:13, 42:10, 54:19, 68:13, 42:10, 54:19, 68:15, 68:17, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:7, 70:$					
Fives' [3] - 39:16, 54:19, 68:15, 68:17, 53:24 26:19 improved [1] - 33:20 44:8, 44:11 68:19, 68:21, 70:7 handles [1] - 12:8 hired [1] - 16:15 improved [1] - 33:20 flabbergasts [1] - generally [1] - 40:6 handling [1] - 12:8 hiring [1] - 19:9 27:5 62:22 generation [1] - 29:13 geographically [1] - hang [2] - 6:24, 69:25 Hispanic [1] - 64:21 include [1] - 21:17 Flagstaff [5] - 16:6, geographically [1] - 16:1 22:22, 22:25, 23:14, hit [1] - 37:22 14:4, 14:17 18:16 Gina [4] - 6:6, 8:24, 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 increase [5] - 16:22, Floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, bolographic [1] - 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floot [2] - 10:14, 53:2 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, 34:17 howe [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 34:17 34:17 howe [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 hoke [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] - 24:6					• • • • •
11003 [3] = 00.10, 68:19, 68:21, 70:7 handles [1] = 12:9 hired [1] = 16:15 improvements [1] = 44:8, 44:11 68:19, 68:21, 70:7 handles [1] = 12:9 hired [1] = 16:15 improvements [1] = flabbergasts [1] = generally [1] = 40:6 handling [1] = 12:8 hang [2] = 6:24, 69:25 Hispanic [1] = 64:21 include [1] = 21:17 Flagstaff [5] = 16:6, geographically [1] = 16:1 22:22, 22:25, 23:14, hit [1] = 37:22 14:4, 14:17 18:16 Gina [4] = 6:6, 8:24, 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, bolographic [1] = incorporated [1] = 7:6 flood [1] = 43:20 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 increase [5] = 16:22, Floor [1] = 9:21 given [5] = 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, home [1] = 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floor [2] = 10:14, 53:2 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, 34:17 howe [1] = 18:11 26:1 folks [6] = 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 34:17 Hasen [6] = 5:5, 14:5, howe [8] = 13:6, 13:13, increased [1] = 17:11 hope [8] = 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] = 24:6 14:04 - 24:14 14:04 - 24:14 16:24:13					
flabbergasts [1] - generally [1] - 40:6 handling [1] - 12:8 hiring [1] - 19:9 27:5 flabbergasts [1] - generation [1] - 29:13 generation [1] - 29:13 hang [2] - 6:24, 69:25 hiring [1] - 19:9 27:5 Flagstaff [5] - 16:6, geographically [1] - 16:1 22:22, 22:25, 23:14, hit [1] - 37:22 14:4, 14:17 18:16 Gina [4] - 6:6, 8:24, 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 14:4, 14:17 flood [1] - 43:20 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 increase [5] - 16:22, Floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, flook [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, Homeland [1] - 18:11 26:1 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 34:17 hook [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] - 24:6 14:0:1 - 24:6 14:0:1 - 24:6	••				
Instant of generation [1] - 29:13 hang [2] - 6:24, 69:25 Hispanic [1] - 64:21 include [1] - 21:17 Flagstaff [5] - 16:6, geographically [1] - 16:1 22:22, 22:25, 23:14, hit [1] - 37:22 including [3] - 12:4, 18:16 Gina [4] - 6:6, 8:24, 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 increase [5] - 16:22, Floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, flook [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, 34:17 Homeland [1] - 18:11 26:1 fook [1] - 18:14 Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, Home [8] - 13:6, 13:13, increased [1] - 17:11					
Flagstaff [5] - 16:6, 17:12, 17:13, 17:17, 18:16 geographically [1] - 16:1 HANSEN [17] - 15:2, 22:22, 22:25, 23:14, 18:16 history [2] - 53:13 including [3] - 12:4, 14:4, 14:17 flood [1] - 43:20 flina [4] - 6:6, 8:24, 11:13, 28:3 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:25, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 history [2] - 53:13 including [3] - 12:4, 14:4, 14:17 floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 70:7 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, 34:17 history [2] - 53:13 increase [5] - 16:22, biolographic [1] - floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, glass [1] - 18:14 Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, history [2] - 53:13 including [3] - 12:4, 14:4, 14:17 holographic [1] - 25:23 floor [1] - 9:24 increase [5] - 16:22, Homeland [1] - 18:11 home [n] - 18:11 26:1 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, glass [1] - 18:14 Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, Hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, increased [1] - 17:11	<u> </u>	• • • • •	• • •	_	
17:12, 17:13, 17:17, 18:16 16:1 22:22, 22:25, 23:14, 31:13, 28:3 hit [1] - 37:22 14:4, 14:17 18:16 Gina [4] - 6:6, 8:24, 11:13, 28:3 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 hit [1] - 37:22 14:4, 14:17 flood [1] - 43:20 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23, 31:12, 16:16, hit [1] - 37:22 14:4, 14:17 floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 70:7 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, 34:17 home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, 26:1 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, 70:7 34:17 Homeland [1] - 18:11 26:1 hook [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 hook [1] - 29:4 incredible [1] - 24:6 14:17		-		-	
18:16 Gina [4] - 6:6, 8:24, 23:18, 24:13, 25:3, holographic [1] - incerporated [1] - 7:6 flood [1] - 43:20 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 25:23 increase [5] - 16:22, Floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, holographic [1] - 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floot [2] - 10:14, 53:2 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, Homeland [1] - 18:11 26:1 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 34:17 hook [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, glass [1] - 18:14 Hasen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] - 24:6	_			-	-
flood [1] - 43:20 11:13, 28:3 25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, 25:23 increase [5] - 16:22, 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 70:7 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, 34:17 Homeland [1] - 18:11 26:1 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, glass [1] - 18:14 Hasen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, 14:10 Homeland [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] - 24:6		10.1			
Hold [1] 4020 given [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, Floor [1] - 9:21 given [5] - 16:16, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, home [1] - 11:21 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 34:17 hook [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, glass [1] - 18:14 Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] - 24:6		Gina [4] - 6.6 8.24	23:18.24:13.25:3		
floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, Homeland [1] - 18:11 26:1 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 34:17 hook [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, glass [1] - 18:14 Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] - 24:6	18:16				
folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 70:7 34:17 hook [1] - 29:4 increased [1] - 17:11 57:10, 58:22, 67:21, glass [1] - 18:14 Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5, hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13, incredible [1] - 24:6	18:16 flood [1] - 43:20	11:13, 28:3	25:5, 25:10, 25:20,	25:23	increase [5] - 16:22,
Solution (i) = 7.20, 10.10, incredible (i) = 7.20, incredible (i) = 7.20	18:16 flood [1] - 43:20 Floor [1] - 9:21	11:13, 28:3 given [5] - 16:16,	25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12,	25:23 home [1] - 11:21	increase [5] - 16:22, 16:24, 17:5, 18:9,
	18:16 flood [1] - 43:20 Floor [1] - 9:21 floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2	11:13, 28:3 given [5] - 16:16, 55:19, 60:25, 70:2,	25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2,	25:23 home [1] - 11:21 Homeland [1] - 18:11	increase [5] - 16:22, 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, 26:1
	18:16 flood [1] - 43:20 Floor [1] - 9:21 floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13,	11:13, 28:3 given [5] - 16:16, 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 70:7	25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, 34:17	25:23 home [1] - 11:21 Homeland [1] - 18:11 hook [1] - 29:4	increase [5] - 16:22, 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, 26:1 increased [1] - 17:11
	18:16 flood [1] - 43:20 Floor [1] - 9:21 floor [2] - 10:14, 53:2 folks [6] - 7:20, 13:13, 57:10, 58:22, 67:21,	11:13, 28:3 given [5] - 16:16, 55:19, 60:25, 70:2, 70:7 glass [1] - 18:14	25:5, 25:10, 25:20, 27:21, 30:3, 31:12, 32:2, 33:10, 34:2, 34:17 Hansen [6] - 5:5, 14:5,	25:23 home [1] - 11:21 Homeland [1] - 18:11 hook [1] - 29:4 hope [8] - 13:6, 13:13,	increase [5] - 16:22, 16:24, 17:5, 18:9, 26:1 increased [1] - 17:11 incredible [1] - 24:6

35:9, 35:11 indiscernible) [1] -16:11 individuals [1] - 40:14 information [13] -17:22, 22:14, 27:23, 28:6, 31:19, 50:22, 50:25, 51:12, 59:6, 59:10, 59:15, 67:4, 70:11 informative [1] - 24:3 infrastructure [2] -20:10, 21:17 initiate [1] - 42:12 initiative [3] - 20:11, 39:23, 54:24 inject [1] - 55:20 install [1] - 15:24 installed [1] - 18:19 instead [1] - 20:12 integral [1] - 21:15 integrity [2] - 19:23, 68:15 intelligent [1] - 62:20 intent [1] - 27:10 intention [1] - 53:11 interaction [2] - 67:10, 68:14 interest [7] - 26:13, 40:2, 40:17, 41:15, 49:2, 49:25 interested [2] - 39:18, 39.24 interesting [1] - 10:8 interrupting [1] -10:22 introduce [1] - 38:18 investigation [1] -39:6 invitation [3] - 47:8, 47:17, 47:24 invited [1] - 47:23 involved [13] - 15:10, 19:11, 20:7, 27:16, 28:9, 28:20, 31:5, 41:21, 43:22, 44:5, 44:22, 49:6, 54:20 involves [1] - 41:2 involving [1] - 7:3 issue [23] - 7:13, 10:24, 12:20, 12:21, 13:14, 20:25, 29:2, 29:3, 36:14, 40:1, 41:17, 42:20, 44:10, 54:20. 54:22. 55:10. 55:16, 55:21, 56:11, 61:25, 66:24, 69:13, 70.17 issues [26] - 5:22, 6:8, 7:2, 9:11, 13:12,

14:4, 24:8, 26:14, 29:20, 36:17, 39:14, 40:18, 40:25, 41:2, 43:10, 43:21, 44:2, 44:21, 53:19, 56:18, 57:10, 60:9, 60:13, 66:15, 67:8 **IT** [1] - 18:22 it's.. [1] - 32:25 item [13] - 3:6, 4:20, 5:6, 11:4, 13:1, 14:2, 34:13, 34:23, 35:3, 35:10, 35:13, 37:2, 62:11 Item [10] - 3:19, 10:25, 14:2, 34:19, 52:9, 63:21, 65:24, 65:25, 70:20, 71:12 itself [1] - 16:11 IV [1] - 14:2 **IX** [1] - 71:12 J Jen [1] - 53:21 job [3] - 11:23, 24:6, 52:25 join [3] - 47:8, 47:17, 47:22 joined [2] - 11:14, 14:8 joining [4] - 5:5, 34:10. 47:11. 47:13 joking [1] - 15:12 judge [1] - 40:4 judges [1] - 40:4 judgment [4] - 36:9, 36:20, 36:22, 39:3 judicial [1] - 44:25 judiciary [1] - 61:20 Julian [1] - 52:25 jump [1] - 52:21 jumping [1] - 13:25 just.. [1] - 48:11 Κ Kara [5] - 35:13, 36:12, 37:1, 37:15, 37:17 KARLSON [6] - 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 37:20, 47:13, 63:13 Karlson [2] - 10:21, 37:17 keep [4] - 3:8, 10:15, 18:22, 28:15 keeping [2] - 15:22, 54:5 Kelly [1] - 10:5

key [1] - 37:22 keypa [1] - 19:5 keypad [1] - 18:22 keys [1] - 39:8 killed [1] - 10:13 **KIMBLE** [22] - 3:12, 4:12, 31:15, 31:18, 33:9, 46:6, 46:20, 51:19, 52:2, 57:17, 57:19, 58:1, 58:4, 58:9, 60:1, 63:3, 63:10, 63:22, 63:25, 64:17, 71:15, 71:25 **Kimble** [16] - 3:12, 4:11, 9:24, 31:16, 31:17, 46:6, 46:19, 51:20, 52:1, 57:18, 57:24, 58:15, 60:5, 62:25, 63:8, 71:24 Kimble's [1] - 64:16 kind [22] - 11:21, 11:24, 12:12, 12:13, 13:10, 20:7, 24:14, 25:15, 25:18, 26:2, 27:18, 28:11, 28:13, 29:23, 36:6, 41:20, 44:8, 45:2, 56:25, 57:12, 62:21 kinds [1] - 44:3 knowing [1] - 54:2 knowledge [4] - 13:4, 13:18, 42:8, 68:12 knows [1] - 38:20 kooky [2] - 62:11, 62:21 Kraken [1] - 53:10 L lack [1] - 37:2 Lancaster [1] - 14:15 land [1] - 16:1 language [3] - 17:7, 18:1, 59:18 lap [1] - 43:25 laptops [1] - 17:18 large [2] - 16:1, 17:15 larger [1] - 16:2 last [13] - 6:10, 8:17, 14:6, 24:16, 34:14, 41:20, 41:22, 53:2, 60:12, 60:24, 66:4, 68:16, 68:21 law [11] - 40:4, 40:8, 42:24, 53:19, 54:14, 55:6, 55:12, 59:19, 60:23, 61:4, 61:20 lawsuit [4] - 7:8, 13:18, 39:8, 39:17 leadership [1] - 14:21

learn [2] - 28:10, 32:17 learned [4] - 31:19, 34:10, 66:21, 67:11 least [2] - 10:8, 59:9 leave [4] - 36:24, 38:14, 47:21, 62:23 leaves [1] - 43:25 legal [9] - 11:23, 12:6, 35:11, 38:22, 41:17, 44:19, 46:10, 56:17 legality [2] - 39:10, 44.10 legally [1] - 11:22 legislative [6] - 4:22, 11:1, 52:12, 52:14, 66:6, 67:7 legislator [1] - 31:3 legislators [1] - 25:16 legislature [7] - 21:5, 26:4, 27:1, 54:12, 61:8, 62:20, 66:16 legitimacy [1] - 59:25 legs [1] - 65:6 letter [5] - 62:7, 62:12, 63:12, 64:1, 68:17 letting [3] - 15:4, 61:13, 61:25 level [9] - 12:12, 14:11, 19:22, 20:9, 26:6, 53:25, 55:20, 60:14, 67:13 liability [2] - 34:25, 35.23 library [1] - 12:5 license [2] - 55:1, 58:12 lie [2] - 21:2, 27:6 Life [2] - 15:18, 20:22 light [1] - 30:1 likelihood [1] - 24:9 likely [1] - 59:5 limited [4] - 34:25, 35:23, 41:14, 70:24 limiting [1] - 64:23 line [2] - 56:17, 71:7 Lisa [1] - 14:7 list [2] - 21:25, 28:5 literally [2] - 53:20, 57:24 litigating [3] - 40:6, 41:2, 54:20 litigation [2] - 35:24, 51.2 live [2] - 47:6, 47:17 LLC [2] - 34:21, 51:14 **lobby** [2] - 18:13, 60:4 lobbying [1] - 63:15 Lobbyist [1] - 53:21 local [4] - 5:8, 20:12,

21:12, 28:25 locations [2] - 16:6, 18:12 locked [2] - 66:25, 67:2 look [7] - 10:18, 15:10, 25:6, 28:22, 60:8, 60:20, 69:20 looked [4] - 8:4, 8:5, 9:6, 58:24 looking [1] - 9:9 losing [1] - 8:23 lost [4] - 5:12, 8:10, 8:11, 55:13 love [2] - 24:3, 27:20 low [1] - 62:2 low-cost [1] - 62:2

Μ

mail [11] - 7:4, 7:10, 7:22, 10:6, 17:6, 21:23, 29:13, 33:2, 71:6 mail-in [1] - 10:6 main [3] - 12:10, 18:13, 70:10 maintain [1] - 19:7 maintaining [1] -27:19 major [1] - 7:2 majority [3] - 7:11, 19:12, 45:16 mall [1] - 17:13 Mall [2] - 17:13, 17:17 mantle [1] - 10:5 Mara [1] - 14:7 March [1] - 3:7 Maricopa [5] - 7:15, 9:4, 29:3, 30:19, 69.23 Mark [2] - 20:24, 20:25 marked [1] - 31:4 marks [1] - 30:11 Marson [1] - 53:22 matched [1] - 7:20 matter [7] - 38:19, 44:22, 46:10, 50:23, 60:19, 70:25 matters [2] - 35:9, 64:25 maximize [1] - 6:6 mean [24] - 7:1, 21:11, 22:2, 25:12, 26:8, 27:9, 27:18, 29:9, 30:6, 30:10, 31:5, 32:10, 32:20, 41:4, 50:10, 54:1, 55:13, 57:1, 60:6, 60:7, 60:11, 63:16, 64:6,

70:13	58:20	8:19, 10:2, 10:17,	network [1] - 18:22	55:14
mean [1] - 30:15	mike [1] - 8:24	12:24, 35:18, 38:16,	never [6] - 15:13, 25:8,	obviously [8] - 6:3,
means [1] - 39:21	Mike [2] - 29:21, 53:7	38:17, 39:1, 42:15,	29:10, 29:11, 44:18,	7:10, 9:11, 11:3,
measures [2] - 52:17,	million [2] - 30:20	42:18, 43:16, 45:14,	68:6	13:7, 53:16, 54:5,
63:15	millions [1] - 21:11	45:20, 52:23, 57:23,	new [6] - 11:17, 25:5,	67:7
meeting [13] - 3:6,	Minnesota [2] - 14:16,	58:2, 58:5, 58:7,	32:21, 40:8, 58:12,	occurred [1] - 50:11
4:19, 24:23, 47:4,	14:17	58:15, 60:5, 63:16,	59:9	offer [4] - 27:20,
47:19, 47:20, 47:21,	minority [1] - 17:25	64:5, 64:9, 64:15,	news [2] - 25:14, 58:3	37:17, 49:8, 49:10
48:11, 48:13, 60:12,	minute [1] - 6:10	64:18, 65:21, 66:11,	newspaper [1] - 17:21	offered [1] - 53:8
66:14, 72:16	minutes [5] - 3:20,	69:19	next [7] - 5:6, 5:8,	Office [2] - 7:16, 68:17
meetings [1] - 33:18	3:22, 3:25, 4:8, 4:18	MS [37] - 5:12, 5:14,	14:2, 20:10, 24:23,	office [47] - 11:15,
member [1] - 71:3	miracle [1] - 32:14	9:2, 10:16, 10:20,	34:13, 59:19	11:17, 11:25, 12:2,
members [4] - 3:8,	missed [2] - 37:1, 37:3	10:22, 15:2, 22:22,	nice [1] - 11:20	12:7, 13:15, 13:18,
35:7, 48:5, 52:22	mission [1] - 22:3	22:25, 23:14, 23:18,	ninjas [1] - 31:11	13:19, 14:18, 17:12,
mention [1] - 56:24	mistakes [2] - 30:24,	24:13, 25:3, 25:5,	nominally [1] - 12:8	17:13, 17:14, 17:16,
mentioned [10] - 17:9,	32:4	25:10, 25:20, 27:21,	noncitizens [1] -	17:23, 18:13, 20:15,
26:24, 29:21, 30:4,	mode [2] - 8:8, 69:17	30:3, 31:12, 32:2,	61:22	20:19, 22:19, 23:1,
31:25, 47:16, 49:14,	moment [1] - 15:6	33:10, 34:2, 34:17,	none [2] - 13:25, 45:9	23:3, 23:7, 23:16,
53:7, 60:12, 65:15	Monday [1] - 11:20	35:6, 37:14, 37:20,	nonpartisan [1] -	29:14, 29:15, 36:13,
merits [1] - 7:9	money [29] - 15:13,	38:12, 45:15, 46:8,	21:14	42:10, 54:19, 56:7,
method [1] - 30:13	15:24, 17:1, 18:7,	47:6, 47:13, 47:15,	nonprofit [2] - 20:22,	56:14, 56:21, 60:8,
MEYER [102] - 3:3,	18:20, 19:20, 20:1,	47:21, 47:23, 48:9,	21:15	60:20, 61:2, 61:3,
3:16, 4:1, 4:6, 4:11,	20:6, 20:11, 20:17,	48:12, 63:13	normally [1] - 12:2	63:12, 66:5, 66:17,
4:13, 4:15, 4:17,	20:18, 20:20, 21:1,	multiple [1] - 12:4	notary [2] - 12:9,	67:14, 67:22, 68:15,
5:13, 5:17, 5:23, 6:9,	21:6, 22:8, 23:1,	must [1] - 59:20	12:10	68:16, 68:18, 68:19,
6:12, 6:19, 8:10,	23:19, 24:11, 25:21,	mute [2] - 3:9, 34:8	notch [1] - 27:4	68:21, 70:12
8:16, 8:23, 9:17,	25:24, 26:14, 27:1,		note [1] - 54:17	offices [2] - 20:12,
10:21, 11:7, 12:16,	32:18, 33:8, 40:6	Ν	noted [1] - 52:24	21:12
12:18, 13:22, 22:13,	Monique [2] - 35:8,		nothing [2] - 63:3,	officials [3] - 14:10,
22:24, 23:11, 23:17,	47:9	narrative [1] - 61:18	70:13	21:20, 33:1
23:20, 23:24, 29:19,	monitor [3] - 40:24,	Nation [2] - 17:3, 17:4	notice [2] - 13:13,	offline [5] - 12:21,
31:13, 31:17, 33:11,	41:10, 42:3	National [1] - 22:1	35:21	13:3, 66:5, 67:14,
34:3, 34:8, 34:18,	month [1] - 14:6	national [4] - 14:22,	notion [1] - 53:11	70:3
35:15, 37:9, 37:19,	months [1] - 68:16	20:8, 24:22, 26:6	notwithstanding [4] -	offset [1] - 25:25
38:5, 38:10, 38:13,	monumentally [1] -	nationwide [2] -	55:6, 55:14, 59:8,	often [2] - 61:12,
42:17, 43:14, 45:3,	54:1	21:11, 24:14	59:19	61:13
45:9, 45:18, 45:22,	morning [4] - 3:3,	NAU [1] - 29:8	nuanced [1] - 59:12	old [1] - 39:22
46:1, 46:4, 46:7,	3:18, 34:10, 35:6	Navajo [4] - 17:3,	number [14] - 15:20,	once [1] - 47:19
46:12, 46:14, 46:19,	most [6] - 9:3, 18:7,	17:4, 17:5, 17:25	16:5, 52:15, 52:17,	one [28] - 7:6, 10:13,
46:21, 46:23, 46:25,	19:3, 20:23, 27:22,	Nebraska [3] - 14:15,	52:25, 53:18, 54:10,	10:15, 12:6, 16:3,
48:7, 48:10, 48:15,	32:8	14:16, 30:22	55:10, 58:6, 58:9,	16:10, 17:19, 18:25,
50:15, 50:20, 50:24,	motion [29] - 3:23,	necessarily [3] - 40:7,	59:23, 61:11, 64:22,	22:16, 26:23, 27:11,
51:5, 51:10, 51:17,	4:1, 4:7, 4:18, 45:12,	60:17, 61:17	67:24	27:22, 30:20, 31:6,
51:21, 52:1, 52:3,	45:16, 46:4, 46:9,	necessary [2] - 17:7,	Number [6] - 14:2,	31:7, 31:21, 38:14,
52:5, 52:7, 56:23,	46:14, 47:1, 49:11,	42:13	34:20, 52:10, 65:25,	44:21, 49:10, 53:7,
57:14, 57:18, 58:6, 62:5, 62:0, 62:13	50:17, 50:19, 50:25,	need [27] - 5:16, 9:23,	70:20, 71:12	55:10, 57:6, 58:11,
62:5, 62:9, 62:13, 62:16, 62:25, 63:2,	51:7, 51:11, 51:12,	12:6, 12:22, 16:11, 16:18, 19:8, 20:2,	numbers [2] - 30:24,	62:11, 63:22, 64:11,
63:4, 63:11, 63:14,	51:18, 51:20, 51:22,	22:9, 26:17, 31:24,	30:25	64:13, 71:11
63:19, 63:24, 64:8,	52:8, 63:5, 71:13,	32:1, 32:3, 37:3,	numerous [1] - 14:22	onerous [2] - 22:18,
64:14, 65:16, 65:20,	71:16, 71:18, 71:23,	37:4, 39:14, 42:9,	_	31:23
65:23, 69:16, 70:16,	72:9, 72:14	45:12, 47:21, 48:10,	0	ones [1] - 9:25
70:19, 71:9, 71:17,	motor [1] - 16:17	55:3, 63:4, 63:5,	alala ak 🐖 50 7	ongoing [4] - 13:19, 35:22, 35:24, 48:25
71:21, 72:1, 72:3,	move [16] - 3:19, 3:24,	65:18, 70:12, 70:14	o'clock [1] - 58:7	online [1] - 69:7
72:5, 72:8, 72:11	5:6, 9:1, 11:3, 11:4,	needed [7] - 12:15,	O'Halleran [1] - 24:18	open [4] - 26:9, 47:24,
	34:13, 34:19, 46:2,	15:14, 18:12, 19:9,	observation [1] - 69:17	49:6, 69:8
Meyer [4] - 3:3, 15:3,	51.1 E1.12 E0.0			10.0, 00.0
• • • •	51:1, 51:13, 52:9,	19:18, 19:21		opening 121 - 14.94
Meyer [4] - 3:3, 15:3, 35:6, 48:15 microphones [1] - 3:9	64:11, 65:24, 70:20		observations [1] -	opening [2] - 14:24, 38:3
35:6, 48:15	64:11, 65:24, 70:20 moving [1] - 43:2	19:18, 19:21	observations [1] - 33:13	38:3
35:6, 48:15 microphones [1] - 3:9	64:11, 65:24, 70:20 moving [1] - 43:2 MR [34] - 5:2, 5:15,	19:18, 19:21 needs [1] - 60:17	observations [1] - 33:13 obtained [1] - 48:20	38:3 operators [1] - 44:17
35:6, 48:15 microphones [1] - 3:9 might [6] - 37:6,	64:11, 65:24, 70:20 moving [1] - 43:2	19:18, 19:21 needs [1] - 60:17 neighborhood [1] -	observations [1] - 33:13	38:3

	_			
44:1	41:13, 41:20, 51:3,	39:24, 40:18, 41:1,	66:1, 70:13	32:25, 58:20
	41.13, 41.20, 51.3, 56:17	41:8, 41:16, 45:1,		
opinions [1] - 49:8	Party [2] - 48:24, 49:1	55:18, 57:1, 61:1,	post [3] - 29:14,	proceed [1] - 38:3
opportunity [3] -	-	61:19	29:15, 30:12	proceeding [2] -
12:15, 12:19, 37:17	party's [1] - 43:25	petition [2] - 66:5,	post-election [1] - 30:12	47:25, 48:6 proceedings [2] -
opposed [1] - 9:7	party-line [1] - 56:17	67:6		• • • • •
opposite [1] - 16:13	pass [1] - 54:3	petitions [3] - 66:2,	posted [2] - 13:15,	12:11, 48:25
optical [1] - 19:16	passed [8] - 19:14,	66:18, 69:9	28:5	process [6] - 6:6, 33:3, 39:20, 54:3,
optimistic [1] - 25:1 order [7] - 3:6, 34:23,	21:5, 25:24, 54:11,	phone [1] - 37:8	posts [1] - 14:16 potential [1] - 55:23	59:12, 61:11
	54:24, 57:20, 57:22, 57:24	phones [1] - 29:3		processed [1] - 28:19
36:3, 43:6, 55:6,	passes [1] - 47:1	physical [1] - 32:1	potentially [1] - 59:10 power [2] - 51:4, 51:5	processes [1] - 27:17
59:7, 67:4 organization [2] -	past [3] - 18:5, 50:3,	pick [3] - 8:24, 29:10,	Power [12] - 31.4, 51.5 Power [12] - 34:21,	processing [1] - 28:19
20:22, 21:14	68:8	65:4	35:1, 36:6, 39:8,	professional [1] -
organizations [1] -	pathway [1] - 42:25	picked [1] - 29:11	39:11, 39:15, 41:3,	33:22
14:22	PATON [7] - 3:14,	pings [2] - 66:23, 67:3	44:8, 44:11, 44:17,	program [6] - 19:7,
origin [1] - 40:10	4:14, 33:12, 46:22,	place [7] - 6:4, 23:3,	50:12, 51:14	28:13, 28:16, 32:19,
original [2] - 26:24,	52:4, 62:10, 72:4	28:5, 43:23, 58:21,	precinct [1] - 53:25	32:21, 66:23
40:10	Paton [8] - 3:14, 4:13,	69:3, 69:4	precise [1] - 67:24	programs [3] - 32:3,
originally [1] - 19:14	33:11, 34:3, 46:21,	placed [2] - 31:23,	precisely [1] - 58:24	33:14, 66:24
otherwise [2] - 26:13,	52:3, 62:10, 72:3	54:12	preemption [1] - 61:9	progress [1] - 25:13
61:14	Patty [7] - 5:4, 14:5,	plain [1] - 59:18	preparation [1] -	proof [7] - 54:10,
ourself [1] - 68:12	14:8, 14:9, 24:2,	plate [1] - 43:25	42:11	54:15, 54:22, 55:3,
outset [1] - 68:2	34:9, 34:15	play [1] - 20:16	prepare [1] - 42:13	57:3, 58:13, 60:23
outside [1] - 11:21	patty [1] - 14:13	pleased [1] - 7:5	present [5] - 29:24,	proper [1] - 32:25
overview [2] - 12:12,	pay [6] - 16:20, 16:22,	point [14] - 10:13,	40:13, 42:1, 48:5,	properly [1] - 7:20
39:19	17:1, 20:3, 20:5,	11:6, 12:22, 41:17,	58:18	proposed [1] - 29:22
own [3] - 12:5, 49:8,	33:8	42:3, 55:17, 59:22,	presentation [1] -	proposition [1] - 62:1
61:8	penalty [1] - 57:5	64:1, 69:5, 69:20,	42:14	prosecution [2] -
	pending [6] - 37:25,	70:7, 70:8, 70:10,	presented [3] - 36:14,	58:21, 68:18
Р	39:7, 39:18, 49:25,	70:15	44:9, 59:6	prospective [1] -
-	51:2, 68:20	pointing [1] - 54:8		00.11
	01.2, 00.20	pointing [i] - 54.0	presentment [1] - 59:9	39:11
p.m [2] - 16:25, 30:5	pendulum [1] - 44:1	points [3] - 33:19,	presidential [4] - 59:9	Protection [2] - 55:16,
p.m [2] - 16:25, 30:5 pages [1] - 9:19		points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17		
-	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,	points [3] - 33:19,	presidential [4] - 55:7,	Protection [2] - 55:16,
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1,	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23,	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22,	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1,
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11,	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6,	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21,	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:15,	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, 60:13	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8 providing [1] - 26:14
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1,	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9, 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, 60:13 political [5] - 16:13,	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8 providing [1] - 26:14 provision [4] - 18:1,
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21,	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9, 28:14, 29:8, 29:12, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, 60:13 political [5] - 16:13, 20:24, 62:3, 64:3,	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9, 28:14, 29:8, 29:12, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, 60:13 political [5] - 16:13, 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, 64:4	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8 providing [1] - 26:14 provision [4] - 18:1, 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 provisional [3] - 29:9,
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9, 28:14, 29:8, 29:12, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 31:25, 32:2, 32:4, 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,	points [3] - 33:19, 37:22, 41:17 policy [15] - 14:4, 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, 60:13 political [5] - 16:13, 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, 64:4 politics [1] - 20:15	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24,	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8 providing [1] - 26:14 provision [4] - 18:1, 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 provisional [3] - 29:9, 32:10
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] -	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9, 28:14, 29:8, 29:12, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 31:25, 32:2, 32:4, 54:25, 55:11, 57:6, 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poli [13] - 16:19,} \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9, 28:14, 29:8, 29:12, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 31:25, 32:2, 32:4, 54:25, 55:11, 57:6, 58:12, 61:19, 61:21, 62:20, 66:17, 69:4	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25,	pendulum [1] - 44:1 people [38] - 5:15, 8:7, 10:18, 15:15, 15:20, 16:12, 17:15, 18:2, 18:11, 19:8, 19:9, 20:25, 21:9, 22:9, 26:21, 27:6, 27:9, 27:23, 28:1, 28:9, 28:14, 29:8, 29:12, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 31:25, 32:2, 32:4, 54:25, 55:11, 57:6, 58:12, 61:19, 61:21, 62:20, 66:17, 69:4 per [1] - 60:19	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{polit [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22,	eq:protection [2] - 55:16, \$56:9\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{polit [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ 33:2, 33:6 \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25,	eq:protection [2] - 55:16, \$56:9\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfect} [1] - 12:14\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25	eq:protection [2] - 55:16, \$56:9\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ 33:2, 33:6 \\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22,} \\ 24:24 \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6,	$\label{eq:protection [2] - 55:16, \\ 56:9 \\ \mbox{prove [1] - 22:10} \\ \mbox{prove [1] - 61:21} \\ \mbox{provide [4] - 27:1, } \\ 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 \\ \mbox{provided [2] - 55:8} \\ \mbox{provided [2] - 55:8} \\ \mbox{providing [1] - 26:14} \\ \mbox{provision [4] - 18:1, } \\ 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 \\ \mbox{provision [4] - 18:1, } \\ 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 \\ \mbox{provisions [1] - 61:6} \\ \mbox{public [22] - 8:21, } \\ 12:3, 17:14, 36:22, \\ 38:23, 39:24, 40:1, \\ 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, \\ 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, \\ 44:14, 48:5, 50:11, \\ \end{tabular}$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfect} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ 33:2, 33:6 \\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22,} \\ 24:24 \\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17} \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7,	$\label{eq:protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 \\ \mbox{prove [1] - 22:10 } \\ \mbox{prove [1] - 61:21 } \\ \mbox{prove [1] - 61:21 } \\ \mbox{provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 } \\ \mbox{provided [2] - 55:8 } \\ \mbox{provided [2] - 55:8 } \\ \mbox{providing [1] - 26:14 } \\ \mbox{provision [4] - 18:1, 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 } \\ \mbox{provision [4] - 18:1, 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 } \\ \mbox{provision [3] - 29:9, 32:10 } \\ \mbox{provisions [1] - 61:6 } \\ \mbox{public [22] - 8:21, 12:3, 17:14, 36:22, 38:23, 39:24, 40:1, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:14, 48:5, 50:11, 70:21, 70:23, 70:24, \\ \end{tabular}$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5 parties [8] - 37:23,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfact} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ 50:9, 68:3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,}\\ 37:22, 41:17\\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,}\\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1,\\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22,\\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11,\\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15,\\ 60:13\\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,}\\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3,\\ 64:4\\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15}\\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,}\\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19,\\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18,\\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15,\\ 33:2, 33:6\\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22,}\\ 24:24\\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2,	Protection [2] - $55:16$, 56:9 proud [1] - $22:10$ prove [1] - $61:21$ provide [4] - $27:1$, 35:21, $55:3$, $55:9provided [2] - 55:8providing [1] - 26:14provision [4] - 18:1,30:2$, $54:13$, $54:22provisional [3] - 29:9,32:10provisions [1] - 61:6public [22] - 8:21,12:3$, $17:14$, $36:22$, 38:23, $39:24$, $40:1$, 42:22, $43:4$, $43:8$, 43:11, $43:21$, $44:2$, 44:14, $48:5$, $50:11$, 70:21, $70:23$, $70:24$, 71:3, $71:7$, $71:11$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfact} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ 50:9, 68:3\\ \textbf{period} [2] - 5:10, 5:11\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{polit [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ 33:2, 33:6 \\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22, \\ 24:24 \\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17} \\ \textbf{portal [1] - 66:20} \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2, 68:7	Protection [2] - $55:16$, 56:9 proud [1] - $22:10$ prove [1] - $61:21$ provide [4] - $27:1$, 35:21, $55:3$, $55:9provided [2] - 55:8providing [1] - 26:14provision [4] - 18:1,30:2$, $54:13$, $54:22provisional [3] - 29:9,32:10provisions [1] - 61:6public [22] - 8:21,12:3$, $17:14$, $36:22$, 38:23, $39:24$, $40:1$, 42:22, $43:4$, $43:8$, 43:11, $43:21$, $44:2$, 44:14, $48:5$, $50:11$, 70:21, $70:23$, $70:24$, 71:3, $71:7$, $71:11publicly [3] - 8:6, 9:6,$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5 parties [8] - 37:23, 37:24, 40:5, 40:14,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfect} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ 50:9, 68:3\\ \textbf{period} [2] - 5:10, 5:11\\ \textbf{perjury} [1] - 57:5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ 33:2, 33:6 \\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22, \\ 24:24 \\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17} \\ \textbf{portal [1] - 66:20} \\ \textbf{portion [1] - 66:14 \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2, 68:7 problematic [3] - 7:9,	Protection [2] - $55:16$, 56:9 proud [1] - $22:10$ prove [1] - $61:21$ provide [4] - $27:1$, 35:21, $55:3$, $55:9provided [2] - 55:8providing [1] - 26:14provision [4] - 18:1,30:2$, $54:13$, $54:22provisional [3] - 29:9,32:10provisions [1] - 61:6public [22] - 8:21,12:3$, $17:14$, $36:22$, 38:23, $39:24$, $40:1$, 42:22, $43:4$, $43:8$, 43:11, $43:21$, $44:2$, 44:14, $48:5$, $50:11$, 70:21, $70:23$, $70:24$, 71:3, $71:7$, $71:11publicly [3] - 8:6, 9:6,9:12$
pages $[1] - 9:19$ pandemic $[3] - 16:22$, 22:23, $26:8paper [3] - 25:23,26:2$, $68:10paperwork [2] - 57:21,58:22part [7] - 8:9, 21:1,22:23$, $27:5$, $27:21$, 28:8, $49:20participate [1] - 47:7participate [1] - 47:7participating [1] - 66:3particular [5] - 9:25,35:10$, $41:16$, $56:12$, 61:15 particularly $[5] - 7:9$, 54:22, $61:16$, $61:17$, 68:5 parties $[8] - 37:23$, 37:24, $40:5$, $40:14$, 41:22, $64:4$, $67:20$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfect} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ 50:9, 68:3\\ \textbf{period} [2] - 5:10, 5:11\\ \textbf{perjury} [1] - 57:5\\ \textbf{permutations} [1] - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,}\\ 37:22, 41:17\\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,}\\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1,\\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22,\\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11,\\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15,\\ 60:13\\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,}\\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3,\\ 64:4\\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15}\\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,}\\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19,\\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18,\\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15,\\ 33:2, 33:6\\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22,}\\ 24:24\\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17}\\ \textbf{portal [1] - 66:20}\\ \textbf{portion [3] - 25:6,} \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2, 68:7 problematic [3] - 7:9, 54:23, 68:14	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8 providing [1] - 26:14 provision [4] - 18:1, 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 provisional [3] - 29:9, 32:10 provisions [1] - 61:6 public [22] - 8:21, 12:3, 17:14, 36:22, 38:23, 39:24, 40:1, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:14, 48:5, 50:11, 70:21, 70:23, 70:24, 71:3, 71:7, 71:11 publicly [3] - 8:6, 9:6, 9:12 punch [1] - 19:15
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5 parties [8] - 37:23, 37:24, 40:5, 40:14, 41:22, 64:4, 67:20 parties [1] - 42:5	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfect} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ 50:9, 68:3\\ \textbf{period} [2] - 5:10, 5:11\\ \textbf{perjury} [1] - 57:5\\ \textbf{permutations} [1] - 59:23\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,} \\ 37:22, 41:17 \\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,} \\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1, \\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22, \\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, \\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15, \\ 60:13 \\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,} \\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3, \\ 64:4 \\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15} \\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,} \\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19, \\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18, \\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15, \\ 33:2, 33:6 \\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22, \\ 24:24 \\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17} \\ \textbf{portion [1] - 66:20} \\ \textbf{portion [1] - 66:14} \\ \textbf{position [8] - 25:6, \\ 41:13, 48:23, 49:6, \\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2, 68:7 problematic [3] - 7:9,	Protection [2] - $55:16$, 56:9 proud [1] - $22:10$ prove [1] - $61:21$ provide [4] - $27:1$, 35:21, $55:3$, $55:9provided [2] - 55:8providing [1] - 26:14provision [4] - 18:1,30:2$, $54:13$, $54:22provisional [3] - 29:9,32:10provisions [1] - 61:6public [22] - 8:21,12:3$, $17:14$, $36:22$, 38:23, $39:24$, $40:1$, 42:22, $43:4$, $43:8$, 43:11, $43:21$, $44:2$, 44:14, $48:5$, $50:11$, 70:21, $70:23$, $70:24$, 71:3, $71:7$, $71:11publicly [3] - 8:6, 9:6,9:12$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5 parties [8] - 37:23, 37:24, 40:5, 40:14, 41:22, 64:4, 67:20 parties' [1] - 42:5 partiner [2] - 21:20,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfect} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ 50:9, 68:3\\ \textbf{period} [2] - 5:10, 5:11\\ \textbf{perjury} [1] - 57:5\\ \textbf{permutations} [1] -\\ 59:23\\ \textbf{person} [1] - 55:6\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,}\\ 37:22, 41:17\\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,}\\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1,\\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22,\\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11,\\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15,\\ 60:13\\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,}\\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3,\\ 64:4\\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15}\\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,}\\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19,\\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18,\\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15,\\ 33:2, 33:6\\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22,}\\ 24:24\\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17}\\ \textbf{portal [1] - 66:20}\\ \textbf{portion [1] - 66:14}\\ \textbf{position [8] - 25:6,}\\ 41:13, 48:23, 49:6,\\ 56:20, 61:16, 61:17\\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2, 68:7 problematic [3] - 7:9, 54:23, 68:14 problems [2] - 61:9, 65:7	Protection [2] - $55:16$, 56:9 proud [1] - $22:10$ prove [1] - $61:21$ provide [4] - $27:1$, 35:21, $55:3$, $55:9provided [2] - 55:8providing [1] - 26:14provision [4] - 18:1,30:2$, $54:13$, $54:22provisional [3] - 29:9,32:10provisions [1] - 61:6public [22] - 8:21,12:3$, $17:14$, $36:22$, 38:23, $39:24$, $40:1$, 42:22, $43:4$, $43:8$, 43:11, $43:21$, $44:2$, 44:14, $48:5$, $50:11$, 70:21, $70:23$, $70:24$, 71:3, $71:7$, $71:11publicly [3] - 8:6, 9:6,9:12purchased [1] - 17:18purpose [1] - 42:4$
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5 parties [8] - 37:23, 37:24, 40:5, 40:14, 41:22, 64:4, 67:20 parties' [1] - 42:5 partner [2] - 21:20, 28:25	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfect} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perfact} [1] - 12:14\\ \textbf{perfact} [2] - 5:10, 5:11\\ \textbf{perjury} [1] - 57:5\\ \textbf{permutations} [1] -\\ 59:23\\ \textbf{person} [1] - 55:6\\ \textbf{personal} [2] - 26:11,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,}\\ 37:22, 41:17\\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,}\\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1,\\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22,\\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11,\\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15,\\ 60:13\\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,}\\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3,\\ 64:4\\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15}\\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,}\\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19,\\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18,\\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15,\\ 33:2, 33:6\\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22,}\\ 24:24\\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17}\\ \textbf{portal [1] - 66:20}\\ \textbf{portion [1] - 66:14}\\ \textbf{position [8] - 25:6,}\\ 41:13, 48:23, 49:6,\\ 56:20, 61:16, 61:17\\ \textbf{positions [1] - 40:5}\\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2, 68:7 problematic [3] - 7:9, 54:23, 68:14 problems [2] - 61:9,	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8 providing [1] - 26:14 provision [4] - 18:1, 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 provisional [3] - 29:9, 32:10 provisions [1] - 61:6 public [22] - 8:21, 12:3, 17:14, 36:22, 38:23, 39:24, 40:1, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:14, 48:5, 50:11, 70:21, 70:23, 70:24, 71:3, 71:7, 71:11 publicly [3] - 8:6, 9:6, 9:12 punch [1] - 19:15 purchased [1] - 17:18
pages [1] - 9:19 pandemic [3] - 16:22, 22:23, 26:8 paper [3] - 25:23, 26:2, 68:10 paperwork [2] - 57:21, 58:22 part [7] - 8:9, 21:1, 22:23, 27:5, 27:21, 28:8, 49:20 participate [1] - 47:7 participating [1] - 66:3 particular [5] - 9:25, 35:10, 41:16, 56:12, 61:15 particularly [5] - 7:9, 54:22, 61:16, 61:17, 68:5 parties [8] - 37:23, 37:24, 40:5, 40:14, 41:22, 64:4, 67:20 parties' [1] - 42:5 partner [2] - 21:20, 28:25 partnership [1] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{pendulum} [1] - 44:1\\ \textbf{people} [38] - 5:15, 8:7,\\ 10:18, 15:15, 15:20,\\ 16:12, 17:15, 18:2,\\ 18:11, 19:8, 19:9,\\ 20:25, 21:9, 22:9,\\ 26:21, 27:6, 27:9,\\ 27:23, 28:1, 28:9,\\ 28:14, 29:8, 29:12,\\ 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,\\ 31:25, 32:2, 32:4,\\ 54:25, 55:11, 57:6,\\ 58:12, 61:19, 61:21,\\ 62:20, 66:17, 69:4\\ \textbf{per} [1] - 60:19\\ \textbf{percent} [2] - 16:7,\\ 41:12\\ \textbf{perfaps} [3] - 10:24,\\ 50:9, 68:3\\ \textbf{period} [2] - 5:10, 5:11\\ \textbf{perjury} [1] - 57:5\\ \textbf{permutations} [1] -\\ 59:23\\ \textbf{person} [1] - 55:6\\ \textbf{personal} [2] - 26:11,\\ 56:25\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{points [3] - 33:19,}\\ 37:22, 41:17\\ \textbf{policy [15] - 14:4,}\\ 36:22, 38:23, 40:1,\\ 40:7, 41:5, 42:22,\\ 43:4, 43:8, 43:11,\\ 43:21, 44:2, 44:15,\\ 60:13\\ \textbf{political [5] - 16:13,}\\ 20:24, 62:3, 64:3,\\ 64:4\\ \textbf{politics [1] - 20:15}\\ \textbf{poll [13] - 16:19,}\\ 16:21, 16:22, 17:19,\\ 19:6, 30:4, 30:18,\\ 32:6, 32:12, 32:15,\\ 33:2, 33:6\\ \textbf{polling [2] - 24:22,}\\ 24:24\\ \textbf{pool [1] - 16:17}\\ \textbf{portion [1] - 66:14}\\ \textbf{position [8] - 25:6,}\\ 41:13, 48:23, 49:6,\\ 56:20, 61:16, 61:17\\ \textbf{possible [9] - 3:20,}\\ \end{array}$	presidential [4] - 55:7, 55:22, 59:20, 61:23 press [3] - 60:18, 68:5, 68:10 preterm [1] - 13:2 pretty [3] - 9:15, 10:6, 26:12 preventing [1] - 26:3 preview [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 5:4 previous [1] - 35:9 previously [1] - 59:21 primary [2] - 21:24, 42:20 prime [1] - 60:10 print [1] - 9:20 private [5] - 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 39:25, 43:25 problem [8] - 27:6, 27:7, 27:8, 29:7, 44:12, 59:17, 62:2, 68:7 problematic [3] - 7:9, 54:23, 68:14 problems [2] - 61:9, 65:7 procedural [1] - 37:22	Protection [2] - 55:16, 56:9 proud [1] - 22:10 prove [1] - 61:21 provide [4] - 27:1, 35:21, 55:3, 55:9 provided [2] - 55:8 providing [1] - 26:14 provision [4] - 18:1, 30:2, 54:13, 54:22 provisional [3] - 29:9, 32:10 provisions [1] - 61:6 public [22] - 8:21, 12:3, 17:14, 36:22, 38:23, 39:24, 40:1, 42:22, 43:4, 43:8, 43:11, 43:21, 44:2, 44:14, 48:5, 50:11, 70:21, 70:23, 70:24, 71:3, 71:7, 71:11 publicly [3] - 8:6, 9:6, 9:12 punch [1] - 19:15 purchased [1] - 17:18 purpose [1] - 42:4 purpose [1] - 18:8

put [10] - 6:2, 7:23,	52:15, 58:17, 58:19	register [2] - 54:16,	25:22	run [1] - 17:25
16:20, 17:3, 18:16,	ready [1] - 60:10	59:7	requirement [4] -	rural [1] - 18:2
23:3, 38:24, 56:25,	real [5] - 5:4, 56:10,	registered [4] - 15:21,	54:13, 54:15, 57:3,	
61:18, 65:1	59:24, 65:7, 66:13	21:24, 55:3, 57:1	63:17	S
puts [1] - 61:15	reality [1] - 27:7	registering [1] - 57:10	requirements [2] -	3
putting [1] - 37:2	realize [2] - 25:11,	registration [7] - 8:2,	22:18, 23:3	saddle [1] - 11:22
	28:14	9:10, 20:4, 66:7,	requires [4] - 10:7,	safe [1] - 15:22
Q	really [20] - 12:13,	67:1, 67:2, 67:4	45:16, 58:21, 65:2	safely [1] - 17:16
<u>v</u>	15:14, 17:4, 17:20,	Registration [1] - 22:1	requiring [1] - 61:21	safety [1] - 26:11
Qual [11] - 12:21, 66:1,	18:3, 20:2, 21:10,	regular [1] - 16:12	rescheduling [1] -	sample [1] - 8:5
66:5, 66:15, 66:20,	26:1, 26:5, 26:6,	regulatory [1] - 4:22	70:25	satellite [2] - 17:13
66:23, 66:24, 67:3,	31:8, 32:3, 34:9,	reiterate [1] - 24:11	resolution [1] - 64:21	saw [2] - 31:10, 67:18
67:14, 68:22, 69:2	34:15, 54:23, 58:23,	relate [1] - 9:21	resolved [2] - 36:3,	scan [1] - 19:16
qualifications [1] -	59:16, 59:22, 70:4	related [6] - 7:17,	37:25	schedule [1] - 6:2
66:2	reason [4] - 41:8,	34:24, 35:23, 36:2,	resource [1] - 15:1	scheduled [3] - 5:24,
qualified [2] - 55:11,	64:25, 67:2, 68:1	36:20, 54:10	resources [1] - 14:10	5:25, 34:22
55:21	receive [5] - 13:9,	relates [1] - 36:4	respect [1] - 39:18	scope [1] - 68:7
qualify [2] - 69:10,	46:9, 47:8, 47:17,	relating [1] - 7:18	responded [1] - 39:18	SCR1032 [1] - 64:24
69:11	59:15	relationship [1] - 36:5	responding [1] - 71:1	screen [2] - 19:17,
qualifying [3] - 66:3,	received [6] - 13:16,	relatively [2] - 5:7,	response [8] - 34:7,	32:11
66:18, 69:7	18:5, 18:9, 54:25,	62:2	38:9, 45:8, 62:15,	se [1] - 60:19
quashed [1] - 36:13	68:12, 68:25	62:2 release [1] - 60:18	63:1, 65:19, 70:18,	se [1] - 00.19 second [10] - 4:3, 4:4,
questioning [1] -	recently [1] - 52:15		71:8	46:5, 46:6, 46:15,
14:24	receptive [1] - 25:16	rely [1] - 7:11	responsibility [1] -	40.5, 40.6, 40.15, 51:17, 51:20, 51:22,
questions [27] - 4:25,	recommend [1] -	relying [1] - 21:13	43:6	71:19, 71:20
5:21, 6:7, 6:20,	27:15	remains [1] - 13:19	restrictions [1] - 31:23	seconded [1] - 71:23
13:21, 13:23, 22:7,	recommendation [2] -	remember [2] - 32:23,	result [2] - 49:24,	secondly [1] - 55:13
23:22, 24:7, 31:14,	42:5, 42:13	67:24	70:23	Secretary [10] - 11:14,
33:11, 34:5, 34:12,	recommendations [2]	reopen [1] - 48:10	resulted [1] - 16:7	13:7, 14:18, 20:14,
34:14, 35:11, 38:1,	- 41:11, 60:13	repayment [2] - 36:3, 43:5	results [1] - 24:24	23:6, 23:16, 56:6,
38:2, 38:6, 38:8,	reconvening [1] -	report [11] - 4:22,	resumes [1] - 48:6	56:7, 66:4, 66:16
45:4, 45:7, 52:19,	48:14	4:25, 6:23, 7:18, 9:4,	retrieve [1] - 16:14	secretary's [1] - 70:11
57:15, 65:17, 65:23,	record [7] - 3:11, 9:10,	9:18, 11:10, 13:24,	return [2] - 16:8, 47:19	Secretary's [10] -
69:15, 70:16	28:18, 48:23, 50:11,	23:8, 66:14, 69:14	revamp [1] - 54:21	11:17, 11:25, 12:7,
quick [1] - 66:9	63:17, 64:7	reported [2] - 40:3,	review [8] - 7:19, 8:3,	13:18, 67:14, 67:22,
quickly [1] - 5:7	recorded [1] - 30:25	43:18	8:8, 8:12, 8:18, 8:20,	68:15, 68:18, 68:19,
quite [5] - 16:1, 42:19,	recorder [1] - 56:4	reporter [1] - 47:10	9:5, 9:9	69:13
55:23, 57:7	Recorder [7] - 5:5,	reporting [3] - 22:18,	reviewed [1] - 18:11	section [1] - 59:21
	8:2, 14:5, 14:8,	23:4, 23:10	reviewing [1] - 7:20	secure [3] - 15:22,
R	14:21, 53:17, 59:7	reports [2] - 5:20,	revived [1] - 54:6	21:4, 28:15
	Recorder's [1] - 7:16	20:20	revolves [1] - 39:10	security [15] - 17:11,
race [3] - 30:14, 30:15,	Recorders [1] - 33:16	represent [2] - 40:16,	rid [2] - 7:3, 19:15	17:12, 18:8, 18:9,
31:7	recorders [3] - 53:5,	40:17	Rights [2] - 18:1,	18:21, 19:4, 19:24,
races [2] - 6:17, 31:11	56:5, 70:11	Representative [1] -	53:14	20:1, 20:2, 23:12,
radar [1] - 65:2	records [3] - 7:15,	24:17	rights [1] - 53:19	25:20, 27:2, 27:3,
radio [3] - 17:20, 18:2,	12:3, 15:20	representative [1] -	ringing [1] - 29:4	31:22
21:22	recruiting [1] - 16:21	24:18	risk [2] - 56:11, 56:18	Security [1] - 18:11
raise [1] - 52:17	redistricting [3] -	representatives [1] -	ROBERTS [1] - 9:2	see [19] - 5:20, 7:5,
raises [1] - 59:23	66:8, 66:22, 67:9	24:19	role [4] - 11:17, 25:7,	10:13, 11:12, 24:2,
raising [1] - 53:18	refer [1] - 28:1	representing [2] -	27:25, 50:6	25:3, 26:13, 30:24,
ramble [1] - 29:17	referendum [2] -	12:1, 38:19	roles [2] - 14:22,	31:5, 31:8, 34:8,
ran [3] - 17:20, 17:24,	54:12, 65:13	Republicans [1] -	59:25	41:19, 47:23, 62:19,
26:21	referred [1] - 54:14	10:11	roll [4] - 4:8, 46:16,	63:8, 63:15, 65:1,
rare [1] - 27:11	reflect [1] - 40:7	request [5] - 13:16,	51:23, 71:24	65:6, 71:9
rather [1] - 56:7	regard [1] - 38:23	34:23, 45:11, 48:21,	roll-call [1] - 46:16	seeing [1] - 13:25
rationale [1] - 61:13	regarding [2] - 48:23,	54:8	room [3] - 47:8, 47:19,	seeking [2] - 36:9,
reach [4] - 15:15,	62:18	requests [1] - 12:3	47:24	54:16
18:2, 29:12, 39:23	regards [5] - 15:20,	require [4] - 45:16,	rub [1] - 27:18	seeks [1] - 54:21
reaches [1] - 57:13	22:25, 26:10, 37:23,	65:3, 67:12, 67:13	ruled [1] - 7:4	seem [2] - 16:23,
read [4] - 24:16,	43:11	required [2] - 21:25,	. aloa [i] - 7. 4	24:21
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	_		_	-
coomingly (4) 62:10	cix (4) 20.7	37:15, 41:10, 42:3,	aubiaat w 20:16	topohing (4) 29:22
seemingly [1] - 62:19 Senate [13] - 7:14, 8:3,	six [1] - 30:7	42:9, 42:11, 52:18,	subject [1] - 39:16 submit [5] - 7:22,	teaching [1] - 28:22
9:21, 10:11, 10:14,	size [1] - 16:1	52:21, 66:9, 70:24		teams [1] - 16:13
	skeptical [3] - 8:8,		23:7, 23:8, 42:7,	technical [5] - 5:11,
52:16, 53:2, 53:9,	8:12, 8:18	staffing [3] - 19:25, 26:14, 26:15	62:12	8:9, 8:22, 26:16,
53:23, 54:3, 56:15,	skills [1] - 26:17		subpoena [1] - 36:13	65:7
57:21, 57:24	Sloan [22] - 34:21,	stalled [1] - 52:16	subpoenaed [3] -	technicality [1] - 59:2
Senator [1] - 10:5	34:24, 34:25, 35:3,	stand [3] - 37:23, 66:10	7:14, 36:11	Technology [2] -
send [3] - 63:12, 71:5	36:1, 36:4, 36:5,		subsequently [1] -	15:18, 20:21
sending [1] - 62:7	36:9, 37:13, 38:11,	standing [1] - 59:4	36:8	temp [1] - 26:19
sense [1] - 59:2	38:14, 39:2, 39:3,	Stanley [11] - 37:13,	substance [1] - 7:7	temporary [2] - 16:15,
sent [2] - 57:21, 68:17	42:17, 43:15, 44:9,	38:11, 38:14, 38:18,	successful [2] -	17:2
separate [1] - 30:13	45:5, 48:24, 49:17,	38:22, 38:25, 39:1,	15:15, 15:19	ten [3] - 20:10, 24:12,
serious [3] - 60:9,	50:7, 51:2, 51:15 SLOAN [3] - 38:17,	43:14, 45:3, 45:4, 50:7	sued [1] - 68:19	24:15
61:9, 65:7			suggest [2] - 36:25,	tenuous [1] - 68:13
serous [1] - 56:11	42:15, 42:18 Sloan's _[3] - 40:25,	STANLEY [3] - 38:16, 39:1, 43:16	45:10	terms [3] - 42:9,
served [1] - 14:15	41:7, 44:22	star [1] - 58:12	suggesting [1] - 60:3	42:10, 59:24
service [1] - 26:19			suggestions [1] -	terrible [1] - 61:16
services [2] - 12:9,	small [2] - 9:20, 30:23	start [5] - 13:11, 29:3, 39:19, 45:23, 51:24	70:23	testified [1] - 53:22
44:5	snail [1] - 29:13	, ,	suits [1] - 37:24	testify [1] - 36:11
session [18] - 33:7,	sneeze [1] - 26:11	started [4] - 11:20, 13:7, 66:17, 67:15	summary [1] - 37:16	testing [1] - 19:8
35:12, 45:11, 45:15,	software [2] - 18:21,	starting [4] - 5:20,	sums [1] - 9:15	themselves [4] - 19:7,
45:17, 46:3, 46:9,	32:21	16:24, 17:24, 67:15	super [2] - 6:18, 58:20	40:13, 41:23
47:2, 47:7, 47:12,	soliciting [1] - 68:21	State [5] - 7:14, 20:3,	Superior [2] - 35:24,	theory [1] - 8:7
47:14, 47:25, 48:6,	solidifies [1] - 24:5	20:14, 52:16, 56:7	39:4	therefore [1] - 61:21
48:13, 48:16, 48:18,	solution [1] - 62:2	state [23] - 8:2, 14:11,	Supervisors [1] - 7:17	they've [1] - 13:16
48:19, 52:15 seven [1] - 16:2	solve [1] - 29:8 someone [2] - 58:9,	14:22, 19:22, 20:4,	supplies [1] - 32:20	thinking [2] - 28:4,
	59:6	20:12, 20:14, 21:7,	supply [1] - 32:16	49:24
several [2] - 10:11, 30:23	sometime [1] - 43:20	21:23, 22:10, 22:17,	support [10] - 24:22,	thinks [2] - 40:19,
shape [1] - 27:8	sometimes [2] - 27:9,	23:13, 25:16, 26:15,	24:25, 25:21, 26:4,	41:5
share [1] - 30:1	33:18	26:25, 27:11, 40:12,	41:13, 49:22, 61:19,	third [3] - 4:20, 9:5, 55:17
shed [1] - 30:1	sorry [8] - 8:14, 28:24,	40:21, 53:5, 54:14,	62:6, 63:6, 64:4 supports [1] - 64:3	
shocking [1] - 60:25	29:17, 44:15, 45:15,	60:14, 65:5	supposedly [1] - 10:3	third-party [1] - 9:5 thoughts [1] - 30:2
shot [1] - 12:24	46:8, 51:3, 51:11	State's [7] - 11:14,	Supreme [1] - 7:3	• • • •
show [1] - 58:13	sort [8] - 25:18, 52:10,	14:18, 23:7, 23:16,	surrounding [1] -	threatening [1] - 68:18
showing [1] - 59:2	53:10, 61:4, 61:12,	56:6, 66:4, 66:17	66:15	threw [1] - 21:3
shown [1] - 32:21	61:25, 68:13, 69:16	statement [3] - 38:11,	surveillance [1] -	throughout [3] -
side [1] - 54:17	sorts [1] - 15:20	49:15, 53:15	18:20	15:25, 16:6, 18:20
sign [7] - 7:23, 29:8,	sounds [1] - 62:19	states [1] - 16:2	switchover [1] - 68:9	timely [1] - 54:8
44:6, 54:4, 60:4,	speaking [4] - 10:23,	statewide [1] - 67:8	swore [1] - 57:4	timing [1] - 7:8
66:18, 67:5	11:5, 39:1, 40:6	stating [1] - 7:24	swung [1] - 44:1	TITLA [9] - 3:15, 4:4,
signature [3] - 9:6,	special [2] - 18:21,	statute [1] - 59:21	system [18] - 19:16,	4:16, 46:24, 52:6,
9:9, 9:12	26:17	stay [2] - 27:16, 30:6	20:4, 20:5, 32:6,	62:17, 71:16, 72:7,
signatures [12] - 7:19,	specially [1] - 39:23	staying [1] - 47:18	62:1, 66:1, 66:5,	72:10
7:25, 8:1, 8:4, 8:5,	specific [5] - 17:21,	step [1] - 40:22	66:7, 66:15, 66:24,	Titla [13] - 3:15, 4:5,
8:7, 8:20, 8:21, 9:7,	18:8, 19:4, 38:1,	stepped [1] - 21:10	67:1, 67:3, 67:4,	4:6, 4:15, 34:5,
9:9, 9:13	38:2	steps [1] - 28:15	67:14, 68:22, 69:2,	46:23, 52:5, 62:13,
signed [4] - 17:9,	specifically [3] -	still [9] - 10:11, 30:23,	69:7, 70:3	63:7, 71:17, 72:5,
17:10, 24:18, 59:13	38:22, 43:10, 53:7	39:7, 39:12, 48:8,	systemic [2] - 56:11,	72:6, 72:11
significant [5] - 14:25,	spend [1] - 19:2	52:17, 58:18, 68:20,	56:17	today [11] - 5:6, 14:7,
53:3, 55:24, 56:18,	spent [2] - 15:24, 23:9	69:16	systems [1] - 18:19	14:9, 15:1, 15:4,
61:24	sponsor [1] - 53:11	story [1] - 68:6		35:10, 49:17, 49:19,
simply [3] - 40:5,	spontaneously [1] -	stream [2] - 47:6,	т	49:23, 50:8, 52:14
60:19, 61:12	39:23	47:17	·	together [1] - 6:2
sit [2] - 56:19, 69:17	spread [1] - 17:16	strike [2] - 10:4, 53:9	tab [1] - 65:4	Tom [30] - 4:24, 5:12,
sitting [1] - 24:23	stack [1] - 31:4	strong [2] - 24:22,	tabulate [1] - 31:5	5:14, 5:17, 6:22,
situation [5] - 5:19,	stacking [1] - 30:13	24:25	tabulated [1] - 30:23	8:10, 8:11, 9:3, 9:5,
43:1, 43:5, 56:16,	staff [17] - 9:25, 19:18,	students [1] - 29:10	tainted [1] - 21:1	9:15, 11:8, 12:20,
68:13	26:17, 33:3, 33:5,	study [1] - 70:24	task [1] - 61:20	17:8, 35:2, 35:5,
situations [1] - 43:2	33:25, 34:22, 37:1,	stupid [1] - 54:1	taught [1] - 32:23	35:7, 35:17, 37:10,

37:12, 45:13, 47:10,	U	vetoing [1] - 61:3	38:15, 42:6	yourself [1] - 45:21
47:13, 56:25, 57:19,	0	VI [5] - 10:25, 52:10,	warehouse [1] - 19:18	yourselves [1] - 3:11
63:4, 65:18, 66:10,	U.S [2] - 16:2, 24:21	63:20, 63:21, 65:24	watch [1] - 48:17	Jourserves [1] 0.11
69:16, 70:16, 70:19				7
	UCC [1] - 12:9	video [1] - 18:19	ways [6] - 21:21,	Z
Tom's [1] - 8:23	unanimously [1] -	view [5] - 56:19, 59:8,	27:25, 33:15, 33:23,	a 47.40.57.7
took [2] - 31:10, 66:5	64:2	61:20, 63:16, 69:19	33:24	Zoom [3] - 47:18, 57:7
top [1] - 27:4	unbiased [1] - 27:24	viewing [1] - 49:18	website [2] - 28:1,	Zuckerberg [2] -
topic [1] - 52:21	uncertainty [2] -	VII [2] - 65:25, 70:20	28:6	20:24, 20:25
topics [2] - 11:1,	55:20, 59:17	violate [1] - 43:8	week [7] - 7:16, 13:6,	
52:12	under [6] - 10:25,	violated [1] - 43:5	24:24, 33:6, 53:22,	
touch [3] - 19:17,	17:25, 21:25, 55:11,	violation [2] - 43:6,	66:4, 68:21	
32:11, 32:22	57:4, 59:6	43:7	weekly [2] - 20:20,	
touched [3] - 24:7,	understandably [1] -	virtually [1] - 47:4	23:4	
25:15, 66:13	56:16	visit [1] - 15:4	weeks [3] - 32:21,	
toward [1] - 44:13	understood [1] -	Vote [6] - 18:6, 19:1,	32:23, 53:2	
Townsend [1] - 10:5		•••	weighed [1] - 56:3	
	67:21	19:3, 19:14, 23:15,	• • • •	
TPOF [4] - 35:21,	unfortunately [1] -	26:7	weighs [1] - 9:24	
35:23, 35:25, 36:1	20:16	vote [26] - 4:10, 4:17,	welcome [2] - 14:24,	
track [1] - 18:23	unit [1] - 68:15	7:4, 7:10, 10:12,	22:13	
tracking [2] - 64:20,	unless [3] - 33:7,	15:21, 16:8, 17:16,	whereas [1] - 54:24	
65:9	34:12, 38:1	45:16, 46:15, 46:16,	whichever [1] - 38:14	
trade [1] - 39:25	unreasonable [1] -	46:18, 46:25, 49:21,	whole [2] - 44:10,	
tradition [1] - 39:22	17:1	51:23, 51:25, 52:7,	55:20	
tragic [1] - 26:9	unrelated [1] - 41:4	54:16, 55:6, 55:11,	widespread [1] -	
train [1] - 33:5	unusual [1] - 50:5	56:10, 56:17, 57:1,	67:19	
trained [2] - 33:3, 33:6	unworkable [1] -	63:18, 72:8, 72:13	wife [1] - 20:24	
	53:20	vote-by-mail [1] - 7:10	willing [2] - 22:7, 27:1	
training [5] - 26:17,		vote-early [1] - 16:8	-	
32:3, 32:8, 32:19,	up [24] - 8:25, 9:15,	Voter [3] - 22:1, 55:16,	window [2] - 69:8, 70:2	
33:7 transminterer 50.0	10:5, 11:4, 12:7,			
transcripts [1] - 50:9	18:16, 18:17, 20:5,	56:9	wish [5] - 49:14,	
transmitted [2] - 58:2,	21:10, 26:9, 28:10,	voter [19] - 6:18, 7:7,	50:11, 50:12, 51:8,	
60:2	29:8, 29:10, 29:11,	8:2, 9:7, 11:13,	71:3	
transparency [1] -	32:3, 38:3, 39:8,	15:21, 20:4, 21:23,	woodwork [1] - 30:17	
36:16	49:6, 51:11, 62:20,	25:17, 54:13, 55:18,	words [2] - 58:19,	
transposed [1] - 30:25	65:4, 69:25, 70:12	56:11, 59:25, 60:21,	59:18	
trays [1] - 29:10	update [5] - 13:20,	66:7, 66:25, 67:2,	worker [2] - 16:22,	
tried [1] - 67:16	52:18, 52:19, 66:9,	67:4, 67:5	33:6	
triggers [1] - 56:10	68:24	voter's [2] - 9:10, 67:3	workers [7] - 16:19,	
triple [1] - 26:1	updated [2] - 66:6,	voters [17] - 7:11,	16:21, 30:5, 30:18,	
trouble [1] - 16:21	69:11	7:12, 16:8, 17:5,	32:6, 32:15, 33:3	
true [1] - 31:12	updates [2] - 4:22,	21:25, 22:3, 27:13,	works [1] - 32:14	
truthfully [1] - 22:5	4:23	27:15, 27:19, 29:11,	worth [4] - 7:14, 54:7,	
try [6] - 5:7, 7:7, 29:25,	upside [1] - 55:25	54:11, 54:15, 54:24,	56:13, 56:20	
	utility [1] - 6:6	55:15, 59:15, 65:3	written [1] - 3:25	
39:13, 70:8, 70:10		votes [3] - 10:7, 30:24,		
trying [3] - 9:16, 37:7,	V	53:24	Y	
39:12 Tubo un 17:10	v	voting [21] - 5:9, 6:5,		
Tuba [1] - 17:12	variety [2] - 14:16,	6:15, 6:16, 7:21,	Yavapai [2] - 28:16,	
turn [5] - 4:24, 37:12,	43:10	9:22, 11:2, 14:3,	69:23	
37:16, 38:24, 58:23	various [4] - 37:22,	17:5, 17:6, 19:15,		
turned [1] - 36:8		19:17, 27:10, 32:11,	year [3] - 3:4, 67:9	
turnout [1] - 15:21	37:24, 44:3, 59:14	33:2, 52:12, 61:23,	years [13] - 14:12,	
turns [1] - 13:6	vast [1] - 7:11		15:9, 16:6, 20:10,	
two [11] - 6:10, 16:5,	vehicles [1] - 16:19	64:22, 64:23, 65:5	24:4, 24:12, 24:15,	
16:13, 22:20, 29:1,	verbatim [5] - 22:7,	Voting [2] - 18:1,	27:22, 28:12, 38:21,	
30:20, 31:11, 32:7,	48:25, 51:5, 53:5,	53:14	40:11, 54:20, 60:24	
38:21, 53:2, 66:24	66:17	VPA [1] - 60:21	yesterday [3] - 57:25,	
twofold [1] - 49:17	verify [1] - 37:14	147	58:7, 64:20	
type [5] - 8:18, 23:10,	versus [1] - 51:14	W	you-all [2] - 65:10,	
25:22, 29:24, 30:2	veto [3] - 56:6, 56:8,		65:13	
typically [1] - 23:6	62:7	wants [3] - 9:22,	young [1] - 15:11	

CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT May 19, 2022

Announcements:

Avery was selected as a Flinn-Brown Fellow with the Arizona Center for Civic Leadership. As a Fellow, Avery will participate in a 12-session policy institute that offers unparalleled rigorous learning about Arizona policy and politics—and connections with top state leaders and policy experts. The Academy begins in August and concludes with the annual Flinn-Brown Convention in November, a day-long professional-development gathering for the entire Flinn-Brown Network. Twenty-seven leaders across the state, were selected from a highly competitive pool for the civic leadership academy. Avery is the fourth fellow to come from Clean Elections.

Staff is working on the Voter Education Guide, which will begin arriving in mailboxes the week of June 24th. There are 35 statewide candidates and 197 legislative candidates. The voter education guide is especially important for voters this year as the guide will identify their new legislative district since redistricting and the candidates seeking election in those new districts.

Primary election debates are underway. Legislative debates are streamed live on YouTube and statewide debates are broadcast on AZ PBS. The debate schedule and videos of past debates are available on the Clean Elections website.

CCEC is sponsoring the Navajo Voters Coalition Conference on Friday, June 17th in conjunction with the Navajo Nation.

Independent Expenditure reports for state and legislative offices can be filed with the Secretary of State's office. Filers need to contact 602-542-8683 or campaignfinance@azsos.gov for questions on how to file.

Voter Education:

- Staff recorded voter education videos in American Sign Language in partnership with the Arizona Center for the Deaf and the Hard of Hearing.
- Gina presented to AARP members during their event, "Virtual Day at the Legislature", regarding the midterm elections.
- To highlight the importance of debates, the candidate statement pamphlet and the importance of participation, staff has been coordinating a multi-faceted outreach campaign. The effort includes:
 - Working with OH Predictive Insights we developed a survey of voters around the state on issues they want to hear more information about from candidates.
 - Recorded voter education videos with subject matter experts in the fields of healthcare, k-12 education, water and the budget. These subjects were identified as top priorities for voters and help connect voters to what matters most to them and the voting process.
 - Working with the Center for the Future of Arizona to create a resource hub for voters and candidates on the Clean Elections website to access data regarding the top issues and priorities for voters.

 The survey and other aspects of this outreach effort have been featured in Independent News Media news websites, Cronkite News, Yuma Public Radio (KAWC), Arizona PBS, Arizona Radio News.

Outreach:

- Gina presented to the ASU Spirit of Service Scholars (a program at the Watts College of Public Service & Community Solutions) about the midterm elections.
- Avery participated in the MCC Community event at Mesa and Red Mountain campuses to inform and register college student voters
- The Voter Ed team attended the Election Officials of AZ Virtual Spring Conference
- Gina and Avery collaborated with the Arizona Department of Education for the 9th Annual Civic Learning Conference
- Avery continued to represent the Commission among election stakeholders including: African American Legislative Committee, Secretary of State's Voter Outreach Council, Secretary of State Youth Committee. Gina and Avery meet bimonthly with Arizona Native Vote communication group
- Avery continues to collaborate with the ASU Design Studio for Community Solutions working with High School students from the Maryvale area
- Avery met with Vivian Chang, Civic Engagement & Racial Justice Director, with the Asian Pacific American Labor Alliance to talk about civic engagement in the Asian American community. He also met with National Field Director of the Asian & Pacific Islander American Vote organization, Raymond Partolan to discuss civic engagement.
- Avery is collaborating with June Shorthair of the Phoenix Indian Center to create an PHX Indian Center VR & Candidate Forum Event
- Avery appeared at the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community's May The Vote Be With You Event to educate the community on Clean Elections voter resources. He also appeared at the AZ Townhall "Mental Health, Substance Use, and Homelessness" Background Report Launch. Tom and Avery participated in the Celebrate Mesa 2022 event.

Administration and Enforcement

• Upcoming Office Relocation

Due to the DOA building consolidation project, our office, the AZ Pharmacy Board and State Land Dept. will be relocating to the 1110 W. Washington building on Friday, July 22nd. Paula & Mike are currently working with the General Services Division (GSD) project reps on space planning, design and move logistics.

- Legal
 - o Legacy Foundation Action Fund v. Clean Elections
 - Petition for Review filed 2.18.2022
 - Commission's response filed last month.
 - <u>The Power of Fives, LLC v. Clean Elections</u>, CV2021-015826, Superior Court for Maricopa County
 - Pending.
 - Election cases involving Arizona
 - Senate ballot review questions remain active.
 - Oral argument was held earlier this month in a public records related matter. <u>Fann et al. v. Kemp (American Oversight)</u>, CV-22-0018-PR.
 - The Attorney General's Office issued what it calls an Interim Report based on its inquiries into the 2020 election. <u>https://www.azag.gov/sites/default/files/2022-04/2022-04-06%20Fann%20letter.pdf</u>. Maricopa County and the Maricopa County Recorder issued a response. <u>https://www.maricopa.gov/DocumentCenter/View/75204/Recorder-BOS-AG-Response-with-Exhibits-5-3-22</u>
 - The Attorney General filed a lawsuit along with the Yavapai County GOP and a voter over the Election Procedures Manual. The manual, which is drafted by the Secretary of State's Office, was submitted last year. The Attorney General did not sign off on it. In the lawsuit he seeks to compel the inclusion of some policies and prevent the inclusion of others. The matter is still pending.
 - Arizona Republican Party v. Hobbs, No. CV-22-0048-SA.
 - Special Action filed by the Republican Party seeking to end ballot by mail among other things was rejected by the Arizona Supreme Court.
 - Hansen v. Finchem, No. CV-22-0099-AP/EL.
 - In an order, the Arizona Supreme Court affirmed the Superior Court conclusion that the state statute at issue did not allow the disqualification of candidates for Congress or the Secretary of State for alleged violation of the 14th Amendment Disqualification Clause. <u>https://arizonaslaw.blogspot.com/2022/05/update-azsupreme-court-denies-1st-of-3.html</u>
 - Recent federal court actions.
 - A federal lawsuit was filed by some statewide candidates attempting to block the use of electronic ballot tabulation machines. That Complaint is pending.
 - A number of plaintiffs have filed actions seeking to enjoins HB2492, the proof of citizenship measure that passed in March.

- Post remand motions in a Democratic challenge to the order in which candidates appear on the ballot is pending.
- A tentative order from the district court on challenges to the 2020 measures changing the PEVL to the AEVL (placing limits on how long a person can stay on the early voting list when they have not voted early) and to a measure on signature curing for mail ballots was issued Tuesday. The tentative ruling largely supports the state's argument that burdens on specific groups of voters of these measures are not distinguishable from other general externalities voters encounter, and that other states have more restrictive measures (or offer limited options). Other claims the court suggested were likely to survive a motion to dismiss.
- For more details on any of these, contact Tom.
- Campaign finance
 - Federal Election Comm'n v. Ted Cruz for Senate, 596 U.S. _____
 (2022). In a 6-3 decision the Court held part of McCain Feingold that restricted the timing of a candidate seeking repayment of their own personal loans to campaign was an unconstitutional infringement on the right to free speech.

Appointments

• No additional information at this time

Secretary of State

- Gina presented and served as a facilitator during the Secretary of State's Office table top exercise (TTX) on election security. County Recorders, Election Directors, Board of Supervisors, County and State IT, and various law enforcement agencies at the state and federal level were present for this all-day exercise. The TTX was created by Ken Matta and designed to walk election officials and others through worst case scenarios that could impact an election.
- Amy and Tom will present to election law lawyers at the State Bar of Arizona's biennial Election Law CLE. Other election administrators scheduled to speak include Recorders Leslie Hoffman and Stephen Richer, as well as Cochise County's Lisa Marra.

Enforcement

- MUR 21-01, TPOF, pending.
- MUR 21-02, Freedom's Future Fund, pending.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
HB2839: candidate nominations; signatures; redistricting	Rep. Bowers (R)	Substitute for SB1719	For various elective candidates, nomination petitions are to be signed by a specified number of qualified signers that is equal to the requirements detailed in statute. If new boundaries for congressional, legislative or supervisorial districts or justice or election precincts are established and effective subsequent to January 2 of the year of a general election and before the date for filing of nomination petitions, the required number of signatures is modified. The basis for determining the required number of nomination petition signatures in that case is the number of qualified signers in the elective office, district or precinct that was effective on January 2 of the year of a general election	None.	Passed House Final Read 58-0, Passed Senate Third Read 27-0-3. Transmited to Governor 3/3. Signed by the Governor on 3/3/2022 with Emergency Declaration.
H2492: voter registration; verification; citizenship	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	Except for a form produced by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission, any application for voter registration is required to be accompanied by satisfactory evidence of citizenship, and the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to reject any application for registration that is not accompanied by satisfactory evidence of citizenship. A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections who fails to reject an application for registration in these circumstances is guily of a class 6 (lowest) felony. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to use all available resources to verify the citizenship status of an applicant for voter registration. A person who registers to vote is required to provide an identifying document that establishes proof of location of residence. A person who has registered to vote and who has not provided satisfactory evidence of citizenship is not eligible to vote in presidential elections. A person who has not provided satisfactory evidence of citizenship and who is eligible to vote only for federal offices is not eligible to rote only for federal offices is not eligible to rote only for federal officies on eligible to rote only for federal offices is not eligible to vote or presidential elections. A person who has not provided satisfactory evidence of citizenship and who is eligible to to to only for federal offices is not eligible to vote or low citizenship to vote in the PPE or by mail. AG must prosecute individuals who are found not to be U.S. Citizens. County	Voter Education for changes to voter registration requirements and mail ballot eligibility. Concern over possible retroactivity citizenship check.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 5-2, Passed House 31-26. DP Senate Jud. 5-3. PFC Senate Rules. Passed Senate Floor 16-12-2. Signed by the Governor 3/30/22.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1355: campaign finance report; due date	Sen. Livingston (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The due date for campaign finance reports covering a calendar quarter without an election is moved to the 3rd Monday in the month after the calendar quarter, instead of the 15th day after the calendar quarter.	Campaign finance due date change.	Senate Government 7-0, Rules PFC, Senate 29-0- 1. House Government DP 11-0, House Rules C&P 7-0. Passed Senate Floor 53-0-7. Signed by the Governor on 4/26/2022.
SCR1012: voter Identification; affidavit; procedure	Sen, Mesnard (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend state statute to modify the type of identification that a voter may present in order to receive a ballot to require one valid and unexpired form of identification that includes a photograph, and to require a second form of identification if the photo identification does not have an address or the address does not match the address in the precint register. The Department of Transportation is prohibited from charging a fee for issuing a nonoperating identification license if a person attests on the application that the person has applied for the application that the person has applied for the license in order to comply with any legal requirements related to registering to vote or voting. Early ballot affidavits must require the voter to provide the voter's "carly voter identification" (defined as the voter's Arizona driver license or nonoperating identification number, the last four digits of the voter's social security number, or the unique identifying number in the statewide electronic voter registration database as assigned by the Secretary of State number and the voter's date of birth and signature. Any qualified Arizona voter has standing to file a special action to require any official to enforce the requirements of this legislation. If the official has a legal duty to do so, and to file a declaratory judgment action to determine the proper construction of this legislation. Applies to elections beginning no later than the 2024 primary election. Severability clause.	Voter Education.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Pass Senate 16- 12. Passed House 31-26. Transmitted to Secretary of State 3/01/2022.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2238: ballot drop boxes; prohibition	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	County Recorder or other election official may not use unmonitored ballot drop boxes. Amendment: Video surveillance 24hrs/day. Maintain records for 4 years. Select locations okay. Amendment: Counties to provide staff to monitor drop boxes inside a government building/and or other site used by the County Recorder or officer in charge of the Elections.	the Pinal Voter Education Van.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 5-3, Passed House 31-27. DP Senate Gov. 4-3. Senate Rules PFC.
H2289: challengers; polling places; tabulation observation S/E relating to elections; identification; revisions; tabulation; mail-in	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	The county chairman of each political party is required, instead of allowed, to designate a party agent or representative who may act as challengers for the party. One challenger for each political party is required to be present at each voting place, and the party representative is required to remain in the polling place until completion of the tabulation of votes and transmittal or delivery of the results to the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections. Prohibits the use of electronic voting and tabulating devices, unless required to comply with accessibility requirements. Requires paper ballots to be used in all elections and counted by hand and returns to be made within 24 hours of polls closing. Restricts eligibility to vote an absentee ballot to only an elector that meets one of four specified requirements related to voting centers, emergency voting centers, on-site early voting, the duplication of ballots and electronic vote adjudication.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-28. DP/SE Senate Gov 4-3,
H2023: Electonic Ballot Images; Public Record	Rep. Finchem (R)		After the polls are closed, the officer in charge of elections is required to make available to the public an online copy of any digital images of ballots in a manner that allows the images to be searchable by precinct but that precludes any alteration of the images. States that the digital images of the ballots are public records.	Possible education to not put any idenitfying material on ballots.	
H2041: ballot fraud countermeasures; paper; ink	Rep. Biasiucci (R)	House: Government, Rules	Any vendor that provides fraud countermeasures that are contained in and on the paper used for ballots is required to be ISO 27001 certified, ISO 17025 certified, or ISO 9001:2015 certified. Ballot fraud countermeasures are required to include all of a list of 19 specified features, including watermarking, secure holographic foil, security inks, invisible ultraviolet microtext, a serialized black QR code, and a paper receipt for the voter. The Legislature is required to appropriate sufficient monies to the State Treasurer to provide counties with the ballot paper prescribed by this legislation. Applies to the regular general election in 2022 and all elections held in 2024 and later. Appropriates an unspecified amount (blank in original) from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the State Treasurer for the purchase of antifraud ballot paper meeting these requirements.	None. Unique barcode tracking to vote brings up questions regarding State Consitution, Article 7, Section 1.	Government: Held

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2059: early voting; boxes; observers; electioneering	Rep. Blackman (R)	House: Government, Rules	County recorders or other officers in charge of elections are prohibited from using an unmonitored drop box for receiving voted early ballots. For any drop boxes that are used to receive voted early ballots, the county board of supervisors is required to furnish three notices that electioneering is prohibited within 75 feet of the drop box. Voters who have delivered their ballots are required to promptly move outside the 75-foot limit. Increases the criminal classification of a list of unlawful acts by voters, including electioneering within the 75- foot limit, hindering the voting of others, and voting in a county in which the voter no longer resides, to a class 6 (lowest) felony, from a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor.	None.	
H2071: early ballots; postmark date; receipt	Rep. Cook (R)	House: Government, Rules	An early ballot and affidavit that is postmarked by U.S. mail on or before the sixth day before election day is valid and eligible to be counted if received no later than five days after election day. Effective January 1, 2023.	Voter Education.	
H2077: candidates; school; local; electronic signatures	Rep. Pawlik (D)		The list of candidates that may gather petition signatures through a secure internet portal system provided by the Secretary of State is expanded to include candidates for school board office and any office for which a county administers the election.	None.	
H2078: initiative; referendum; signatures; electronic submittal	Rep. Pawlik (D)		The Secretary of State is required to provide a system for qualified electors to sign initiative and referendum petitions by way of a secure internet portal. The system is required to verify the qualified elector's identity and allow only qualified electors who are eligible to sign the initiative or referendum petition to do so. The person or organization that files the application for initiative or referendum petition may choose to collect up to one-half of the number of signatures required by use of the online signature collection system.	None.	
H2080: hand count; electronic tabulation verification	Rep. Finchem (R)		For the regular primary and general elections, all ballots are regularized to be counted by hand, and machines or devices for electronic tabulation of ballots can be used only for quality control checks or to otherwise verify the hand count of ballots. When the court orders a recount of votes that were tabulated by hand, the recount must be a hand count and the court is allowed to order the use of tabulating equipment to verify the results of the hand recount. Effective January 1, 2023.	Depending on administration, may require voter education efforts pertaining to the length of time it will take to tabulate vote totals.	
H2092: ballot measure amendments	Rep. Salman (D)		Various changes to statutes relating to initiative and referendum measures. Repeals statute requiring constitutional and statutory requirements for statewide initiative measures to be strictly construed and requiring persons using the initiative process to strictly comply with those constitutional and statutory requirements. At any time before a person or organization submits an application for initiative petition or referendum petition, a political committee that intends to file that application is allowed to submit the apposed description of the principal provisions of the measure to the Attorney General for a determination of whether the description is lawful and sufficient. The Attorney General is required to approve or reject the description within then days after submittal. If rejected, the Attorney General must state the reasons for the rejection. If approved, any challenge to the description must be filed in the superior court within ten days after submittal. If repicted approval. Repeals statute allowing a political committee that intends to support or oppose an initiative or referendum measure to submit a copy of the text of the proposed law, referral or constitutional amendment to the director of the Legislative Council to prepare recommendations to improve the text of the proposed measure. Contains a legislative intent clause.	None.	
H2094: early ballot collection; limitations; repeal	Rep. Salman (D)		It is no longer a class 6 (lowest) felony to knowingly collect voted or unvoted early ballots from another person.	None.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2109: election celebration day	Rep. Biasiucci (R)	House: Government, Rules	The day of the general election, every other year, is designated Election Celebration Day, which is not a legal holiday.	None.	
H2170: election mailings; third-party disclosures	Rep. Kavanagh (R)	House: Government, Rules	Requires the words not from a government agency to be included on official election-related documents from the county recorder or Secretary of State that are delivered by a third-party.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 8-0, Passed House 32-27. Passed Senate Gov. 4-3,
H2194: national popular vote; interstate agreement	Rep. Powers Hannley (D)		Establishes an agreement among the states to elect the U.S. President by national popular vote.	Requires other states to agree to forgo the electoral process. None impact at the moment.	
H2236: voter registration; request required	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	Individual must request to register to vote, prohibition on automatic registration. Amendment: specifies that unless otherwise provided by law, a person must affirmatively request to register to vote.	Codifying Opt-In system.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 8-0, Passed House 31-28-1. DP Senate Gov. 4-3.
H2237: same day voter registration; prohibition	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	No election day registration in order to vote in that election. Any person who violates this would be guilty of a class 6 misdemeanor.	None. Redundant as language already prohibits voter registration 29 days prior to election.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 8-0, Passed House 31-28-1. DP Senate Gov. 4-3. Senate COW DP.
H2238: ballot drop boxes; prohibition	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	County Recorder or other election official may not use unmonitored ballot drop boxes. Amendment: Video surveillance 24hrs/day. Maintain records for 4 years. Select locations okay. Amendment: Counties to provide staff to monitor drop boxes inside a government building/and or other site used by the County Recorder or officer in charge of the Elections.	Concern over language thay may inhibit the use of the Pinal Voter Education Van.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 5-3, Passed House 31-27. DP Senate Gov. 4-3. Senate Rules PFC.
H2239: electronic ballot adjudication; prohibition	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	The county board of supervisors and officer in charge of elections are prohibited from using an electronic vote adjudication. A duplicate copy of a damaged or defective ballot must be made by hand.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0,
H2240: elections; voting center prohibited	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	County boards of supervisors and any officer in charge of elections are prohibited from authorizing, establishing or using a voting center at which a voter who is a registered voter and resident anywhere in that county is allowed to receive the appropriate ballot for that specific voter.	Education on proper location to vote.	
H2241: early ballot drop off; identification	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	For any voter or voter's agent who delivers one or more voted early ballots in affidavit envelopes at any polling place or voting center, the election board must require the person to present identification for his/her own early ballot, and to attest in writing that he/she is the voter's family member, household member or caregiver for another person's early ballot. Knowing violations are a class 6 (lowest) felony.	Voter Education about ballot drop off procedures.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 8-0,
H2242: voter registrations; validation requirement	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	After receiving a voter registration form, the county recorder is required to verify that the name, address, date of birth and driver license number of the registrant are valid and accurate. After receiving voter registration information for the statewide database, the Secretary of State is required to verify that the name, address, date of birth and driver license number of the registrant are valid and accurate. A person who willfully fails to comply with these requirements is guilty of a class 6 (lowest) felony.	None.	
H2243: voter registration; state residency; cancellation	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	The voter registration form is required to contain a statement that if the registrant permanently moves to another state after registering to vote in Arizona, the registrant's voter registration will be canceled.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 8-0, Passed House 31-28. DP Senate Gov. 4-3.
H2244: auditor general; election systems; audits	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Appropriations, Rules	The Auditor General is required to conduct systems and procedures audits of offices conducting the general election. Appropriates \$800,000 from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the Auditor General for these audits.	None.	
H2245: in-person early voting; time period	Rep. Finchem (R)	House: Government, Rules	On-site early voting locations, voting centers, and emergency voting centers may be used for in- person early voting only during the period beginning on the Saturday before election day for a primary or general election and continuing through the Monday before election day for a primary or general election and cannot be used for in-person early voting for any other election or time period.	Limits early voting to the 3 days prior to an Election.	
H2259: voting rights; felonies; automatic restoration	Rep. Espinoza (D)		A person's right to vote is automatically restored on the person's discharge of probation or absolute discharge from imprisonment.	Update Voter Education.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2270: officials; political action committee prohibition	Rep. Bolick (R)		An individual who is an election officer or employee or who oversees any significant aspect of election operations is prohibited from being a chairperson, treasurer or other member of a political action committee. Does not apply to an individual's membership in a candidate committee for that individual's own candidacy.	None.	
H2282: prohibition; photo radar	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Transportation, Rules	State agencies and local authorities are prohibited from using a photo enforcement system to identify violators of traffic control devices and speed regulations. Statutes authorizing and regulating photo enforcement are repealed.	Slight impacty to funding, most photo enforcement systems have already diminished in use quite heavily.	
H2283: polling places; schools; district boards	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	The officer in charge of elections is required to prioritize public schools and fire stations as polling places. School district governing boards and the governing board or body that supervises the fire stations are required to assist the county board of supervisors and the officer in charge of elections in selecting and coordinating schools and fire stations to be used as polling places. School principals are no longer authorized to deny a request to provide space for use as a polling place in specified circumstances.	None.	
H2287: precincts; combination; prohibition	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	For the purpose of establishing polling places, adjacent precincts are prohibited from being combined.	None.	
H2288: emergency voting; registration update; prohibition	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	County boards of supervisors are no longer allowed to authorize the use of emergency voting centers. County recorders and other officers in charge of elections are no longer authorized to provide for emergency balloting for person who experience an emergency immediately preceding an election.	Voter Education updates.	
H2289: challengers; polling places; tabulation observation S/E relating to elections; identification; revisions; tabulation; mail-in	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	The county chairman of each political party is- required, instead of allowed, to designate a party- agent or representative who may act as- challengers for the party. One challenger for each- political party is required to be present at each- voting place, and the party representative is- required to remain in the poling place until completion of the tabulation of votes and- transmittal or delivery of the results to the county- recorder or other officer in charge of elections. Prohibits the use of electronic voting and tabulating devices, unless required to comply with accessibility requirements. Requires paper ballots to be used in electrons and counted by hand and returns to be made within 24 hours of polis closing. Restricts eligibility to vote an absentee ballot to only an elector that meets one of four specified criteria and repeals the Active Early Voting List (AEVL). Repeals authorization and requirements related to voting centers, emergency voting centers, on-site early voting, the duplication of ballots and electorics.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-28. DP/SE Senate Gov 4-3,
H2295: election day; celebration	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	The first Tuesday after the first Monday in November in every even-numbered year must be observed as Election Celebration Day, which is not a legal holiday.	None.	
H2296: government-issued voter identification; requirement	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	Strikes list 2 from acceptable form of ID in order to vote.	Voter education required to inform people of the limited options they will have to procure ID at the polls. Should require a 3/4 vote.	
H2357: election board clerks; party affiliation	Rep. Biasiucci (R)	House: Government, Rules	The inspector, marshal, and judges AND CLERKS shall not have changed their political party affiliation or their no party preference affiliation since the last preceding general election	None.	
H2376: election procedures; review; commission	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	Establishes a 13-member Election Integrity Commission and requires the Commission to biennially conduct a review of election laws and procedures to ensure statewide election integrity, beginning in 2023. The Commission is required to submit a report of its findings and recommendations to the Governor, the Secretary of State, and the Legislature by December 1 of each odd-numbered year. The Commission terminates on July 1, 2032.	None.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2377: voting; seventy-five foot limit; photographs	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	While within the 75-foot limit of the polls, a person is allowed to take photographs or videos of him/herself, his/her own ballot, and any election worker.	None. Procedural change, privacy issues.	Government Failed 6-7
H2378: election lawsuits; settlements; approvals	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is authorized to join in any election- related civil action that materially affects the county recorder or officer.	Do not believe the Commission falls into this category.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-28. DP Senate Jud. 5-3, PFC Rules.
H2379: election procedures manual; statutory conflict	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	The election instructions and procedures manual is required to provide for transparency and election security to the maximum extent allowed by law. If any provision of the manual conflicts with any statute, the provision of the instructions and procedures manual is unenforceable and the statute prevails. Amendments: Allows, rather than requires, the Legislature to provide for experts in electronic voting systems and procedures and in electronic voting systems security to field check and review electronic voting systems and recommend needed statutory and procedure changes. 2. Requires the SOS to report to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and President of the Senate on any recommended statutory and procedural changes to electronic voting systems and procedural coting systems and procedures tautory and procedural changes and procedures tautory and procedural changes and precedural changes to electronic voting systems and procedural changes and procedures tautory and procedural changes and procedures tautory and procedures and the senate on any recommended statutory and procedural changes to electronic voting systems and procedures and the senate on any recommended statutory and procedures and the senate on any recommended statutory and procedures and presenatives and procedures and presenatives and presenatives and presenatives and presenatives and presenatives and presenatives and procedures and presenatives and presen	None. State law > EPM.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 8-0, Passed House 31-28-1. DP Senate Gov. 4-3. DPA Senate COW.
H2380: early ballots; delivery; penalties	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	The criminal classification for knowingly collecting voted or unvoted early ballots from another person is increased to a class 4 (lower mil-level) felony, from a class 6 (lowest) felony. Any person is allowed to submit a complaint to the Election Integrity Unit of the Office of the Attorney General regarding a possible violation of the prohibition on collected early ballots. The Attorney General is authorized to investigate the complaint and make findings, including a determination on whether to file charges. The Attorney General is required to report on these activities to the Governor and the Legislature by February 1 each year.	None.	
H2385: auditor general; voter registration database	Rep. Kaiser (R)	House: Government, Rules	The Auditor General is required to review the processes and statutory requirements for maintaining the statewide voter registration database, county early voting lists and county voter registration databases. The Secretary of State and county recorders are required to provide specified information to the Auditor General. The Auditor General is required to report its findings to the Legislature by June 30 of each even-numbered year. Appropriates \$500,000 from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the Auditor General for this purpose.	None.	
H2402: automatic voter registration; same day.	Rep. Solorio (D)		A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register during the 28 days immediately preceding an election and is eligible to vote in that election if the person has been a resident of the county and the precinct in which the person resides for at least 29 days immediately preceding the election. A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register on Election Day at the polling place for the precinct in which that person maintains residence. A person who registers to vote under these provisions may vote only with a provisional ballot and does not qualify a person to vote in a partisan primary election. Every person who is applying for a driver license or renewal, including a nonoperating identification license or renewal, or who is making changes to drive license information and who is otherwise qualified to register to vote must be registered to vote automatically on completion of the license application unless the applicant clearly expresses a decision not to register. A person who is not qualified to register to vote and who unknowingly registers under this provision is not guilty of false registration or false swearing. Effective January 1, 2023.	Procedure change. Voter Education efforts.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2430: secretary of state; nonpartisan office	Rep. Shah (D)	House: Government, Rules	The election for the office of Secretary of State is moved to the nonpartisan section of the ballot, and signature requirements are modified to reflect the change. Effective January 1, 2023.	None.	
H2435: county recorder; nonpartisan office	Rep. Shah (D)	House: Government, Rules	The election for the office of county recorder is moved to the nonpartisan section of the ballot, and signature requirements are modified to reflect the change.	None.	
H2443: campaign finance; contributions limits	Rep. Powers Hannley (D)		Various changes to campaign contribution limits. Decreases campaign contribution limits to \$390 to a candidate committee for municipal, county or district office, to \$488 to a candidate committee for legislative office, and to \$1,010 to a candidate committee for statewide office, all from \$6,250, from an individual or political action committee mittee for statewide office, all from \$6,250, from an individual or political action committee primary and general election, instead of per "election cycle" (defined). Individuals are prohibited from contributing more than an aggregate total of \$5,610 in a calendar year to state and local candidate committees and political action committees that contribute for candidate committees. Partnerships are prohibited from contributing monies in the name of the partnership. Candidate committees are prohibited from accepting contribute to candidate committees other than a political party as an aggregate total for the combined primary and general election of more than \$10,020 to a candidate committee for municipal, county or district office, \$16,150 to a candidate for statewide office. A candidate committee for a candidate thor a political party nominee is prohibited from accepting contributions as an aggregate total for the combined primary and general election from a political party of more than \$10,020 to a candidate committee for a candidate that is a political party of more than \$10,020 to a candidate committee for an affice, other than a statewide office.	None.	
H2444: clean elections; county candidates	Rep. Powers Hannley (D)	House: Government, Rules	Applies clean elections laws to candidates for county board of supervisors, county assessor, county attorney, county recorder, county school superintendent, county sheriff and county treasurer. Establishes primary election spending limits for candidates for county offices based on county population. Due to voter protection, this bill requires a 3/4 vote of each house of the Legislature for enactment.	Add county offices to public financing program.	
H2469: early ballots; polling place; tabulation	Rep. Carroll (R)	House: Government, Rules	A voter who has the envelope containing the completed early ballot and completed affidavit, who appears at that voter's designated polling location on election day and whose identification is verified and confirmed is allowed to remove the completed ballot from the envelope and deposit the ballot in the ballot tabulation equipment in that polling place and to discard the envelope and completed affidavit.	Voter Education amendments.	Government DP 9-2-1, Rules DP 8-0

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2476: presidential electors; congressional districts; at-large	Rep. Carroll (R)		Each political party that is qualified for representation on an official party ballot at the primary election and accorded a column on the general election ballot is required to designate one presidential elector for each congressional district and two presidential electors as at-large presidential electors. A presidential elector who is designated for a congressional district is not required to be a resident of that congressional district. Presidential electors was ne designated for a congressional district are required to cast their electoral college votes for the candidates for president and vice president who jointly received the highest number of votes in that congressional district as prescribed in the statewide canvass. The two at-large presidential electors are required to cast their electoral college votes for the candidates for president and vice-president who jointly received the highest number of votes from an aggregate vote of all the members of the State Legislature voting as a single body.	Adds 2 votes to the electoral college based on voting via the State Legislator for the office of President and Vice-President.	
H2491: elections; signature matching requirements	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to apply an unspecified factor (blank in original) signature verification process as prescribed in the Secretary of State's election procedures manual. A person who violates this requirement is guilty of a class 5 (second-lowest) felony.	Add a process for blank affadavit envelopes. None.	
H2492: voter registration; verification; citizenship	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	Except for a form produced by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission, any application for voter registration is required to be accompanied by satisfactory evidence of citizenship, and the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to reject any application for registration that is not accompanied by satisfactory evidence of citizenship. A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections who fails to reject an application for registration in these circumstances is guilty of a class 6 (lowest) felony. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to use all available resources to verify the citizenship status of an applicant for voter registration. A person who registers to vote is required to use all available resources to verify the citizenship status of an applicant for voter registration. A person who registers to vote is required to provide an identifying document that establishes proof of location of residence. A person who has not provided satisfactory evidence of citizenship and who is eligible to vote only for federal offices is not eligible to vote only for federal offices is not eligible to vote only for idearship and who is eligible to vote only for stearts and the PEC or by mail. AG must prosecute individuals who are found not to be U.S. Citizens. County Recorder to cancels ones registration upon evidence of non-citizenship, notify voters to compilete incomplet registration forms, must provide citizenship in order to register to vote, etc	Voter Education for changes to voter registration requirements and mail ballot eligibility. Concern over possible retroactivity citizenship check.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 5-2, Passed House 31-26. DP Senate Jud. 5-3. PFC Senate Rules. Passed Senate Floor 16-12-2. Signed by the Governor 3/30/22.
H2493: election integrity fund	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Appropriations, Rules	Appropriates \$12 million from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the newly established Election Integrity Fund, to be used to pay county recorders for election security, cybersecurity measures and improvements, and reimbursements for postelection hand tabulations. Amendments: removes the monies in the Election Integrity Fund to also be used for reimbursements for postelection hand tabulations.	None.	Government DP 8-4, W/D from Approp. Rules DP 8-0, Passed House 56-2. DPA Senate Gov 4-3. DPA Senate Approp 10-0.
H2494: voter registration events; posting	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	The Secretary of State and each county recorder is required to post on their public websites a list of each event that the Office of the Secretary of State or the county recorder attends and provides voter registration services. Amendment: Include location, title, and groups affiliatied with the event.	None.	Government DP 7-5, Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-28. DP Senate Gov. 4-3, PFC Senate Rules.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2567: nominating petitions; multiple petition signatures	Rep. Carter (R)	House: Government, Rules	Signers of nomination petitions are allowed to sign an unlimited number of candidate petitions, instead of being limited to signing only one petition for the same office.	None.	
H2571: early voting; limitations; hand count	Rep. Blackman (R)	House: Government, Rules	Qualified electors are only allowed to vote by early ballot if the elector expects to be absent from the precinct at the time of the election, the elector cannot attend the polls on election day because of the tenets of his/her religion, or the elector is an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter or the spouse or household member of the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter. County recorders are no longer authorized to establish on- site early voting locations. All ballots are required to be counted by hand, and electronic tabulating machines or equipment are prohibited. Effective January 1, 2023.	Voter Education changes.	
H2577: voter identification; ballots; delivery; process	Rep. Blackman (R)	House: Government, Rules	A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is prohibited from using an unmonitored drop box for receiving voted early ballots. A qualified elector is required to request any early or absentee ballot and a county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is prohibited from providing an early or absentee ballot without a specific request from the voter for a single specific election. A qualified elector is required to vote in a polling place or voling center, except that an elector with an early or absentee ballot may return the ballot by mail or deliver the ballot in person to a polling place or voling center. Modifies the list of accepted forms of identification for voting. Due to voter protection, the voter identification changes require the affirmative vote of at least 3/4 of the members of each house of the Legislature for passage.	Voter Education changes.	
H2581: state candidates; nomination; fee	Rep. Cook (R)	House: Government, Rules	For any person who holds a statewide or legislative office and who submits a nomination paper for reelection to that same office, the person may pay a \$250 fee to the office of the Secretary of State for deposit in the general fund and the person is not required to submit a nomination petition or signatures.	For incumbents, they would pay a \$250 fee	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2596: elections; revisions; mail-in; identification; tabulation	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: health and human services, educ, mil-pub safety, com, gov-elect, jud, trans, land-agri-rural affairs, ways-means, nat res-energy-water, appro.	For all primary and general elections, a voter is prohibited from receiving or voting a ballot unless the voter has presented valid state-issued identification. All voting is required to occur on election day only, except for absente ballots. Voters are allowed to vote by absentee ballots. Voters are allowed to vote by absentee ballots only for one of a list of specified reasons. All ballots are required to be apper ballots that include a hologram, an identifiable sequence marking or another similar system for preventing fraud, and must allow a voter to receive a uniquely marked or numbered ballot. Il ballots must be counted by mail. Deletes all references to electronic voting systems of the returns made within 24 hours after the polts are closed. Repeals the active early voting list and all statutes relating to voter sing graves are prohibited from requiring a voter or or accessible voting technology. County boards of supervisors are prohibited from changing a polling place. The Legislature is required to call itself into session to review the ablot that including process for the regular primary and general elections and to accept or reject the election results. If the Legislature rejects the election results and y qualified voter is authorized to file an action in the superior court to request that a new elections be held. The Legislature is any qualified voter is authorized to file on action in the superior court to request that a new election be held. The	Aside from the complete overhaul to the logistics relating to elections, the most troubling portion of this bill suggests that the Legislature would be allowed to reject the election results and request a <i>v</i> election be held via Superior Court. Would require updates to Voter Education.	
H2602: polling places; emergency voting centers	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	County boards of supervisors are allowed to authorize the use of emergency voting centers only on occurrence of a genuine emergency that makes it likely that large numbers of voters will be substantially impaired in their ability to vote on election day as compared to other elections. Amendment: Remove emergency requirement, allow electioneering outside 75 ft. limit, County to post polling/vote center locations 2 weeks prior to election day.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-28. DPA Senate Gov. 4-3, PFC Senate Rules.
H2617: voter registration; cancellations; causes	Rep. Chaplik (R)	House: Government, Rules	When a county recorder receives information that a registered voter is not a U.S. citizen, has been issued a driver license from another state, or is otherwise not qualified to vote, the county recorder is required to cancel the person's voter registration. The county recorder is required to notify the person that the registration has been canceled and send that the registration. Each month the Secretary of State and the county recorder are required to compare the voter registration databases to other government databases, including the driver license database and Social Security Administration database. Amendment: Replace to ther governent database to a voters registration upon death.	Could have a negative impact on voter turnout, specifically out-of-state college residents.	DP House Gov. 7-6. Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-26. DP Senate Gov. 4-3, PFC Senate Rules.
H2621: consent decree; prohibited S/E; settlement agreement; consent decree; prohibited	Rep. Parker (R)	House: Judicial, Rules	In any state court proceeding in which the constitutionality, legality or application of any provision of Title 16 (Elections) is challenged and a government entity is named as the defendant, the court is prohibited from approving or signing a consent decree. In any federal court proceeding in which the constitutionality, legality or application of any provision of Title 16 (Elections) is challenged, the state and any party representing the state are prohibited from entering into or signing a consent decree. Amendment: Exempts the language from applying to the Citizens Clean Elections Act.	None.	Judicial DP 6-4, Rules 5-2, Passed House 31-26.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2640: candidate nomination signature requirements	Rep. Carter (R)	House: Government, Rules	Cuts in half the number of signatures required on nomination petitions for candidates for U.S. Senate, state offices, U.S. Congress, state legislature, county office, superior court judge, justice of the peace, constable, mayor or other citywide office, and various other specified offices.	None.	
H2641: candidate nomination petitions; signatures; county	Rep. Carter (R)	House: Government, Rules	A candidate for a statewide or legislative office is not required to state on the nomination petition the county of residence of the qualified electors who sign the candidate's petition or separate the nomination petitions by county when submitting petitions to the Secretary of State and may submit signatures from qualified electors from more than one county on the same nomination petition without penalty.	None.	
H2680: voter registration; same day	Rep. Hernandez (D)	House: Government, Rules	A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register during the 28 days immediately preceding an election and is eligible to vote in that election if the person has been a resident of the county and the precinct in which the person resides for at least 29 days immediately preceding the election. A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register on Election Day at the polling place for the precinct in which that person maintains residence. A person who registers to vote under these provisions may vote only with a provisional ballot and does not qualify a person to vote in a partisan primary election.		
H2703: auditor general; audits; county elections S/E: Secretary of State; secure online signature collection; candidates	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	The Auditor General is required to establish an- audit team to perform election integrity audits of- county recorder offices and county elections- departments. Each election cycle, the Auditor- General is required to choose through random- selection two counties that have a population of- less than one million persons and perform an- election integrity audit on those counties. The Auditor General is required to perform an election- integrity audit of a county with a population of- one million or more persons (Maricopa and Pima) each election cycle. For the purpose of election- integrity audits, the Auditor General is required to have access to any personnel and data from the county recorder's office and any county elections, department, the Department of Transportation, and the Secretary of State. These required to have access to poling places, voling centers and central counting centers. The Auditor General is required to report election rules that the Auditor General deems necessary to perform the audit, including- voter registration data, and is required to have access to poling places, voling centers and central counting centers. The Auditor General is required to report election integrity audits and - recommendations to the Governor, the Legislature, and the Secretary of State. These requirements self repeal January 1, 2030. Appropriates an- unspecified amount (blank in original) from the general fund in FY2022.23 to the Auditor General for election integrity audits. All provisions other than the appropriation become effective January 1, 2023. Emergency measure; Secretary of State shall continue operating and shall maintain full functionality and availability of the secure intermet portal for online signature collection	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-28. Passed Senate Gov. 4-3, PFC Senate Rules. DPA Senate COW.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2710: registrations; counting procedures; observers; verification	Rep. Kavanagh (R)	House: Government, Rules	Various changes relating to election observers. The county chairperson of each political party may designate a party representative for a poliing place, a voting center, a location at which electronic processing of ballot socurs, and a location used by any third-party vendor for physical or electronic processing of ballot materials, including ballot envelopes. If the county party chairperson fails to appoint a party representative for a location, the state party chairperson may make those appointments, and if the state party chairperson fails to appoint a party representative, the legislative district chairperson in the area in which the polling place, voting center or other location is located may make those appointments for a location is located may make those appointments for a location is located may make those appointments for a location solution. If the county party chairperson fails to designate a sufficient number of board workers to assist with a hand count, the state party chairperson of the district chairperson of the state party chairperson for a location workers. If thes are fewer than two persons for each audited precinct available to participate on behalf of each recognized political party after the county officer in charge of elections is usufficient number of permanent or temporary county employees to serve as board members for purposes of the hand count. Election observers are authorized to observe hand count locations is negured to beserve and political party, the county officer in charge of elections is required to board workers. County after the county officer in charge of elections is required to board worker for a proge so for the hand count. Election observers are authorized to observe hand count locations and the electronic code adjudication board's activities. Amendment: Requires SoS, County Recorder, and other officers in charge of election party appointments of a party apportments of a party apportments.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 8-0, Passed House 31-26. DPA Senate Gov. 4-3, PFC Senate Rules.
H2743: elections; identification; revisions; mail-in; tabulation	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	For all primary and general elections, a voter is prohibited from receiving or voting a ballot unless the voter has presented valid state-issued identification. All voting is required to occur on election day only, except for absentee ballots. Voters are allowed to vote by absentee ballots. Voters are allowed to vote by absentee ballots are required to be cast in person by the voter at the voter's election precinct polling place. All ballots are required to be part ballots that include a hologram, an identifiable sequence marking or another similar system for preventing fraud, and must allow a voter to receive a uniquely marked or numbered ballot. All ballots must be counted by hand and canvassed and the returns made within 24 hours after the polls are closed. Repeals the active early voting list and all statutes relating to voting by mail. Deletes all references to electronic tabulation and prohibits the use of electronic systems other than for accessible voting steps the voters in that precinct are notified by mail a least two years in advance. County boards of supervisors are prohibited from changing a polling place unless the voters in that precinct are notified by mail a least two years in advance. County bards of supervisors are prohibited from changing a polling place tor or any other person to wear a facial mask at a polling place or be vaccinated against or tested for a virus as a condition of entering a polling place.	Voter Education.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2744: early ballot pick-up; mail return	Rep. Fillmore (R)	House: Government, Rules	Various changes relating to elections. The county recorder may only establish one on-site early voting location at the recorder's main office. Repeals the active early voting list. A voter wishing to vote by mail is required to appear personally at the on-site early voting location to pick up a mail-in ballot. Except for an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter, a voter is only allowed to return a voted early ballot by mail, and the county recorder's office is prohibited from accepting a voted early ballot that is returned by in-person delivery. County boards of supervisors are prohibited from establishing voting centers, which allow any voter in that county to receive the appropriate ballot for that voter on election day.	Voter Education.	
H2754: permanent early voting list	Rep. Bolding (D)	House: Government, Rules	The active early voting list is renamed the permanent early voting list. The county recorder is no longer required to remove a voter from the list if the voter fails to vote using an early ballot in all regular primary and general elections for two consecutive election cycles.	Voter Education.	
H2768: early voting; weekend hours	Rep. Salman (D)		On-site early voting locations, including the locations at the county recorder's office, are required to be open until 7:00PM on the Saturday, Sunday and Monday immediately preceding election day.	Voter Education.	
H2770: voting centers; board of supervisors	Rep. Salman (D)		Only on a specific resolution of the county board of supervisors, the board is permitted to authorize the use of additional types of voting locations by using voting centers and early voting drop-off centers. A voting center is deemed to be a polling place on election day, and may be used as an early voting location. When an election is ordered and voting centers are used, the county board of supervisors is required to appoint a voting center election board for each voting center consisting of at least one inspector, one marshal and as many judges or clerks as needed. Requires there to be an equal number of inspectors in the various voting centers in the county who are members of the two largest political parties. The board of supervisors is authorized to appoint a minor who is at least 16 years of age to serve as a clerk of elections if a list of specified circumstances apply. School districts and charter schools cannot be required to reduce average daily membership for any student who is absent as a result of service on a voting center election board, and cannot count the absence against any mandatory attendance requirements for the student. County recorders are authorized to make changes to the approved early voting locations and are required to notify the public as soon as practicable.	Voter Education.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2771: election procedures; registrations; campaign finance	Rep. Salman (D)		Numerous changes to statutes relating to elections. For every person who provides proof of U.S. citizenship when applying for, renewing or replacing a driver license or nonoperating identification license, or updating the person's existing residence address or name on file with the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), ADOT is required to electronically collect and transmit voter registration information to the Secretary of State for the purpose of registering the person to vote or updating an existing voter registration record. The Secretary of State and ADOT Director, after consulting with all county recorders, are required to adopt rules to implement a secure automatic electronic voter registration information. The Secretary of State is required to evaluate implementation of a secure automatic electronic voter registration information. The Secretary of State is required to evaluate implementation of a secure automatic electronic voter registration agencies, including the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS). By December 31, 2022, any agency that allows a person to affirmatively registration through the internet must allow the person to complete the registration without a driver license or nonoperating identification license and with any proof of citizenship that is valid under Arizona law. Eliminates the requirement for a voter to live in the boundaries of an election district of 29 days prior to an election to be eligible to vote in that election. By the 2022 primary election and for each election in the county to serve as a registration clerk to facilitate an enable eligible persons to register to vote on site on election day or during early voting. A registration clerk must be present for all hours during which a politic ja voter is required to designate at least one election ser equived to rovite a secret ballot in all elections for which that voter is eligible to vote. By December 31, 2024, the vote a secret ballot hand count procedures. Reduces individual and political action com		
H2772: ballot measures; foreign contributions; prohibition	Rep. Butler (D)	House: Government, Rules	A political action committee that is formed for the purpose of supporting or opposing a ballot measure or that makes a ballot measure expenditure is prohibited from soliciting or accepting a contribution from a "foreign national" (defined). A foreign national is prohibited from contributing to a political action committee that is formed for the purpose of supporting or opposing a ballot measure or that makes a ballot measure expenditure.	None.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2777: elections; auditor general; attorney general	Rep. Finchem (R)	House: Government, Appropriations, Rules	On request of the House of Representatives or the Senate, the Auditor General is required to conduct one or more audits of county elections for state and federal offices. The audits may include reviews of voter rolls, election systems and processes, voting equipment, and certification of ballot tabulation equipment and recordkeeping equipment. Appropriates \$800,000 from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the Auditor General for these purposes. Appropriates an unspecified amount (blank in original) from the general fund in FY2022- 23 to the Office of the Attorney General for funding four additional attorneys for the election integrity unit.	None.	
H2778: electronic registration information center; prohibition	Rep. Finchem (R)	House: Government, Rules	The Secretary of State and the county recorder are prohibited from using an electronic voter registration information center.	None.	
H2780: voter lists; images; voting records	Rep. Kavanagh (R)	House: Government, Rules	Ten days before the primary and general election, the county recorder is required to publish a list of all voters who are eligible to vote in the election, including persons who are on the inactive voter list, and post this information on the county recorder's website with personally identifying information redacted. Five days before the county canvass, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to publish and post in digital format on the county's website a list of all persons who voted and their method of voting, all ballot images with the unique identifying number from the ballot, and the cast vote record in a sortable format. Early and provisional ballot tabulators are required to imprint a unique identification number on each early ballot tabulated so as to allow the ballots are required to be separated, tabulated, and stored by precinct. Amendment: Removes unique identifying requirement.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules 5-3, Passed House 31- 26. DPA Senate Gov. 4-3,
H2783: election law violations; procedures manual	Rep. Bolick (R)	House: Government, Rules	Increases the criminal classification for violations of any rule adopted by the Secretary of State as part of the election instructions and procedures manual, to a class 1 (highest) misdemeanor, from a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor. A person who violates statue in Title 16 (Elections) is guilty of a class 6 (lowest) feony, unless the statute defining the offense provides for a different classification. Amendment: A person who knowingly violates elections statutes is guilty of a class 6 felony.	Voter Education.	Government DP 7-6, Rules 7-0, Pass House 31- 27.
H2785: attorney general; election complaints	Rep. Biasiucci (R)	House: Government, Rules	The Attorney General is authorized to enforce Title 16 (Elections) for any election for members of Congress, U.S. Senator, or presidential elector. Establishes a list of powers the Attorney General is authorized to exercise in order to carry out the duties of election law enforcement for any elected office, including issuing subpoenas and examining any computer, document, or record.	None.	
H2786: voter registrations; ballot requests; source	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	Only a political party, county recorder, or election official is authorized to distribute early ballot request forms to voters. For any signed preprinted request for an early ballot, the county recorder is prohibited from using that signature of the voter as the most recent exemplar for subsequent comparison if the submittal is on a printed document, card or other form that is not an official form printed by the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections. Amendment: Specifies that the signed preprinted request may not be used as the sole exemplar for signature comparison of the voter.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules 7-0, Passed House 31- 26. DPA Senate Gov. 4-3,

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
H2787: Maricopa county; division; new counties	Rep. Hoffman (R)	House: Government, Rules	Divides Maricopa County into four counties by modifying the Maricopa County boundaries and adding three new counties: Hohokam County, Mogolion County, and O'odham County, Maricopa County continues ful jurisdictional operation for all four counties until a special election held within 120 days after the effective date of this legislation to elect new county boards of supervisors. Currently elected Maricopa County Supervisors continue in their capacity for the remainder of their term in whichever county their supervisory district is located. The elected boards of supervisors in the three new counties will determine an application process for municipalities to apply to be the county seat, which will be determined a special election to be held within 120 days from the election of the boards of supervisors. The four counties are authorized to enter into a ten-year shared use agreement for the use of existing shared capital assets. Effective January 1, 2023.	Voter Education.	Government DP 7-6, Rules 8-0,
H2798: voter registration; social security list	Rep. John (R)	House: Government, Rules	Beginning 90 days before each primary election day and each general election day and continuing once each week until election day, the Secretary of State is required to obtain the full file of death information from the social security administration for the sole purpose of canceling the names of deceased persons from the statewide voter registration database. The name of each deceased person is required to promptly be canceled from the statewide voter registration database. The Secretary of State is required to notify the appropriate county recorder and the recorder is required to cancel the name of the person from the register.		
H2801: write-in candidates; filing date	Rep. Diaz (R)	House: Government, Rules	The deadline for filing a nomination paper to be a write-in candidate is moved to 5PM on the 76th day before the election, from 5PM on the 40th day before the election.		
HB2839: candidate nominations; signatures; redistricting	Rep. Bowers (R)	Substitute for SB1719	For various elective candidates, nomination petitions are to be signed by a specified number of qualified signers that is equal to the requirements detailed in statute. If new boundaries for congressional, legislative or supervisorial districts or justice or election precincts are established and effective subsequent to January 2 of the year of a general election and before the date for filing of nomination petitions, the required number of signatures is modified. The basis for determining the required number of nomination petition signatures in that case is the number of gualified signers in the elective office, district or precinct that was effective on January 2 of the year of a general election	None.	Passed House Final Read 58-0. Passed Senate Third Read 27-0-3. Transmitted to Governor 3/3. Signed by the Governor on 3/3/2022 with Emergency Declaration.
HCR2014: initiative; referendum; signatures; legislative districts	Rep. Dunn (R)	House: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to require statewide initiative measures to obtain signatures from 10 percent of the voters from each legislative district in order to propose a statewide measure and to obtain signatures from 15 percent of the voters from each legislative district in order to propose an amendment to the state Constitution. Also requires signatures from 5 percent of the voters from each legislative district in order to order a referendum of any measure enacted by the Legislature.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0,
HCR2015: initiatives; supermajority vote; requirements	Rep. Dunn (R)	House: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to require approval by 60 percent of the votes cast on the measure for an initiative or referendum measure to become law, instead of a majority of the votes cast.	None.	Government DP 7-6, Rules DP 7-0, Passed House 31-28.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
HCR2025:- government-issued voter identification NOW; voter identification; voting	Rep. Fillmore (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend state statute to delete the option for a voter to present, in order to receive a ballot and in lieu of government-issued photo identification, two different items that contain the name and address of the voter that reasonably appear to be the same as the name and address in the precinct register, including a utility bill, a bank or credit union statement, a valid Arizona vehicle registration, an Arizona vehicle insurance card, an Indian census card, tribal enroliment card or other form of tribal identification, a property tax statement, a recorder's certificate, a voter registration card, a valid government-issued identification, or any mailing that is labeled as "official election material."	Update to Voter Education.	Government DP 7-6, Rules 5-2,
HCR2033: decertifying Arizona's 2020 electors	Rep. Finchem (R)		The members of the Legislature notify the President of the U.S. Senate, the Speaker of the U. S. House of Representatives, and the members of Congress from Arizona that it is the justifiable position of the Arizona State Legislature to set aside the results of the Maricopa, Pima and Yuma County elections as irredeemably compromised and reclaim the 2020 presidential electors due to the irredeemably flawed nature of these elections that prevent the declaration of a clear winner of said presidential electors.	None.	
HCR2035: constitutional right to vote	Rep. Salman (D)		The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to declare that the right to vote is a fundamental right. Any law or regulation that burdens a citizen's fundamental right to vote is required to be narrowly tailored to further a compelling governmental interest. Deletes the prohibition on a person convicted of a felony being qualified to vote at any election.	None.	
HCR2037: campaign finance; source disclosure	Rep. Ligouri (D)		The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to require any person that makes campaign expenditures of more than \$10,000, or \$20,000 for statewide campaigns, in a two-year election cycle to promptly disclose the identity of all "original sources" of "major contributions" (both defined) used to fund that expenditure, and to grant the Citizens Clean Elections Commission the authority to establish penalties to enforce this requirement. Severability clause. If approved by the voters, the amendment applies to all elections occurring after January 1, 2024.	Would require the Commission to set regulations and penalites for campaign expenditures in excess of \$20,000 for Statewide elections that are not properly disclosed, and \$10,000 for each other election.	
S/E S1476; ballots; identification	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government; Rules	Requires each ballot in an election to be consecutively numbered with a unique number. Requires ballots to be accounted for in a chain of custody document or log.	None.	Government DP 4-2-1, Rules PFC, Failed Senate 14-15.
S1008: elections; recount margin	Sen. Ugenti-Rita (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Modifies the criteria that triggers an automatic election recount to require a recount when the margin between the two candidates receiving the greatest number of votes for a particular office, or between the number of votes cast for and against a measure or proposition, is less than or equal to 0.5 percent of the number of votes cast for both candidates or on the measure or proposition. Previously, the difference in votes that triggered an automatic recount was the lesser of 0.1 percent or either a specified number of votes based on the office to be filled or 200 votes for a measure or proposition.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Senate 17-12-1, Passed House Gov. 12-0, C&P House Rules 8-0,
S1010: school districts; protesting; partisan elections	Sen. Ugenti-Rita (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	All elections for school district governing board members in Arizona are required to be conducted using a partisan primary election followed by a general election on or after January 1, 2023. Also, a school district is prohibited from ejecting from school property or from the vicinity of any location where a school meeting is taking place, and from taking any other adverse action against a person or a group of people engaging in "peaceful protesting" (defined) after school hours and prohibits a school district from requiring people to apply for a permit or secure authorization for protesting.	Update to Voter Education.	Government Failed 4-4.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1012: registration database; federal voters; report	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Requires the Secretary of State to provide access to the statewide voter registration database to a person or entity that is designated by the Legislature and to the Election Integrity Unit of the Attorney General's Office for the purpose of determining whether voter registration list maintenance procedures comply with federal law with respect to federal-only voters. The person or entity designated by the Legislature is required to be qualified in more than one state to analyze a state's voter registration rolls for compliance with federal law, and is required to report its findings to the Legislature, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of State. Each county recorder is required to submit an annual report to the Legislature regarding federal-only voters, and information that must be included in the report is specified. The Attorney General and the County Attorney shall investigate and prosecute, as approrpiate, any person who is ineligible to register to vote and who knowingly registers to vote.	None.	Government DP 4-2, Rules PFC, Senate 16-13-1, Transmit to House 2-9-22.
S1013: secretary of state; federal form	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	By December 31, 2022, the Secretary of State is required to submit to the U.S. Election Assistance Commission a request that the Commission include on the federal voter registration form Arizona's state-specific instructions to provide proof of citizenship.	None.	Government DP 4-2, Rules PFC, Senate 16-13-1, Transmit to House 2-9-22. Passed House Gov. 7- 6, DP Rules 7-0.
S1017: state finance review; task force	Sen. Bowie (D)	Senate: Finance, Appropriations, Rules	Establishes a 22-member Citizens Finance Review Task Force to analyze the source of general fund and nongeneral fund revenues and expenditures as compared to other states, and make recommendations regarding the responsible retirement of existing state debt. The Task Force is required to submit a report to the Governor and the Legislature by September 30, 2023, and to present the report to a joint meeting of the legislative appropriations committees by January 31, 2024. Self-repeals October 1, 2024.	Possbile task force the Commission may need to report to.	Finance DP 9-0,
S1027: election bureau; complaint; investigation	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Approprations, Rules	Establishes the Bureau of Elections in the Office of the Governor to investigate allegations of fraud in any state, county, or local government election. Any qualified elector is permitted to submit a complaint to the Bureau, Including impounding records, issuing subpoenas, and conducting hearings. The Bureau is required to publicly report is findings and conclusions and make any appropriate referrals to a prosecutorial agency. Appropriate s§s million from the general fund in FY2022-23 to establish the Bureau.	Unknown.	
S1028: ballot paper; security measures	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Any vendor that provides fraud countermeasures that are contained in and on the paper used for ballots is required to be ISO 27001 certified, ISO 17025 certified, or ISO 9001:2015 certified. Ballot fraud countermeasures are required to include at least three of a list of ten specified features, including watermarking, security inks and unique barcodes.	None. Unique barcode tracking to vote brings up questions regarding State Consitution, Article 7, Section 1.	Government Held,
S1043: election day; state holiday	Sen. Rogers (R)		Adds the primary election day and the general election day to the list of official state holidays. A person entitled to vote at a primary election or general election held in Arizona is authorized to be absent from employment and is prohibited from being liable for any penalty or from having deductions made from their usual salary or wages. A person who refuses an employee these rights is guilty of a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor.	Update to Voter Education.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1054: election equipment; security; legislative review	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Beginning in 2022 and every two years thereafter, the committee appointed by the Secretary of State to investigate and test the various types of vote recording or tabulating machines or devices is required to provide for a detailed review of election equipment security for counties with a population of more than 500,000 persons that focuses on the actual equipment, software and other systems used in the most recent general election. An additional person who is an expert in election equipment security must conduct or assist with the review. On completion, the review must be presented to the standing committees of the Legislature with jurisdiction over election issues at a public meeting that is held by August 1 following the general election.		Government DP 4-2, Rules PFC
S1055: election process; contractors and contracts	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	A contractor that enters into a contract with Arizona or any county to provide election-related equipment or services and that fails to perform its obligations under the terms of the contract is liable for liquidated damages in an amount equivalent to the amount paid under the contract, and is guilty of a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor.		Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Failed Senate 14-15.
S1056: misplaced ballots; invalidity; misdemeanor; damages	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Any ballots that are misplaced and not included in the initial tally at a polling place or counting center are invalid ballots and are prohibited from being counted. A person who misplaces a ballot is guilty of a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor. If a provisional or early ballot that identifies the voter on the face of the affidavit or envelope is misplaced ballot is authorized to file an action for damages against the governmental body administering the election for the loss of the right to vote. Amendment: Invalid ballot is one not in the chain of custody, rather than misplaced.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Failed Senate 14-15.
S1058: drive-up voting; prohibition	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The county recorder or officer in charge of elections is prohibited from allowing a voter to receive a ballot and vote from a vehicle or other conveyance, and from using a ballot drop box except inside a polling place or voting center or the county recorder's or election department's offices. Appropriately trained election workers are required to monitor ballot drop boxes.	Update to Voter Education.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC
S1094: petition signatures; description; invalidity	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	A circulator of an initiative or referendum petition is required to either read the initiative or referendum description aloud to each person signing before that person signs or to allow the person sufficient time to read the description before the person signs. The circulator must inform the person that reading the description is required so that the person can understand the petition. Each person signing must affirm that the person has heard and understood or read and understood the description before signing the petition. For any person who signs without either hearing or reading the description, the circulator is required to draw a line through the person's signature and the signature is wold and cannot be counted.	Will likely make the process for not only gathering signatures for voter initiatives and referendums more difficult, but also raises issues in regards to challenges of signature.	DP Senate Gov. 4-3. Passed Rules, Senate 16-12- 2. Passed House Gov. 7-6, DP Rules 5-3,
S1119: electronic ballot images; public record	Sen. Borrelli (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	After the polls are closed, the officer in charge of elections is required to make available to the public an online copy of any digital images of ballots in a manner that allows the images to be searchable by precinct but that precludes any alteration of the images. States that the digital images of the ballots are public records.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 13- 15.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1120: ballot fraud countermeasures; paper; ink.	Sen. Borrelli (R)	Senate: Government, Appropriations, Rules	Any vendor that provides fraud countermeasures that are contained in and on the paper used for ballots is required to be ISO 27001 certified, ISO 17025 certified, or ISO 9001:2015 certified. Ballot fraud countermeasures are required to include all of a list of 19 specified features, including watermarking, secure holographic foil, security inks, invisible ultraviolet microtext, a serialized black QR code, and a paper receipt for the voter. The Legislature is required to appropriate sufficient monies to the State Treasurer to provide counties with the ballot paper prescribed by this legislation. Applies to the regular general election in 2022 and all elections held in 2024 and later. Appropriates an unspecified amount (blank in original) from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the State Treasurer for the purchase of antifraud ballot paper meeting these requirements.	None. County questions whether or not any of this would be possible with current tabulators in place.	Government DP 4-3, Appropriations 6-4, Rules PFC
S1133: schools; cities; all mail prohibited	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Education, Government, Rules	Municipalities and school districts are prohibited from conducting a mail ballot election. Effective January 1, 2023.	Voter Education.	Government DP 4-3,
S1149: countywide elections; vote by mail	Sen. Bowie (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	On approval of the county board of supervisors and if 60 percent or more of the county's registered voters are on the permanent early voting list, a county is authorized to conduct a mail ballot election for all elections administered by that county, including elections for federal and state offices and measures, and elections for county, municipal, school district and special districts. Counties that conduct mail ballot elections are required to report specified information about the election to the Legislature by January 1 of each year following a mail ballot election.	None.	
S1169: email; prohibition; political campaigns	Rep. Gowan (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Campaign committees are prohibited from sending a campaign email to an email address that ends in .edu or .gov. In an action for damages, a person who violates this prohibition is liable to the email recipient for \$100 per email.	Committees wouldn't be able to send emails to the commission? Candidates would be require to use personal email possibly. Define "campaign email".	Government Held
S1228: driver licenses; authorized presence repeal	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Judicial, Rules	The Department of Transportation is no longer prohibited from issuing or renewing a driver license for a person who does not submit proof that the applicant's presence in the U.S. is authorized under federal law.	Concern whether DL would now be a sufficient form of identification to vote or registering for a full ballot.	
S1259: recounts; requests; procedures; audits	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Increase hand count audit from 2% to 5%. Attorney General, Secretary of State, or Leg. Council may request a recount of the election up to 5 days after completion of the canvass. Exempts ballot measures/questions for school districts, community college districts, fire, or other special taxing district. Requires a person be an Arizona resident to file an action for a recount.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Passed Senate Floor as amended 16-13-1. Passed House Gov. 7- 6,
S1260: registrations; early voting; move notice	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Codifies best practices of removing voter if notified they have been registered in another County. Violations for knowinglying forwarding a ballot to a voter who is registered in another state. Amendment: Requires a person who receives an early ballot of a former resident of the address to write "not at this address" on the envelope and place the envelope in a U.S. post box or other mail receptacle, rather than allowing the person to indicate on the outside of the envelope that the former resident has moved and mail the ballot back to the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections listed on the envelope.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 16-13. Passed House Gov. 7-6,
S1285: elections manual; legislative council	Sen. Ugenti-Rita (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The official election instructions and procedures manual prepared by the Secretary of State is required to be approved by the Attorney General and the Legislative Council, instead of the Governor and the Attorney General.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC Passed, Passed Senate 17-12.
S1329: arizona national rankings, ranked states , early ballots; tabulating	Sen. Boyer (R)	Senate: N/A	Requires, if practicable, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections (other officer) to post the number of early ballots returned at voting locations on election day on its website and enter the ballots into an early ballot tracking system, if established.	Sen. Boyer (R)	S/E: Passed Senate Floor 17-12-1, Passed House gov. 12-0, House Rules C&P 7-0,

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1335: election day voting; early voting	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Qualified electors are only allowed to vote by early ballot if the elector is physically unable to go to the polls due to illness, hospitalization, or other confinement, or the elector is an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter or the spouse or household member of the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter. County boards of supervisors and any officer in charge of elections are prohibited from authorizing, establishing or using a voting center at which a voter who is a registered voter and resident anywhere in that county is allowed to receive the appropriate ballot for that specific voter. County recorders are no longer authorized to establish on-site early voting locations.	Voter education in relation to administration of Election.	
S1338: paper ballots; hand count; precincts	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	County boards of supervisors and any officer in charge of elections are prohibiled from authorizing, establishing or using a voting center at which a voter who is a registered voter and resident anywhere in that county is allowed to receive the appropriate ballot for that specific voter. County boards of supervisors and any officer in charge of elections are prohibited from allowing the use of electronic or other tabulating equipment and all counting is required to be done by hand. Ballots must be organized and remain segregated by precinct, both before and after counting. Electronic voting and electronic or other tabulating devices may only be used to comply with statute requiring voting systems for persons who are bind or visually impaired. For all other uses, for state, county, and municipal elections, only paper ballots may be used.	Voter Education.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC
S1343: early ballots; provisionals; precinct tallies	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	All early ballots, provisional ballots and conditional provisional ballots are required to be separated by precinct and category of ballot, tabulated by precinct and category of ballot and included as separate line items by category of ballot in the vote totals for the voter's precinct, without regard to whether the ballot was voted or received at an early voting center, election day voting center, emergency voting center, polling place or office of the county recorder. After tabulation, early ballots, provisional ballots and conditional provisional ballots must remain separated by category of ballot and precinct.	Voter education in relation to administration of Election.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC
S1348: elections; hand count; tabulators prohibited	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	For all state, county, and municipal elections, all ballots are required to be tabulated by hand. County boards of supervisors or other officers in charge of elections are prohibited from allowing the use of electronic or other tabulating equipment. Ballots must be organized and remain segregated by precinct, both before and after counting. Effective January 1, 2023.	Voter education in relation to administration of Election.	
S1351: early voting list; renewal	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	An early voter is eligible to receive an early ballot for a two-year period, after which the voter is required to renew the voter's request to receive an early ballot or the voter will be removed from the early voting list.	Voter education regarding mail-in ballots.	
S1355: campaign finance report; due date	Sen. Livingston (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The due date for campaign finance reports covering a calendar quarter without an election is moved to the 3rd Monday in the month after the calendar quarter, instead of the 15th day after the calendar quarter.	Campaign finance due date change.	Senate Government 7-0, Rules PFC, Senate 29-0- 1. House Government DP 11-0, House Rules C&P 7-0. Passed Senate Floor 53-0-7. Signed by the Governor on 4/26/2022.
S1357: election equipment; certification; results	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Any machine or device used at any election for federal, state, or county offices that is certified by a laboratory that was not accredited at the time of the certification is deemed unapproved for use in Arizona and not officially certified. Any election conducted with that machine or device while it is not officially certified is nullified, and the vote tallies from that machine must be removed from the official canvass of the election. Amendment prevents preloading ballots (problamatic for L&A). DPS shall provide independent compliance officers to any election equipment.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1358: hand counts; precincts; procedures manual	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	For a county that uses voting centers, the ballots from each voting center are required to be separated by precinct before the random selection of precincts for a hand count occurs and every ballot from a precinct must be grouped with the other ballots from that precinct.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 13- 16.
S1359: election workers; unique passwords	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	For any election system or activity that requires an employee, contractor or volunteer to log in to the system, each employee, contractor or volunteer is required to have a unique password that cannot be disclosed to any other person. Each employee, contractor or volunteer is required to establish a new unique password every two weeks. Violations are a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 15.
S1360: election observers; access	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Election observers are required to be allowed uniform access to all stages of the election process, beginning with ballot design through tabulation of the final vote. Election observers must be allowed to document observations and ask questions of election officers and must receive timely responses. Election observers must be allowed to be in proximity to the process closely enough to observe whether the process is being conducted correctly. Election observers may only be ejected for significant concerns on the approval of the inspector and the marshal. Amendment: Stipulates rules regarding to election observers.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 15-14.
S1362:early ballot on-site tabulation	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Appropriations, Government, Rules	A qualified voter who appears at a voting center or designated polling place with his/her voted dearly ballot is required to present identification as required by law. If the voter does not present identification, the voter is required to either deposit the voted early ballot in an official drop box or surrender the early ballot to the election board and vote a provisional ballot. If the voter presents sufficient identification and the affidavit is complete, the voter may sign the signature roster and proceed to the tabulating equipment to insert the ballot into a tabulating machine. Appropriates an unspecified amount (blank in original) from the general fund in each of FY2022-23 and FY2023-24 to the newly established Early Ballot On -Site Tabulation Fund for the costs of on-site tabulation site afficient and proteed by this legislation. Amendment: Allows rather than requires a County Recorder to provide on-site early tabulations.	Voter Education.	DP Senate Gov. 4-3, DP Senate Approp. 7-2-1, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 16-13. House Gov. 7- 6, House Rules C&P 8-0,
S1380: voter registration rolls; maintenance	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The county recorder is required to use change of address information supplied by the postal service to identify registered voters whose address may have changed on a monthly basis instead of at least once every election cycle. If a notice of change in registration status sent by the recorder is not returned, the registrant must be required to provide confirmation of the registrant's address in order to vote.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 15-13.
S1404:eligibility; early voting; list	Sen. Gowan (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Repeals the active early voting list. Qualified electors are only allowed to vote by early ballot if the elector expects to be absent from the precinct at the time of the election, the elector is physically unable to go to the polls, the elector is 65 years of age or older, the elector's residence is more than 15 miles from the polls on election day because of the tenets of his/her religion, the elector has a visual impairment, or the elector is an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter or the spouse or household member of the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter. County recorders are no longer authorized to establish on- site early voting locations.	Voter Educaton.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1411: early ballots; tracking system	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Government, Appropriations, Rules	Effective January 1, 2024, in counties with a population of more than 100,000 persons that use early ballots, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to provide on the county's website an early ballot tacking system that indicates whether the voter's early ballot has been received and whether the early ballot has been verified and tabulated. Appropriates \$700,000 from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the Secretary of State for establishing a grant program for counties to establish the tracking systems. Amendments: Appropriates \$250,000 from the state General Fund in fiscal year 2023 to the Secretary of State for enhancements to the Arizona voter information database to provide for early ballot tracking, rather than appropriating \$700,000 to the Secretary of State to establish an early ballot tracking system. Requires a county recorder or other officer in charge of elections in all counties, rather than only counties with a population of more than 100,000 persons, to provide an early ballot tracking system.	None.	DP Senate Gov. 5-2, DP Sen. Approp. 10-0, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 27-1. Passed House Gov. 13-0,
S1432: voted ballots; custody; in-state	Sen. Mendez (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections, the county board of supervisors, any state elected official and any employee, contractor or vendor of those persons are prohibited from removing from the state any one or more of the ballots cast for an election.	None.	
S1433: voters; false communication; enterprises; enforcement	Sen. Mendez (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	It is a class 5 (second lowest) felony for an enterprise to knowingly communicate to a registered voter by any means false information that is intended to impede the voter in exercising the voter's right to vote. A registered voter to whom false information is communicated is authorized to file a civil action for relief, including an application for a permanent or temporary injunction, restraining order or other order against the person communicating the false information.	None.	
S1453: automatic voter registration; same day	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register during the 28 days immediately preceding an election and is eligible to vote in that election if the person has been a resident of the county and the precinct in which the person resides for at least 29 days immediately preceding the election. A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register on Election Day at the polling place for the precinct in which that person maintains residence. A person who registers to vote under these provisions may vote only with a provisional ballot and does not qualify a person to vote in a partisan primary election. Every person who is applying for a driver license or renewal, including a nonoperating identification license or renewal, or who is making changes to drive license information and who is otherwise qualified to register to vote must be registered to vote automatically on completion of the license application unless the applicant clearly expresses a decision not to register. A person who is not qualified to register to vote and who unknowingly registers under this provision is not guilty of false registration or false swearing. Effective January 1, 2023.	Voter Education.	
S1454: polling places; drop boxes; campuses	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	The board of supervisors of each county is required to designate at least one polling place or voting center on the main campus of each state university in that county and is required to provide for at least one early ballot dropbox at each state university satellite location and each community college campus and community college satellite location in that county.	None.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1455: early voting locations	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is permitted to make changes to the approved early voting locations and must notify the public and the board of supervisors regarding the changes as soon as is practicable. A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections who establishes early voting locations may continue to operate those early voting locations during the three-day period immediately preceding election day, except that on-site early voting is required to end as needed to ensure that precinct registers and other election materials are revised for use on election day to indicate which voters have already voted and which voters are on the inactive voter list.	None.	
S1456:presidential preference caucuses; independent voters	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	Presidential preference elections are repealed and replaced with presidential preference caucuses, which must be held on the Tuesday immediately following March 15 of each year in which the President of the United States is elected to give qualified voters the opportunity to express their preference for the presidential candidate of the political party of their choosing. Presidential preference caucuses are required to allow participation by persons who are registered independent or no party preference. The operation of the caucuses and the selection of delegates to the political party national conventions shall be as provided in the bylaws of each state party.	Voter Education in relation to Independents being allowed to participate in the now Presidential Preference Caucus.	
S1457:voting machines; hardware; software; access	Sen. Borrelli (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The Secretary of State is required to ensure that vote recording and vote tabulating machines and devices approved for use in Arizona meet a list of specified requirements, including having all operating systems and software configured to the appropriate level of security, not having hardware installed that supports internet connectivity, supporting tracking of users based on unique credentials, and logging any deletions of ballot images, windows event logs and results files. Amendment, tamper-proof lock on usb port of tabulation equipment, non-stop video at count center,	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 15.
S1460: election law amendments	Sen. Shope (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Various changes relating to election law. Establishes options for candidate normination petitions for candidates for election to an office immediately following redistricting, which do not apply to candidates for a federal, statewide or legislative office. Establishes regulations for adjustment of precinct boundaries based on redistricting. If a voter surrenders an early ballot to the precinct inspector and presents the required identification, the voter must be issued a standard ballot. Modifies various deadlines. Allows the notice of election to be posted online and at other locations where a government body regularly posts public notices, if there is not a newspaper of general circulation in the election district. Amendment: Exempts political subdivison indebtedness election from 180 requirement, write- ins file 14th day before election, removes requirement to submit petition paperwork and statement of interest for prior district.		Government DP 7-0, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 17-12. Passed House Gov. 12-2, House Rules C&P 7-0,
S1465: voting equipment; requirements; records; origin	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	No later than the August 2024 primary election, the Secretary of State is required to revoke the certification for vote recording, vote aggregation and vote tabulating machines and devices used for elections for federal, state or county offices unless the machines and devices comply with a list of specified requirements, including being manufactured in the United States, meeting certain security standards, not having hardware installed that supports internet connectivity, supporting the usage and tracking of user accounts attributable to a specific individual, and providing a printed record of a voter's choices in a human-discernible format.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 15.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1474: voting; election day only; holiday	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The primary election day and the general election day are legal holidays. Voters are authorized to be absent from employment on election day and cannot be held liable for any penalty or have salary or wages deducted due to the absence. Statute authorizing early voting is repealed.	Voter Education.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC,
S1475: voter registration; citizenship; falsification; penalties S/E: election complaints; attorney general	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	It is a class 2 (second highest) felony to knowingly- and falsely claim U.S. citizenship while registering- to vote. It is a class 2 (second highest) felony to- register another person to vote and to knowingly- and falsely claim that the potential registrant and register as a voter who is eligible to vote only for- federal offices when the potential registrant is not a- U.S. citizen-SVE: Outlines powers and duties of the Attorney General (AG) related to the enforce election laws. Allows the AG to enforce election laws in elections for Members of Congress, U.S. Senators and presidential electors	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 15.
S1477:voter registration; felonies; clerk; database	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Each month the clerk of the superior court is required to transmit to the Secretary of State without charge a record of every felony conviction in that county within the preceding month. The Secretary of State is required to use the record for the sole purpose of canceling the names of convicted felons from the statewide voter registration database and must notify the appropriate county recorder. The county recorder is required to cancel the voter registration of the convicted felon.	None.	Government DP 4-1-2, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 16-13. Passed House Gov. 9-4, House Rules C&P 7-0,
S1478:elections; county supervisors; ballot; markers	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	For elections for which the county board of supervisors is responsible, the board of supervisors is prohibited from requiring that a specific marking pen be used on paper ballots and from providing for use on ballots any pen that creates marks that are visible on the reverse side of the paper ballot or that otherwise may damage or cause a ballot to be spoiled.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 15.
S1479: precinct size; voters; vote centers	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	County boards of supervisors are prohibited from establishing an election precinct that contains more than 1,250 registered voters of any political party that is entitled to continued representation on the ballot, or more than a total of 2,000 registered voters on the date the boundaries are established. County boards of supervisors and any officer in charge of elections are prohibited from authorizing, establishing, or using a voting center at which a registered voter and resident anywhere in that county is allowed to receive the appropriate ballot for that specific voter. County boards of supervisors and any officer in charge of elections are only authorized to use polling places located in election districts.	Voter Education.	
S1503: registration; voting; jails; confinement	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	Every person who is otherwise eligible to register to vote and who is in the custody of the State Department of Corrections (DOC) or a county jail must be provided the opportunity to register to vote on release from confinement. DOC and county jails are required to provide a state mail in voter registration form to persons who are eligible to register and to transmit completed forms to the appropriate county recorder within five days after receipt. In a county with a population of more than 300,000 persons, the county recorder is required to provide for a voting center at the county jail for persons who are temporarily in custody and awaiting trial, which must provide for any person who is otherwise eligible to vote in that county to receive the appropriate ballot for that person's residence. A county recorder is required to provide a request for an early ballot to each qualified elector who is in the custody of the county jail or DOC in that county and who is otherwise eligible to vote. After the county recorder receives a completed request for an early ballot, the county recorder is required to provide an early ballot to the qualified elector.	None.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status	
S1504: voting rights; felonies; automatic restoration.	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	A person's right to vote is automatically restored on the person's discharge of probation or absolute discharge from imprisonment.	Voter Education.		
S1543: election and ethics; commission; duties	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	Establishes the Arizona Election and Ethics Commission consisting of six members who are appointed by the Governor and other specified elected officials and who meet specified requirements. Beginning in 2023, the Commission succeeds to the duties of the Secretary of State with respect to acting as the investigatory, compliance and enforcement officer for political committees supporting or opposing candidates for state offices and members of the Legislature and statewide initiative or referendum measures appearing on a state general election ballot. Powers and duties of the Commission are established, including receiving any ethics compliant filed against candidates or elected officials of state government. The Commission			
S1570: election equipment; security; results; tabulation	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Any voting equipment used in a polling place or voting center and any tabulation equipment used in a central counting center or other tabulation center are prohibited from having internet access and must prohibit access by any means to any data or results until used by authorized election personnel only. Any accessible ports must be locked with a tamper-proof seal and logged in the chain of custody document when broken or accessed. Violations are a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 15.	
S1571: ballot drop boxes; surveillance; appropriation	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Appropriations, Rules	Establishes requirements for any ballot drop box used in Arizona to receive voted early ballots, including logging the receipt of each ballot, generating a paper receipt of and ballot, functioning camera or video records each person who deposits one or more early ballots. Voted early ballots are prohibited from being mailed to the county recorder and may only be returned by hand delivery. Makes a supplemental appropriation of an unspecified amount (blank in original) from the general fund in FY2021-22 to the Secretary of State to procure, install, operate, and maintain 24- hour per day photo and video surveillance for each ballot drop box in Arizona.	Voter Education in relation to returning ballots.	Government DP 4-3, DP Approp. 6-4,	
S1572: voting list; images; cast votes	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Ten days before the primary and general election, the county recorder is required to publish a list of all voters who are eligible to vote in the election, including persons who are on the inactive voter list, and post this information on the county recorder's website with personally identifying information redacted. Five days before the county canvass, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to publish and post in digital format on the county's website all ballot images, and the cast vote record in a sortable format. Early and provisional ballot tabulators are required to imprint a unique identification number on each early ballot tabulated so as to allow the ballot image to be linked to the physical ballot. Ballots are required to be separated, tabulated, and stored by precinct.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 15.	
S1573: hand count; political parties; employees	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The number of precincts in each county that must be randomly selected for a hand count after each election is changed to 5 percent of the precincts in the county or five precincts, whichever is greater, from two percent or two precincts. If one or more of the political parties do not provide members to select the precincts for a hand count, the county recorder is required to select a county employee who is a member of the designated political party to participate in selecting the precincts. Prohibits the carvass of the election from being completed unless the hand count is conducted and the results are conspicuously posted on the county recorder's website.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1574: voting irregularities; report; legislative review	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to maintain a record of all voting irregularities that occur during early voting, emergency voting and election day voting. Information that must be described in the record is listed. Within 30 days after election day, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to provide the record to the Legislature. Records on the chain of custody for all election equipment and ballots during early voting through the completion of provisional voting tabulation are public records and are subject to legislative subpoena. Violating any of these requirements is a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC
S1575:legislative subpoenas; disobedience	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Judiciary, Rules	A witness who neglects or refuses to obey a legislative subpoena, or who, on appearing, neglects or refuses to testify us guilty of a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor.	Would require individuals to be subject to a legislative subpeona.	Judicial 5-2-1, Rules PFC,
S1576: tabulating equipment; fractional votes; manual	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Ballot tabulating equipment that is capable of registering fractional votes or that is susceptible to manipulation by an algorithm that would allow the equipment to register fractional votes is prohibited from being used for an election in Arizona. County recorders or other officers in charge of elections are required to post conspicuously on the county's website the operating manual for any tabulating equipment used by the county. If the posted manual does not accurately describe all of the capabilities of the equipment, the county is prohibited from entering into a contract with the tabulating equipment provider, or if a contract has already been executed, the contract with the tabulating equipment provider is canceled.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC,
S1577:elections; adjudicated ballots; categories	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	For any ballots that are required to be duplicated and adjudicated, whether electronically or manually, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to separate the ballots by type of defect or damage and type of ballot, maintain that separation and post on the county's website the number, type and category of defective or damaged ballots processed by the county. Violations are a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor. Amendment: Requires a county recorder or other officer in charge of elections to knowingly violate requirements relating to duplicated and adjudicated ballot reporting in order to be guilty of a class 2 misdemeanor.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Failed Senate 14-15.
S1603: elections; auditor general	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	On request of the House of Representatives or the Senate or at the Auditor General's discretion, the Auditor General is required to conduct one or more audits of county elections for state and federal offices. The audits may include reviews of voter rolls, election systems, voting equipment and ballot tabulation equipment and may review compliance with state law and the instructions and procedures manual.	None.	
S1608:precinct tabulation; verification; elections	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	For a county with a population of more than 500,000 persons (Maricopa and Pima), all ballots are required to be tabulated at the polling place and verified by two persons who are not members of the same political party. At the central counting center, the ballots from that polling place must be tabulated again and verified by two different persons who are not members of the same political party. If the tallies do not match, the ballots must be counted again by hand to obtain a final total. The hand count is required to be verified by two different persons who are not members of the same political party.	None.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status		
S1609:election contests; invalidated election; sanctions	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	es If the court determines that the initial person in declared elected or declared the norminee at a primary election or hat the contested measure, constitutional amendment or othere of the election or proposal that was declared election or in fact receive the highest number of voles to prevail, the court is required to be conducted within 90 days after the courts order and to conform as nearly as practicable to the laws that otherwise would apply to an election. Any person determined by the court to be responsible for misconduct, fraud or illegal voles is liable for the costs of the court-ordered second election and is guilty of a class 2 (mid-level) misdemeanor. Amendments: Applies requirements related to a court-ordered second election. For any election for a person determined by court to be responsible for misconduct, fraud or illegal voles and the avent of a cast or ordered second election. Sufficient or contest to only candido and bas in more at a general errinally responsible of misconduct, fraud or illegal voles to be liable for the costs of a court-ordered second election. For any election in Arizona, election equipment, software, or systems are prohibited from containing any hardware component that is manufactured or assembided outside of the U.S. containing any hardware component that is manufactured or assembided outside of the U.S. containing any hardware component that is manufactured or assembided outside of the U.S. containing any hardware component that is manufactured or assembided or extension. Append these second elections and previewed by any person or entity from a foreign counting. Approximate, and we also the extension is required by the social sequence to elections and or subside fragments. Appropriate second betection a dust second betection and the approximation of elections is required to be establish and administer training for signature verification on easily be officient equirements. The Auditor Centeral is required to the secondate by the reaction a balant, the oritopic manoris i		senate: Government, Rules primary election or that the contest of unequestion or ordered second election and election and is guily of a class 2 (mid-level) misdemant or be determined by the court so the result of an election. None. G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G		Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Failed Senate 15-14-1.
S1612: election equipment; prohibited providers	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	software, or systems are prohibited from being from Dominion Voting Systems, Election Systems & Software and Hart Intercivic. For any election in Arizona, election equipment, software, or systems are prohibited from containing any hardware component that is manufactured or assembled outside of the U.S., containing any line of code for any software that is written by a person who is not a U.S. citizen, and containing any line of code that has ever been reviewed by any person or entity	None.			
S1613:state elections; contest; technical correction	Sen. Rogers (R)	Senate: Rules		None.			
S1629: registration; verification; images; audits; boxes	Sen. Borrelli (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Makes numerous changes related to elections and voting. The Secretary of State is required to establish and administer training for signature verification on early ballots. Establishes a list of requirements for ballot drop boxes, including continuous monitoring and chain of custody documentation. For any election for which there is a federal race on the ballot, the officer in charge of elections is required, within 48 hours after delivery of the official canvass, to make available to the public a central database with an online digital copy of the ballot images, which must be searchable by precinct and meet other specified requirements. The Auditor General is required to establish an audit team to perform election integrity audits of county recorders' offices and county elections departments. Audit requirements are specified. Appropriates an unspecified amount (blank in original) from the general fund in FY2022-23 to the Secretary of State to create and maintain a ballot	Voter Education in administration of elections.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 13- 15.		
S1638: early ballots; visually impaired voters	Sen. Pace (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Requires any Arizona election to provide for voting by the use of an accessible vote by U.S. mail option for persons who are blind or have a visual impairment. Delays the effective date of Laws 2022, Chapter 99, relating to voter registration		Government 5-2, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 28-0. Passed House Gov. 11-0, House Rules C&P 7-0, Passed House 32-25. Passed Senate 25-4. Signed by the Governor on 4/22/22.		

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
S1642: election management systems; security	Sen. Fann (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	By the 2022 primary election, county recorders or other officers in charge of elections are required to have a dedicated special purpose election management system gateway computer (EMS gateway computer) that may be used only when necessary to download data from an internet- connected system onto a removable electronic storage device for uploading to the EMS gateway computer or to download data from the EMS gateway computer to a removable electronic storage device for uploading to the EMS gateway connected system. No other computer may be used for these purposes and the EMS gateway computer is prohibited from being used for any other purpose. Establishes a list of security protocols that apply to the EMS gateway computer.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 14- 14.
S1681: restoration; voting rights; website notification	Sen. Gonzales (D)	Senate: Judiciary, Rules	For a person who has been convicted of two or more felonies, the person's right to vote is automatically restored on completion of probation or absolute discharge from imprisonment. The Secretary of State is required to establish and maintain on the Secretary of State's website a hyperlink to a website with information regarding voting rights for a person who has a criminal history and the automatic restoration of the right to vote on completion of probation or absolute discharge from imprisonment. In each county with a chief adult probation officer, that officer is required to establish and maintain on the probation department's website a hyperlink to the Secretary of State's website regarding voting rights for a person who has a criminal history, and to conspicuously post in each probation office where probationers are seen a sign that contains the probation department's website address.	Voter Education.	
SCR1005: federal ballot voters; identification	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend state statute to require federal-only voters to provide proof of citizenship by presenting one of a list of specified forms of identification in order to receive a ballot.	Update to Voter Registration education.	Government DP 4-2-1,
SCR1012: voter Identification; affidavit; procedure	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend state statute to modify the type of identification that a voter may present in order to receive a ballot to require one valid and unexpired form of identification that includes a photograph, and to require a second form of identification if the photo identification does not have an address or the address does not match the address in the precinct register. The Department of Transportation is prohibited from charging a fee for issuing a nonoperating identification license if a person attests on the application that the person has applied for the license in order to comply with any legal requirements related to registering to vote or voting. Early ballot affidavits must require the voter to provide the voter's 'early voter identification (defined as the voter's Arizona driver license or nonoperating identification number, the last four digits of the voter's social security number, or the unique identifying number in the statewide electronic voter registration database as assigned by the Secretary of State) number and the voter's date of birth and signature. Any qualified Arizona voter has standing to file a special action to require any official to enforce the requirements of this legislation if the official has a legal duty to do so, and to file a declaratory judgment action to determine the proper construction of this legislation. Applies to elections beginning no later than the 2024 primary election. Severability clause.	Voter Education.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Pass Senate 16- 12. Passed House 31-26. Transmitted to Secretary of State 3/01/2022.

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
SCR1025: nitiative; referendum; legislative districts; signatures	Sen. Leach (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to require statewide initiative measures to obtain signatures from 10 percent of the voters from each legislative district in order to propose a statewide measure and to obtain signatures from 15 percent of the voters from each legislative district in order to propose an amendment to the state Constitution.	None.	DP Senate Gov. 5-2, Rules PFC
SCR1027: corporation commissioners; appointment; election; terms	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Natural Water And Resources, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to to eliminate elections for four of the five members of the Corporation Commission and require those four Commissioners to be appointed by the Governor to eight year terms, with the consent of the Senate. Also provides for implementation and the expiration of the terms of the current Commissioners.	None.	
SCR1032: plenary legislative authority; elections	Sen. Townsend (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The members of the Legislature reaffirm the Legislature's plenary power with respect to elections and oppose any attempt by the federal government to usurp or otherwise interfere with the state legislative sovereign authority over the management, control and administration of elections.	None.	Government DP 3-2-2, Rules PFC, Passed Senate 16-12. Passed House Gov. 7-6,
SCR1037: conduct of elections; voters' rights	Sen. Quezada (D)	Senate: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend state statute to enact a voters' bill of rights, declaring that Arizona voters have a right to register to vote without facing unnecessary barriers, participate in fair elections in which elected officials are not choosing their own voters, vote by mail or in person and know that their votes will count, have equal access to the ballot no matter where in Arizona they live, what language they speak or what physical abilities they possess, propose and enact laws when elected officials fail to act, and know that when they elect someone, the elected official will work for the voters, not donors or lobbyists.		
SCR1046: voter identification; Arizona card	Sen. Borrelli (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	The 2022 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend state statute to delete the option for a voter to present, in order to receive a ballot and in lieu of government-issued photo identification, two different items that contain the name and address of the voter that reasonably appear to be the same as the name and address in the precinct register, including a utility bill, a bank or credit union statement, a valid Arizona vehicle registration, an Arizona vehicle insurance card, an Indian census card, thial enrollment card or other form of tribal identification, a property tax statement, a recorder's certificate, a voter registration card, a valid government-issued identification, or any mailing that is labeled as "official lection material." Expands the list of acceptable voter identification card, employee identification card for a government employee, student or employee identification avoter photo identification card. The Secretary of State is required to issue an Arizona voter identification card to registered voters who meet specified requirements. An Arizona voter identification card is a identification for voting purposes.	Voter Education Efforts.	

Bill (House, Senate)	Sponsor	Assigned to	What it does	Direct effect on CCEC	Status
SCR1048: article V convention; term limits	Sen. Mesnard (R)	Senate: Government, Rules	Pursuant to Article V of the U.S. Constitution, the Arizona State Legislature formally applies to the U. S. Congress to call a convention for the purpose of proposing an amendment to the U.S. Constitution to limit the number of the U.S. House of Representatives and as a member of the U.S. Senate. The Secretary of State is directed to transmit copies of this resolution to the President and Secretary of the U.S. Senate, the Speaker, Clerk and Judiciary Committee Chairman of the U. S. House, each member of capress from Arizona, and the presiding officers of each house of the several state legislatures, requesting their cooperation.	None.	Government DP 4-3, Rules PFC, Fail Senate 13- 15.

2022 One-Party-Dominant Legislative Districts

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-952(D), a one-party-dominant legislative district is a district in which the number of registered voters registered in the party with the highest number of registered voters exceeds the number of registered voters to each of the other parties by an amount at least as high as ten per cent of the total number of voters registered in the district.

votoro registorea in tre district.										
Legislative District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Democrat	33,735	41,112	37,306	50,255	58,297	78,059	36,687	49,255	38,996	35,173
Libertarian	1,313	1,627	1,245	1,496	1,239	897	1,199	1,495	1,410	1,362
Republican	79,819	50,442	85,263	70,479	28,995	29,611	69,734	32,755	39,897	66,297
Other	50,690	52,954	56,101	57,547	44,225	44,297	48,141	49,960	47,227	51,611
Total	165,557	146,135	179,915	179,777	132,756	152,864	155,761	133,465	127,530	154,443
Majority Party	GOP	GOP	GOP	GOP	Dem	Dem	GOP	Dem	GOP	GOP
Difference	46,084	9,330	47,957	20,224	29,302	48,448	33,047	16,500	901	31,124
10% of registered voters	16,556	14,614	17,992	17,978	13,276	15,286	15,576	13,347	12,753	15,444
Dominant Party District	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Legislative District	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Democrat	54,772	53,751	41,926	34,216	31,664	42,044	51,171	65,566	37,521	62,627
Libertarian	758	1,412	1,163	1,401	1,462	991	1,294	1,250	1,115	856
Republican	15,401	47,020	52,123	64,354	70,034	43,460	67,200	44,957	62,086	17,870
Other	40,404	53,557	50,160	49,376	51,845	50,270	52,348	48,378	47,422	39,262
Total	111,335	155,740	145,372	149,347	155,005	136,765	172,013	160,151	148,144	120,615
Majority Party	Dem	Dem	GOP	GOP	GOP	GOP	GOP	Dem	GOP	Dem
Difference	39,371	6,731	10,197	30,138	38,370	1,416	16,029	20,609	24,565	44,757
10% of registered voters	11,134	15,574	14,537	14,935	15,501	13,677	17,201	16,015	14,814	12,062
Dominant Party District	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Legislative District	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Democrat	56,528	45,437	45,613	39,442	32,463	38,200	42,205	37,826	37,939	24,215
Libertarian	989	768	810	784	1,132	678	1,450	1,007	1,105	1,045
Republican	27,283	19,196	28,788	18,470	57,859	15,429	55,048	78,535	56,044	84,332
Other	44,547	42,085	44,288	37,269	49,391	34,087	51,672	49,771	50,712	53,216
Total	129,347	107,486	119,499	95,965	140,845	88,394	150,375	167,139	145,800	162,808
Majority Party	Dem	Dem	Dem	Dem	GOP	Dem	GOP	GOP	GOP	GOP
Difference	29,245	26,241	16,825	20,972	25,396	22,771	12,843	40,709	18,105	60,117
10% of registered voters	12,935	10,749	11,950	9,597	14,085	8,839	15,038	16,714	14,580	16,281
Dominant Party District	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	37Yes

One-party-dominant districts are calculated using the April 2022 Voter Registration Counts.