

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING AND POSSIBLE EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

Location:	<b>Citizens Clean Elections Commission</b>
	1110 W. Washington, Suite 250
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Date:	Thursday, July 27, 2023
Time:	9:30 a. m.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the Commissioners of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission and the general public that the Citizens Clean Elections Commission will hold a regular meeting, which is open to the public on July 27, 2023. This meeting will be held at 9:30 a.m. **This meeting will be held in person and virtually.** Instructions on how the public may participate in this meeting are below. For additional information, please call (602) 364-3477 or contact Commission staff at ccec@azcleanelections.gov.

The meeting may be available for live streaming online at <u>https://www.youtube.com/c/AZCCEC/live</u>. You can also visit <u>https://www.azcleanelections.gov/clean-elections-commission-meetings</u>. Members of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission will attend in person, by telephone, video, or internet conferencing.

#### Join Zoom Meeting

#### https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82964776668

#### Meeting ID: 829 6477 6668

Please note that members of the public that choose to use the Zoom video link must keep their microphone muted for the duration of the meeting. If a member of the public wishes to speak, they may use the Zoom raise hand feature and once called on, unmute themselves on Zoom <u>once the meeting is open for public comment</u>. Members of the public may participate via Zoom by computer, tablet or telephone (dial in only option is available but you will not be able to use the Zoom raise hand feature, meeting administrator will assist phone attendees). <u>Please keep yourself muted unless you are prompted to speak</u>. The Commission allows time for public comment on any item on the agenda. Council members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing Council staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

The Commission may vote to go into executive session, which will not be open to the public, for the purpose of obtaining legal advice on any item listed on the agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3). The Commission reserves the right at its discretion to address the agenda matters in an order different than outlined below.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order.
- II. Discussion and Possible Action on Meeting Minutes for June 22, 2023.
- III. Discussion and Possible Action on Executive Director's Report, Enforcement and Regulatory Updates and Legislative Update.
- IV. Discussion and Possible Action regarding Opening a Public Comment Period on Proposed Rules related to the Voter's Right to Know Act, Proposition 211.
  - A. R2-20-809 Complaint Procedures.
  - B. R2-20-810 Response Procedures.
  - C. R2-20-811 Investigation and Enforcement Procedures.
  - D. R2-20-812 Enforcement Hearing Procedures.
  - E. R2-20-813 Transactions and Structuring.

Please note: The Commission may discuss other aspects of the Act, Chapter 6.1 of Arizona Revised Statutes Title 16, including other topics on which rulemaking should be considered and other rules related to Chapter 6.1. Please see the Commission's regulatory agenda for further information. The Commission will not act to open a public comment period on rule language that has not been listed on this agenda. All language for proposed rules is available from the Commission at ccec@azcleanelections.gov.

- V. Discussion and Possible Action on the following 2022 Primary & General Election Candidate Audits.
  - A. Anna Lynn Abeytia, State Representative, LD 29, Primary
  - B. Maryn Brannies, State Senate, LD 27, General
- VI. Public Comment.

This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism

VII. Adjournment.

This agenda is subject to change up to 24 hours prior to the meeting. A copy of the agenda background material provided to the Commission (with the exception of material relating to possible executive sessions) is available for public inspection at the Commission's office, 1110 W Washington St, #250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Dated this 25th day of July, 2023 Citizens Clean Elections Commission Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director Any person with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission at (602) 364-3477. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange accommodations.

THE STATE OF ARIZONA

CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

#### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona June 22, 2023 9:30 a.m.

> Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Post Office Box 513 Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

	2		3
1	PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS	4	
2 3	COMMISSION, convened at 9:30 a.m. on June 22, 2023, at the State of Arizona, Citizens Clean Elections Commission, 1110	1	<u>P R O C E E D I N G</u>
4	West Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona, in the	2	
5	presence of the following Board Members:	3	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. Thank you all for
6	Mr. Mark S. Kimble, Chairman Ms. Amy Chan (Videoconference)	4	being here.
7	Mr. Steve Titla (Videoconference)	5	Agenda Item 1, the call to order. It's 9:30 a.m.
		6	on June 22, 2023; and I call this meeting of the Citizens
8	OTHERS PRESENT:	7	Clean Elections Commission to order.
9	Thomas Collins, Executive Director	8	With that, we'll take attendance. Commissioners,
1	Paula Thomas, Executive Officer	9	
10	Mike Becker, Policy Director Gina Roberts, Voter Education Director	-	please identify yourselves for the record.
11	Alec Shaffer, Web Content Manager	10	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, Steve Titla here.
40	Kara Karlson, Assistant Attorney General	11	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. Thank you,
12	Cathy Herring, meeting planner Bob Robson, Debate Work Group	12	Commissioner Titla.
13		13	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Good morning. This is
14		14	Commissioner Amy Chan.
14	OTHERS PRESENT BY VIDEOCONFERENCE:	15	I hope you can hear me.
15	Mary O'Grady, Osborn Maledon	16	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We can hear you fine. Thank you,
16	Christine Jones, Debate Work Group	17	Commissioner Chan.
10	Meredith Parnell, Elias Law Group Rivko Knox, Member of the Public	18	
17	Mary Jo Pitzl, Member of the Public	-	I'm Chairman Kimble; and we have a quorum.
18	Nathan Madden, Member of the Public	19	Item II, discussion and possible action on minutes
10		20	for the April 27, 2023, meeting.
19		21	You received the meeting the minutes in your
20		22	packet. Is there any discussion, corrections, or comments
21		23	about the minutes, Commissioners?
22 23		24	(No audible response.)
23		25	If not, do I have a motion to approve them?
25			Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		
	4		5
	COMMISSIONER CHANK Mr. Chairman I move we approve		
1	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move we approve	1	The just a quick note on the legislature. The
2	the minutes as written.	1 2	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget
2	the minutes as written.	2	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget
2 3	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.	2 3	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will
2 3 4	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion.	2 3 4	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st.
2 3 4	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second?	2 3 4 5	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call	2 3 4 5 6 7	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an election on August 1st. I think that there are elections in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to join us there along with Gina and and Avery.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an election on August 1st. I think that there are elections in I want to say Yavapai County and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to join us there along with Gina and and Avery. Gina has, as mentioned in the report, worked with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an election on August 1st. I think that there are elections in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to join us there along with Gina and and Avery.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an election on August 1st. I think that there are elections in I want to say Yavapai County and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to join us there along with Gina and and Avery. Gina has, as mentioned in the report, worked with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an election on August 1st. I think that there are elections in I want to say Yavapai County and MR. SHAFFER: Prescott and Tucson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to join us there along with Gina and and Avery. Gina has, as mentioned in the report, worked with the King County Elections Department, which is Seattle, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an election on August 1st. I think that there are elections in I want to say Yavapai County and MR. SHAFFER: Prescott and Tucson. MR. COLLINS: Prescott and Tucson; and the voter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to join us there along with Gina and and Avery. Gina has, as mentioned in the report, worked with the King County Elections Department, which is Seattle, on their voter education and outreach activities, and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the minutes as written. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second the motion. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla. We have a motion to approve the minutes. I'll call roll. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chairman Kimble, I vote aye. Item III, discussion and possible action on Executive Director's report. Tom? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, thank you all for being here; and to our audience, thank you for being here. Just really briefly I wanted to mention there is an election on August 1st. I think that there are elections in I want to say Yavapai County and MR. SHAFFER: Prescott and Tucson; and the voter registration deadline is July 3rd.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Governor signed the fiscal state fiscal 2024 state budget in May. The legislature then recessed, came back, and will come back again on July 31st. One thing to bear in mind that although, you know, the Clean Elections fund is appropriated by the voters, the budget is the JLBC reported I believe yesterday or the day before that the revenues are significantly down based on the essentially based on the reduction in tax rates that took effect sometime I think it was late last year or at the first of the year. So that will kind of something to keep an eye on. I think that I wanted to really quickly mention, I know I think what we've seen, if you look through the voter education outreach activities we've done this past month in June, and really we've done quite a bit. We've been up and down the state and and met with a variety of different people from all kinds of walks of life. We had the opportunity to sponsor the African American Conference on Disabilities last week and Commissioner Meyer was able to join us there along with Gina and and Avery. Gina has, as mentioned in the report, worked with the King County Elections Department, which is Seattle, on their voter education and outreach activities, and they and they've incorporated those recommendations directly into

	6		7
1		1	is here if for some reason we want to we want to I
2	their report back to their election to the I believe to	2	is here if for some reason we want to we want to I
3	the Board of Supervisors or the to the I don't know	3	don't know, probably not really the time to really go into
	what they call it in King County, but into their	4	depth about it, but nevertheless. It it is an appealable
4	recommendations, which was a good thing to see.	4 5	order and, you know, so there's probably a reasonable
5	We do have in the report and attached the biannual		expectation that there might be an appeal, but I do think
6	adjustments for the Clean Elections candidates. Those	6 7	that that's an important thing to note.
7	those there is an increase there from from prior		So those those I believe were the main points I
8	years. The legislature you know, for example, the	8 9	wanted to make sure we hit in the Executive Director's
	legislative candidates is now going to be closer to \$50,000.	9 10	report.
10 11	The a little bit more than that, plus their seed money.	11	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom.
12	I think there were 46 I want to say, something like	12	Are there any questions or comments from members of
12	that, in 2022.	12	the Commission?
	In 2022 these numbers took a big hit from we assume	14	(No audible response.)
14 15	COVID-related the a COVID-related lack of filing of	14	Hearing none we'll move on to Item IV, discussion
16	income tax returns as part of the formula, so they so	16	and possible action on the debates workgroup.
	this has sort of hopefully started to catch them up to where		The Commission's debate workgroup met throughout
17	they ought to be under accounting for inflation;	17 18	the spring covering all areas of the Commission's debate
18 19	obviously inflation is, you know, a moving target.	10	program, from legislative debate formats to hosting a
20	I I guess the other thing I really need to	20	lieutenant governor's debate in 2026. The group included
	mention, and we just got the order this morning, is that		leaders from political parties, former elected officials and
21 22	the the Maricopa County Superior Court dismissed with	21	candidates, community advocates, and experts in elections
22	leave to amend the Goldwater Institute's lawsuit challenging	22 23	and campaigns.
23	the Arizona the Voters' Right to Know Act. We had had that oral argument on May 12th. Like I	23	As I told the members at our last meeting, I was very grateful for their willingness to come together and
25	said, I haven't had a chance to really review it. Mary is	25	help make the debate process a better experience for both
20	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	20	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	8		9
	0		
1	voters and for candidates. It was a privilege to hear from	1	-
1	voters and for candidates. It was a privilege to hear from them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am	1	information that explains what the current process was for
2	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am	1 2 3	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we
	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts	2	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and
2 3	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am	2 3	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we
2 3 4	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking.	2 3 4	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay,
2 3 4 5	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the	2 3 4 5	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look
2 3 4 5 6	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program	2 3 4 5 6	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective?
2 3 4 5 6 7	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to	2 3 4 5 6 7	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll have the report also posted to our debates Web page after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is essentially the standards for a successful debate. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll have the report also posted to our debates Web page after the fact as well, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is essentially the standards for a successful debate. This is the very first meeting that the workgroup had to sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll have the report also posted to our debates Web page after the fact as well, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is essentially the standards for a successful debate. This is the very first meeting that the workgroup had to sort of kick off the idea of what truly makes a successful debate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll have the report also posted to our debates Web page after the fact as well, too. So first, when we go through the structure of the report, it's organized by there is a letter to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is essentially the standards for a successful debate. This is the very first meeting that the workgroup had to sort of kick off the idea of what truly makes a successful debate from both the voter perspective but also the candidate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll have the report also posted to our debates Web page after the fact as well, too. So first, when we go through the structure of the report, it's organized by there is a letter to the Commission from the debate workgroup members; and then when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is essentially the standards for a successful debate. This is the very first meeting that the workgroup had to sort of kick off the idea of what truly makes a successful debate from both the voter perspective but also the candidate perspective.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll have the report also posted to our debates Web page after the fact as well, too. So first, when we go through the structure of the report, it's organized by there is a letter to the Commission from the debate workgroup members; and then when we go through each each section, you'll see background	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is essentially the standards for a successful debate. This is the very first meeting that the workgroup had to sort of kick off the idea of what truly makes a successful debate from both the voter perspective but also the candidate perspective. And ideally what the workgroup brought together in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	them and the expertise they brought to the table. I am extremely grateful for their time and for all the efforts that they've put into this undertaking. I think that as a matter of public discourse the workgroup confirmed that the Clean Elections debate program really matters. It matters for bringing information to voters and it matters for ensuring candidates engage with ideas with each other and with the public. In a polarized time, a program like this brings people out of their corners and offers an opportunity for the community to share in the decision-making that makes our democracy work. With that, I wanted to ask Gina to review the report that is in our materials. Gina? MS. ROBERTS: Good morning, Commissioners. I will go through the report, explain its structure, and highlight a few of the key points. The report is available in the Commission meeting packet that was posted online, and we'll have the report also posted to our debates Web page after the fact as well, too. So first, when we go through the structure of the report, it's organized by there is a letter to the Commission from the debate workgroup members; and then when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	information that explains what the current process was for the Commission in regards to each section item; and then we get into the actual recommendations of the workgroup; and then we have a brief staff analysis that talks about, okay, can we implement these recommendations, what would that look like from an Agency perspective? So first we'll go through the the letter. Essentially, again, this was this is directly from the workgroup members to the Commissioners introducing the report and talking about how often they came together. So I won't go through that. I won't read it and go through it in too much detail. But, essentially, the debate workgroup members are presenting the report to the Commission for for review of their recommendations and to ideally accept the report; and they do thank the Commission for convening the workgroup together and facilitating a transparent and inclusive approach to including Arizona's official debates. So we'll jump into the first section, which is essentially the standards for a successful debate. This is the very first meeting that the workgroup had to sort of kick off the idea of what truly makes a successful debate from both the voter perspective but also the candidate perspective.

	10		11
1	these bullet points of recommendations are it is very	1	voters know how to contact the candidates directly if they
2	important about awareness, that the voter has an	2	want more information.
3	understanding of when the debates are going to be occurring,	3	On the candidate perspective you'll see a lot of
4	why they should tune in. And so, overall, the key thing	4	those key things there, too, how important it is for the
5	there is advertising and making sure that voters are aware	5	moderator; but also it's very imperative that the Commission
6	the debates are occurring, the schedule, and how they can	6	has information for the candidate to understand the reach,
7	tune in.	7	the reach of why that candidate should give up their
8	We'll also see a key theme here about the	8	precious time during the campaign cycle to actually dedicate
9	moderators. So it's important both from the moderator or	9	their time to come in and attend the debate so they have a
10	for the voter perspective, but also for the candidate	10	good idea of how of they can reach the voters in their
11	perspective, about how important it is to have a moderator	11	community.
12		12	
	who understands the issues, especially if we're talking		We should have information for the topics in
13	about our legislative districts, they understand what's	13	advance so that the candidates can have buy-in because the
14	happening within those specific districts; and that they	14	thought is, yes, these debates are for voters, but it's also
15	have the skill sets to be able to facilitate the discussion,	15	the opportunity to connect the voters and the candidates
16	to control the length, and control the tenor of the debate.	16	directly. So the candidates need to have buy-in on that,
17	So, advertising and moderators were a key thing	17	too, and it's their opportunity to communicate to the voters
18	here.	18	where they stand on their platforms. So the candidates
19	And then we get in a little bit more to making sure	19	should be able to have buy-in on the topics in advan
20	that the information from the debate itself is accessible	20	that will be discussed in the debate in advance.
21	and digestible. So there is suggestions here such as how we	21	There's a few other notes here, such as making sure
22	can take the debate, split it up into chunks, you know,	22	that we have very clear guidelines available to the
23	create it so it's organized by issue, all in the effort of	23	candidates for what the rules and the format and the run of
24	making sure that it is easily accessible to the voter; and	24	show will be at the debate; and, again, we'll talk a little
25	also making sure that we have information there so that the	25	bit more about that, too, in some other sections for
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	12		13
1	recommendations.	1	So we would have all of these candidates together
2	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis	2	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who
2 3	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to	2 3	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be
2 3 4	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound	2 3 4	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another.
2 3 4 5	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has	2 3 4 5	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came
2 3 4 5 6	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not	2 3 4 5 6	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them
2 3 4 5 6 7	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input	2 3 4 5 6 7	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited and would be able to participate in the debate. So what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know, okay, when they first tune in and they only see these two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited and would be able to participate in the debate. So what that means is that we could have a single debate for, let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know, okay, when they first tune in and they only see these two candidates, we're going to have more candidates forthcoming.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited and would be able to participate in the debate. So what that means is that we could have a single debate for, let's say Legislative District 1, and we would have candidates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know, okay, when they first tune in and they only see these two candidates, we're going to have more candidates forthcoming. That's especially important for Independent voters who may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited and would be able to participate in the debate. So what that means is that we could have a single debate for, let's say Legislative District 1, and we would have candidates from both the Senate and the House and from all of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know, okay, when they first tune in and they only see these two candidates, we're going to have more candidates forthcoming. That's especially important for Independent voters who may be viewing this debate and ultimately still need to decide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited and would be able to participate in the debate. So what that means is that we could have a single debate for, let's say Legislative District 1, and we would have candidates from both the Senate and the House and from all of the political parties. So it would be Republicans and Democrats	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know, okay, when they first tune in and they only see these two candidates, we're going to have more candidates forthcoming. That's especially important for Independent voters who may be viewing this debate and ultimately still need to decide what party ticket they want to vote on in a primary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited and would be able to participate in the debate. So what that means is that we could have a single debate for, let's say Legislative District 1, and we would have candidates from both the Senate and the House and from all of the political parties. So it would be Republicans and Democrats and perhaps if there were Libertarian candidates.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know, okay, when they first tune in and they only see these two candidates, we're going to have more candidates forthcoming. That's especially important for Independent voters who may be viewing this debate and ultimately still need to decide what party ticket they want to vote on in a primary. So that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recommendations. So ultimately the Commission and the staff analysis for this section believes that these recommendations are to some extent already done, they're very feasible to expound upon to meet the recommendations that the workgroup has provided; and then for the recommendations that are not currently in practice, such as getting the candidate input in advance on the topics, we can certainly do that. So ultimately for this section staff feels that we can easily implement it. I'll jump next to the format. This is probably the section that has the most significant change to the debate process. So a little bit of background information. Historically how the Commission hosts our legislative debates so that's important here, we're talking legislative they would be held by district, and within that every candidate regardless of Chamber or political party, every candidate within that district would be invited and would be able to participate in the debate. So what that means is that we could have a single debate for, let's say Legislative District 1, and we would have candidates from both the Senate and the House and from all of the political parties. So it would be Republicans and Democrats	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So we would have all of these candidates together in a single debate and that would mean that candidates who perhaps are not true opponents of one another would still be able to engage one another. So a key recommendation of this section that came out of the debate workgroup is that we should structure them by party and by Chamber. So that would allow for the true candidate opponents to engage one another directly. For example, we would still have a single night where we would have Legislative District 1 debate, but within that time period we would organize the run of show so that the candidates for State Senate, the two candidates that are running for State Senate for Republican primary, would only debate one another. So that's how they would be organized. To do this it would just require a little bit more planning from staff. We could definitely accomplish that recommendation, it would just require more planning but also more communication to the public about what the run of show would be for the debates. So that way the voters know, okay, when they first tune in and they only see these two candidates, we're going to have more candidates forthcoming. That's especially important for Independent voters who may be viewing this debate and ultimately still need to decide what party ticket they want to vote on in a primary.

	14		15
1	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman and Gina, could I	1	candidate on something they said during the debate. So,
2	just ask a quick question? This is this is Amy Chan.	2	again, since they're not true opponents, this new
3	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Yes. Commissioner Chan.	3	recommendation, by splitting them up within that event,
4		4	
5	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I apologize for interrupting.	5	would only allow for the true candidates to engage one
	So I feel very ignorant right now 'cause I have	6	another.
6	watched the debates and enjoyed the debates and I, frankly,	-	So, yes, in the past we have not split them up by
7	don't have a recollection that we have not separated them by	7	party and by Chamber, it was literally just one event per
8	party and Chamber before. So what I'm understanding from	8	district with any candidate who was within that district
9	you is that in the past we would just have the House and	9	whose name was on the ballot would be invited to attend.
10	Senate candidates for LD1, for example, by party I assume	10	So we would do a little bit more structuring to
11	participate in the debate? Or how did that work, can you	11	allow for this recommendation.
12	reiterate that for me?	12	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.
13	Because to me the recommendation makes perfect	13	MS. ROBERTS: Yes.
14	sense and I I thought that's what we were doing.	14	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.
15	MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, the	15	Gina?
16	recommendation from the debate workgroup is not what we have	16	MS. ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
17	been doing, we have been having them all together, however	17	Commissioners.
18	we would work with our moderator to ensure that the	18	That is essentially the largest the most
19	moderator would control the flow of the debate to allow for	19	significant change that would impact our debate process; and
20	the true opponents to engage one another, but there were	20	ultimately, again, it just requires more planning on the
21	still other candidates there who were not technically in the	21	staff side which we can definitely do, but also more
22	same primary; but because they were all in the same	22	communication to the voters who understand how this debate
23	legislative district they were still there.	23	flows, when they will see the candidates, and who is the
24	So, for example, we could have a Senate Republican	24	true opponents for one another. So when we get to the staff
25	candidate who could have questioned a House Democratic	25	analysis we'll see that we can absolutely implement this
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	16		17
1	process but, again, it's just going to require more	1	when can you interrupt? When can somebody interrupt you?
2	communication on the on the run of show.	2	How much time do you have?
3	So I'm happy to jump into the next section which	3	And speaking of time, the recommendation is that we
4	are the rules of debate.	4	should have time limits for the candidates and the
5	A little bit of background, the way we would host	5	candidates need to be aware of those time limits; they
6	our debates in the past, the rules, the run of show, too, we	6	should be enforced by the moderator but not in a strict
7	would start off with the moderator opening the debate, we	7	manner. So the moderator will still have some discretion
8	would allow for one minute for opening and closing	8	here on when to perhaps allow a candidate to finish their
9	statements for the candidates, one to two minutes for	9	thought or when to (technical disruption.)
10	responses to voter questions, and we did permit rebuttals.	10	All right, I apologize for that brief interruption.
11	Rebuttals and interruptions were allowed. We did allow the	11	But going on for the key points and recommendations for the
12	moderator to limit time responses for for time management	12	rules, ultimately the recommendations are we need to be
13	purposes.	13	clear about what those rules are for the candidates and also
14	And the moderator, again, would ultimately try to	14	for the voters so they understand what to expect; and,
15	explain to the public who the true opponents were, and we	15	again, when we get down to the topics, making sure that we
16	would go in a certain order, alpha order by last name by	16	supply to both the candidates and the voters the topics that
17	Senate and then House in terms of the opening statements and	17	will be discussed during the debate in advance, but not the
18	who would speak first; and then the moderator would close	18	actual question; and, again, you'll see a lot of
19	the debate.	19	recommendations here that really rely on the moderator to be
20	So it was a very I don't know if I would say	20	able to control the discussion, control interruptions, and
21	informal, but a very structure that would allow for	21	come back to candidates for responses or rebuttals.
22	discussion. And when we get into the key points and	22	So ultimately the staff analysis here in this
23	recommendations, again this goes back to the theme that we	23	section is that many of these recommendations are currently
24	saw earlier is that we should have very clear rules to the	24	in place, we can just tighten them up, strengthen them up a
25	candidates of what about what they should expect. So	25	little bit more; and they ultimately prove to be a
23			
20	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

-			
	18		19
1	significant change, the most significant change would be	1	debate, we need to have somebody in here who has the
2	providing the candidates those topics in advance.	2	necessary skill sets to serve as a moderator for the Clean
3	(Technical disruption.)	3	Elections debates.
4	MR. COLLINS: So just for those of you who are	4	So background information. In 2018 the Commission
5	viewing our meeting online, we record the meetings	5	began transitioning from using professional speakers to
6	because because it's helpful to maintain our our	6	utilizing local journalists to serve as the moderators. We
7	records for all kinds of reasons. So we're having a little	7	felt that this transition allowed for having moderators who
8	technical difficulty. So if you hear that, that's what it	8	had a better understanding of the issues that were facing
9	is.	9	the capitol, facing the legislature, the legislative
10	MS. ROBERTS: Okay. So we're good, right?	10	districts in the state, and with this knowledge that then
11	MS. HERRING: Yes, we're still live but it's just	11	permits the moderators to better facilitate a more
12	the recording.	12	substantive discussion.
13	MS. ROBERTS: Perfect.	13	So in the 2020 election cycle we partnered with
14	Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, apologies for that.	14	journalists from the Arizona Agenda, the Arizona Capitol
15	So we paused while we got the recording back up.	15	Times, Green Valley News, Sahaurita Sun for our legislative
16	So ultimately for the rules, the staff analysis is	16	debates. At the statewide level our broadcast moderators
17	that all of the recommendations that the debate workgroup	17	included Ted Simmons, Richard Ruelas, Stacey Barchenger, and
18	members recommended we can absolutely implement those, and	18	Mike Broomhead. So all of these moderators had experience
19	as I mentioned they are ultimately strengthening and and	19	in both broadcast and legisla or, excuse me, and
20	tightening up some of the procedures that we already have in	20	information on the debates that they were moderating and had
21	place.	21	that that knowledge that a journalist would have in their
22	The next section will be moderators. This is I	22	coverage of the state.
23	think a very important section. The debate workgroup had a	23	So the key points in the recommendations that came
24	lot of discussion about moderators and the consens	24	from the debate workgroup were once again this key theme,
25	consensus was that the moderator really makes or breaks the	25	the moderator should have familiarity with the issues that
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	20		21
	20		21
1	are impacting that specific jurisdiction and the offices for	1	are aware and that we start the moderator recruitment
1	are impacting that specific jurisdiction and the offices for	1	are aware and that we start the moderator recruitment
2	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the	2	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down
2 3	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to	2 3	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and
2 3 4	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we	2 3 4	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive
2 3 4 5	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is	2 3 4 5	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion.
2 3 4 5 6	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona	2 3 4 5 6	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can
2 3 4 5 6 7	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association,	2 3 4 5 6 7	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis- excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis- excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis- excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis- excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in terms of working with our journalists. For example,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel, and here's how you can submit your questions to us either in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in terms of working with our journalists. For example, especially at the broadcast level, we may have challenges	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel, and here's how you can submit your questions to us either in advance or during the debate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in terms of working with our journalists. For example, especially at the broadcast level, we may have challenges where, you know, depending on the station that the potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel, and here's how you can submit your questions to us either in advance or during the debate. And the key points and recommendations that came
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in terms of working with our journalists. For example, especially at the broadcast level, we may have challenges where, you know, depending on the station that the potential jour moderator, the journalist, is a talent of, they may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel, and here's how you can submit your questions to us either in advance or during the debate. And the key points and recommendations that came from the workgroup. Again, we'll see this common thing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in terms of working with our journalists. For example, especially at the broadcast level, we may have challenges where, you know, depending on the station that the potential jour moderator, the journalist, is a talent of, they may not necessarily be able to be able to be on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel, and here's how you can submit your questions to us either in advance or during the debate. And the key points and recommendations that came from the workgroup. Again, we'll see this common thing that it is very that advertising is very important to connect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in terms of working with our journalists. For example, especially at the broadcast level, we may have challenges where, you know, depending on the station that the potential jour moderator, the journalist, is a talent of, they may not necessarily be able to be able to be on a competitor's station. So we just have to make sure that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel, and here's how you can submit your questions to us either in advance or during the debate. And the key points and recommendations that came from the workgroup. Again, we'll see this common thing that it is very that advertising is very important to connect to the voters; that our debate should be accessible in terms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the debates; the debate workgroup suggested that the moderators also have an appeal to the youth population to further engage our youth in the debate process; and that we should ultimately create a moderator pool, which I think is a fantastic idea, where we could work with the Arizona Newspaper Association, the Arizona Broadcasters Association, and create this pool of local journalists who are willing to serve as moderators, and that way we could have this talent available and dispatch them to the regions that they cover. So, for example, we could have this pool of moderators where we know we need a moderator for the legis excuse me, Legislative District 2 debate, and so we would be able to ideally have somebody who has experiencing experience in covering that district and understands the the issues that are impacting that particular district. So having creating this pool of moderators is is a recommendation from the group. And, you know, there are a few things that the Commission needs to be aware of in terms of working with our journalists. For example, especially at the broadcast level, we may have challenges where, you know, depending on the station that the potential jour moderator, the journalist, is a talent of, they may not necessarily be able to be able to be on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	process as early as possible; but ultimately it comes down to the moderator should have the knowledge of the issues and the skill sets to be able to facilitate a substantive discussion. In terms of the staff analysis, we feel that we can absolutely do this and this would ultimately lead to an improved process for working with our moderators and getting them on board as soon as possible, and ultimately would strengthen and expand the practices that we currently have in place. Our next section is voter education and outreach. So how are we communicating with voters that the debate process is occurring and how to tune in and how to submit questions. In the past the Commission has done a voter education outreach plan specifically to our debate process and that includes letting voters know, okay, you can tune in at this time using this link or tuning into this channel, and here's how you can submit your questions to us either in advance or during the debate. And the key points and recommendations that came from the workgroup. Again, we'll see this common thing that it is very that advertising is very important to connect

	22		23
1	of we should automatically have alternative formats	1	And ultimately we see here that we should utilize
2	available and American Sign Language interpreters for the	2	as many tactics as possible within our toolkit. This
3	community; we should create toolkits for candidates to use	3	includes utilizing radio to let voters know about the
4	so that they can promote the debates directly to the voters	4	debate, but also even airing the debates if possible in our
5	they're already in communication with, to their	5	outlying and rural districts. So, for example, we have KTNN
6	constituents; we should continue promoting the debates after	6	which services the Navajo Nation community and services
7	they end all the way through election day, so voters know	7	Coconino, Apache, and Navajo Counties. Perhaps airing that
8	how to access the debates whenever they are filling out	8	district's debate on KTNN so that folks can can have
9	their ballot; we should localize the advertising for it.	9	access to that debate as well.
10	So what I mean by that is not necessarily a blanket	10	The staff analysis for these recommendations is
11	statewide "here is the debate schedule," but, hey, you know,	11	ultimately we feel that these are best practices and further
12	in Pima County here's the debate schedule for all of the	12	strengthen what we are currently doing, so we do feel that
13	legislative districts that are in Pima County, here's how	13	we can meet these recommendations.
14	you can tune in; or Legislative District 4, here is the	14	Jumping ahead, we have our gubernatorial and
15	debate schedule specifically for you. So really tailoring	15	lieutenant governor debates. Background information, at the
16	and customizing that advertising for each individual debate.	16	November general election this past year, Arizona voters
17	We also have information here on the workgroup	17	approved Prop 131 which ultimately created a lieutenant
18	recommends that we should also include information on the	18	governor position to the executive branch.
19	rules and responsibilities on the office that is going to be	19	So the debate workgroup discussion on should we, in
20	a part of the debate. So, for example, if we are having a	20	fact, host a debate for this particular office as well, even
21	statewide debate about Corporation Commission, we should	21	though the lieutenant governor is running on a joint ticket
22	include information for voters in our voter education and	22	with governor with the governor.
23	outreach about what the what a Corporation Commissioner	23	The recommendation ultimately was yes as it
24	actually does, in the hopes this will establish a connection	24	continues to provide awareness of the office, but also
25	with the voters in that particular election.	25	connects the voters and the candidates directly and allows
20	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	20	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	24		25
1	for more of that voter education. So when we get into the	1	ultimately ensure we are reaching as many Arizonans as we
2	staff analysis, we can absolutely incorporate this office	2	can through the debate process.
3	into our debate lineup; and this will, again, allow voters	3	We should consider repeating and improving the
4	to become familiar with this new position, but also allow	4	model that we utilized in this past 2022 election cycle, and
5	them the same opportunity to learn about that candidate as	5	we should again, we will hear another common theme here
6	they would any other candidate.	6	is our moderators. We should ensure Clean Elections
7	Then we'll jump into broadcast opportunities and	7	should ensure a strong, independent moderator has been
8	potential partnerships.	8	selected. This will facilitate our potential broadcast
9	In the 2022 debate cycle we had the privilege of	9	partners' willingness to to stream the debates.
10	partnering with the Arizona Broadcasters Association and the	10	As I mentioned before, you know, we may have some
11		11	
	Arizona Newspapers Association to offer the U.S. Senate		issues with journalists who are on TV being able to appear
12	Arizona Newspapers Association to offer the U.S. Senate debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work	12	issues with journalists who are on TV being able to appear on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean
12 13			
	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work	12	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean
13	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it,	12 13	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan,
13 14	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to	12 13 14	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media
13 14 15	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do	12 13 14 15	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate.
13 14 15 16	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state	12 13 14 15 16	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.)
13 14 15 16 17	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the	12 13 14 15 16 17	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the
13 14 15 16 17 18	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the reach that the Commission had with this U.S. Senate debate,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the recording again. I apologize for that.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the reach that the Commission had with this U.S. Senate debate, it was very successful.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the recording again. I apologize for that. MS. KARLSON: Commissioner I'm sorry,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the reach that the Commission had with this U.S. Senate debate, it was very successful. The key points and recommendations from the debate	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the recording again. I apologize for that. MS. KARLSON: Commissioner I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I would just like to add that if the recording
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the reach that the Commission had with this U.S. Senate debate, it was very successful. The key points and recommendations from the debate workgroup are it is very critical to continue our	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the recording again. I apologize for that. MS. KARLSON: Commissioner I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I would just like to add that if the recording stops for a prolonged period of time we can continue the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the reach that the Commission had with this U.S. Senate debate, it was very successful. The key points and recommendations from the debate workgroup are it is very critical to continue our partnerships with media outlets to connect with voters so	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the recording again. I apologize for that. MS. KARLSON: Commissioner I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I would just like to add that if the recording stops for a prolonged period of time we can continue the meeting and still be in compliance with open meeting law.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the reach that the Commission had with this U.S. Senate debate, it was very successful. The key points and recommendations from the debate workgroup are it is very critical to continue our partnerships with media outlets to connect with voters so they have access to the debates. We should continue our	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the recording again. I apologize for that. MS. KARLSON: Commissioner I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I would just like to add that if the recording stops for a prolonged period of time we can continue the meeting and still be in compliance with open meeting law. So just for the record.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	debate to its members. So what this meant is we would work with our partner in the production of the debate and air it, but all any member of the ABA and the ANA that wanted to pick up the broadcast or the stream of this was able to do so. What that means is that more voters across the state had the opportunity to tune in. So it really expanded the reach that the Commission had with this U.S. Senate debate, it was very successful. The key points and recommendations from the debate workgroup are it is very critical to continue our partnerships with media outlets to connect with voters so they have access to the debates. We should continue our partnerships with the ABA and the ANA along with local,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on a debate in a competitor's broadcast, but if Clean Elections ensures that we have that unbiased, nonpartisan, independent moderator, this will allow for more media partners to be able to stream the debate. (Technical disruption.) Pause for one moment while we are picking up the recording again. I apologize for that. MS. KARLSON: Commissioner I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I would just like to add that if the recording stops for a prolonged period of time we can continue the meeting and still be in compliance with open meeting law. So just for the record. MS. HERRING: And the stream is continuing on

	26		27
1	MS. ROBERTS: So I can keep going?	1	Ultimately the staff analysis here is that all of
2	MS. HERRING: Yes, you can.	2	these recommendations will continue to reinforce existing
3	MS. KARLSON: Right.	3	practices and strengthen and serve strengthen and expand
4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you for clarifying	4	those practices, and the ability to partner with ABA and ANA
5	that.	5	as well as all of our other media partners across the state,
6	MS. KARLSON: But we can pause for however long you	6	it will ultimately provide a benefit to voters by expanding
7	want.	7	the reach so we can sure that every Arizonan from all four
8	MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, would you like me to	8	corners of the state have access to the debates.
9	continue? Thank you.	9	That is the last section that we covered with the
10	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Let's continue, yes.	10	debate workgroup, so we have a staff conclusion here.
11	MS. ROBERTS: All right. And during during this	11	Ultimately, all of the recommendations that the
12	process, we the debate workgroup did have the privilege	12	debate workgroup provided, staff does believe we can
13	of hearing from the Executive Director from the Commission	13	
14	5		implement these. That, again, many of these highlight some
	on Presidential Debates where they offer their best	14	of the existing practices that the Commission already does
15	practices, including how to work with broadcast partners,	15	and serve to strengthen, expand, and also tighten up some of
16	media partners, and how they selected moderators.	16	those processes. So they oftentimes reinforce what we have
17	And so the the debate workgroup did recommend	17	been doing but showcase how important they are so we can
18	that we should follow the processes used by the Commission	18	continue to improve on those those processes, knowing how
19	on Presidential Debates to offer any media entity that wants	19	critical they are to the debate cycle for our voters.
20	to pick up the debate the ability to do so; and then also	20	Where some of the recommendations have more of a
21	have the ability to create that moderator pool and to	21	substantive change to our process, such as the legislative
22	request our media partners can request to host or produce	22	district structuring by party and by Chamber, again, we can
23	the debate and provide a moderator. So we will be working	23	absolutely do those; and, ultimately, at the end of the day,
24	closely with our or we can continue to work closely with	24	these recommendations serve to provide a better debate
25	our media partners.	25	experience for both the candidates and the voters and we do
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	28		29
1	feel we can implement these.	1	MS. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman and Commissioner Chan,
2	So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions	2	yes. Avery and I were the primary staffers but, of course,
3	about the workgroup. Staff does recommend that the	3	all of our staff supported this as well.
4	Commission accept the report.	4	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I am very excited see the work
5	And I know we do have some of our debate workgroup	5	that the debate workgroup did. I think it's going to
6	members either online in Zoom or here in person, and perhaps	6	reinvigorate our program and I was already very happy with
7	they would like to speak.	7	the program, frankly. And so I'm just you know,
8	But with that, I can answer any questions.	8	sometimes things happen and you get really good things out
9	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Gina. And let me just	9	of it. So I just want to acknowledge that and thank
10	say thank you very much for your invaluable assistance	10	everyone.
11	during this whole process, it wouldn't have come together as	11	I see at least one member of the workgroup, public
12	smoothly without the work of you and Avery in in	12	member, is here today, Christine Jones and I don't know
13	explaining what we do now and making sure that the	13	if I'm neglecting anyone else who might have sat on the
14	recommendations are feasible for future elections.	14	workgroup, but just thank you for your service. I think
15	MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.	15	these ideas are brilliant. And, again, I I'm looking
16	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So thank you.	16	forward to seeing the debate program continue and just be as
17	Are there any questions or comments from	17	strong as ever probably stronger than ever.
18	Commissioners?	18	So, thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman?	19	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.
20	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.	20	Any other comments from members of the Commission?
21	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I just want to say thank you to	21	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Yeah, this is Commissioner
22	you and to Gina and the other staff who worked on this; I	22	Titla. I just want to thank Gina and the group for a job
23	think Avery and I don't know, I think they're listed	23	well done and I just want to ask them to keep up the good
24	here. So was it you and Gina you and Avery, Gina, who	24	work.
25	staffed this for the debate	25	Thank you.
1	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
1	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

	30		31
1	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla.	1	meaning the legislative level, as well as the state level.
2	Are any of the do any of the Commission of	2	And you have to it's not a it's not a
3	the members of the debate group either here in person or	3	there's a real interesting aspect here, is there are
4	online wish to make any brief comments about this?	4	differences in all 30 legislative districts. So when we
5	MEMBER ROBSON: Would you like to hear from us?	5	brought up the radio and potentially promoting it and
6	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We're happy to hear from you,	6	getting it out that way, you have to realize people on the
7	Mr. Robson.	7	reservation, many of them don't have televisions, don't have
8	Could you state your name for the record, please?	8	cable, don't have access to a lot of things. I mean, I
9	MEMBER ROBSON: Mr. Chairman, members, my name is	9	guess we're trying to bring that there eventually.
10	Bob Robson. And I don't know, you need my address or	10	But radio is their only their only thing. And a
11	whatever? This is all new.	11	lot of these agriculture communities, the farmers I used to
12	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No.	12	remember just driving down the road, they'd listen to the
13	MEMBER ROBSON: Depends on what group you go	13	radio. So getting the radio component in play is no small
14	before, right?	14	thing and it brings voters together.
15	Just, yeah, just to reiterate reiterate some of	15	The other I think to a great degree, is I think
16	the points that were made, and I think that the you know,	16	they the change in the mention of how you advertise and
17	the staff did a did a wonderful job. I can't forget, the	17	how you bring this potentially forward, you can see that
18	Executive Director was there, you were there. This was	18	I don't want to use the words "antiquated," what's currently
19	this was an interesting group that did this overall project	19	happened, but it didn't really it hasn't really moved
20	and, you know, it's been really significant if you think	20	over the years, and we recognized as a committee that there
21	about it because it was a cross-section of ideology that	21	are a whole mess of other avenues available to advertise.
22	really came together for a very a very common and	22	And so whether you send sending me a text message in my
23	appropriate cause, so to speak, of trying to, I guess,	23	community on that day or the week before that there's a
24	update more so, just update the the overall aspect of how	24	debate that's going to occur of importance that I may want
25	Clean Elections manages debates at both the local level,	25	to tune in to along with a link to get there, these are
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	32		22
			33
1	all these are all things that are available to you and	1	debate.
1 2		1 2	
	all these are all things that are available to you and		debate.
2	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently.	2	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think
2 3	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden	2 3	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate
2 3 4	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically	2 3 4	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it.
2 3 4 5	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the	2 3 4 5	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments.
2 3 4 5 6	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing.	2 3 4 5 6	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you
2 3 4 5 6 7	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's	2 3 4 5 6 7	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences and there's a lot of differences, it's just you can't just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff work on this, we cannot overemphasize how incredibly helpful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences and there's a lot of differences, it's just you can't just drive drive the same thing that goes on here, there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff work on this, we cannot overemphasize how incredibly helpful Gina, Avery, and their colleagues were.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences and there's a lot of differences, it's just you can't just drive drive the same thing that goes on here, there. That maybe you have the radio station host the debate, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff work on this, we cannot overemphasize how incredibly helpful Gina, Avery, and their colleagues were. The as a former candidate, the diversity of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences and there's a lot of differences, it's just you can't just drive drive the same thing that goes on here, there. That maybe you have the radio station host the debate, maybe you have maybe have the Chamber host the debate, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff work on this, we cannot overemphasize how incredibly helpful Gina, Avery, and their colleagues were. The as a former candidate, the diversity of the working group was super helpful, even from the perspective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences and there's a lot of differences, it's just you can't just drive drive the same thing that goes on here, there. That maybe you have the radio station host the debate, maybe have a joint group of Chamber and whatever host the debate, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff work on this, we cannot overemphasize how incredibly helpful Gina, Avery, and their colleagues were. The as a former candidate, the diversity of the working group was super helpful, even from the perspective of somebody who has done a whole lot of debates; and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences and there's a lot of differences, it's just you can't just drive drive the same thing that goes on here, there. That maybe you have the radio station host the debate, maybe have a joint group of Chamber and whatever host the debate, maybe have a joint group of Chamber and whatever host the debate, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff work on this, we cannot overemphasize how incredibly helpful Gina, Avery, and their colleagues were. The as a former candidate, the diversity of the working group was super helpful, even from the perspective of somebody who has done a whole lot of debates; and obviously we're advocating for the adoption of the report if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	all these are all things that are available to you and aren't necessarily being utilized currently. And so we I think as a committee wanted to broaden that respect and recognize that there are other basically other ways of doing things, and if you get stuck in the traditional set it can be a thing. The other thing you didn't mention and maybe it's in the report, I didn't get a chance to read it, is that we also said that debates in certain places you can't necessarily have the format that you're looking at. So if you're if you're in a if you're in a rural community, maybe the maybe the person hosting your debate could be the Chamber of Commerce. And gives you you should have some latitude in how you do that. You know, in Maricopa I think we mentioned, in Maricopa County there's a lot of things you can, you know, you can do because it's where it is; but when you start looking at other parts of the state and you realize that you realize this pretty quickly, that there's differences and there's a lot of differences, it's just you can't just drive drive the same thing that goes on here, there. That maybe you have the radio station host the debate, maybe have a joint group of Chamber and whatever host the debate, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	debate. So, yeah, this was a great process. I think everyone that was involved enjoyed it, and I appreciate being having the opportunity to do it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for your comments. And I just want to emphasize one thing you mentioned, there is a great diversity on this group: Geographical, political, professional background, every way you can look at it, and I think because of that we got some real out-of-the-box thinking; and we've been doing it this way for 20 years and like you, I'm really looking forward to to the next time and see how it all comes together. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And here's a copy of the report if you want it. Ms. Jones, did you want to say something? MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to echo Mr. Robson's comments. I don't know if we have other members of the working group on, but the staff work on this, we cannot overemphasize how incredibly helpful Gina, Avery, and their colleagues were. The as a former candidate, the diversity of the working group was super helpful, even from the perspective of somebody who has done a whole lot of debates; and

2	F

		1	27
	34		35
1	that hasn't been made clear, and for the amount of effort	1	Are there is there any other member of the
2	that your staff is putting into organizing, planning,	2	debate group, I don't think so, who is online?
3	scheduling, recruiting, just to make sure these events	3	Is do we have a motion from the Commission to
4	happen, the the significant thing I think that came out	4	accept the report from the debate working group?
5	of almost every single one of the working group meetings	5	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that
6	was: If you're going to put all the effort in, let's get	6	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.
7	people there.	7	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I would move that we accept the
8	So I think that's why you heard: Let's focus on	8	report from the working group.
9	moderators and let's focus on advertising. But just,	9	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And is there a second?
10	Mr. Chairman, to you and your colleagues on the Commission	10	COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second that motion.
11	and to your staff, our heartfelt thanks, because the the	11	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's been moved and seconded that
12	result as you see, this report, really would not have	12	we accept the report from the debate working group.
13	happened if it weren't for them; and it was just a pleasure	13	I'll call the roll.
14	to engage in this way.	14	Commissioner Chan.
15	And if we can be helpful, I think Mr. Robson would	15	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye.
16	would agree, we'd be happy to help with selecting	16	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.
17	moderators, planning, any of the things that would be	17	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.
18	helpful to make the report come to life and make these	18	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And I'm Chairman Kimble, and I
19	debates even more successful than they have been for the	19	vote aye.
20	last 20 years.	20	Thank you very much.
21	So thank you for the chance to address the	21	Before we move on, for the one debate commission
22	Commission.	22	members or debate group member who is here, we have a lovely
23	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.	23	parting gift.
24	And just to make it clear for the record, that was	24	For those of you who are unable to be here in
25	Christine Jones; and thank you for your comments.	25	person, we will make sure that you get it in the mail.
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	36		37
1	36 MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf.	1	37 the agenda for final approval. After that time we take
2		1 2	
	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf.		the agenda for final approval. After that time we take
2	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them.	2	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the
2 3	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take	2 3	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment.
2 3 4 5 6	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours	2 3 4 5 6	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes
2 3 4 5 6 7	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but	2 3 4 5 6 7	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make	2 3 4 5 6	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those. But the the main goal here is to simply get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the agenda for final approval. After that time we takewe will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment.</li> <li>Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman andand members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief.</li> <li>I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those.</li> <li>But the the main goal here is to simply get these out officially to the to the public.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the agenda for final approval. After that time we takewe will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment.</li> <li>Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman andand members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief.</li> <li>I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those.</li> <li>But the the main goal here is to simply get these out officially to the to the public.</li> <li>What we did is we tried to take the the rules</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of rules that staff has drafted to begin the rulemaking process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. <ul> <li>Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. <ul> <li>I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening</li> <li>public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not</li> <li>asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of</li> <li>them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions</li> <li>about those, that's important and you're welcome</li> <li>obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share</li> <li>those.</li> </ul> </li> <li>But the the main goal here is to simply get</li> <li>these out officially to the to the public.</li> <li>What we did is we tried to take the the rules</li> <li>that we thought were the most immediately necessary</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of rules that staff has drafted to begin the rulemaking process under the Voters' Right to Know Act. I'm not going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. <ul> <li>Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. <ul> <li>I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening</li> <li>public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not</li> <li>asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of</li> <li>them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions</li> <li>about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share</li> <li>these out officially to the to the public.</li> </ul> </li> <li>What we did is we tried to take the the rules</li> <li>that we thought were the most immediately necessary</li> <li>procedurally speaking. So, for example, you know, we we</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of rules that staff has drafted to begin the rulemaking process under the Voters' Right to Know Act. I'm not going to recite them all here. The public comment period is designed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the agenda for final approval. After that time we takewe will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment.</li> <li>Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules?</li> <li>MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman anda and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief.</li> <li>I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those.</li> <li>But the the main goal here is to simply get these out officially to the to the public.</li> <li>What we did is we tried to take the the rules that we thought were the most immediately necessary procedurally speaking. So, for example, you know, we we wanted to have to make clear what the definitions we're</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of rules that staff has drafted to begin the rulemaking process under the Voters' Right to Know Act. I'm not going to recite them all here. The public comment period is designed to solicit feedback from the public, the regulated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those. But the the main goal here is to simply get these out officially to the to the public. What we did is we tried to take the the rules that we thought were the most immediately necessary procedurally speaking. So, for example, you know, we we wanted to have to make clear what the definitions we're going to apply. Within the definition section we also have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of rules that staff has drafted to begin the rulemaking process under the Voters' Right to Know Act. I'm not going to recite them all here. The public comment period is designed to solicit feedback from the public, the regulated community, and other stakeholders. The public comment here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those. But the the main goal here is to simply get these out officially to the to the public. What we did is we tried to take the the rules that we thought were the most immediately necessary procedurally speaking. So, for example, you know, we we wanted to have to make clear what the definitions we're going to apply. Within the definition section we also have a couple of of rules of construction that were designed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to I tem V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of rules that staff has drafted to begin the rulemaking process under the Voters' Right to Know Act. I'm not going to recite them all here. The public, the regulated community, and other stakeholders. The public comment here will last no less than 60 days and rules will be placed on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those. But the the main goal here is to simply get these out officially to the to the public. What we did is we tried to take the the rules that we thought were the most immediately necessary procedurally speaking. So, for example, you know, we we wanted to have to make clear what the definitions we're going to apply. Within the definition section we also have a couple of of rules of construction that were designed to, you know, make clear if there was a question how a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MEMBER ROBSON: I'll accept it on their behalf. MR. COLLINS: There's like 20 of them. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So you want to come up and take yours MEMBER ROBSON: Not that I'll take them but CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Mr. Robson, I want to make sure I give you the right one. MEMBER ROBSON: Lovely parting gift, yeah. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you for all your help. MEMBER ROBSON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Let the record reflect that there was a golf clap. MEMBER ROBSON: It's the thought that counts, thank you. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: With that we'll move on to Item V, discussion and possible action regarding opening a public comment period on proposed rules related to the Voters' Right to Know Act, Proposition 2 211. As you can see, our agenda sets forth a number of rules that staff has drafted to begin the rulemaking process under the Voters' Right to Know Act. I'm not going to recite them all here. The public comment period is designed to solicit feedback from the public, the regulated community, and other stakeholders. The public comment here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the agenda for final approval. After that time we take we will take public comment up through and during the Commission's meeting to approve a rule. Actions we may take after a public comment period: Defer actions, make changes to the proposed rule, and seek further comment. Tom, do you want to give us a brief overview of the proposed rules? MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and and members of the Commission; and I'll try to be brief. I do want to just emphasize, this is just opening public comment on a set of rules that so so we're not asking you per se to weigh in on the on the merits of them as such. Although, obviously, if you have opinions about those, that's important and you're welcome obviously, you're the Commission and you're welcome to share those. But the the main goal here is to simply get these out officially to the to the public. What we did is we tried to take the the rules that we thought were the most immediately necessary procedurally speaking. So, for example, you know, we we wanted to have to make clear what the definitions we're going to apply. Within the definition section we also have a couple of of rules of construction that were designed

	38		39
1	couple of specific aspects of the of the Act work in at	1	under the Voters' Right to Know Act; but, again, we tried to
2	least the staff's view; you know, there is also a timeline	2	hew as much as we could to an existing framework that would
2		2	-
	rule a rule for how we will calculate time.	_	not be a surprise to anyone or totally or different
4	I think the other issues that are again, these	4	that different kind of what they're used to at least in
5	are mostly procedural. We we have a rule on opt-out	5	Arizona.
6	notices. Opt-out notices are an important part of the Act	6	We have some recommendations on <i>ex parte</i> rules.
7	because covered persons are obligated to provide an	7	Recordkeeping is another thing that the Act charges us with
8	opportunity to to donors to opt out of having their money	8	telling folks how we ought to keep their records under this,
9	used for campaign media spending.	9	so we do provide a rule there.
10	We also have a section on how and what the process	10	And then we set forth a process for the Commission
11	would be for those original sources that would who would	11	to issue advisory opinions. We have a similar process under
12	think their identities need to be protected either by	12	the Clean Elections Act called "no action process." This is
13	statute, court order, or by decision of the Commission. So	13	a more this this is much more and, in fact, the
14	we have a process outlined there especially for the third	14	text is almost entirely from how the Federal Election
15	one which is going to you know, will have some mechanics	15	Commission does advisory opinions.
16	to it.	16	I want to say briefly that this is the first set of
17	We the statute also calls upon the Commission to	17	rules that we are going to do, we do plan to at a minimum
18	set forth disclaimers for public communications, and so what	18	have additional rules on what our enforcement proceedings
19	we did there is we attempted as much as possible to use	19	will look like, and then obviously we'll continue to see if
20	language that reflects what is currently in law at 16-925 so	20	we hear from stakeholders, regulated folks, and the public
21	it would not be all that new or different from what in	21	on whether or not, you know, there are other areas in which
22	terms of the format and the like, from what is currently in	22	we've they want that there might be a need for a rule
23	law for political committees and and and other actors.	23	there.
24	This, you know, does adjust in some places where	24	You know, part of the goal here with things like
25	the context of 1625 (verbatim) just doesn't make any sense	25	the opt-out notice is is to provide a format that, you know,
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	40		41
1	where we can where something that might get decided in	1	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just
2	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement	2	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you
2 3	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the	2 3	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect
2 3 4	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question	2 3 4	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed,
2 3 4 5	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question	2 3 4 5	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.
2 3 4 5 6	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there	2 3 4 5 6	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules? Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules? Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera. Do you want to say something?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules? Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera. Do you want to say something? MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point</li> </ul> </li> <li>make a comment about the draft rules? <ul> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so</li> <li>much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules? Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera. Do you want to say something? MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point</li> </ul> </li> <li>make a comment about the draft rules? <ul> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so</li> <li>much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point</li> </ul> </li> <li>make a comment about the draft rules? <ul> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so</li> <li>much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes. MR. COLLINS: Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point</li> </ul> </li> <li>make a comment about the draft rules? <ul> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so</li> <li>much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early</li> <li>consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft rules are a great step in the right direction and we're just</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes. MR. COLLINS: Sorry. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No, go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules? Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera. Do you want to say something? MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft rules are a great step in the right direction and we're just looking for some clarification on specific scenarios where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes. MR. COLLINS: Sorry. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No, go ahead. MR. COLLINS: I did want to note and we did receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point</li> </ul> </li> <li>make a comment about the draft rules? <ul> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so</li> <li>much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early</li> <li>consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft rules are a great step in the right direction and we're just looking for some clarification on specific scenarios where there's an intermediary donor that's transferring original</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes. MR. COLLINS: Sorry. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No, go ahead. MR. COLLINS: I did want to note and we did receive one comment already from Meredith Parnell from the Elias Law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules?</li> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft rules are a great step in the right direction and we're just looking for some clarification on specific scenarios where there's an intermediary donor that's transferring original monies to a covered person, and also just raise a couple of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes. MR. COLLINS: Sorry. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No, go ahead. MR. COLLINS: I did want to note and we did receive one comment already from Meredith Parnell from the Elias Law Group and that is in your materials. I have not had a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules?</li> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft rules are a great step in the right direction and we're just looking for some clarification on specific scenarios where there's an intermediary donor that's transferring original monies to a covered person, and also just raise a couple of questions around the definition of campaign media spending.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes. MR. COLLINS: Sorry. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No, go ahead. MR. COLLINS: I did want to note and we did receive one comment already from Meredith Parnell from the Elias Law Group and that is in your materials. I have not had a chance to analyze that myself in detail. However, Meredith	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? (No audible response.) Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules? Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera. Do you want to say something? MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft rules are a great step in the right direction and we're just looking for some clarification on specific scenarios where there's an intermediary donor that's transferring original monies to a covered person, and also just raise a couple of questions around the definition of campaign media spending. So, you know, Tom, we really appreciate your look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the course of if there were some kind of enforcement proceeding or a question that came to staff during the course of a campaign, which if it's a predictable question we would just as soon and if it's a procedural question especially, we would just as soon have there be a rule there so that staff is not, you know, ad hoc or having to come to the Commission in the midst of the campaign cycle, right? So that's how we thought through the the some of the rules of construction and the opt-out notices, is the notion to try to get to a place where we can, you know, anticipate what kind of questions we might get and and and try to have a default there that that folks understand. So with that, I really I don't have a ton more to add unless there are questions. And I think and I'm not assuming we made I think we made a sufficient outline of that. Did I? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I think so, yes. MR. COLLINS: Sorry. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No, go ahead. MR. COLLINS: I did want to note and we did receive one comment already from Meredith Parnell from the Elias Law Group and that is in your materials. I have not had a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li> Ms. Parnell rather, Meredith is here. And so I just wanted to point that out in the event she wanted to, you know, share anything about that comment or any other aspect of this process with you. I didn't want that to get missed, so.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you. Before we do that are there any members of the Commission who have any questions or comments about the process or the rules? <ul> <li>(No audible response.)</li> <li>Hearing none, does anyone wish to at this point make a comment about the draft rules?</li> <li>Ms. Parnell, I see you've turned on your camera.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Do you want to say something? <ul> <li>MS. PARNELL: Sure. I'll just add, thank you so much for letting Elias Law Group submit. You know, considering our early comment, we just wanted to get this in your packet this week so that it could be an early consideration. We're just looking for we think the draft rules are a great step in the right direction and we're just looking for some clarification on specific scenarios where there's an intermediary donor that's transferring original monies to a covered person, and also just raise a couple of questions around the definition of campaign media spending.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	42		43
1	at that as as you go and and on collecting future	1	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.
2	public comments on it.	2	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.
3	MR. COLLINS: And Mr. Chairman, we certainly will	3	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And and the Chairman votes
4	be we'll we'll have some kind of response or or	4	
5	ex or further exploration of that as soon as we as	4 5	aye, too. We will distribute the rules identified in Item V
6		6	
7	soon as we can.	7	for public comment.
	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And thank you, thank you for your		Item VI, discussion and possible action on the
8	comments and thank you for your letter outlining the	8 9	following 2022 general election candidate audits. Mike is
9 10	scenarios that we'll make sure to consider.	9 10	going to make some general comments on this item. Mike?
11	Any other anyone else want to make any comments	11	
12	on the draft rules at this early point?	12	MR. BECKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
13	(No audible response.)	12	Commissioners. Before you, as you said, there are eight
14	If not, do I have a motion to distribute the rules		general election audits. The audits turned out well, the
14	identified in Item V of the agenda for public comment?	14	auditor went through them and found no major issues; and the
16	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that we	15	minor issues that were found have been or are in the process
	distribute the rules in this agenda item for public comment.	16	of being corrected at this point.
17	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.	17	And so based on that, I'd ask that the Commission
18	We have a motion from Commissioner Chan to	18	approve these audits.
19 20	distribute the rules for public comment. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second that motion.	19 20	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Are there any questions or
20		20 21	comments for Mike from any members of the Commission?
21	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It's seconded by Commissioner	21	(No audible response.)
22	Titla. I will call the roll.	22	Hearing none, I will entertain a motion to approve
23	Commissioner Chan.	23 24	the audits identified in Item VI of the agenda. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that we
25	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye.	24	approve the audits contained in Item VI of the agenda.
23	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC	25	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
	www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	44		45
1	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.	1	(No audible response.)
2	Is there a second?	2	Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a
3	COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second that motion.	3	comment?
4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Titla.	4	(No audible response.)
5	It's been moved and seconded that we approve the	5	Hearing no one, we'll move on to Item VIII. I will
6	audits identified in Item VI of the agenda.	6	entertain a motion to adjourn.
7	I will call the roll.	7	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that we
8	Commissioner Chan.	8	adjourn.
9	COMMISSIONER CHANG: I vote aye.	9	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan.
10	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.	10	Is there a second?
11	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.	11	COMMISSIONER TITLA: I second that motion.
12	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And the Chair also vote votes	12	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll.
13	aye.	13	Commissioner Chan.
14	Thank you.	14	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote aye.
15	MR. BECKER: Thank you.	15	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.
16	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Public comment. This is the time	16	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.
17	for consideration of comments and suggestions from the	17	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chairman votes aye.
18	public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be	18	We are adjourned. Thank you very much.
19	limited to directing staff to study the matter or	19	(Whereupon the meeting concludes at 10:25 a.m.)
20	rescheduling the matter for further consideration and a	20	
21	decision at a later date or responding to criticism.	21	
22	Please limit your comment to no more than two	22	
23	minutes.	23	
24	Does any member of the public wish to make comments	24	
25	at this time?	25	
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com		Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

46	

1	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF ARIZONA )
1234567	) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
7	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
9	that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
10	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
11	parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
13 14	requirements set forth in ACIA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 27th of June, 2023.
15	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
16	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
17	* * *
18	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and
	7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 27th of
19 20	June, 2023.
21	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
22	Arizona RRF No. R1058
23	
24 25	
	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com
	www.ivinici.ooruneur.eporung.com

\$	21:4, 24:15, 25:11,	44:6	39:5	began [1] - 19:5
Ψ	25:15	Agenda [1] - 19:14	Arizona's [1] - 9:18	begin [1] - 36:20
<b>\$50,000</b> [1] - 6:9	absolutely [5] - 15:25,	agree [1] - 34:16	Arizonan [1] - 27:7	behalf [1] - 36:1
	18:18, 21:7, 24:2,	agriculture [1] - 31:11	Arizonans [1] - 25:1	believes [1] - 12:3
1	27:23	ahead [2] - 23:14,	aspect [3] - 30:24,	benefit [1] - 27:6
	accept [6] - 9:15, 28:4,	40:21	31:3, 41:3	best [2] - 23:11, 26:14
<b>1</b> [3] - 3:5, 12:22,	35:4, 35:7, 35:12,	air [1] - 24:13	aspects [1] - 38:1	better [4] - 7:25, 19:8,
13:10	36:1	airing [2] - 23:4, 23:7	assistance [1] - 28:10	19:11, 27:24
<b>10:25</b> [1] - 45:19	access [5] - 22:8,	<b>allow</b> [11] - 13:7,	Association [4] - 20:7,	biannual [1] - 6:5
<b>12th</b> [1] - 6:24	23:9, 24:23, 27:8,	14:19, 15:4, 15:11,	24:10, 24:11	<b>big</b> [1] - 6:13
<b>131</b> [1] - 23:17	31:8	16:8, 16:11, 16:21,	<b>assume</b> [2] - 6:13,	<b>bit</b> [9] - 5:16, 6:10,
<b>16-925</b> [1] - 38:20	accessible [3] - 10:20,	17:8, 24:3, 24:4,	14:10	10:19, 11:25, 12:14,
<b>1625</b> [1] - 38:25	10:24, 21:25	25:14	assuming [1] - 40:17	13:15, 15:10, 16:5,
<b>1st</b> [1] - 4:21	accomplish [1] - 13:16	allowed [2] - 16:11, 19:7	attached [1] - 6:5	17:25
2	accounting [1] - 6:17	allows [1] - 23:25	attempted [1] - 38:19	blanket [1] - 22:10
2	acknowledge [1] -	almost [2] - 34:5,	attend [2] - 11:9, 15:9	board [1] - 21:9
<b>2</b> [2] - 20:13, 36:18	29:9	39:14	attendance [1] - 3:8 audible [7] - 3:24,	<b>Board</b> [1] - 6:2 <b>Bob</b> [1] - 30:10
<b>20</b> [3] - 33:11, 34:20,	Act [8] - 6:23, 36:18,	alpha [1] - 16:16	7:13, 41:10, 42:12,	<b>box</b> [1] - 33:10
36:2	36:21, 38:1, 38:6,	alternative [1] - 22:1	43:21, 45:1, 45:4	box [1] - 33.10 branch [1] - 23:18
<b>2018</b> [1] - 19:4	39:1, 39:7, 39:12	amend [1] - 6:22	audience [1] - 4:18	breaks [1] - 18:25
<b>2020</b> [1] - 19:13	action [7] - 3:19, 4:14,	American [2] - 5:19,	auditor [1] - 43:14	<b>brief</b> [5] - 9:4, 17:10,
<b>2022</b> [5] - 6:12, 6:13,	7:15, 36:16, 39:12,	22:2	auditor [1] - 43:14 audits [7] - 43:8,	30:4, 37:6, 37:9
24:9, 25:4, 43:8	43:7, 44:18	amount [1] - 34:1	43:13, 43:18, 43:23,	briefly [2] - 4:20,
<b>2023</b> [2] - 3:6, 3:20	actions [2] - 37:3,	<b>Amy</b> [2] - 3:14, 14:2	43:25, 44:6	39:16
<b>2024</b> [1] - 5:2	37:4	<b>ANA</b> [3] - 24:14,	August [1] - 4:21	brilliant [1] - 29:15
<b>2026</b> [1] - 7:19	activities [2] - 5:15,	24:24, 27:4	automatically [1] -	bring [2] - 31:9, 31:17
<b>211</b> [1] - 36:18	5:24	analysis [9] - 9:4,	22:1	bringing [1] - 8:7
<b>22</b> [1] - 3:6	actors [1] - 38:23	12:2, 15:25, 17:22,	available [6] - 8:18,	brings [2] - 8:10,
<b>27</b> [1] - 3:20	actual [2] - 9:3, 17:18	18:16, 21:6, 23:10,	11:22, 20:10, 22:2,	31:14
	ad [1] - 40:7	24:2, 27:1	31:21, 32:1	broadcast [8] - 19:16,
3	<b>add</b> [3] - 25:20, 40:16,	analyze [1] - 40:25	avenues [1] - 31:21	19:19, 20:21, 24:7,
<b>30</b> [1] - 31:4	41:15	answer [2] - 28:2, 28:8	<b>Avery</b> [6] - 5:21,	24:15, 25:8, 25:12,
<b>31st</b> [1] - 5:4	additional [1] - 39:18 address [2] - 30:10,	anticipate [1] - 40:12	28:12, 28:23, 28:24,	26:15
<b>3rd</b> [1] - 4:25	34:21 30:10,	antiquated [1] - 31:18	29:2, 33:21	Broadcasters [2] -
	adjourn [2] - 45:6,	Apache [1] - 23:7 apologies [1] - 18:14	aware [4] - 10:5, 17:5, 20:19, 21:1	20:7, 24:10
4	45:8	apologize [3] - 14:4,	awareness [2] - 10:2,	broaden [1] - 32:3 Broomhead [1] -
	adjourned [1] - 45:18	17:10, 25:18	23:24	19:18
<b>4</b> [1] - 22:14	adjust [1] - 38:24	appeal [2] - 7:5, 20:3	<b>Aye</b> [2] - 4:10, 4:12	brought [3] - 8:2,
<b>46</b> [1] - 6:11	adjustments [1] - 6:6	appealable [1] - 7:3	<b>aye</b> [13] - 4:13, 35:15,	9:25, 31:5
	adoption [1] - 33:25	appear [1] - 25:11	35:17, 35:19, 42:25,	budget [2] - 5:2, 5:7
6	advan [1] - 11:19	apply [1] - 37:23	43:2, 43:4, 44:9,	bullet [1] - 10:1
<b>60</b> [1] - 36:25	advance [6] - 11:13,	appreciate [2] - 33:3,	44:11, 44:13, 45:14,	<b>but</b> [1] - 36:5
<b>60</b> [1] <b>-</b> 30.23	11:20, 12:8, 17:17,	41:25	45:16, 45:17	<b>buy</b> [3] - 11:13, 11:16,
9	18:2, 21:21	approach [1] - 9:18		11:19
	advertise [2] - 31:16,	appropriate [1] -	В	<b>buy-in</b> [3] - 11:13,
<b>9:30</b> [1] - 3:5	31:21 advertising [6] - 10:5,	30:23	background [6] -	11:16, 11:19
	10:17, 21:24, 22:9,	appropriated [1] - 5:6 approval [1] - 37:1	8:25, 12:14, 16:5,	С
A	22:16, 34:9	approval [1] - 37:1 approve [8] - 3:25,	19:4, 23:15, 33:8	
<b>a.m</b> [2] - 3:5, 45:19	advisory [2] - 39:11,	4:1, 4:7, 37:3, 43:18,	ballot [2] - 15:9, 22:9	cable [1] - 31:8
<b>ABA</b> [3] - 24:14,	39:15	43:22, 43:25, 44:5	Barchenger [1] -	calculate [1] - 38:3
<b>ABA</b> [3] - 24.14, 24:24, 27:4	advocates [1] - 7:21	approved [1] - 23:17	19:17	camera [1] - 41:13
<b>ability</b> [3] - 26:20,	advocating [1] - 33:25	April [1] - 3:20	<b>based</b> [3] - 5:8, 5:9,	campaign [5] - 11:8,
26:21, 27:4	African [1] - 5:19	areas [2] - 7:17, 39:21	43:17	38:9, 40:4, 40:8,
<b>able</b> [13] - 5:20, 10:15,	Agency [1] - 9:6	argument [1] - 6:24	<b>bear</b> [1] - 5:5	41:24
11:19, 12:20, 13:4,	agenda [8] - 3:5,	<b>Arizona</b> [9] - 6:23,	BECKER [2] - 43:11,	campaigns [1] - 7:22
17:20, 20:14, 20:24,	36:19, 37:1, 42:14,	19:14, 20:6, 20:7,	44:15	candidate [17] - 9:23,
	42:16, 43:23, 43:25,	23:16, 24:10, 24:11,	become [1] - 24:4	10:10, 11:3, 11:6,

11.7 10.7 10 10	15.10 00.10 00.01	00.40		10.00
11:7, 12:7, 12:18,	15:12, 28:19, 28:21,	Commerce [1] - 32:13	community [8] - 7:21,	coverage [1] - 19:22
12:19, 13:8, 14:25,	29:4, 35:5, 35:7,	commission [1] -	8:11, 11:11, 22:3,	covered [3] - 27:9,
15:1, 15:8, 17:8,	35:15, 42:15, 42:25,	35:21	23:6, 31:23, 32:11,	38:7, 41:23
24:5, 24:6, 33:22,	43:24, 45:7, 45:14	Commission [34] -	36:24	covering [2] - 7:17,
43:8	<b>Chan</b> [19] - 3:14, 3:17,	3:7, 7:12, 8:19, 8:24,	competitor's [2] -	20:15
candidates [34] - 6:6,	4:3, 4:9, 14:2, 14:3,	9:2, 9:14, 9:16, 11:5,	20:25, 25:12	<b>COVID</b> [2] - 6:14
6:9, 7:21, 8:1, 8:8,	14:15, 15:14, 28:20,	12:2, 12:15, 19:4,	compliance [1] -	COVID-related [2] -
11:1, 11:13, 11:15,	29:1, 29:19, 35:6,	20:19, 21:16, 22:21,	25:22	6:14
11:16, 11:18, 11:23,	35:14, 42:18, 42:24,	24:18, 26:13, 26:18,	component [1] - 31:13	create [5] - 10:23,
12:22, 12:25, 13:1,	44:1, 44:8, 45:9,	27:14, 28:4, 29:20,	concludes [1] - 45:19	20:5, 20:8, 22:3,
13:2, 13:12, 13:21,	45:13	30:2, 34:10, 34:22,	conclusion [1] - 27:10	26:21
14:10, 14:21, 15:4,	chance [4] - 6:25,	35:3, 37:9, 37:15,	Conference [1] - 5:19	created [1] - 23:17
15:23, 16:9, 16:25,	32:8, 34:21, 40:25	38:13, 38:17, 39:10,	confirmed [1] - 8:6	creating [1] - 20:17
17:4, 17:5, 17:13,	CHANG [1] - 44:9	39:15, 40:8, 41:8,	connect [3] - 11:15,	critical [2] - 24:21,
17:16, 17:21, 18:2,	change [6] - 12:12,	43:17, 43:20	21:24, 24:22	27:19
22:3, 23:25, 27:25	15:19, 18:1, 27:21,	Commission's [3] -	connection [1] - 22:24	criticism [1] - 44:21
cannot [1] - 33:20	31:16	7:16, 7:17, 37:3	connects [1] - 23:25	cross [1] - 30:21
capitol [1] - 19:9	changes [1] - 37:4	COMMISSIONER [30]	consens [1] - 18:24	cross-section [1] -
Capitol [1] - 19:14	channel [1] - 21:19	- 3:10, 3:13, 4:1,	consensus [1] - 18:25	30:21
catch [1] - 6:16	charges [1] - 39:7	4:5, 4:10, 4:12, 14:1,	consider [2] - 25:3,	current [1] - 9:1
Cathy [1] - 45:2	<b>Christine</b> [2] - 29:12,	14:4, 15:12, 28:19,	42:9	customizing [1] -
<b>certain</b> [2] - 16:16,	34:25	28:21, 29:4, 29:21,	consideration [3] -	22:16
32:9	chunks [1] - 10:22	35:5, 35:7, 35:10,	41:19, 44:17, 44:20	<b>cycle</b> [6] - 11:8, 19:13,
<b>certainly</b> [2] - 12:8,	Citizens [1] - 3:6	35:15, 35:17, 42:15,	considering [1] -	24:9, 25:4, 27:19,
42:3	clap [1] - 36:12	42:20, 42:25, 43:2,	41:17	40:8
Chair [1] - 44:12	clarification [1] -	43:24, 44:3, 44:9,	constituents [1] - 22:6	
CHAIRMAN [50] - 3:3,	41:21	44:11, 45:7, 45:11,	construction [2] -	D
3:11, 3:16, 4:3, 4:6,	clarifying [1] - 26:4	45:14, 45:16 Commissioner [32] -	37:24, 40:10	
4:9, 4:11, 4:13, 7:10,	<b>Clean</b> [10] - 3:7, 5:6,	3:12, 3:14, 3:17, 4:3,	contact [1] - 11:1	date [1] - 44:21
14:3, 15:14, 26:4,	6:6, 8:6, 19:2, 25:6,	4:6, 4:9, 4:11, 5:20,	contained [1] - 43:25	days [1] - 36:25
26:10, 28:9, 28:16,	25:12, 30:25, 32:25,	4.0, 4.9, 4.11, 3.20,	20.0E	deadline [1] - 4:25
00.00 00.40 00.4			context [1] - 38:25	
28:20, 29:19, 30:1,	39:12	14:3, 14:15, 15:14,	continue [11] - 22:6,	debate [89] - 7:16,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5,	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20,	<b>continue</b> [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6,	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21,	<b>continue</b> [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16,	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14,	<b>continue</b> [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6,	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21,	<b>continue</b> [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19,	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 <b>close</b> [1] - 16:18 <b>closely</b> [2] - 26:24	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1,	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:6, 42:7,	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 <b>close</b> [1] - 16:18 <b>closely</b> [2] - 26:24 <b>closer</b> [1] - 6:9	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10,	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 continuing [1] - 25:24	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:6, 42:7, 42:17, 42:21, 43:1,	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 <b>close</b> [1] - 16:18 <b>closely</b> [2] - 26:24 <b>closer</b> [1] - 6:9 <b>closing</b> [1] - 16:8	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 continuing [1] - 25:24 control [5] - 10:16,	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:6, 42:7, 42:17, 42:21, 43:1, 43:3, 43:19, 44:1,	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 <b>close</b> [1] - 16:18 <b>closely</b> [2] - 26:24 <b>closer</b> [1] - 6:9 <b>closing</b> [1] - 16:8 <b>Coconino</b> [1] - 23:7	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] -	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 continuing [1] - 25:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:6, 42:7, 42:17, 42:21, 43:1, 43:3, 43:19, 44:1, 44:4, 44:10, 44:12,	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] -	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16,	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 continuing [1] - 25:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20 convening [1] - 9:16	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:6, 42:7, 42:17, 42:21, 43:1, 43:3, 43:19, 44:1, 44:4, 44:10, 44:12, 44:16, 45:9, 45:12,	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] -	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 continuing [1] - 25:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20 convening [1] - 9:16 copy [1] - 33:14	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:6, 42:7, 42:17, 42:21, 43:1, 43:3, 43:19, 44:1, 44:4, 44:10, 44:12, 44:16, 45:9, 45:12, 45:15, 45:17	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14,	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20 convening [1] - 9:16 copy [1] - 33:14 corners [2] - 8:10,	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17,
30:6, 30:12, 33:5, 33:14, 34:23, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:9, 36:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:6, 42:7, 42:17, 42:21, 43:1, 43:3, 43:19, 44:1, 44:4, 44:10, 44:12, 44:16, 45:9, 45:12, 45:15, 45:17 <b>Chairman</b> [25] - 3:18,	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20 convening [1] - 9:16 copy [1] - 33:14 corners [2] - 8:10, 27:8	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline Chairman\ [25]-\ 3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 44:1,\ 44:17,\ 14:1,\\ \end{array}$	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20 convening [1] - 9:16 copy [1] - 33:14 corners [2] - 8:10, 27:8 Corporation [2] -	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline { Chairman}_{125}_{12}_{5}_{1}_{2}_{3}_{3}_{1}_{3}_{1}_{4}_{1}_{4}_{1},\\ 44:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ \end{array}$	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2, 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20,	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20 convening [1] - 9:16 copy [1] - 33:14 corners [2] - 8:10, 27:8 Corporation [2] - 22:21, 22:23	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline Chairman\ [{}_{25}]-\ 3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 4:13,\ 4:17,\ 14:1,\\ 14:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ 25:20,\ 26:8,\ 28:19,\\ \end{array}$	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2, 36:11, 37:8, 40:20, 40:22, 42:3	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23	continue [11] - 22:6, 24:21, 24:23, 25:21, 26:9, 26:10, 26:24, 27:2, 27:18, 29:16, 39:19 continues [1] - 23:24 control [5] - 10:16, 14:19, 17:20 convening [1] - 9:16 copy [1] - 33:14 corners [2] - 8:10, 27:8 Corporation [2] - 22:21, 22:23 corrected [1] - 43:16	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline Chairman\ [25]\ -\ 3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 4:13,\ 4:17,\ 14:1,\\ 14:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ 25:20,\ 26:8,\ 28:19,\\ 29:1,\ 30:9,\ 33:17,\\ \end{array}$	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2, 36:11, 37:8, 40:20, 40:22, 42:3 comment [19] - 36:17,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{continuing} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline Chairman\ [{}_{25}]-\ 3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 4:13,\ 4:17,\ 14:1,\\ 14:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ 25:20,\ 26:8,\ 28:19,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 14:3, 14:15, 15:14,\\ 22:23, 25:19, 28:20,\\ 29:1, 29:19, 29:21,\\ 30:1, 35:6, 35:14,\\ 35:16, 42:18, 42:21,\\ 42:24, 43:1, 44:1,\\ 44:4, 44:8, 44:10,\\ 45:9, 45:13, 45:15\\ \hline \textbf{Commissioners [9]}-\\ 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16,\\ 9:9, 15:17, 18:14,\\ 28:18, 43:12\\ \hline \textbf{committee [2]}-31:20,\\ 32:3\\ \hline \textbf{committees [1]}-\\ 38:23\\ \hline \textbf{common [3]}-21:23,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{continuing} [1] - 25:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \end{array}$	<b>debate</b> [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline {\bf Chairman}_{125]}-\ 3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 4:13,\ 4:17,\ 14:1,\\ 14:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ 25:20,\ 26:8,\ 28:19,\\ 29:1,\ 30:9,\ 33:17,\\ 34:10,\ 35:5,\ 35:18,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ 37:4, 37:5, 37:11,\\ \end{array}$	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{continuing} [1] - 25:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline {\bf Chairman}_{125]} -\ 3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 4:13,\ 4:17,\ 14:1,\\ 14:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ 25:20,\ 26:8,\ 28:19,\\ 29:1,\ 30:9,\ 33:17,\\ 34:10,\ 35:5,\ 35:18,\\ 37:8,\ 42:3,\ 42:15,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ 37:4, 37:5, 37:11,\\ 40:23, 41:3, 41:12,\\ \end{array}$	$14:3, 14:15, 15:14, \\22:23, 25:19, 28:20, \\29:1, 29:19, 29:21, \\30:1, 35:6, 35:14, \\35:16, 42:18, 42:21, \\42:24, 43:1, 44:1, \\44:4, 44:8, 44:10, \\45:9, 45:13, 45:15 \\\textbf{Commissioners [9] -} \\3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, \\9:9, 15:17, 18:14, \\28:18, 43:12 \\\textbf{committee [2] - 31:20}, \\32:3 \\\textbf{committees [1] -} \\38:23 \\\textbf{common [3] - 21:23}, \\25:5, 30:22 \\\textbf{communicate [1] -} \\\end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \hline \\ \text{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \hline \\ \text{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \hline \\ \text{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \hline \\ \text{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \hline \\ \text{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \hline \\ \text{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \hline \\ \text{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{county} [7] - 4:22,\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6, \ 30:12, \ 33:5, \\ 33:14, \ 34:23, \ 35:6, \\ 35:9, \ 35:11, \ 35:16, \\ 35:18, \ 36:3, \ 36:6, \\ 36:9, \ 36:15, \ 40:19, \\ 40:21, \ 41:6, \ 42:7, \\ 42:17, \ 42:21, \ 43:1, \\ 43:3, \ 43:19, \ 44:1, \\ 44:4, \ 44:10, \ 44:12, \\ 44:16, \ 45:9, \ 45:12, \\ 45:15, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf Chairman}_{[25]} - \ 3:18, \\ 4:1, \ 4:13, \ 4:17, \ 14:1, \\ 14:15, \ 15:16, \ 18:14, \\ 25:20, \ 26:8, \ 28:19, \\ 29:1, \ 30:9, \ 33:17, \\ 34:10, \ 35:5, \ 35:18, \\ 37:8, \ 42:3, \ 42:15, \\ 43:3, \ 43:11, \ 43:24, \\ 45:7, \ 45:17 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ 37:4, 37:5, 37:11,\\ 40:23, 41:3, 41:12,\\ 41:17, 42:14, 42:16,\\ \end{array}$	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 25:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6, \ 30:12, \ 33:5, \\ 33:14, \ 34:23, \ 35:6, \\ 35:9, \ 35:11, \ 35:16, \\ 35:18, \ 36:3, \ 36:6, \\ 36:9, \ 36:15, \ 40:19, \\ 40:21, \ 41:6, \ 42:7, \\ 42:17, \ 42:21, \ 43:1, \\ 43:3, \ 43:19, \ 44:1, \\ 44:4, \ 44:10, \ 44:12, \\ 44:16, \ 45:9, \ 45:12, \\ 45:15, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf Chairman}_{[25]} - \ 3:18, \\ 4:1, \ 4:13, \ 4:17, \ 14:1, \\ 14:15, \ 15:16, \ 18:14, \\ 25:20, \ 26:8, \ 28:19, \\ 29:1, \ 30:9, \ 33:17, \\ 34:10, \ 35:5, \ 35:18, \\ 37:8, \ 42:3, \ 42:15, \\ 43:3, \ 43:11, \ 43:24, \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ 37:4, 37:5, 37:11,\\ 40:23, 41:3, 41:12,\\ 41:17, 42:14, 42:16,\\ 42:19, 43:6, 44:16,\\ \end{array}$	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 25:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12,
$\begin{array}{r} 30:6, \ 30:12, \ 33:5, \\ 33:14, \ 34:23, \ 35:6, \\ 35:9, \ 35:11, \ 35:16, \\ 35:18, \ 36:3, \ 36:6, \\ 36:9, \ 36:15, \ 40:19, \\ 40:21, \ 41:6, \ 42:7, \\ 42:17, \ 42:21, \ 43:1, \\ 43:3, \ 43:19, \ 44:1, \\ 44:4, \ 44:10, \ 44:12, \\ 44:16, \ 45:9, \ 45:12, \\ 45:15, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf Chairman [25] - 3:18, \\ 4:1, \ 4:13, \ 4:17, \ 14:1, \\ 14:15, \ 15:16, \ 18:14, \\ 25:20, \ 26:8, \ 28:19, \\ 29:1, \ 30:9, \ 33:17, \\ 34:10, \ 35:5, \ 35:18, \\ 37:8, \ 42:3, \ 42:15, \\ 43:3, \ 43:11, \ 43:24, \\ 45:7, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf challenges [1] - 20:21} \\ \end{array}$	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2, 36:11, 37:8, 40:20, 40:22, 42:3 comment [19] - 36:17, 36:22, 36:24, 37:2, 37:4, 37:5, 37:11, 40:23, 41:3, 41:12, 41:17, 42:14, 42:16, 42:19, 43:6, 44:16, 44:18, 44:22, 45:3	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 21:13	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \textbf{couple} [3] - 37:24,\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 25:2, 25:12, 25:15,
$\begin{array}{l} 30:6, \ 30:12, \ 33:5, \\ 33:14, \ 34:23, \ 35:6, \\ 35:9, \ 35:11, \ 35:16, \\ 35:18, \ 36:3, \ 36:6, \\ 36:9, \ 36:15, \ 40:19, \\ 40:21, \ 41:6, \ 42:7, \\ 42:17, \ 42:21, \ 43:1, \\ 43:3, \ 43:19, \ 44:1, \\ 44:4, \ 44:10, \ 44:12, \\ 44:16, \ 45:9, \ 45:12, \\ 45:15, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf Chairman} \ [25] - \ 3:18, \\ 4:1, \ 4:13, \ 4:17, \ 14:1, \\ 14:15, \ 15:16, \ 18:14, \\ 25:20, \ 26:8, \ 28:19, \\ 29:1, \ 30:9, \ 33:17, \\ 34:10, \ 35:5, \ 35:18, \\ 37:8, \ 42:3, \ 42:15, \\ 43:3, \ 43:11, \ 43:24, \\ 45:7, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 20:21 \\ {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 6:22 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ 37:4, 37:5, 37:11,\\ 40:23, 41:3, 41:12,\\ 41:17, 42:14, 42:16,\\ 42:19, 43:6, 44:16,\\ 44:18, 44:22, 45:3\\ \textbf{comments} [16] - 3:22,\\ \end{array}$	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 21:13 <b>communication</b> [4] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \textbf{couple} [3] - 37:24,\\ 38:1, 41:23\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 25:2, 25:12, 25:15, 26:12, 26:17, 26:20,
$\begin{array}{l} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline \textbf{Chairman}\ [25]-\ 3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 4:13,\ 4:17,\ 14:1,\\ 14:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ 25:20,\ 26:8,\ 28:19,\\ 29:1,\ 30:9,\ 33:17,\\ 34:10,\ 35:5,\ 35:18,\\ 37:8,\ 42:3,\ 42:15,\\ 43:3,\ 43:11,\ 43:24,\\ 45:7,\ 45:17\\ \hline \textbf{challenges}\ [1]-\ 20:21\\ \textbf{challenging}\ [1]-\ 6:22\\ \hline \textbf{Chamber}\ [8]-\ 12:18,\\ \end{array}$	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2, 36:11, 37:8, 40:20, 40:22, 42:3 comment [19] - 36:17, 36:22, 36:24, 37:2, 37:4, 37:5, 37:11, 40:23, 41:3, 41:12, 41:17, 42:14, 42:16, 42:19, 43:6, 44:16, 44:18, 44:22, 45:3 comments [16] - 3:22, 7:11, 28:17, 29:20,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 21:13 <b>communication</b> [4] - 13:18, 15:22, 16:2,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 3:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \textbf{couple} [3] - 37:24,\\ 38:1, 41:23\\ \textbf{course} [3] - 29:2,\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 25:2, 25:12, 25:15, 26:12, 26:17, 26:20, 26:23, 27:10, 27:12,
$\begin{array}{l} 30:6, \ 30:12, \ 33:5, \\ 33:14, \ 34:23, \ 35:6, \\ 35:9, \ 35:11, \ 35:16, \\ 35:18, \ 36:3, \ 36:6, \\ 36:9, \ 36:15, \ 40:19, \\ 40:21, \ 41:6, \ 42:7, \\ 42:17, \ 42:21, \ 43:1, \\ 43:3, \ 43:19, \ 44:1, \\ 44:4, \ 44:10, \ 44:12, \\ 44:16, \ 45:9, \ 45:12, \\ 45:15, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf Chairman} \ [25] - \ 3:18, \\ 4:1, \ 4:13, \ 4:17, \ 14:1, \\ 14:15, \ 15:16, \ 18:14, \\ 25:20, \ 26:8, \ 28:19, \\ 29:1, \ 30:9, \ 33:17, \\ 34:10, \ 35:5, \ 35:18, \\ 37:8, \ 42:3, \ 42:15, \\ 43:3, \ 43:11, \ 43:24, \\ 45:7, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 20:21 \\ {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 20:21 \\ {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 12:18, \\ \ 13:7, \ 14:8, \ 15:7, \\ \end{array}$	39:12 <b>clear</b> [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 <b>close</b> [1] - 16:18 <b>closely</b> [2] - 26:24 <b>closer</b> [1] - 6:9 <b>closing</b> [1] - 16:8 <b>Coconino</b> [1] - 23:7 <b>colleagues</b> [2] - 33:21, 34:10 <b>collecting</b> [1] - 42:1 <b>COLLINS</b> [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2, 36:11, 37:8, 40:20, 40:22, 42:3 <b>comment</b> [19] - 36:17, 36:22, 36:24, 37:2, 37:4, 37:5, 37:11, 40:23, 41:3, 41:12, 41:17, 42:14, 42:16, 42:19, 43:6, 44:16, 44:18, 44:22, 45:3 <b>comments</b> [16] - 3:22, 7:11, 28:17, 29:20, 30:4, 33:5, 33:18,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 21:13 <b>communication</b> [4] - 13:18, 15:22, 16:2, 22:5	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{continuing} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 33:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \textbf{couple} [3] - 37:24,\\ 38:1, 41:23\\ \textbf{course} [3] - 29:2,\\ 40:2, 40:4\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 25:2, 25:12, 25:15, 26:12, 26:17, 26:20, 26:23, 27:10, 27:12, 27:19, 27:24, 28:5,
$\begin{array}{l} 30:6,\ 30:12,\ 33:5,\\ 33:14,\ 34:23,\ 35:6,\\ 35:9,\ 35:11,\ 35:16,\\ 35:18,\ 36:3,\ 36:6,\\ 36:9,\ 36:15,\ 40:19,\\ 40:21,\ 41:6,\ 42:7,\\ 42:17,\ 42:21,\ 43:1,\\ 43:3,\ 43:19,\ 44:1,\\ 44:4,\ 44:10,\ 44:12,\\ 44:16,\ 45:9,\ 45:12,\\ 45:15,\ 45:17\\ \hline \textbf{Chairman}\ [25]-3:18,\\ 4:1,\ 4:13,\ 4:17,\ 14:1,\\ 14:15,\ 15:16,\ 18:14,\\ 25:20,\ 26:8,\ 28:19,\\ 29:1,\ 30:9,\ 33:17,\\ 34:10,\ 35:5,\ 35:18,\\ 37:8,\ 42:3,\ 42:15,\\ 43:3,\ 43:11,\ 43:24,\\ 45:7,\ 45:17\\ \hline \textbf{chailengis}\ [1]-20:21\\ \textbf{chailengis}\ [1]-20:21\\ \textbf{chailengis}\ [1]-6:22\\ \hline \textbf{Chamber}\ [8]-12:18,\\ 13:7,\ 14:8,\ 15:7,\\ 27:22,\ 32:13,\ 32:23,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ 37:4, 37:5, 37:11,\\ 40:23, 41:3, 41:12,\\ 41:17, 42:14, 42:16,\\ 42:19, 43:6, 44:16,\\ 44:18, 44:22, 45:3\\ \textbf{comments} [16] - 3:22,\\ 7:11, 28:17, 29:20,\\ 30:4, 33:5, 33:18,\\ 34:25, 41:8, 42:2,\\ \end{array}$	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 21:13 <b>communication</b> [4] - 13:18, 15:22, 16:2, 22:5 <b>communications</b> [1] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{continuing} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 33:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \textbf{couple} [3] - 37:24,\\ 38:1, 41:23\\ \textbf{course} [3] - 29:2,\\ 40:2, 40:4\\ \textbf{court} [1] - 38:13\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 25:2, 25:12, 25:15, 26:12, 26:17, 26:20, 26:23, 27:10, 27:12, 27:19, 27:24, 28:5, 28:25, 29:5, 29:16,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6, \ 30:12, \ 33:5, \\ 33:14, \ 34:23, \ 35:6, \\ 35:9, \ 35:11, \ 35:16, \\ 35:18, \ 36:3, \ 36:6, \\ 36:9, \ 36:15, \ 40:19, \\ 40:21, \ 41:6, \ 42:7, \\ 42:17, \ 42:21, \ 43:1, \\ 43:3, \ 43:19, \ 44:1, \\ 44:4, \ 44:10, \ 44:12, \\ 44:16, \ 45:9, \ 45:12, \\ 45:15, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf Chairman} \ [25] - \ 3:18, \\ 4:1, \ 4:13, \ 4:17, \ 14:1, \\ 14:15, \ 15:16, \ 18:14, \\ 25:20, \ 26:8, \ 28:19, \\ 29:1, \ 30:9, \ 33:17, \\ 34:10, \ 35:5, \ 35:18, \\ 37:8, \ 42:3, \ 42:15, \\ 43:3, \ 43:11, \ 43:24, \\ 45:7, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 20:21 \\ {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 20:21 \\ {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 6:22 \\ \hline {\bf Chamber} \ [8] - \ 12:18, \\ \ 13:7, \ 14:8, \ 15:7, \\ 27:22, \ 32:13, \ 32:23, \\ 32:24 \\ \end{array}$	39:12 clear [7] - 11:22, 16:24, 17:13, 34:1, 34:24, 37:22, 37:25 close [1] - 16:18 closely [2] - 26:24 closer [1] - 6:9 closing [1] - 16:8 Coconino [1] - 23:7 colleagues [2] - 33:21, 34:10 collecting [1] - 42:1 COLLINS [9] - 4:17, 4:24, 18:4, 36:2, 36:11, 37:8, 40:20, 40:22, 42:3 comment [19] - 36:17, 36:22, 36:24, 37:2, 37:4, 37:5, 37:11, 40:23, 41:3, 41:12, 41:17, 42:14, 42:16, 42:19, 43:6, 44:16, 44:18, 44:22, 45:3 comments [16] - 3:22, 7:11, 28:17, 29:20, 30:4, 33:5, 33:18, 34:25, 41:8, 42:2, 42:8, 42:10, 43:9,	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 21:13 <b>communication</b> [4] - 13:18, 15:22, 16:2, 22:5 <b>communications</b> [1] - 38:18	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{continuing} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 33:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \textbf{couple} [3] - 37:24,\\ 38:1, 41:23\\ \textbf{course} [3] - 29:2,\\ 40:2, 40:4\\ \textbf{court} [1] - 38:13\\ \textbf{Court} [1] - 6:21\\ \end{array}$	debate [ $89$ ] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 25:2, 25:12, 25:15, 26:12, 26:17, 26:20, 26:23, 27:10, 27:12, 27:19, 27:24, 28:5, 28:25, 29:5, 29:16, 30:3, 31:24, 32:12,
$\begin{array}{c} 30:6, \ 30:12, \ 33:5, \\ 33:14, \ 34:23, \ 35:6, \\ 35:9, \ 35:11, \ 35:16, \\ 35:18, \ 36:3, \ 36:6, \\ 36:9, \ 36:15, \ 40:19, \\ 40:21, \ 41:6, \ 42:7, \\ 42:17, \ 42:21, \ 43:1, \\ 43:3, \ 43:19, \ 44:1, \\ 44:4, \ 44:10, \ 44:12, \\ 44:16, \ 45:9, \ 45:12, \\ 45:15, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf Chairman} \ [25] - \ 3:18, \\ 4:1, \ 4:13, \ 4:17, \ 14:1, \\ 14:15, \ 15:16, \ 18:14, \\ 25:20, \ 26:8, \ 28:19, \\ 29:1, \ 30:9, \ 33:17, \\ 34:10, \ 35:5, \ 35:18, \\ 37:8, \ 42:3, \ 42:15, \\ 43:3, \ 43:11, \ 43:24, \\ 45:7, \ 45:17 \\ \hline {\bf challengis} \ [1] - \ 20:21 \\ {\bf challengis} \ 20:22 \\ {\bf challengi$	$\begin{array}{r} 39:12\\ \textbf{clear} [7] - 11:22,\\ 16:24, 17:13, 34:1,\\ 34:24, 37:22, 37:25\\ \textbf{close} [1] - 16:18\\ \textbf{closely} [2] - 26:24\\ \textbf{closer} [1] - 6:9\\ \textbf{closing} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Coconino} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{colleagues} [2] -\\ 33:21, 34:10\\ \textbf{collecting} [1] - 42:1\\ \textbf{COLLINS} [9] - 4:17,\\ 4:24, 18:4, 36:2,\\ 36:11, 37:8, 40:20,\\ 40:22, 42:3\\ \textbf{comment} [19] - 36:17,\\ 36:22, 36:24, 37:2,\\ 37:4, 37:5, 37:11,\\ 40:23, 41:3, 41:12,\\ 41:17, 42:14, 42:16,\\ 42:19, 43:6, 44:16,\\ 44:18, 44:22, 45:3\\ \textbf{comments} [16] - 3:22,\\ 7:11, 28:17, 29:20,\\ 30:4, 33:5, 33:18,\\ 34:25, 41:8, 42:2,\\ \end{array}$	14:3, 14:15, 15:14, 22:23, 25:19, 28:20, 29:1, 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:24, 43:1, 44:1, 44:4, 44:8, 44:10, 45:9, 45:13, 45:15 <b>Commissioners</b> [9] - 3:8, 3:23, 4:17, 8:16, 9:9, 15:17, 18:14, 28:18, 43:12 <b>committee</b> [2] - 31:20, 32:3 <b>committees</b> [1] - 38:23 <b>common</b> [3] - 21:23, 25:5, 30:22 <b>communicate</b> [1] - 11:17 <b>communicating</b> [1] - 21:13 <b>communication</b> [4] - 13:18, 15:22, 16:2, 22:5 <b>communications</b> [1] - 38:18 <b>communities</b> [1] -	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{continue} [11] - 22:6,\\ 24:21, 24:23, 25:21,\\ 26:9, 26:10, 26:24,\\ 27:2, 27:18, 29:16,\\ 39:19\\ \textbf{continues} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{continuing} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{control} [5] - 10:16,\\ 14:19, 17:20\\ \textbf{convening} [1] - 9:16\\ \textbf{copy} [1] - 33:14\\ \textbf{corners} [2] - 8:10,\\ 27:8\\ \textbf{Corporation} [2] -\\ 22:21, 22:23\\ \textbf{corrected} [1] - 43:16\\ \textbf{corrections} [1] - 33:22\\ \textbf{Counties} [1] - 23:7\\ \textbf{counts} [1] - 36:13\\ \textbf{County} [7] - 4:22,\\ 5:23, 6:3, 6:21,\\ 22:12, 22:13, 32:16\\ \textbf{couple} [3] - 37:24,\\ 38:1, 41:23\\ \textbf{course} [3] - 29:2,\\ 40:2, 40:4\\ \textbf{court} [1] - 38:13\\ \end{array}$	debate [89] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 7:19, 7:25, 8:6, 8:24, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:16, 10:20, 10:22, 11:9, 11:20, 11:24, 12:12, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 13:10, 13:14, 13:23, 14:11, 14:16, 14:19, 15:1, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:19, 17:17, 18:17, 18:23, 19:1, 19:24, 20:2, 20:4, 20:13, 21:13, 21:17, 21:21, 21:25, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15, 22:16, 22:20, 22:21, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:19, 23:20, 24:3, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 24:20, 25:2, 25:12, 25:15, 26:12, 26:17, 26:20, 26:23, 27:10, 27:12, 27:19, 27:24, 28:5, 28:25, 29:5, 29:16, 30:3, 31:24, 32:12, 32:22, 32:23, 32:24,

35:12, 35:21, 35:22 Debates [2] - 26:14, 26:19 debates [27] - 7:15, 8:20, 9:18, 10:3, 10:6, 11:14, 12:16, 13:19, 14:6, 16:6, 19:3, 19:16, 19:20, 20:2, 22:4, 22:6, 22:8, 23:4, 23:15, 24:23, 25:9, 27:8, 30:25, 32:9, 33:24, 34:19 decide [1] - 13:23 decided [1] - 40:1 decision [3] - 8:12, 38:13, 44:21 decision-making [1] -8.12 dedicate [1] - 11:8 default [1] - 40:13 defer [1] - 37:4 definitely [2] - 13:16, 15:21 definition [2] - 37:23, 41.24 definitions [1] - 37:22 degree [1] - 31:15 democracy [1] - 8:12 Democratic [1] - 14:25 Democrats [1] - 12:24 Department [1] - 5:23 depth [1] - 7:3 designed [2] - 36:22, 37:24 detail [2] - 9:12, 40:25 differences [3] - 31:4, 32:19, 32:20 different [4] - 5:18, 38:21, 39:3, 39:4 difficulty [1] - 18:8 digestible [1] - 10:21 directing [1] - 44:19 direction [1] - 41:20 directly [7] - 5:25, 9:8, 11:1, 11:16, 13:8, 22:4, 23:25 Director [2] - 26:13, 30:18 Director's [2] - 4:15, 7:8 Disabilities [1] - 5:20 disclaimers [1] -38:18 discourse [1] - 8:5 discretion [1] - 17:7 discussed [2] - 11:20, 17.17 discussion [13] - 3:19, 3:22, 4:14, 7:14,

10:15, 16:22, 17:20, 18:24, 19:12, 21:5, 23:19, 36:16, 43:7 dismissed [1] - 6:21 dispatch [1] - 20:10 disruption [3] - 17:9, 18:3, 25:16 distribute [4] - 42:13, 42:16, 42:19, 43:5 district [8] - 12:17, 12:19, 14:23, 15:8, 20:15, 20:16, 27:22 **District** [4] - 12:22, 13:10, 20:13, 22:14 district's [1] - 23:8 districts [6] - 10:13, 10:14, 19:10, 22:13, 23:5, 31:4 diversity [2] - 33:7, 33:22 done [6] - 5:15, 5:16, 12:4, 21:16, 29:23, 33:24 donor [1] - 41:22 donors [1] - 38:8 down [5] - 5:8, 5:17, 17:15, 21:2, 31:12 draft [3] - 41:12, 41:19, 42:11 drafted [1] - 36:20 drive [2] - 32:21 driving [1] - 31:12 during [9] - 11:8, 15:1, 17:17, 21:21, 26:11, 28:11, 37:2, 40:3 Ε early [4] - 21:2, 41:17, 41:18, 42:11 easily [2] - 10:24, 12:9 echo [1] - 33:18 education [6] - 5:15, 5:24, 21:12, 21:17, 22:22, 24:1 effect [1] - 5:10 effort [3] - 10:23, 34:1, 34:6 efforts [1] - 8:3 eight [1] - 43:12 either [4] - 21:20, 28:6, 30:3, 38:12 elected [1] - 7:20 election [9] - 4:21, 6:1, 19:13, 22:7, 22:25, 23:16, 25:4, 43:8, 43:13 Election [1] - 39:14 Elections [11] - 3:7, 5:6, 5:23, 6:6, 8:6,

19:3. 25:6. 25:13. 30:25, 32:25, 39:12 elections [3] - 4:21, 7:21, 28:14 Elias [2] - 40:23, 41:16 emphasize [2] - 33:6, 37:10 end [2] - 22:7, 27:23 enforced [1] - 17:6 enforcement [2] -39:18.40:2 engage [7] - 8:8, 13:4, 13:8, 14:20, 15:4, 20:4, 34:14 enjoyed [2] - 14:6, 33:3 ensure [4] - 14:18, 25:1, 25:6, 25:7 ensures [1] - 25:13 ensuring [1] - 8:8 entertain [2] - 43:22, 45:6 entirely [1] - 39:14 entity [1] - 26:19 especially [5] - 10:12, 13:22, 20:21, 38:14, 40:6 essentially [5] - 5:9, 9:8, 9:13, 9:20, 15:18 establish [1] - 22:24 event [3] - 15:3, 15:7, 41:2 events [1] - 34:3 eventually [1] - 31:9 ex [2] - 39:6, 42:5 example [9] - 6:8, 13:9, 14:10, 14:24, 20:11, 20:20, 22:20, 23:5, 37:21 excited [1] - 29:4 excuse [2] - 19:19, 20:13 **Executive** [4] - 4:15, 7:8. 26:13. 30:18 executive [1] - 23:18 existing [3] - 27:2, 27:14, 39:2 expand [3] - 21:10, 27:3, 27:15 expanded [1] - 24:17 expanding [1] - 27:6 expect [2] - 16:25, 17:14 expectation [1] - 7:5 experience [4] - 7:25, 19:18, 20:15, 27:25 experiencing [1] -20:14 expertise [1] - 8:2

experts [1] - 7:21 explain [2] - 8:17, 16.12explaining [1] - 28:13 explains [1] - 9:1 exploration [1] - 42:5 expound [1] - 12:4 extent [1] - 12:4 extremely [1] - 8:3 eye [1] - 5:12 F facilitate [4] - 10:15, 19:11. 21:4. 25:8 facilitating [1] - 9:17 facing [2] - 19:8, 19:9 fact [3] - 8:21, 23:20, 39:13 familiar [1] - 24:4 familiarity [1] - 19:25 fantastic [1] - 20:6 farmers [1] - 31:11 feasible [2] - 12:4, 28:14 Federal [1] - 39:14 feedback [1] - 36:23 felt [1] - 19:7 few [3] - 8:18, 11:21, 20:19 filing [1] - 6:14 filling [1] - 22:8 final [1] - 37:1 fine [1] - 3:16 finish [1] - 17:8 first [8] - 5:11, 8:22, 9:7, 9:19, 9:21, 13:20, 16:18, 39:16 fiscal [2] - 5:2 flow [1] - 14:19 flows [1] - 15:23 focus [2] - 34:8, 34:9 folks [4] - 23:8, 39:8, 39:20, 40:13 follow [1] - 26:18 following [1] - 43:8 forget [1] - 30:17 format [5] - 11:23, 12:11, 32:10, 38:22, 39:25 formats [2] - 7:18, 22:1 former [2] - 7:20, 33:22 formula [1] - 6:15 forth [3] - 36:19, 38:18, 39:10 forthcoming [1] -13:21 forward [3] - 29:16,

31:17, 33:11 four [1] - 27:7 framework [1] - 39:2 frankly [2] - 14:6, 29:7 fund [1] - 5:6 future [2] - 28:14, 42:1

### G

general [4] - 23:16, 43:8, 43:9, 43:13 geographical [1] -33:8 **aift** [2] - 35:23, 36:8 Gina [12] - 5:21, 5:22, 8:13, 8:15, 14:1, 15:15, 28:9, 28:22, 28:24, 29:22, 33:21 goal [2] - 37:17, 39:24 Goldwater [1] - 6:22 golf [1] - 36:12 governor [5] - 23:15, 23:18, 23:21, 23:22 Governor [1] - 5:2 governor's [1] - 7:19 grateful [2] - 7:24, 8:3 great [4] - 31:15, 33:2, 33:7, 41:20 Green [1] - 19:15 **Group** [2] - 40:24, 41:16 group [16] - 7:19, 20:18, 29:22, 30:3, 30:13, 30:19, 32:24, 33:7, 33:19, 33:23, 34:5, 35:2, 35:4, 35:8, 35:12, 35:22 gubernatorial [1] -23:14 guess [3] - 6:19, 30:23, 31:9 guidelines [1] - 11:22

# Н

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{happy} [5] - 16:3, 28:2, \\ 29:6, 30:6, 34:16 \\ \textbf{hear} [8] - 3:15, 3:16, \\ 8:1, 18:8, 25:5, 30:5, \\ 30:6, 39:20 \\ \textbf{heard} [1] - 34:8 \\ \textbf{hearing} [5] - 7:14, \\ 26:13, 41:11, 43:22, \\ 45:5 \\ \textbf{heartfelt} [1] - 34:11 \\ \textbf{held} [1] - 12:17 \\ \textbf{help} [3] - 7:25, 34:16, \\ 36:9 \\ \textbf{helpful} [5] - 18:6, \\ 33:20, 33:23, 34:15, \\ \end{array}$ 

34:18	including [2] - 9:18,	job [2] - 29:22, 30:17	,	33:9, 39:19, 41:25
HERRING [3] - 18:11,	26:15	join [1] - 5:21	L	looking [6] - 29:15,
25:24, 26:2	inclusive [1] - 9:17	joint [2] - 23:21, 32:24	lack [1] - 6:14	32:10, 32:18, 33:11,
<b>hew</b> [1] - 39:2	income [1] - 6:15	<b>JONES</b> [1] - 33:17	language [1] - 38:20	41:19, 41:21
highlight [2] - 8:17,	incorporate [1] - 24:2			lovely [2] - 35:22, 36:8
27:13		<b>Jones</b> [3] - 29:12,	Language [1] - 22:2	lovely [2] - 33.22, 30.0
historically [1] - 12:15	incorporated [1] -	33:16, 34:25	largest [1] - 15:18	М
hit [2] - 6:13, 7:8	5:25	jour [1] - 20:23	last [7] - 5:10, 5:20,	IVI
	increase [1] - 6:7	journalist [2] - 19:21, 20:23	7:23, 16:16, 27:9,	mail [1] - 35:25
hoc [1] - 40:7 hope [1] - 3:15	incredibly [1] - 33:20 Independent [1] -	journalists [5] - 19:6,	34:20, 36:25	main [2] - 7:7, 37:17
hopefully [1] - 6:16	13:22		late [1] - 5:10	maintain [1] - 18:6
hopes [1] - 22:24		19:14, 20:8, 20:20, 25:11	latitude [1] - 32:14	<b>major</b> [1] - 43:14
host [6] - 16:5, 23:20,	independent [2] - 25:7, 25:14	July [2] - 4:25, 5:4	Law [2] - 40:23, 41:16	management [1] -
26:22, 32:22, 32:23,	individual [1] - 22:16	<b>jump</b> [4] - 9:19, 12:11,	law [3] - 25:22, 38:20, 38:23	16:12
32:24	inflation [2] - 6:17,	16:3, 24:7	lawsuit [1] - 6:22	manages [1] - 30:25
hosting [2] - 7:18,	6:18	jumping [1] - 23:14	LD1 [1] - 14:10	manner [1] - 17:7
32:12	informal [1] - 16:21	<b>June</b> [2] - 3:6, 5:16		Maricopa [3] - 6:21,
<b>hosts</b> [1] - 12:15	information [14] - 8:7,	jurisdiction [1] - 20:1	lead [1] - 21:7	32:15, 32:16
House [4] - 12:23,	9:1, 10:20, 10:25,	junisululur [1] - 20.1	leaders [1] - 7:20	Mary [1] - 6:25
14:9, 14:25, 16:17	9.1, 10.20, 10.25, 11:2, 11:6, 11:12,	К	learn [1] - 24:5	materials [2] - 8:14,
14.3, 14.23, 10.17	12:14, 19:4, 19:20,	n	least [3] - 29:11, 38:2,	40:24
		KARLSON [3] - 25:19,	39:4	matter [3] - 8:5, 44:19,
I	22:17, 22:18, 22:22, 23:15	26:3, 26:6	leave [1] - 6:22	44:20
idea [3] - 9:22, 11:10,	input [1] - 12:7	<b>keep</b> [4] - 5:12, 26:1,	legis [1] - 20:12	matters [3] - 8:7, 8:8
20:6	Institute's [1] - 6:22	29:23, 39:8	legisla [1] - 19:19	mean [3] - 13:2, 22:10,
ideally [3] - 9:15, 9:25,	interesting [2] - 30:19,	<b>key</b> [12] - 8:18, 10:4,	legislative [12] - 6:9,	31:8
20:14	31:3	10:8, 10:17, 11:4,	7:18, 10:13, 12:15,	meaning [1] - 31:1
ideas [2] - 8:9, 29:15	intermediary [1] -	13:5, 16:22, 17:11,	12:17, 14:23, 19:9,	means [2] - 12:21,
identified [4] - 42:14,	41:22	19:23, 19:24, 21:22,	19:15, 22:13, 27:21,	24:16
43:5, 43:23, 44:6	interpreters [1] - 22:2	24:20	31:1, 31:4	meant [1] - 24:12
identify [1] - 3:9	interrupt [2] - 17:1	kick [1] - 9:22	Legislative [4] - 12:22, 13:10, 20:13,	mechanics [1] - 38:15
identities [1] - 38:12	interrupting [1] - 14:4	<b>KIMBLE</b> [50] - 3:3,	12.22, 13.10, 20.13, 22:14	media [10] - 24:22,
ideology [1] - 30:21	interruption [1] -	3:11, 3:16, 4:3, 4:6,	legislature [4] - 5:1,	24:25, 25:14, 26:16,
ignorant [1] - 14:5	17:10	4:9, 4:11, 4:13, 7:10,	5:3, 6:8, 19:9	26:19, 26:22, 26:25,
<b>II</b> [1] - 3:19	interruptions [2] -	14:3, 15:14, 26:4,	5.5, 6.8, 19.9 length [1] - 10:16	27:5, 38:9, 41:24
<b>III</b> [1] - 4:14	16:11, 17:20	26:10, 28:9, 28:16,	less [1] - 36:25	meet [3] - 12:5, 23:13,
immediately [1] -	introducing [1] - 9:9	28:20, 29:19, 30:1,	letter [3] - 8:23, 9:7,	32:25
37:20	invaluable [1] - 28:10	30:6, 30:12, 33:5,	42:8	meeting [11] - 3:6,
impact [1] - 15:19	invited [2] - 12:19,	33:14, 34:23, 35:6,	42.0 letting [2] - 21:18,	3:20, 3:21, 7:23,
impacting [2] - 20:1,	15:9	35:9, 35:11, 35:16,	41:16	8:19, 9:21, 18:5,
20:16	involved [1] - 33:3	35:18, 36:3, 36:6,	level [5] - 19:16,	25:22, 37:3, 45:19
imperative [1] - 11:5	issue [2] - 10:23,	36:9, 36:15, 40:19,	20:21, 30:25, 31:1	meetings [2] - 18:5,
implement [6] - 9:5,	39:11	40:21, 41:6, 42:7,	Libertarian [1] - 12:25	34:5
12:10, 15:25, 18:18,	issues [9] - 10:12,	42:17, 42:21, 43:1,	lieutenant [4] - 7:19,	member [6] - 24:14,
27:13, 28:1	19:8, 19:25, 20:16,	43:3, 43:19, 44:1,	23:15, 23:17, 23:21	29:11, 29:12, 35:1,
importance [1] - 31:24	21:3, 25:11, 38:4,	44:4, 44:10, 44:12,	life [2] - 5:18, 34:18	35:22, 44:24
important [12] - 7:6,	43:14, 43:15	44:16, 45:9, 45:12,	limit [2] - 16:12, 44:22	<b>MEMBER</b> [10] - 30:5,
10:2, 10:9, 10:11,	Item [12] - 3:5, 3:19,	45:15, 45:17	limited [1] - 44:19	30:9, 30:13, 33:13,
11:4, 12:16, 13:22,	4:14, 7:14, 36:16,	<b>Kimble</b> [3] - 3:18,	limits [2] - 17:4, 17:5	33:17, 36:1, 36:5,
18:23, 21:24, 27:17,	42:14, 43:5, 43:7,	4:13, 35:18	lineup [1] - 24:3	36:8, 36:10, 36:13
37:14, 38:6	43:23, 43:25, 44:6,	kind [5] - 5:11, 39:4,	link [2] - 21:19, 31:25	members [16] - 7:11,
improve [1] - 27:18	45:5	40:2, 40:12, 42:4	listed [1] - 28:23	7:23, 8:24, 9:9, 9:13,
improved [1] - 21:8	item [3] - 9:2, 42:16,	kinds [2] - 5:18, 18:7	listen [1] - 31:12	18:18, 24:12, 28:6,
improving [1] - 25:3	43:9	<b>King</b> [2] - 5:23, 6:3	literally [1] - 15:7	29:20, 30:3, 30:9,
include [2] - 22:18,	itself [1] - 10:20	knowing [1] - 27:18	live [1] - 18:11	33:19, 35:22, 37:9,
22:22	<b>IV</b> [1] - 7:14	knowledge [3] -	local [4] - 19:6, 20:8,	41:7, 43:20
included [2] - 7:19,		19:10, 19:21, 21:3	24:24, 30:25	mention [5] - 4:20,
19:17	J	<b>KTNN</b> [2] - 23:5, 23:8	localize [1] - 22:9	5:13, 6:20, 31:16,
includes [2] - 21:18,	-		look [5] - 5:14, 9:5,	32:7
23:3	JLBC [1] - 5:7			mentioned [5] - 5:22,

18:19, 25:10, 32:15, 33:7 m Meredith [3] - 40:23, Μ 40:25, 41:1 merits [1] - 37:12 mess [1] - 31:21 1 message [1] - 31:22 met [2] - 5:17, 7:16 Μ Meyer [1] - 5:20 midst [1] - 40:8 might [5] - 7:5, 29:13, 39:22, 40:1, 40:12 2 Mike [4] - 19:18, 43:8, 43:10, 43:20 mind [1] - 5:5 minimum [1] - 39:17 minor [1] - 43:15 na minute [1] - 16:8 minutes [7] - 3:19, Na 3:21, 3:23, 4:2, 4:7, Na 16:9, 44:23 ne missed [1] - 41:4 2 model [1] - 25:4 3 moderating [1] ne 19:20 3 moderator [24] - 10:9, ne 10:11, 11:5, 14:18, 14:19, 16:7, 16:12, 16:14, 16:18, 17:6, 17:7, 17:19, 18:25, ne 19:2, 19:25, 20:5, ne 20:12, 20:23, 21:1, ne 21:3, 25:7, 25:14, ne 26:21, 26:23 moderators [18] -Ne 10:9, 10:17, 18:22, Ne 18:24, 19:6, 19:7, 19:11, 19:16, 19:18, Ne 20:3, 20:9, 20:12. 2 20:17, 21:8, 25:6, ne 26:16, 34:9, 34:17 ni moment [1] - 25:17 nc money [2] - 6:10, 38:8 monies [1] - 41:23 nc month [1] - 5:16 1 morning [4] - 3:3, nc 3:13, 6:20, 8:16 2 most [4] - 12:12, nc 15:18, 18:1, 37:20 mostly [1] - 38:5 4 nc motion [12] - 3:25, no 4:5, 4:7, 35:3, 35:10, no 42:13, 42:18, 42:20, 43:22, 44:3, 45:6, 45:11 no No **move** [10] - 4:1, 7:14, 35:5, 35:7, 35:21, nι 36:15, 42:15, 43:24, nι 45:5, 45:7 moved [3] - 31:19,

35:11, 44:5	
oving [1] - 6:18	
<b>R</b> [12] - 4:17, 4:23,	ob
4:24, 18:4, 36:2,	ob
36:11, 37:8, 40:20,	3
40:22, 42:3, 43:11,	3
44:15	oc
<b>S</b> [18] - 8:16, 14:15,	
15:13, 15:16, 18:10,	oc
18:11, 18:13, 25:19,	1
	of
25:24, 26:1, 26:2,	2
26:3, 26:6, 26:8,	of
26:11, 28:15, 29:1,	of
41:15	2
	of
Ν	of
45.0.40.40	of
ame [4] - 15:9, 16:16,	of
30:8, 30:9	of
ation [1] - 23:6	of
<b>avajo</b> [2] - 23:6, 23:7	on
ecessarily [4] -	on
20:24, 22:10, 32:2,	1
32:10	1
ecessary [2] - 19:2,	1
37:20	2
eed [10] - 6:19,	3
11:16, 13:23, 17:5,	3
17:12, 19:1, 20:12,	on
30:10, 38:12, 39:22	2
eeds [1] - 20:19	
eglecting [1] - 29:13	ор
evertheless [1] - 7:3	<b>о</b> р 1
<b>ew</b> [4] - 15:2, 24:4,	3
30:11, 38:21	
ews [1] - 19:15	ор
ewspaper [1] - 20:7	3
ewspapers [1] -	op
24:11	1
ext [5] - 12:11, 16:3,	1
18:22, 21:12, 33:12	ор
ight [1] - 13:9	2
one [3] - 7:14, 41:11,	ор
43:22	8
onpartisan [1] -	2
25:13	3
	ор
ontraditional [1] - 24:25	3
	ор
ote [3] - 5:1, 7:6,	3
40:22	or
otes [1] - 11:21	or
otice [1] - 39:25	6
otices [3] - 38:6,	3
40:10	or
otion [1] - 40:11	or
ovember [1] - 23:16	1
umber [1] - 36:19	or
umbers [1] - 6:13	or
	4
	ou

0 oligated [1] - 38:7 oviously [5] - 6:18, 33:25, 37:13, 37:15, 39:19 cur [1] - 31:24 curring [3] - 10:3, 0:6, 21:14 fer [3] - 24:11, 26:14, 26:19 fers [1] - 8:11 fice [4] - 22:19, 23:20, 23:24, 24:2 fices [1] - 20:1 ficial [1] - 9:18 ficially [1] - 37:18 ficials [1] - 7:20 ten [1] - 9:10 ftentimes [1] - 27:16 1ce [1] - 19:24 1e [20] - 5:5, 13:3, 13:4, 13:8, 13:14, 4:20, 15:4, 15:7, 15:24, 16:8, 16:9, 25:17, 29:11, 33:6, 34:5, 35:21, 36:7, 38:15, 40:23, 45:5 nline [5] - 8:19, 18:5, 28:6, 30:4, 35:2 oen [1] - 25:22 pening [5] - 16:7, 6:8, 16:17, 36:16, 37:10 **binions** [3] - 37:13, 39:11, 39:15 oponents [6] - 13:3, 3:8, 14:20, 15:2, 5:24, 16:15 oportunities [1] -24:7 oportunity [8] - 5:19, 8:11, 11:15, 11:17, 24:5, 24:17, 33:4, 38:8 ot [5] - 38:5, 38:6, 38:8, 39:25, 40:10 ot-out [4] - 38:5, 38:6, 39:25, 40:10 al [1] - 6:24 rder [7] - 3:5, 3:7, 6:20, 7:4, 16:16, 38:13 ganize [1] - 13:11 ganized [3] - 8:23, 0:23, 13:14 ganizing [1] - 34:2 riginal [2] - 38:11, 11:22 ught [2] - 6:17, 39:8

out-of-the-box [1] -33:10 outlets [2] - 24:22, 24:25 outline [1] - 40:17 outlined [1] - 38:14 outlining [1] - 42:8 outlying [1] - 23:5 outreach [5] - 5:15, 5:24, 21:12, 21:17, 22:23 overall [3] - 10:4, 30:19, 30:24 overemphasize [1] -33:20 overview [1] - 37:6 Ρ packet [3] - 3:22, 8:19, 41:18 page [1] - 8:20 Parnell [1] - 40:23 parnell [2] - 41:1, 41:13 PARNELL [1] - 41:15 part [4] - 6:15, 22:20, 38:6, 39:24 parte [1] - 39:6 participate [2] - 12:20, 14:11 particular [3] - 20:16, 22:25, 23:20 parties [2] - 7:20, 12:24 parting [2] - 35:23, 36:8 partner [2] - 24:13, 27:4 partnered [1] - 19:13 partnering [1] - 24:10 partners [6] - 25:15, 26:15, 26:16, 26:22, 26:25, 27:5 partners' [1] - 25:9 partnerships [3] -24:8, 24:22, 24:24 parts [1] - 32:18 party [7] - 12:19, 13:7, 13:24, 14:8, 14:10, 15:7, 27:22 past [7] - 5:15, 14:9, 15:6, 16:6, 21:16, 23:16, 25:4 Paula [1] - 45:2 pause [2] - 25:17, 26:6 paused [1] - 18:15 people [4] - 5:18, 8:10, 31:6, 34:7 per [2] - 15:7, 37:12

perfect [2] - 14:13, 18.13 perhaps [5] - 12:25, 13:3, 17:8, 23:7, 28:6 period [5] - 13:11, 25:21, 36:17, 36:22, 37:4 permit [1] - 16:10 permits [1] - 19:11 person [5] - 28:6, 30:3, 32:12, 35:25, 41.23 persons [1] - 38:7 perspective [7] - 9:6, 9:23, 9:24, 10:10, 10:11, 11:3, 33:23 pick [2] - 24:15, 26:20 picking [1] - 25:17 Pima [2] - 22:12, 22:13 place [4] - 17:24, 18:21, 21:11, 40:11 placed [1] - 36:25 places [2] - 32:9, 38:24 plan [2] - 21:17, 39:17 planning [5] - 13:16, 13:17, 15:20, 34:2, 34:17 platforms [1] - 11:18 play [1] - 31:13 pleasure [1] - 34:13 **plus** [1] - 6:10 point [4] - 41:2, 41:11, 42:11, 43:16 points [9] - 7:7, 8:18, 10:1, 16:22, 17:11, 19:23, 21:22, 24:20, 30:16 polarized [1] - 8:9 political [5] - 7:20, 12:18, 12:24, 33:8, 38:23 **pool** [5] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:11, 20:17, 26:21 population [1] - 20:3 position [2] - 23:18, 24:4 possible [10] - 3:19, 4:14, 7:15, 21:2, 21:9, 23:2, 23:4, 36:16, 38:19, 43:7 posted [2] - 8:19, 8:20 potential [3] - 20:22, 24:8, 25:8 potentially [2] - 31:5, 31:17 practice [1] - 12:7

practices [6] - 21:10,

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

23:11, 26:15, 27:3, 27:4, 27:14 precious [1] - 11:8 predictable [1] - 40:4 Prescott [2] - 4:23, 4.24 presenting [1] - 9:14 Presidential [2] put [2] - 8:4, 34:6 putting [1] - 34:2 26:14, 26:19 pretty [1] - 32:19 primary [4] - 13:13, 13:24, 14:22, 29:2 privilege [3] - 8:1, 24:9, 26:12 32:25 procedural [2] - 38:5, 40:5 procedurally [1] -37:21 procedures [1] - 18:20 41:24, 43:19 proceeding [1] - 40:3 proceedings [1] -39:18 32:19 process [24] - 7:25, quite [1] - 5:16 9:1, 12:13, 15:19, 16:1, 20:4, 21:2, 21:8, 21:14, 21:17, 25:2, 26:12, 27:21, 28:11, 33:2, 36:20, 38:10, 38:14, 39:10, 39:11, 39:12, 41:4, raise [1] - 41:23 41:9, 43:15 rates [1] - 5:9 processes [3] - 26:18, rather [1] - 41:1 27:16, 27:18 produce [1] - 26:22 production [1] - 24:13 professional [2] -19:5, 33:8 program [6] - 7:18, realize [3] - 31:6, 8:6, 8:10, 29:6, 29:7, 32:18, 32:19 29:16 project [1] - 30:19 prolonged [1] - 25:21 promote [1] - 22:4 promoting [2] - 22:6, 31:5 Prop [1] - 23:17 41:25 proposed [3] - 36:17, reason [1] - 7:1 37:5, 37:7 Proposition [1] reasons [1] - 18:7 36.18 protected [1] - 38:12 16:11, 17:21 prove [1] - 17:25 provide [7] - 23:24, 26:23, 27:6, 27:24, 38:7, 39:9, 39:25 recite [1] - 36:22 provided [2] - 12:6, 27:12 providing [1] - 18:2 **public** [24] - 8:5, 8:9, 13:18, 16:15, 29:11,

36:17, 36:22, 36:23, 36:24, 37:2, 37:4, 37:11, 37:18, 38:18, 39:20, 42:2, 42:14, 42:16, 42:19, 43:6, 44:16, 44:18, 44:24 purposes [1] - 16:13 O qualifications [1] questioned [1] - 14:25 questions [12] - 7:11, 16:10, 21:15, 21:20, 18:18 28:2, 28:8, 28:17, 40:12, 40:16, 41:8, 22:18 quick [2] - 5:1, 14:2 quickly [2] - 5:13, 36:11 25:25 quorum [1] - 3:18 R 39:7 radio [6] - 23:3, 31:5, 39:8 31:10, 31:13, 32:22 reach [5] - 11:6, 11:7, 11:10, 24:18, 27:7 reaching [1] - 25:1 read [2] - 9:11, 32:8 real [2] - 31:3, 33:10 really [21] - 4:20, 5:13, 39:20 5:16, 6:19, 6:25, 7:2, 8:7, 17:19, 18:25, 27:16 22:15, 24:17, 29:8, 30:20, 30:22, 31:19, 33:11, 34:12, 40:15, 30:15 36:17 reasonable [1] - 7:4 rebuttals [3] - 16:10, receive [1] - 40:22 received [1] - 3:21 recessed [1] - 5:3 recognize [1] - 32:4 recognized [1] - 31:20 recollection [1] - 14:7 recommend [2] -

26:17.28:3 recommendation [9] -13:5, 13:17, 14:13, 14:16, 15:3, 15:11, 17:3, 20:18, 23:23 recommendations [27] - 5:25, 6:4, 9:3, 9:5, 9:15, 10:1, 12:1, 12:3, 12:5, 12:6, 16:23, 17:11, 17:12, 17:19, 17:23, 18:17, 19:23, 21:22, 23:10, 23:13, 24:20, 27:2, 27:11, 27:20, 27:24, 28:14, 39:6 recommended [1] recommends [1] record [6] - 3:9, 18:5, 25:23, 30:8, 34:24, recording [5] - 18:12, 18:15, 25:18, 25:20, recordkeeping [1] records [2] - 18:7, recruiting [1] - 34:3 recruitment [1] - 21:1 reduction [1] - 5:9 reflect [1] - 36:11 reflects [1] - 38:20 regarding [1] - 36:16 regardless [1] - 12:18 regards [1] - 9:2 regions [1] - 20:10 registration [1] - 4:25 regulated [2] - 36:23, reinforce [2] - 27:2, reinvigorate [1] - 29:6 reiterate [3] - 14:12, related [3] - 6:14, rely [1] - 17:19 remember [1] - 31:12 repeating [1] - 25:3 report [22] - 4:15, 5:22, 6:1, 6:5, 7:9, 8:14, 8:17, 8:18, 8:20, 8:23, 9:10, 9:14, 9:15, 28:4, 32:8, 33:14, 33:25, 34:12, 34:18, 35:4, 35:8, 35:12 reported [1] - 5:7

Republican [2] -13:13, 14:24 Republicans [1] -12.24 request [2] - 26:22 require [3] - 13:15, 13:17, 16:1 requires [1] - 15:20 rescheduling [1] -44:20 reservation [1] - 31:7 respect [1] - 32:4 responding [1] -44:21 response [8] - 3:24, 7:13, 41:10, 42:4, 42:12, 43:21, 45:1, 45:4 responses [3] - 16:10, 16:12, 17:21 responsibilities [1] -22:19 result [2] - 34:12, 44:18 returns [1] - 6:15 revenues [1] - 5:8 review [3] - 6:25, 8:13, 9:14 Richard [1] - 19:17 road [1] - 31:12 **ROBERTS** [11] - 8:16, 14:15, 15:13, 15:16, 18:10, 18:13, 26:1, 26:8, 26:11, 28:15, 29.1**ROBSON** [9] - 30:5, 30:9, 30:13, 33:13, 36:1, 36:5, 36:8, 36:10, 36:13 **Robson** [4] - 30:7, 30:10, 34:15, 36:6 **Robson's** [1] - 33:18 roll [5] - 4:8, 35:13, 42:23, 44:7, 45:12 Ruelas [1] - 19:17 rule [8] - 37:3, 37:5, 38:3, 38:5, 39:9, 39:22, 40:6 rulemaking [1] - 36:20 rules [27] - 11:23, 16:4, 16:6, 16:24, 17:12, 17:13, 18:16, 22:19, 36:17, 36:20, 36:25, 37:7, 37:11, 37:19, 37:24, 39:6, 39:17, 39:18, 40:10, 41:9, 41:12, 41:20, 42:11, 42:13, 42:16, 42:19, 43:5 **run** [5] - 11:23, 13:11,

running [2] - 13:13, 23:21 rural [2] - 23:5, 32:11 S Sahaurita [1] - 19:15 sat [1] - 29:13 saw [1] - 16:24 scenarios [2] - 41:21, 42:9 schedule [4] - 10:6, 22:11, 22:12, 22:15 scheduling [1] - 34:3 se [1] - 37:12 Seattle [1] - 5:23 second [10] - 4:4, 4:5, 35:9, 35:10, 42:19, 42:20, 44:2, 44:3, 45:10, 45:11 seconded [3] - 35:11, 42:21, 44:5 section [16] - 8:25, 9:2, 9:19, 12:3, 12:9, 12:12, 13:5, 16:3, 17:23, 18:22, 18:23, 21:12, 27:9, 30:21, 37:23, 38:10 sections [1] - 11:25 see [18] - 6:4, 8:25, 10:8, 11:3, 13:20, 15:23, 15:25, 17:18, 21:23, 23:1, 29:4, 29:11, 31:17, 33:12, 34:12, 36:19, 39:19, 41.13 seed [1] - 6:10 seeing [1] - 29:16 seek [1] - 37:5 selected [2] - 25:8, 26:16 selecting [1] - 34:16 Senate [8] - 12:23, 13:12, 13:13, 14:10, 14:24, 16:17, 24:11, 24:18 send [1] - 31:22 sending [1] - 31:22 sense [2] - 14:14, 38:25 separated [1] - 14:7 serve [6] - 19:2, 19:6, 20:9, 27:3, 27:15, 27:24 service [1] - 29:14 services [2] - 23:6 set [5] - 32:6, 37:11, 38:18, 39:10, 39:16 sets [4] - 10:15, 19:2,

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

13:18, 16:2, 16:6

21:4. 36:19 stakeholders [2] -SHAFFER [1] - 4:23 36:24, 39:20 share [3] - 8:11, stand [1] - 11:18 37:15, 41:3 standards [1] - 9:20 show [5] - 11:24, start [3] - 16:7, 21:1, 13:11, 13:18, 16:2, 32:17 16<sup>.</sup>6 started [1] - 6:16 showcase [1] - 27:17 state [11] - 5:2, 5:17, side [1] - 15:21 19:10, 19:22, 24:16, Sign [1] - 22:2 27:5, 27:8, 30:8, signed [1] - 5:2 31:1, 32:18 significant [6] - 12:12, State [2] - 13:12, 15:19, 18:1, 30:20, 13:13 34:4 statements [2] - 16:9, significantly [1] - 5:8 16:17 statewide [3] - 19:16, similar [1] - 39:11 Simmons [1] - 19:17 22:11, 22:21 simply [1] - 37:17 station [3] - 20:22, 20:25. 32:22 single [4] - 12:21, statute [2] - 38:13, 13:2. 13:9. 34:5 **skill** [3] - 10:15, 19:2, 38:17 step [1] - 41:20 21:4 Steve [1] - 3:10 small [1] - 31:13 still [8] - 13:3, 13:9, smaller [1] - 24:25 13:23, 14:21, 14:23, smoothly [1] - 28:12 17:7, 18:11, 25:22 solicit [1] - 36:23 sometime [1] - 5:10 stops [1] - 25:21 stream [4] - 24:15, sometimes [1] - 29:8 25:9, 25:15, 25:24 soon [5] - 21:9, 40:5, strengthen [6] -40:6, 42:5, 42:6 **sorry** [2] - 25:19, 17:24, 21:10, 23:12, 27:3, 27:15 40:20 strengthening [1] sort [2] - 6:16, 9:21 18:19 sources [1] - 38:11 strict [1] - 17:6 speakers [1] - 19:5 strong [2] - 25:7, speaking [2] - 17:3, 29.17 37:21 stronger [1] - 29:17 specific [4] - 10:14, structure [4] - 8:17, 20:1, 38:1, 41:21 8:22, 13:6, 16:21 specifically [2] structuring [2] -21:17, 22:15 spending [2] - 38:9, 15:10, 27:22 stuck [1] - 32:5 41.24 study [1] - 44:19 split [2] - 10:22, 15:6 submit [3] - 21:14. splitting [1] - 15:3 21:20, 41:16 sponsor [1] - 5:19 substantive [3] spring [1] - 7:17 19:12, 21:4, 27:21 Stacey [1] - 19:17 successful [4] - 9:20, staff [25] - 9:4, 12:2, 9:22, 24:19, 34:19 12:9, 13:16, 15:21, sufficient [1] - 40:17 15:24, 17:22, 18:16, suggested [1] - 20:2 21:6, 23:10, 24:2, suggestions [2] -27:1, 27:10, 27:12, 10:21, 44:17 28:3, 28:22, 29:3, Sun [1] - 19:15 30:17, 33:19, 34:2, super [1] - 33:23 34:11, 36:20, 40:3, Superior [1] - 6:21 40:7, 44:19 staff's [1] - 38:2 Supervisors [1] - 6:2 staffed [1] - 28:25 supply [1] - 17:16 staffers [1] - 29:2 supported [1] - 29:3

surprise [1] - 39:3 Т table [1] - 8:2 tactics [1] - 23:2 tailoring [1] - 22:15 talent [2] - 20:9, 20:23 talks [1] - 9:4 target [1] - 6:18 tax [2] - 5:9, 6:15 technical [4] - 17:9, 18:3, 18:8, 25:16 technically [1] - 14:21 Ted [1] - 19:17 televisions [1] - 31:7 tenor [1] - 10:16 terms [5] - 16:17, 20:20, 21:6, 21:25, 38:22 text [2] - 31:22, 39:14 theme [4] - 10:8, 16:23, 19:24, 25:5 they've [2] - 5:25, 8:4 thinking [1] - 33:10 third [1] - 38:14 throughout [1] - 7:16 ticket [2] - 13:24, 23:21 tighten [2] - 17:24, 27:15 tightening [1] - 18:20 timeline [1] - 38:2 **TITLA** [12] - 3:10, 4:5, 4:12, 29:21, 35:10, 35:17, 42:20, 43:2, 44:3, 44:11, 45:11, 45:16 Titla [12] - 3:10, 3:12, 4:6, 4:11, 29:22, 30:1, 35:16, 42:22, 43:1, 44:4, 44:10, 45:15 today [1] - 29:12 together [10] - 7:24, 9:10, 9:17, 9:25, 13:1, 14:17, 28:11, 30:22, 31:14, 33:12 Tom [4] - 4:16, 7:10, 37:6, 41:25 ton [1] - 40:15 took [2] - 5:10, 6:13 toolkit [1] - 23:2 toolkits [1] - 22:3 topics [6] - 11:12, 11:19, 12:8, 17:15, 17:16, 18:2 totally [1] - 39:3 traditional [1] - 32:6 transferring [1] -

41:22 transition [1] - 19:7 transitioning [1] -19.5transparent [1] - 9:17 tried [2] - 37:19, 39:1 true [7] - 13:3, 13:7, 14:20, 15:2, 15:4, 15:24, 16:15 truly [1] - 9:22 try [4] - 16:14, 37:9, 40:11, 40:13 trying [2] - 30:23, 31:9 Tucson [2] - 4:23, 4:24 tune [8] - 10:4, 10:7, 13:20, 21:14, 21:18, 22:14, 24:17, 31:25 tuning [1] - 21:19 turned [2] - 41:13, 43:13 **TV** [1] - 25:11 two [4] - 13:12, 13:20, 16:9, 44:22 U **U.S** [2] - 24:11, 24:18 ultimately [23] - 12:2, 12:9, 13:23, 15:20, 16:14, 17:12, 17:22, 17:25, 18:16, 18:19, 20:5, 21:2, 21:7, 21:9, 23:1, 23:11, 23:17, 23:23, 25:1, 27:1, 27:6, 27:11, 27:23 unable [1] - 35:24 unbiased [1] - 25:13 under [5] - 6:17, 36:21, 39:1, 39:8, 39:11 undertaking [1] - 8:4 unless [1] - 40:16 **up** [18] - 5:17, 6:16, 10:22, 11:7, 15:3, 15:6, 17:24, 18:15, 18:20, 24:15, 25:17, 26:20, 27:15, 29:23, 31:5, 36:3, 37:2 update [2] - 30:24 utilize [1] - 23:1 utilized [2] - 25:4, 32:2 utilizing [2] - 19:6, 23:3 V Valley [1] - 19:15 variety [1] - 5:17

verbatim [1] - 38:25 **VI** [4] - 43:7, 43:23, 43:25, 44:6 view [1] - 38:2 viewing [2] - 13:23, 18:5 VIII [1] - 45:5 vote [8] - 4:13, 13:24, 35:15, 35:19, 42:25, 44:9, 44:12, 45:14 voter [12] - 4:24, 5:15, 5:24, 9:23, 10:2, 10:10, 10:24, 16:10, 21:12, 21:16, 22:22, 24:1 voters [31] - 5:6, 8:1, 8:8, 10:5, 11:1, 11:10, 11:14, 11:15, 11:17, 13:19, 13:22, 15:22, 17:14, 17:16, 21:13, 21:18, 21:25, 22:4, 22:7, 22:22, 22:25, 23:3, 23:16, 23:25, 24:3, 24:16, 24:22, 27:6, 27:19, 27:25, 31:14 Voters' [4] - 6:23, 36:18, 36:21, 39:1 votes [3] - 43:3, 44:12, 45:17 W walks [1] - 5:18 wants [1] - 26:19 watched [1] - 14:6 ways [1] - 32:5 Web [1] - 8:20 week [3] - 5:20, 31:23, 41:18

weigh [1] - 37:12 welcome [2] - 37:14, 37:15 whole [3] - 28:11, 31:21, 33:24 willing [1] - 20:8 willingness [2] - 7:24, 25:9 wish [3] - 30:4, 41:11, 44.24 wonderful [1] - 30:17 words [1] - 31:18 workgroup [30] - 7:15, 7:16, 8:6, 8:24, 9:3, 9:9, 9:13, 9:16, 9:21, 9:25, 12:5, 13:6, 14:16, 18:17, 18:23, 19:24, 20:2, 21:23, 22:17, 23:19, 24:21, 26:12, 26:17, 27:10,

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

	1
27:12, 28:3, 28:5,	
29:5, 29:11, 29:14	
written [1] - 4:2	
Y	
-	
Yavapai [1] - 4:22 year [3] - 5:10, 5:11,	
23:16	
<b>years</b> [4] - 6:8, 31:20,	
33:11, 34:20	
yesterday [1] - 5:7	
yourselves [1] - 3:9 youth [2] - 20:3, 20:4	
YouTube [1] - 25:25	
Z	
<b>Zoom</b> [2] - 28:6, 45:2	
,,, ,	

### CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT July 27, 2023

### Announcements:

- The qualifying period for participating candidates commences August 1.
- The next consolidated election date is August 1, 2023. Prescott and Tucson are conducting mayoral and council ballot by mail elections.
  - The last recommended day to return ballots by mail was July 25th.
  - Voters can find ballot drop off locations and ballot replacement centers on the Clean Elections website.
- The legislature is expected to continue to the session starting next week.

## Voter Education and Outreach:

- Avery was a panelist for the Arizona's Youth Electorate: Exploring the Political Behavior of Young Swing Voters discussion by the McCain Institute.
- Gina taught at the Arizona Secretary of State's Election Officer Certification training on partners in elections for voter education and outreach, tribes, and political parties. The training is a crucial part of election preparedness. Certification is required for anyone who serves as an election officer.
- Alec created a how to guide for local jurisdictions to include in their candidate packets so local candidates may create profiles on the Clean Elections website.
- Avery participates in Arizona Commission of African American Affairs committee meetings, Arizona African American Legislative Council and the Mesa Community College Civic Action Council
- Avery and Alec met with Coconino County to discuss election information communication and website design.
- Commissioner Meyer, Tom, Gina, and Avery attended the 12th Annual African American Conference on Disabilities
- Avery was a vendor at the 9th Annual Teacher Leadership Summit to promote Clean Elections civics curriculum.
- Tom and Avery attended the 75th Anniversary Native Right to Vote luncheon.
- Avery met with Pima County's Recorder's Office program coordinator, Marion Chabon to discuss outreach in 2024.
- Tom, Mike and Gina met with the Arizona Center for Disability Law to discuss voter outreach.
- Tom, Mike and Gina met with the Carter Center to discuss voter outreach.

## Administration:

- Staff members continue to attend meetings related to the Election Procedures Manual Drafting process.
- Gov. Hobbs Bipartisan Elections Task Force continues its work on the matters outlined in the Executive Order creating the task force.
- Arizona State University's Center for an Inclusive and Sustainable Democracy launched its report on voter views of elections last week. The report, which Clean Elections and Greater Phoenix Leadership supported, identifies reforms that registered voters support, including decreasing partisanship in elections and

election administration, as well indicating strong confidence in Arizona elections. The report is here along with a press release: <u>https://news.asu.edu/20230717-4-5-arizona-voters-asu-survey-favor-nonpartisan-primary-system</u>. Phoenix television station KPNX recently featured the report on its flagship Sunday Square-Off public affairs program. That is available here: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U5eL3wfUFPY&list=PLDWDKoBbuKNdH4kK</u> <u>Fh7-w6BhAO2p-ykSP&index=1</u>. We hope to have the authors\_including center co-director Thom Reilly\_at a

We hope to have the authors, including center co-director Thom Reilly, at a future meeting.

# Legal:

- Center for Arizona Policy v. Arizona Secretary of State
  - Suit challenging Prop. 211, the Voters Right to Know Act, on state constitutional grounds. Superior Court for Maricopa County.
  - Following the grant of a motion to dismiss with a leave to refile, Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on Friday.
- <u>Americans for Prosperity v. Meyer</u>, No. 2:23-cv-00470-ROS (D. Ariz.)
  - Suit challenging Prop. 211 on First Amendment grounds.
  - Commission, the VRKA Committee, and the Attorney General Office's have filed motions to dismiss. Briefing is ongoing.
- <u>The Power of Fives, LLC v. Clean Elections</u>, CV2021-015826, Superior Court for Maricopa County & <u>Clean Elections v. The Power of Fives, LLC et al.</u> CV2022-053917, Superior Court for Arizona. Various motions pending.
- <u>Lake v. Hobbs</u>, CV2022-095403 (Maricopa County). After a hearing on remand in Superior Court, Judge Peter Thompson again reaffirmed Governor Hobbs victory in the gubernatorial election last year. This case is now on appeal.
- <u>Kentch v. Mayes</u>, CV2022-015455 (Mohave County). Contestant and former Attorney General Candidate Abe Hamadeh's motion for a new trial was denied. An appeal was expected.
- Litigation challenging SB1485, HB2492 and HB2243, as well as SB1260 is ongoing.
- There are additional post-hearing and sanctions related litigation arising from other election law cases and contests in Superior Court and District Court. The District Court in Phoenix recently ordered attorneys for Lake and former Secretary of State Candidate Mark Finchem to pay over \$120,000 in sanctions for a false statement in their pleadings.

# Appointments:

• No additional information at this time

# Enforcement:

• MUR 21-01, TPOF, pending.

# Regulatory Agenda:

The Commission may conduct a rulemaking even if the rulemaking is not included on the annual regulatory agenda.

If the Commission approves the items on the agenda day for public comment, the regulatory agenda will be updated.

The following information is provided as required by A.R.S. § 41-1021.02:

- Notice of Docket Opening:
  - R2-20-211. R2-20-220, R2-20-223- clarify roles of executive director and other representatives of the commission in enforcement proceedings. 28 A.A.R. 3489, October 28, 2022
  - R2-20-305 & R2-20-306 provide for a process to address complaints against a commissioner. January 20, 2023.
- Notice of Proposed Rulemaking:
  - R2-20-211. R2-20-220, R2-20-223- clarify roles of executive director and other representatives of the commission in enforcement proceedings. 28 A.A.R. 3409, October 28, 2022.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: 28 A.A.R. 3409, October 28, 2022

- R2-20-305 & R2-20-306- provide for a process to address complaints against a commissioner. January 20, 2023
- R2-20-801 to R2-20-809 providing for definitions, time computations, opt out notices, exemptions, disclaimers, communications with the Commission, record keeping, and advisory opinions, 29 A.A.R. 1571, July 14, 2023.
- Federal funds for proposed rulemaking: None
- Review of existing rules: None pending
- Notice of Final Rulemaking:
  - o Amendments to R2-20-220 and R2-20-223, 29 A.A.R. 994, May 5, 2023.
  - o Amendments to R2-20-305 & R2-20-306, 29 A.A.R. 1549, July 14, 2023.
- Rulemakings terminated: Amendment to R2-20-211. 29 A.A.R. 1149, May 12, 2023.
- Privatization option or nontraditional regulatory approach considered: **None Applicable.**

# 8 Tracking List: 2023 Bills

# HB2017 - Public officers; residency requirements

Rep. Timothy M. Dunn (R)

#### Summary

The deputy or assistant of an elected officer of Arizona is not required to be an Arizona resident, but is required to be a U.S. citizen.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Government 9-0

Failed in the House 15-45

# HB2072 - Voter registration; same day

#### Sponsor

Rep. Laura Terech (D)

#### Summary

A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register during the 28 days immediately preceding an election and is eligible to vote in that election if the person has been a resident of the county and the precinct in which the person resides for at least 29 days immediately preceding the election. A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register on election day by appearing at the polling place, completing a registration form, and providing proof of residence. Registration under these circumstances does not qualify a person to vote in a partisan primary election.

## HB2073 - Automatic voter registration

#### Sponsor

Rep. Laura Terech (D)

#### Summary

Every person who is applying for a driver license or renewal, including a nonoperating identification license or renewal, or who is making changes to drive license information and who is otherwise qualified to register to vote must be registered to vote automatically on completion of the license application unless the applicant declines to register. A person who is not qualified to register to vote and who unknowingly registers under this provision is not guilty of false registration or false swearing. Effective January 1, 2024.

## HB2078 - Counties; elections; state audits

#### Sponsor

Rep. Lupe Diaz (R)

#### Summary

An "eligible person" (defined as a candidate in the election, a county political party chairperson, or the chairperson of a political committee that supports or opposes a ballot measure that was on the ballot in the election) is authorized to make a written request to the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections for an explanation and supporting documentation regarding an action taken by an election officer that appears to violate statute, irregularities in precinct or voting center results, and/or inadequacy of or irregularity in documentation required to be maintained by statute. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to provide the requested explanation and supporting documentation within 20 days after the request. If the eligible person is not satisfied, the person is authorized to request an additional explanation and supporting documentation, which the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections must provide within 10 days. If the eligible person is not satisfied with the additional explanation, the person is authorized to submit a written request to the Secretary of State regarding the requests. The Secretary of State is required to review the matters in question and may request additional information from the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections, which must be responded to within 30 days. If not satisfied with the response, the Secretary of State is authorized to conduct an audit of the claimed actions, irregularities, or inadequacies of the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to remedy matters specified in the Secretary of State's findings within 30 days. The Secretary of State is authorized to assess a civil penalty of no more than \$500 for each unresolved finding against the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections.

#### Action Taken

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-28 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

# HB2096 - Early ballots; Friday deadline

#### Sponsor

Rep. Selina Bliss (R)

#### Summary

Early ballots are no longer allowed to be deposited at any polling place on election day, and instead are required to be delivered in person to the office of the county recorder or to a polling place or other voting location by 5:00 PM on the Friday before election day. Repeals statutes governing on-site tabulation of early ballots.

# HB2116 - Election laws; revisions; appropriation

#### Sponsor

Rep. Athena Salman (D)

#### Summary

Numerous changes to statutes relating to election law. A conviction for a felony no longer suspends the person's right to vote. For an early ballot issued at an early voting location, if the voter presents proper identification, the county recorder is allowed to tabulate the voter's ballot without conducting signature verification on the ballot affidavit. The hours for on-site early voting are extended through 5:00PM on the Monday preceding the election, instead of 5:00PM on the Friday preceding the election, and emergency voting during that time period is eliminated. If a county recorder determines that a provisional ballot voter is not properly registered to vote, the county recorder is required to use the information from the provisional ballot to register the person to vote for subsequent election instructions and procedures manual adopted by the Secretary of State. Appropriates \$100,000 from the general fund in each of FY2023-24 and FY2024-25 to the Secretary of State to provide risk-limiting audit grants to officers in charge of elections to conduct risk-limiting audits for the 2024 general election instead of a hand count audit. The Secretary of State is required to report any findings and recommendations related to the use of risk-limiting audits to the Legislature by March 31, 2025.

# HB2124 - Ballot measure amendments

#### Sponsor

Rep. Athena Salman (D)

#### Summary

Various changes to statutes relating to initiative and referendum measures. Repeals statute requiring constitutional and statutory requirements for statewide initiative measures to be strictly construed and requiring persons using the initiative process to strictly comply with those constitutional and statutory requirements. At any time before a person or organization submits an application for initiative petition or referendum petition, a political committee that intends to file that application is allowed to submit the proposed description of the principal provisions of the measure to the Attorney General for a determination of whether the description is lawful and sufficient. The Attorney General is required to approve or reject the description within ten days after submittal. If rejected, the Attorney General must state the reasons for the rejection. If approved, any challenge to the description must be filed in the superior court within ten days after the Attorney General's approval. Repeals statute allowing a political committee that intends to support or oppose an initiative or referendum measure to submit a copy of the text of the proposed law, referral or constitutional amendment to the director of the Legislative Council to prepare recommendations to improve the text of the proposed measure. Contains a legislative intent clause.

# HB2133 - Candidates; missed filings; termination

#### Sponsor

Rep. Athena Salman (D)

#### Summary

If a candidate committee fails to file a timely and complete campaign finance report within five days after the filing deadline, the candidate's candidacy is terminated by operation of law, is prohibited from making any further expenditures, and the candidate is no longer eligible to be a candidate for the office for which the candidate committee is established.

#### Effect on CCEC

Could lead the Commission to require funding to be returned, require new rules to determine how much must be returned, timeframe of the return, etc. Could lead to confusion with the pamphlet and debates regarding who is attending, why the candidate is not in the pamphlet, etc.

# HB2134 - Campaign finance; caregiving expenditures

#### Sponsor

Rep. Athena Salman (D)

#### Summary

Declares that a candidate committee's payment for direct care, protection and supervision of a child or other individual for whom the candidate has direct caregiving responsibilities is a lawful expenditure of candidate committee monies. A legislative intent section states that this change is clarifying and not substantive.

#### Effect on CCEC

Would require updating eligible uses of the CCEC funding.

# HB2143 - Rulemaking review; time frame

#### Sponsor

Rep. Timothy M. Dunn (R)

#### Summary

When the Legislature has granted a one-time rulemaking exemption to an agency, the agency is required to review any rule adopted under the exemption within six months after the rule was adopted, reduced from one year, to determine whether it should be amended or repealed.

#### Action taken

Passed House Government 9-0

Passed the House 60-0 and was sent to the Senate

A strike everything amendment was added and this bill is now about water usage.

# HB2144 - Open meetings; capacity; posting; violation

#### Sponsor

Rep. Timothy M. Dunn (R)

#### Summary

All public bodies are required to provide for an amount of seating sufficient to accommodate the reasonably anticipated attendance of all persons desiring to attend the deliberations and proceedings, when feasible. The agenda for a public meeting is required to include notice of the time that the public will have physical access to the meeting place. A head of a public body that violates this requirement is liable for a civil penalty as provided in statute for open meeting law violations.

#### Effect on CCEC

Already provide numerous seats for the public both in person and virtually.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Government 6-3

Passed the House 60-0 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Government 8-0

# HB2155 - Middle school students; civics; instruction.

#### Sponsor

Rep. David Livingston (R)

#### Summary

Establishes the Arizona Civics Education and Leadership Development Program within the Arizona Department of Education (ADE) to provide civics education and leadership development training to middle school students who are enrolled in a school district, charter school, or private school in Arizona. ADE is required to develop procedures for eligible nonprofit organizations to apply to be instructional service providers for the Program, and eligibility requirements are listed. By November 1 of each year, each service provider is required to report specified information on the Program to ADE, and ADE is required to compile the reports and submit them to the Governor and the Legislature. Appropriates \$300,000 from the general fund in FY2023-24 to the newly established Arizona Civics Education and Leadership Development Fund for the Program.

#### Effect on CCEC

Would be an opportunity to use CCEC civics program that has already been developed.

# HB2229 - Legislative intent; secrecy; mail voting

#### Sponsor

Rep. Liz Harris (R)

#### Summary

Voting by mail is banned in Arizona. Persons who are unable to go to the polls will be provided alternate means of voting that ensure secrecy in voting to the greatest extent possible. Does not apply to persons covered by the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act and Arizona citizens who are temporarily residing out of state. The Legislature is required to put in place additional measures to ensure as much secrecy as possible for these voters, including confirming that the person is an Arizona resident and registered voter, ensuring that the mailed ballot is sent to the correct address, and having a certified witness attest that the voter voted in the absence of others and that the voter did not show any other person the voted ballot before placing it in the envelope. Contains a legislative intent section.

# HB2305 - Ballots; signature verification; observers

#### Sponsor

Rep. Cory McGarr (R)

#### Summary

The county recorder and county officer in charge of elections are required to allow representatives of the two largest political parties entitled to continued representation on the ballot to observe each stage of the signature verification process for early, provisional and conditional provisional ballots.

#### Action Take

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-29 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent back to the House

Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2306 - Ballot custody; verification; observers

#### Sponsor

Rep. Cory McGarr (R)

#### Summary

The county recorder and the county officer in charge of elections are required to maintain an accurate log of the chain of custody for unvoted and voted ballots. The chain of custody log must begin when unvoted ballots are received by the county recorder and county officer in charge of elections from the ballot printer and continue until completion of the canvass. Representatives of the two largest political parties entitled to continued representation on the ballot are required to observe and verify each transfer of custody.

# HB2308 - Secretary of state; election; recusal

#### Sponsor

Rep. Rachel Jones (R)

#### Summary

The Secretary of State is prohibited from taking any action with respect to the portion of an election in which the Secretary of State is a candidate, and is required to announce publicly the person in the Secretary of State's office who will perform those duties. Was amended by removing the requirement to announce the person that is handling the duties of the Secretary and to allow for the Secretary to certify the statewide canvas.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 7-3

Passed the House 31-29 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent back to the House as it was amended

Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2319 - Elections; rule of construction

#### Sponsor

Rep. Alexander Kolodin (R)

#### Summary

The Legislature declares that the purpose of statutes regulating the conduct of elections is to provide the people of Arizona with a transparent system for conducting elections. If there are two competing interpretations of statutes regulating the conduct of elections, the provisions are required to be aggressively construed in favor of the reading that provides greater transparency. The Legislature declares that existing court opinions relating to statutes regulating the conduct of elections do not have precedential force or effect if the opinions conflict with the rule of construction prescribed in this legislation.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2322 - Early ballots; signatures; guidelines; challenges

#### Sponsor

Rep. Alexander Kolodin (R)

#### Summary

The Secretary of State's July 2020 signature verification guide constitutes the minimum requirements for comparison of signatures. Challengers to the verification of questioned ballots must be allowed to be present and to make challenges during the verification of signatures without regard to whether a challenge is made at a polling place, voting center, or early election board or other counting facility. A legislative intent section states that these are clarifying changes to confirm existing law.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 47-13 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2334 - Permanent early voting list

#### Sponsor

Rep. Seth Blattman (D)

#### Summary

The active early voting list is renamed the permanent early voting list. The county recorder is no longer required to remove a voter from the list if the voter fails to vote using an early ballot in all elections for two consecutive election cycles.

# HB2364 - Lobbyists; gift ban exemption

#### Sponsor

Rep. Leezah Elsa Sun (D)

#### Summary

The maximum value of a gift that a lobbyist may give to a member of the Legislature is increased to \$20, from \$10.

# HB2377 - Public officers; lobbying; prohibition

#### Sponsor

Rep. Leo Biasiucci (R)

#### Summary

A public officer is prohibited from representing another person for compensation before any public agency.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Regulatory Affairs 7-0

Passed the House 44-16 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Government 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent back to the House

Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2378 - Officials; political action committee prohibition

#### Sponsor

Rep. Leo Biasiucci (R)

#### Summary

An individual who is an election officer or employee or who oversees any significant aspect of election operations is prohibited from being a chairperson, treasurer or other member of a political action committee. Does not apply to an individual's membership in a candidate committee for that individual's own candidacy.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 10-0

Passed the House 49-11 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Failed in the Senate 13-16

Failed in the Senate a second time 12-18

# HB2415 - Active early voting lists; removal

## Sponsor

Rep. Leo Biasiucci (R)

## Summary

The county recorder is required to remove a voter from the active early voting list if the voter fails to vote an early ballot in all elections for one election cycle, instead of two consecutive election cycles.

## **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-29 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2477 - Electoral college; support

### Sponsor

Rep. Steve Montenegro (R)

### Summary

The Legislature affirms the importance of the electoral college for presidential elections in this country for a list of specified reasons.

## **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-29 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2552 - Voting; elections; tally; prohibition

## Sponsor

Rep. Austin Smith (R)

#### Summary

For every election held in Arizona, the person who receives the highest number of legal votes is required to be declared elected. The state, counties, municipalities, or political subdivisions are prohibited from using a voting method in an election or nomination process for any state, city, town, county, or federal office that allows voters to select or rank, designate or otherwise indicate approval of or preference for more candidates than are eligible to be declared elected for any office; that allows ballots cast to be tabulated in any manner that involves the elimination of candidates through multiple rounds of tabulation or the transfer or redistribution of votes between or among candidates; or that

requires the ranking of every candidate for an office as a condition of a voter's vote being counted in the final tally.

### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-28

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HCR2004 - Legislators; minimum age of eighteen

#### Sponsor

Rep. Matt Gress (R)

### Summary

The 2024 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to lower the minimum age to qualify to be a member of the Legislature to 18, from 25, and to require the person to be a resident of Arizona for at least three consecutive years at the time of election and of the district from which s/he is elected for at least one consecutive year at the time of election. Previously the person was required to be a resident of Arizona for at least three years for at least three years and a resident of the county from which s/he is elected for at least one year.

## Effect on CCEC

Increases the value of the Commission's current involvement with schools

# SB1011 - Municipalities; partisan elections

#### Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

#### Summary

Municipal elections may be held with the candidate's political party registration indicated on the ballot. Applies to municipal elections held on or after January 1, 2024.

## Action Taken

Passed Senate Government 6-1

Passed the Senate 18-12 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1020 - Open meetings; capacity; posting

## Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

### Summary

All public bodies are required to provide for an amount of seating sufficient to accommodate the reasonably anticipated attendance of all persons desiring to attend the deliberations and proceedings, when feasible. The agenda for a public meeting is required to include notice of the time that the public will have physical access to the meeting place.

## Effect on CCEC

Commission already provides numerous seats for the public both in person and virtually

# SB1048 - Campaign finance; reporting threshold; lobbyists

#### Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

#### Summary

The list of receipts that must be itemized in campaign finance reports is modified to require itemization of contributions from in-state individuals whose contributions exceed \$200 for that election cycle, increased from \$100, and to require itemization of contributions from individuals who are registered lobbyists.

#### Effect on CCEC

Current individual contribution limit for CCEC candidates is \$180. No reporting would be required at that level. (The individual contribution limit for CCEC candidates will increase for 2024 based on inflation.)

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Government 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1054 - Middle school students; civics; instruction

#### Sponsor

Sen. David Gowan (R)

#### Summary

Establishes the Arizona Civics Education and Leadership Development Program within the Arizona Department of Education (ADE) to provide civics education and leadership development training to middle school students who are enrolled in a school district, charter school, or private school in Arizona. ADE is required to develop procedures for eligible nonprofit organizations to apply to be

instructional service providers for the Program, and eligibility requirements are listed. By November 1 of each year, each service provider is required to report specified information on the Program to ADE, and ADE is required to compile the reports and submit them to the Governor and the Legislature. Appropriates \$300,000 from the general fund in FY2023-24 to the newly established Arizona Civics Education and Leadership Development Fund for the Program.

## Effect on CCEC

Would be an opportunity to use CCEC civics program that has already been developed.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Education 5-2

Passed Senate Appropriations 8-2

Passed the Senate 16-12 and was sent to the House

Passed House Education 8-2

# SB1105 - Early ballots; election day tabulation

#### Sponsor

Sen. Frank Carroll (R)

### Summary

County recorders or other officers in charge of elections are required, instead of allowed, to provide for a qualified voter who appears at their designated polling place or at a voting center on elected day with their voted early ballot to have their ballot tabulated.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Failed in the Senate 14-16

Passed the Senate 16-14 on reconsideration and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 5-4

Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1170 - Ballot drop boxes; prohibition

#### Sponsor

Sen. Jake Hoffman (R)

#### Summary

A strike everything was passed that changed the bill. The bill now allows for drop boxes provided that the county can have live video monitored by an election official from 5pm to 8pm, one representative from the two largest political parties are able to monitor the box from 8am to 5pm, the video has motion detection, night vision, and is able to be viewed by the public. A \$1 million-dollar appropriation was also included in the bill.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

# SB1213 - Legislative council; procedures manual

### Sponsor

Sen. Anthony Kern (R)

### Summary

The Legislative Council replaces the Secretary of State for the purposes of issuing an official elections instructions and procedures manual.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-3

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1217 - Election procedures manual; submittals

#### Sponsor

Sen. Thomas "T.J." Shope (R)

#### Summary

The Secretary of State is required to post the draft Election Instructions and Procedures Manual (Manual) on the Secretary of State's website, provide an opportunity for submitting public comment on the draft manual and post those comments on the Secretary of State's website. If the Governor and/or the Attorney General fail to approve the draft Manual by December 31 of the year before the general election or the Secretary of State does not submit a draft Manual for approval, the most recently approved Manual remains in effect. Beginning in January of the even-numbered year, if a new Manual is not issued and approved, the Secretary of State is required to provide an annotated version of the previous official Manual that reflects any new or revised laws and applicable court decisions. The Secretary of State shall continue to provide an annotated version of the previous official Manual is approved.

# SCR1002 - Constitutional amendments; sixty percent approval

## Sponsor

Sen. Anthony Kern (R)

#### Summary

The 2024 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to require approval by 60 percent of the votes cast on the measure for an initiative or referendum measure that amends the state Constitution to become law, instead of a majority of the votes cast. A strike everything amendment was passed. The bill now prohibits rank choice voting and any other voting similar to rank choice.

## Action Taken

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

# SB 1330 - Voting; absence from employment

### Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

### Summary

Allows for registered voters to be absent from their place of employment for up to 5 hours either at the beginning or end of their designated work shift in order to vote without a loss of pay or use of personal time. The employee must notify their employer prior to election day.

# SB1265 - Voting; elections; tally; prohibition.

### Sponsor

Sen. Anthony Kern (R)

## Summary

For every election held in Arizona, the person who receives the highest number of legal votes is required to be declared elected. The state, counties, municipalities, or political subdivisions are prohibited from using a voting method in an election or nomination process for any state, city, town, county, or federal office that allows voters to select or rank, designate or otherwise indicate approval of or preference for more candidates than are eligible to be declared elected for any office; that allows ballots cast to be tabulated in any manner that involves the elimination of candidates through multiple rounds of tabulation or the transfer or redistribution of votes between or among candidates; or that requires the ranking of every candidate for an office as a condition of a voter's vote being counted in the final tally.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House

Passed Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-2

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1270 - Open meetings; capacity

## Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

## Summary

Schools, school boards, executive boards, and municipalities are required to provide for an amount of seating sufficient to accommodate the reasonably anticipated attendance of all persons desiring to attend the deliberations and proceedings, when feasible. The agenda for a public meeting is required to include notice of the time that the public will have physical access to the meeting place.

## Effect on CCEC

Already provide numerous seats for the public both in person and virtually

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Government 5-2 Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House Passed House Government 9-0 Passed the House 57-0 and was sent to the Governor Signed by the Governor

# SB1287 - Election returns; canvass; review

#### Sponsor

Sen. Steve Kaiser (R)

#### Summary

If returns from any polling place in the election district where polls were opened and an election held are found to be "in question," the canvass of the election is required to be postponed from day to day until the governing body holding the election has to its satisfaction examined all the returns and ascertained the facts which the returns disclose or until six postponements have been had.

# SB1296 - Voter registration; same day.

## Sponsor

Sen. Christine Marsh (D)

## Summary

A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register during the 28 days immediately preceding an election and is eligible to vote in that election if the person has been a resident of the county and the precinct in which the person resides for at least 29 days immediately preceding the

election. A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register on election day by appearing at the polling place, completing a registration form, and providing proof of residence. Registration under these circumstances does not qualify a person to vote in a partisan primary election.

# SB1299 - Governor; inauguration expenses; reporting

## Sponsor

Sen. Wendy Rogers (R)

### Summary

For any ceremonial event to commemorate the inauguration of a Governor, the Office of the Governor is required to publicly post on the Office of the Governor's website a list of specified information about persons or entities that organized or funded the event. The Office is required to publicly post the information within 15 days after the date of the event.

Action Taken

Passed Senate Government 8-0

Passed the Senate 29-0 and was sent to the House

Passed House Government 9-0 with amendment

Passed the House 58-1 and was sent back to the Senate

Passed the Senate 28-1 and was sent to the Governor

Signed by the Governor

# SB1303 - Campaign finance; contributions; reporting

#### Sponsor

Sen. J.D. Mesnard (R)

#### Summary

If an in-state individual has made prior campaign contributions that total less than \$100 during an election cycle, only those contributions that when added to the prior contributions total more than \$100 and all subsequent contributions are required to be reported on a campaign finance report.

## Effect on CCEC

Keeps the threshold for reporting contributions lower than the current \$180 limit CCEC candidates may raise thus all contributions will need to be reported.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

# SB1324 - Images; voter lists; records; contest

## Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

## Summary

No later than ten days before each election, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to publish and post online a list of all voters who are registered to vote in the election, including persons who are on the inactive voter list. After the primary and general election and no later than 48 hours after the delivery of the official county canvass, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to submit to the Secretary of State, who shall immediately post online in a convenient downloadable format, a list of all persons who voted in the election, all ballot images used in the tabulation of the election, and the "cast vote record" (defined) in a sortable format. It is a class 1 (highest) misdemeanor to alter the contents of an image or a cast vote record from the database. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to any convenient tetrieval.

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House

# SB1066 - Election mailings; third-party disclosures

#### Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

#### Summary

Any nongovernmental person or entity that mails an official election-related document or a document that resembles an official election-related document from the county recorder, county officer in charge of elections, or the Secretary of State, including a voter registration application or an early ballot request, is required to include the words "not from a government agency" in boldfaced, clearly legible print on the outside of the envelope.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 10-0

Passed the House 38-20 and was sent to the Governor

# SB1095 - Early ballot envelope; notice

#### Sponsor

Sen. Frank Carroll (R)

### Summary

The envelope accompanying an early ballot is required to state: "Failure to mail an early ballot or deposit an early ballot in a ballot drop box by the Friday before the election will result in delayed election results."

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 5-4 Passed the House 33-25 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate Passed the Senate 16-12 Sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1135 - Spoiled early ballots; election day

#### Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

#### Summary

If a voter brings the voter's early ballot to a polling place or other voting location on election day, the county recorder is required to remove the voter from the active early voting list and an early ballot will no longer be sent to the voter automatically. If a voter brings an early ballot to a polling place or voting center on election day, the early ballot is considered spoiled and the voter must exchange the early ballot for a regular ballot. County recorders or other officers in charge of elections are required, instead of allowed, to provide for a qualified voter who appears at their designated polling place or at a voting center on elected day with their voted early ballot to have their ballot tabulated. Also deletes authorization for county boards of supervisors to establish emergency voting centers.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-12 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4 Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1141 - Early ballot drop off; identification

## Sponsor

Sen. Jake Hoffman (R)

## Summary

For any voter or voter's agent who delivers one or more voted early ballots in affidavit envelopes at any polling place or voting center, the election board must require the person to present identification for his/her own early ballot, and to attest in writing that he/she is the voter's family member, household member or caregiver for another person's early ballot. Knowing violations are a class 5 (second-lowest) felony.

### Acton Taken

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

# SB1178 - Early voting; identification; signature

#### Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

#### Summary

If a voter is issued an early ballot at any voting location during the period of early voting after presenting and confirming the required identification, the voter's early ballot is deemed ready for tabulating, and additional signature verification of the completed affidavit envelope is not required.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 8-0

Passed the Senate 30-0 and was sent to the House

Failed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 0-9

# HB2613 - Voting equipment; requirements; origin

#### Sponsor

Rep. Steve Montenegro (R)

#### Summary

Beginning January 1, 2028, the Secretary of State is prohibited from certifying a vote recording and vote tabulating machine or device used for elections for federal, state or county offices unless 100 percent of all the machine's or device's parts and components are sourced from the United States,

and 100 percent of all the machine's or device's manufacturing and assembly is performed in the United States. Does not apply to vote recording and vote tabulating machines and devices that are acquired before January 1, 2028.

## Action Taken

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-29 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1140 - Elections; voting centers prohibited

### Sponsor

Sen. Jake Hoffman (R)

#### Summary

A strike everything amendment was adopted that changed the bill. The bill now allows for the use of voting centers but does not allow for them to take the place of polling places. Requires to schools to open their doors as voting locations, as well as requiring schools to close on primary and general election days. Requires in-service or developmental days for teachers on primary and election days. Prohibits teachers from taking those days off.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

# SB1258 - Public officers; announcements; report

#### Sponsor

Sen. J.D. Mesnard (R)

#### Summary

For any publication, resource or public service announcement that is issued by a public officer, that contains the public officer's name or likeness, and that is distributed free of charge or through the use of taxpayer resources, the public officer is required to publish a quarterly report describing the amount of money that was spent on the publication, resource, or public service announcement.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

# HB2604 - Licenses; not proof of citizenship.

Sponsor

Rep. Lydia Hernandez (D)

## Summary

The Arizona Department of Transportation is no longer prohibited from issuing a driver license, instruction permit, or nonoperating identification license for a person who does not submit satisfactory proof that the applicant's presence in the U.S. is authorized under federal law. Possession of a driver license, instruction permit, or nonoperating identification license is not proof of citizenship.

# HB2591 - Elections; early ballot drop boxes

### Sponsor

Rep. Gail Griffin (R)

### Summary

All ballot drop boxes used in Arizona to receive voted early ballots must be located inside a county building, except that a drop box may be located outside of a building if the ballot drop box is secured to a building or footing. Ballot drop boxes must be usable only on Monday through Friday from 8:00AM to 5:00PM and must include a functioning camera or video recorder that photographs or video records and stores the images of each person who deposits one or more early ballots. The camera or video recorder may be motion activated. Establishes a fine of \$1,000 for each ballot for a person who knowingly marks a voted or unvoted ballot or ballot envelope with the intent to fix an election and for possessing a voted or unvoted ballot with the intent to sell the voted or unvoted ballot of another person.

### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-28 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Failed in the Senate 13-14

# HB2682 - Lobbyists; campaign contributions; prohibition

#### Sponsor

Rep. Oscar De Los Santos (D)

#### Summary

Lobbyists are prohibited from making or promising to make campaign contributions to or soliciting or promising to solicit campaign contributions for a member of the Legislature or the Governor at any time, instead of only during the regular session of the Legislature.

# HB2701 - Secure ballot containers; pilot program

#### Sponsor

Rep. Quang H. Nguyen (R)

## Summary

A county with a population of more than 230,000 persons and less than 400,000 persons (Yavapai County) is authorized to establish and implement a pilot program for the use of secure ballot deposit

containers to receive voted early ballots. Each secure ballot deposit container is required to unlock for purposes of depositing ballots by use of a card or other similar means that is issued to the voter by the county recorder for that purpose and must provide for secure retention of the voted ballots until accessed by a person who is authorized by the county recorder to collect the ballots for verification and tabulation. Appropriates \$1.5 million from the general fund in FY2023-24 to the Secretary of State for disbursement to a county recorder for the pilot program.

# HB2722 - Elections; option; full hand count

## Sponsor

Rep. Gail Griffin (R)

## Summary

The officer in charge of elections, the county recorder, or any person who is designated by the county board of supervisors is allowed to count by hand all or any portion of the ballots in an election. If the hand count is for less than one hundred percent of the ballots, the specific ballots to be counted must be randomly selected.

## **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-28 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-12 with an amendment and was sent back to the House

Passed the House 31-29

Sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2728 - Election worker harassment task force

## Sponsor

Rep. Seth Blattman (D)

## Summary

Establishes a 10-member Election Worker Harassment Task Force in the Secretary of State's Office to coordinate, investigate, prosecute, or refer for prosecution violations of Chapter 16 (Elections and Electors). The Task Force is required to submit a report of its activities to the Governor and the Legislature by January 1, 2025 and each year after.

# SB1332 - Cast vote record; public records

## Sponsor

Sen. Janae Shamp (R)

## Summary

For every election held in Arizona and after completion of the official canvass, the cast vote record for that election is a public record.

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-12 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-27 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate

Passed the Senate 16-12

Sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1341 - Voters; false communication; enterprises; enforcement

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

It is a class 5 (second lowest) felony for an enterprise to knowingly communicate to a registered voter by any means false information that is intended to impede the voter in exercising the voter's right to vote. A registered voter to whom false information is communicated is authorized to file a civil action for relief, including an application for a permanent or temporary injunction, restraining order or other order against the person communicating the false information.

# SB1342 - Civics education; professional development; appropriation

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

The State Board of Education (SBE) is required to prescribe academic standards that require all school districts and charter schools to provide instruction on American civics education that promotes civic service, prepares students for the duties of citizenship, and includes instruction on a list of specified topics. Establishes the American Civics Education Instruction Grant Program in the Arizona Department of Education (ADE). Grants issued under the Program must be used to pay teachers' costs of attending a professional development course in civics education and media literacy.

Establishes grant eligibility requirements. Appropriates \$100,000 from the general fund in FY2023-24 to the American Civics Education Instruction Fund for the Program.

## Effect on CCEC

Would be an opportunity to use CCEC civics program that has already been developed.

# SB1389 - Ballots; pollbooks; instructions; tabulating; storage

### Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

#### Summary

Various changes to statutes relating to elections. Early ballots that are returned at voting locations on election day may be removed by two authorized election workers who must be members of different political parties and who deliver the ballots to a designated receiving site. After the canvass is completed, the county recorder is required to deposit all rejected provisional and early ballots in a secure facility that is managed by the county treasurer.

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 8-0

# SB1422 - Voting; elections; tally; prohibition..

#### Sponsor

Sen. Justine Wadsack (R)

#### Summary

For every election held in Arizona, the person who receives the highest number of legal votes is required to be declared elected. The state, counties, municipalities, or political subdivisions are prohibited from using a voting method in an election or nomination process for any state, city, town, county, or federal office that allows voters to select or rank, designate or otherwise indicate approval of or preference for more candidates than are eligible to be declared elected for any office; that allows ballots cast to be tabulated in any manner that involves the elimination of candidates through multiple rounds of tabulation or the transfer or redistribution of votes between or among candidates; or that requires the ranking of every candidate for an office as a condition of a voter's vote being counted in the final tally.

# SB1436 - Permanent early voting list.

#### Sponsor

Sen. Priya Sundareshan (D)

#### Summary

The active early voting list is renamed the permanent early voting list. The county recorder is no longer required to remove a voter from the list if the voter fails to vote using an early ballot in all elections for two consecutive election cycles.

# SB1437 - Ballot delivery; collection

## Sponsor

Sen. Priya Sundareshan (D)

## Summary

A voter is authorized to give the voter's voted early ballot to another person to deliver to a polling place, a ballot drop box, an election official, the U.S. Postal Service, or any other entity allowed by law to transmit post. It is no longer a class 6 (lowest) felony for a person to collect voted early ballots from another person.

# SB1451 - Early voting; preceding weekend

## Sponsor

Sen. Thomas "T.J." Shope (R)

## Summary

If the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is able to revise precinct registers and other elections materials in a timely manner for use on election day to indicate which voters have requested an early ballot, which voters have already voted, and which voters are on the inactive voter list, the county recorder or other office in charge of elections is allowed to operate the on-site early voting locations during the Saturday, Sunday and Monday immediately preceding election day.

# SB1452 - Primary election date; May

## Sponsor

Sen. Thomas "T.J." Shope (R)

## Summary

Beginning in 2024, the primary election is moved to the last Tuesday before the last Monday in May in any year in which a general election or special election is held, instead of the first Tuesday in August in those years.

## Effect on CCEC

It would reduce the amount of time candidates have to collect \$5 qualifying contributions. Currently, candidates may begin collecting \$5 qualifying contributions August 1 of the year prior to the election, which is approximately one year before the primary election. This change would reduce collection time by 3 months (May to August).

# SB1471 - Ballot tabulation; hand count comparison

## Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

## Summary

By September 1, 2023, the officer in charge of elections in a county with a population of more than two million persons (Maricopa County) is required to randomly select four election precincts in the county from the ballot test decks used for logic and accuracy testing for the 2022 general election and is required to recount all races using 100 of those ballots from each precinct. The recounting is required to include the use of duplication boards, adjudications boards and other functions generally used or required in ballot tabulations. The hand count boards are required to consist of volunteers who are members of the three largest political parties in the state and must include on each team a member of at least two different political parties. The actual ballots must be counted through a county ballot tabulator, and photocopies of the actual ballots must be hand counted. The officer in charge of elections is required to compare the totals, and if there is a difference great than 0.1 percent, the ballots and photocopies must be retabulated and recounted. During the hand counting, the officer in charge of elections is required to calculate how many ballots per hour each hand counting team is able to process, and estimate how many persons working 16 hours each day would be required to hand count the entire number of ballots cast in the November 2022 election. The officer in charge of elections is required to report on the results of the tabulations and calculations to the Governor and the Legislature. Self-repeals March 1, 2024.

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-12 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-27 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate

Passed the Senate 16-12

Sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1485 - National popular vote; interstate agreement

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

Establishes an agreement among the states to elect the U.S. President by national popular vote.

# SB1486 - Voting; ranking; ballot format

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

Establishes requirements for any election in which ranked choice voting is used. Provides for elimination rounds, the transfer for votes, and the sequence of tabulation. Single-seat ranked choice voting may be used in any county or municipal election contest in which a voter has three or more voting options for a particular office or issue, and multiseat ranked choice voting may be used in any county or municipal election contest in which a voter has three or more offices. Establishes requirements for ballot format and voter instructions for ranked choice voting.

## Effect on CCEC

Would dramatically change how the Commission handles debates as well as the candidate statement pamphlet. May require the Commission to provide multiple candidate statement pamphlets as well as multiple debates. May require a constant update of the Commission's website to ensure that the correct information is available to the public.

# SB1487 - Voted ballots; custody; in-state

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections, the county board of supervisors, any state elected official and any employee, contractor or vendor of those persons are prohibited from removing from the state any one or more of the ballots cast for an election.

# SB1510 - Campaign finance; public service corporations

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

A public service corporation, an affiliate of a public service corporation, and a "principal" (defined) of a public service corporation or its affiliate are prohibited from contributing directly or indirectly to an Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) candidate or candidate committee. An ACC candidate is prohibited from accepting or soliciting contributions directly or indirectly from these persons and entities. A campaign expenditure by these persons or entities is not an independent expenditure if the expenditure is a coordinated public service corporation expenditure, and is considered an in-kind contribution to the ACC candidate. Establishes a list of expenditures that constitute a coordinated public service.

# SB1515 - Polling places; drop boxes; campuses

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

The board of supervisors of each county is required to designate at least one polling place or voting center on the main campus of each state university in that county and is required to provide for at least one early ballot dropbox at each state university satellite location and each community college campus and community college satellite location in that county.

# SB1518 - Ballots; election day; identification

Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

## Summary

During the period of early voting or on election day, if a voter is issued an early ballot at any voting location or presents at any voting location the voter's mailed early ballot and the voter presents and confirms the required voter identification, the voter's early ballot is deemed ready for tabulating, and additional signature verification of the completed affidavit envelope is not required. After the period of early voting, a voter who delivers the voter's own voted early ballot to the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections or to a polling location is required to present and confirm the required voter identification before depositing the voted early ballot in a secure ballot box that is separate from ballot tabulators. Only the voter may deliver the voter's own voted early ballot.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 29-0 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 7-3

Passed House 37-21 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate

Passed the Senate 17-11 and was sent to the House

Failed in the House 24-32

# SB1555 - Early voting locations

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is permitted to make changes to the approved early voting locations and must notify the public and the board of supervisors regarding the changes as soon as is practicable. A county recorder or other officer in charge of elections who establishes early voting locations may continue to operate those early voting locations during the three-day period immediately preceding election day, except that on-site early voting is required to end as needed to ensure that precinct registers and other election materials are revised for use on election day to indicate which voters have requested an early ballot, which voters have already voted and which voters are on the inactive voter list.

# SB1556 - Automatic voter registration; same day

#### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register during the 28 days immediately preceding an election and is eligible to vote in that election if the person has been a resident of the county and the precinct in which the person resides for at least 29 days immediately preceding the election. A person who is otherwise qualified to register to vote may register on Election Day at the polling place for the precinct in which that person maintains residence. A person who registers to vote under these provisions may vote only with a provisional ballot and does not qualify a person to vote in

a partisan primary election. Every person who is applying for a driver license or renewal, including a nonoperating identification license or renewal, or who is making changes to drive license information and who is otherwise qualified to register to vote must be registered to vote automatically on completion of the license application unless the applicant clearly expresses a decision not to register. A person who is not qualified to register to vote and who unknowingly registers under this provision is not guilty of false registration or false swearing. Effective January 1, 2024.

# SB1565 - Ballot processing; electronic adjudication; limitation

## Sponsor

Sen. Frank Carroll (R)

### Summary

Machines, devices, firmware, or software used in Arizona elections are prohibited from including any artificial intelligence or learning hardware, firmware, or software. Artificial intelligence or learning software or firmware is prohibited from being used in the processing of early ballots or by the election board in verifying the voter's affidavit.

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-11 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1566 - Voter registration; reregistration; ten years

#### Sponsor

Sen. Frank Carroll (R)

## Summary

The county recorder is required to cancel all voter registrations on the effective date of this legislation, and on April 2 in every year thereafter that ends in 1. Before doing so, the county recorder is required to notify each person who was on the voter registration rolls on that date that the person's voter registration is canceled and that the person must reregister to vote. The county recorder is required to provide information and instructions on how to reregister to vote and is required to archive the voter registration rolls for each date on which all voter registrations are canceled.

## Action Taken

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

# SB1589 - Voter registration databases; designation

## Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

### Summary

The Secretary of State is required to designate a list of voter registration databases and voter registration database services to be used monthly by each county recorder to determine possible registrations in multiple jurisdictions and possible changes of address.

# SB1595 - Early ballots; identification; tabulation

#### Sponsor

Sen. J.D. Mesnard (R)

#### Summary

Beginning after 7:00PM on the Friday preceding election day, if a voter deposits an early ballot at a polling place, the voter is required to present the required voter identification and sign the signature roster or electronic pollbook before depositing the ballot. If a "voter's agent" (defined elsewhere in statute) delivers a voter's ballot to any polling place, the ballot will be counted and valid only if the voter presents the required voter identification to the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections no later than the 5th business day after election day for a primary, general, or special election that includes a federal office, and no later than the 3rd business day after election day for any other election.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 5-4

Passed the House 31-27 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate

Passed the Senate 16-12

Sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1596 - Polling places; public office spaces

#### Sponsor

Sen. J.D. Mesnard (R)

#### Summary

A state, county, municipal, or school district office is required to provide sufficient space for use as a polling place for any state, county, or municipal election when requested by the officer in charge of elections. Appropriates a total of \$10 million to be dispersed to counties to offset election related costs that come from this bill.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4 Passed House Appropriations 9-6 Passed the House 31-29 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate Passed the Senate 16-12 Sent to the Governor Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1597 - Early ballot on-site tabulation; requirement

## Sponsor

Sen. J.D. Mesnard (R)

## Summary

No later than the 2024 general election, every county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required, instead of allowed, to provide for a qualified voter who appears at the voter's designated polling location or at a voting center on election day with their voted early ballot to have the ballot tabulated on-site.

### Action Taken

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 5-4 Passed the House 31-26 and was sent to the Governor Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1598 - Elections; observers; federal candidates

## Sponsor

Sen. J.D. Mesnard (R)

## Summary

Each political party and each candidate for federal office is allowed to have one poll observer in each polling place or early voting location at any one time during the election. A poll observer is prohibited from approaching an election official's table or equipment or the voting booths any closer than is reasonably necessary to properly perform the poll observer's functions. Each poll observer must be allowed to observe the setup of the voting location before the polls open and the closeout procedures at the voting location after the polls close. Poll observers are prohibited from interacting with a voter. Poll observers must be a registered voter in Arizona, and cannot be a candidate who appears on the ballot. One representative at any one time of each candidate for federal office, who has been appointed by the candidate, is added to the list of persons allowed to remain inside the 75-foot limit while the polls are open and the list of persons who may be designated as early ballot challengers.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4 Passed the House 31-27 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate Passed the Senate 16-12 Sent to the Governor Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1666 - Early ballot list; daily returns

### Sponsor

Sen. Juan Mendez (D)

#### Summary

On request from a county chairman or state chairman, the Secretary of State is required to provide at no cost a daily listing of persons who have returned their early ballots, Monday through Friday, beginning with the first Monday following the start of early voting and ending on the Monday before the election.

# HB2746 - Appropriation; secretary of state; elections

#### Sponsor

Rep. Laura Terech (D)

#### Summary

Appropriates \$1.67 million from the general fund in FY2023-24 to the Secretary of State for election administration expenses, including enhancing the security and technological reliability of the voter registration database.

# HB2757 - Court of appeals; retention election

#### Sponsor

Rep. Ben Toma (R)

#### Summary

Each judge of the court of appeals must be elected for retention on a statewide basis at the general election preceding the expiration of the judge's term in office. All otherwise eligible registered voters in Arizona are eligible to vote in these statewide races.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Judiciary 5-3

Passed the House 31-28 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Judiciary 6-1

Passed the Senate as amended 16-14 and was sent back to the House

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2768 - Political parties; precinct committeemen; organization

#### Sponsor

Rep. Mariana Sandoval (D)

#### Summary

On completion of the primary election canvass, the county recorder is required to provide to the current county chairperson of each political party that is entitled to continued representation written notice of the number of elected precinct committeemen in the county for that political party for the purposes of making the calculations required for the state committee meeting. On receipt of the county recorder's notice, the current county chairperson is required to provide that notice to the chairperson of the legislative district committee of that political party.

# HB2785 - Early voting; absentee; military

#### Sponsor

Rep. Liz Harris (R)

#### Summary

Eliminates early voting by mail in Arizona, all mail ballot elections, and the active early voting list. County boards of supervisors are required to authorize an on-site early voting location at the main office of the county recorder. The county recorder is prohibited from opening more than a single location for early voting, and only those voters who have signed an application, under penalty of perjury, that states that they expect to be absent from their precincts on election day are allowed to vote at an on-site early voting location. Only a voter who expects to be outside the state of Arizona on election day and the 15 days immediately preceding is eligible to receive a mail ballot. The county recorder is prohibited from mailing a ballot to an address in Arizona. All early votes are required to be counted on election day before 7PM. The voter's signature on an early ballot affidavit must be notarized and must contain the notary's statement that the voter voted the ballot without assistance and outside the view of any other person. Voters who are ill or have a disability and cannot go to the polls are required to vote with a special election board. A county political party, early election board, and party observers are authorized to challenge early ballots on the grounds of inconsistent signatures or unmatching last four digits of social security numbers or dates of birth. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to provide to the county political party a copy of all early ballot envelopes along with all reference signatures and information for all accepted ballots before removing those ballots from their privacy envelopes in sufficient time for the county political party to challenge any unmatched signatures or information.

#### Action Taken

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

# HB2796 - Licensure; citizenship status; documentation

## Sponsor

Rep. Flavio Bravo (D)

## Summary

Agencies and political subdivisions are prohibited from requiring an individual who is applying for a "license" (defined) to provide documentation of citizenship or alien status. If an agency or political subdivision requires an individual's social security number for the purposes of applying for a license, the agency is required to accept an individual's federal tax identification number in lieu of a social security number.

# SB1593 - Recall; requirements; petitions

### Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

### Summary

A special recall election must be held on the next following consolidated election date that is 120 days or more, increased from 90 days or more, after the order calling the election. A candidate for office in a special recall election is required to file a nomination petition between 90 and 120 days before the date of the recall election, instead of between 60 and 90 days before.

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 6-2

Passed the Senate 28-2 and was sent to the House

# HB2560 - Images; voter lists; records; contest.

#### Sponsor

Rep. Ben Toma (R)

#### Summary

No later than ten days before each election, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to publish and post online a list of all voters who are registered to vote in the election, including persons who are on the inactive voter list. After the primary and general election and no later than 48 hours after the delivery of the official county canvass, the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to submit to the Secretary of State, who shall immediately post online in a convenient downloadable format, a list of all persons who voted in the election, all ballot images used in the tabulation of the election, and the "cast vote record" (defined) in a sortable format. It is a class 1 (highest) misdemeanor to alter the contents of an image or a cast vote record from the database. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to any convenient tetrieval.

## **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Senate

SB 1324 was substituted for HB 2560 as they are the same bill, and passed the Senate 19-9 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2231 - Early absentee voting; limitations; conflicts

#### Sponsor

Rep. Liz Harris (R)

#### Summary

Early voting is renamed early absentee voting. Qualified electors are only allowed to vote by early absentee ballot if the elector is physically unable to go to the polls due to illness, hospitalization, incarceration, or other confinement, or the elector expects to be absent from the elector's precinct at the time of the election, including electors covered by the federal Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act, or the elector is blind or has a visual impairment. Severability clause. Directs legislative council staff to prepare conforming legislation.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

# HB2254 - Rulemaking; regulatory costs; legislative ratification

#### Sponsor

Rep. Justin Wilmeth (R)

#### Summary

If a proposed rule is estimated to increase regulatory costs in Arizona in excess of \$500,000 within two years after implementation or to have an adverse impact on economic growth, the proposed rule cannot become effective until the Legislature enacts legislation ratifying the proposed rule. The agency is prohibited from filing a final rule with the Secretary of State before obtaining legislative approval of the rule through legislation. Was amended from two years after implementation to five years after implementation.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Government 5-4 Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Senate Passed Senate Government 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent back to the House as it was amended Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Governor Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1695 - Election violations; disenfranchisement; new election

### Sponsor

Sen. Jake Hoffman (R)

## Summary

For the primary and general election in a county with a population of more than one million persons (Maricopa and Pima), the county board of supervisors, county recorder and county officer in charge of elections are prohibited from canvassing the results of an election in which election laws were violated and the violations resulted in the disenfranchisement of at least one percent of the eligible voters in the county. The county board of supervisors, county recorder and county officer in charge of elections are required to hold a new primary or general election. Any member of the board of supervisors who violates these requirements must forfeit that office.

### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Government 5-3

Failed in the Senate 14-15

# SCR1027 - Cities; towns; elections

#### Sponsor

Sen. Justine Wadsack (R)

#### Summary

The 2024 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to state that for any municipality that provides for election of municipal council members by district, ward, precinct or other geographic designation, only those voters who are qualified electors of the district, ward, precinct or other geographic designation, as applicable, are eligible to vote for that council member candidate in the municipality's primary, general, runoff or other election.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Government 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

# HB2325 - Voting; procedures; electors in detention (Mail ballot elections; technical correction)

## Sponsor

Rep. Alexander Kolodin (R)

## Summary

Minor change in Title 16 (Elections and Electors) related to mail ballot elections. Apparent striker bus.

A striker amendment was proposed and passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections. The striker allows for those in pretrial detention to request a ballot to vote and describes the procedures to be followed to allow for this to happen.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 8-2

Passed the House 31-28 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

# SB1264 - Officials; political action committee prohibition.

#### Sponsor

Sen. J.D. Mesnard (R)

#### Summary

An individual who is an election officer or employee or who oversees any significant aspect of election operations is prohibited from being a chairperson, treasurer or other member of a political action committee. Does not apply to an individual's membership in a candidate committee for that individual's own candidacy.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the Senate

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 7-3

Passed the House 42-16 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HCR2006 - Bonds; elections; technical correction

#### Sponsor

Rep. Justin Heap (R)

#### Summary

Would ask the voters to approve a repeal session. The session would be held every two years and no business would allowed other than repealing existing laws.

## Action Taken

Passed Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Senate

# HB2767 - Legislators; personally identifiable information; confidentiality

#### Sponsor

Rep. Mariana Sandoval (D)

### Summary

A "public official" (defined as a person who is duly elected or appointed to Congress, the Legislature, a statewide office, or a county, municipal, or political subdivision office) is added to the list of persons who may request that the general public be prohibited from accessing public records containing that person's identifying information that are maintained by the county or the Department of Transportation. A person or entity in Arizona is prohibited from accessing a public official's actual residence address or license plate number but is allowed to access the public official's city or town of residence.

# HB2736 - Accessible early voting

#### Sponsor

Rep. Seth Blattman (D)

#### Summary

Absentee voting for uniformed services voters and overseas voters is expanded to include voters with visual impairments.

# HB2691 - Elections; ballot chain of custody

#### Sponsor

Rep. Justin Heap (R)

#### Summary

Ballot boxes must be locked with a tamper evident seal. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to prepare a chain of custody record for the transportation and delivery of all voted ballots. The record must include the time and signature for each point of contact, including the signature of the voting location supervisor when the election board members leave with the voted ballots, the signature of each election board member delivering the voted ballots, and the signature of the supervisor at the receiving site who receives the voted ballots. The chain of custody records are required to include the date, time, location and name of any election official who handles or processes a ballot. The county recorder or other officer in charge of elections is required to maintain a record of all voting irregularities that occur during early voting, emergency voting and election day voting, and information that must be included in the record is specified.

### Action Taken

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-3 Passed the House 31-28 and was sent to the Senate Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the Governor Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1074 - Tabulating equipment; standards; source codes (Election; contest; technical correction)

#### Sponsor

Sen. Sonny Borrelli (R)

#### Summary

A strike everything amendment was adopted. The bill now allows the use of electronic equipment to tabulate vote only if all of the following occur: the equipment meets or exceed the standards set by the US Department of Defense, all parts of the electronic equipment are manufactured in the USA, and all source codes for the equipment are submitted and maintained by the Auditor General. In addition, for any action taken in the Superior Court regarding vote tabulation issues, the Court may appoint a Special Master to review the issue. The Special Master will submit their report to the Secretary of State for review.

#### Action Taken

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

# HB2121 - Election procedures; registrations; campaign finance

#### Sponsor

Rep. Athena Salman (D)

#### Summary

Numerous changes to statutes relating to elections. Would reinstate the \$5 Clean Elections Fund Tax Checkoff box. Would require the Commission to assist in paying for updating and replacing campaign reporting systems. Would reinstate matching funds but would base them on the clean elections candidate being able to raise twenty percent of the original number of \$5 qualifying contributions for the office they are seeking. For every person who provides proof of U.S. citizenship when applying for, renewing or replacing a driver license or nonoperating identification license, or updating the person's existing residence address or name on file with the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), ADOT is required to electronically collect and transmit voter registration information to the Secretary of State for the purpose of registering the person to vote or updating an existing voter registration record. The Secretary of State and ADOT Director, after consulting with all county

recorders, are required to adopt rules to implement a secure automatic electronic voter registration system that collects and transmits voter registration information. The Secretary of State is required to evaluate implementation of a secure automatic electronic voter registration system at other agencies, including the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS). By December 31, 2022, any agency that allows a person to affirmatively register to vote or to update the person's registration through the internet must allow the person to complete the registration without a driver license or nonoperating identification license and with any proof of citizenship that is valid under Arizona law. Eliminates the requirement for a voter to live in the boundaries of an election district for 29 days prior to an election to be eligible to vote in that election. By the 2024 primary election and for each election thereafter, each county recorder is required to designate at least one election official at each polling place, voting center or early voting location in the county to serve as a registration clerk to facilitate and enable eligible persons to register to vote on-site on election day or during early voting. A registration clerk must be present for all hours during which a polling place, voting center or early voting location is open. Every gualified voter in Arizona has the right, after registering to vote, to vote a secret ballot in all elections for which that voter is eligible to vote. By December 31, 2026, the Secretary of State, county recorders and other officers in charge of elections are required to evaluate incorporating "risk-limiting audit" (defined) protocols into ballot hand count procedures. Reduces individual and political action committee contributions limits to \$1,000 to candidates for legislative. county, municipal or district office, from \$6,250, and to \$2,500 for candidates for statewide office, from \$6,250. Much more. Due to voter protection, several sections of this legislation require the affirmative vote of at least 3/4 of the members of each house of the Legislature for passage.

### **Effect on Clean Elections**

Would reinstate the \$5 Clean Elections Fund Tax Checkoff box. Would require the Commission to assist in paying for updating and replacing campaign reporting systems. Would reinstate matching funds but would base them on the clean elections candidate being able to raise twenty percent of the original number of \$5 qualifying contributions for the office they are seeking.

# HCR2033 - Primary elections; eligible candidates

#### Sponsor

Rep. Austin Smith (R)

#### Summary

The 2024 general election ballot is to carry the question of whether to amend the state Constitution to require the direct primary election for partisan offices to be conducted in a manner so that each political party that has qualified for representation on the ballot must be permitted to nominate for each office a number of candidates equal to the number of positions to be filled for that office in the ensuing general election, and all otherwise eligible candidates who are so nominated must be placed on the ballot in the next ensuing general election. The direct primary election law enacted by the Legislature supersedes any contrary or inconsistent provision of any charter, law, ordinance, rules, resolution, or policy of any city.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-28 and was sent to the Senate

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the Secretary of State

# SCR1037 - Elections; systems; equipment (Presidential electors; constitutional appointments)

## Sponsor

Sen. Anthony Kern (R)

## Summary

The Legislature resolves that no voting system or component of a voting system may be used or purchased as the primary method for casting, recording, and tabulating ballots used in any election held in Arizona for federal office unless all components have been designed, manufactured, integrated, and assembled in the U.S. from trusted suppliers, the source code is made available to the public, and the ballot images and system log files from each tabulator are recorded on a secure write-once, read-many media with clear chain of custody and posted on the Secretary of State's website free of charge to the public within 24 hours after the close of the polls.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House

Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4

Passed the House 31-27 and was sent to the Secretary of State

# SB1234 - Prohibition; photo radar

## Sponsor

Sen. Wendy Rogers (R)

## Summary

State agencies and local authorities are prohibited from using a photo enforcement system to identify violators of traffic control devices and speed regulations. Statutes authorizing and regulating photo enforcement systems are repealed. Contains a legislative intent section.

#### **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Government 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House

Passed House Military Affairs & Public Safety 8-7

Passed the House 32-26 and was sent to the Governor

Vetoed by the Governor

## **Effect on Clean Elections**

Would reduce the amount of funds that the Commission receives through the 10% surcharge on traffic tickets and criminal fines. However, this funding source has already been reduced through the ban on photo radar on state highways, so this action would not be a major issue for the Commission.

# SB1180 - Voter registrations; payment prohibited

## Sponsor

Sen. Ken Bennett (R)

## Summary

A person is prohibited from paying or receiving money or any other thing of value based on the number of voter registrations or voter registration forms collected, completed or submitted.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3 Passed the Senate 16-14 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 5-4 Passed the House 35-23 and was sent to the Governor Vetoed by the Governor

# SB1175 - Registrations; observers; counting procedures; verification

### Sponsor

Sen. John Kavanagh (R)

## Summary

Various changes relating to election observers and counting procedures. The county chairperson of each political party may designate a party representative for a polling place, a voting center, or a location at which electronic processing of ballots occurs. If the county party chairperson fails to appoint a party representative for a location, the state party chairperson may make those appointments, and if the state party chairperson fails to appoint a party representative, the legislative district chairperson in the area in which the polling place, voting center or other location is located may make those appointments for a location. The county officer in charge of elections is required to publish the procedures for a hand count, including the times and locations, on the county's website no later than the Tuesday before Election Day. If the county party chairperson fails to designate a sufficient number of board workers to assist with a hand count, the state party chairperson is required to designate qualified electors to be board workers. If the state party chairperson fails to designate a sufficient number of board workers, the legislative district chairperson of the district in which the hand count is to occur is required to designate qualified electors to be board workers. Establishes a process to select persons to perform the hand count at audited precincts. The county chairman of each party is authorized to designate a party representative to observe the proceedings at a thirdparty vendor that processes returned affidavit envelopes on behalf of a county. Beginning on the effective date of this legislation, any new signature images submitted for comparison of the signature on an early ballot envelope to the voter's registration record are prohibited from containing any indicator of the voter's designated political party. Some of these changes become effective January 1, 2024.

## **Action Taken**

Passed Senate Elections 5-3

Passed the Senate 16-13 and was sent to the House Passed House Municipal Oversight & Elections 6-4 Passed the House 31-27 with an amendment and was sent back to the Senate Passed the Senate 16-12 Sent to the Governor Vetoed by the Governor

# **Summary of Proposed Rules**

R2-20-809- Provides for complaints by members of the public and others regarding violations of Title 16, Chapter 6.1 and related rules, as well as complaints by the Executive Director and referrals from other government entities. Provides a process for handling the administration of complaints.

R2-20-810- Provides for a response by a person against whom a proper complaint has been filed. Provides the procedures for such a response, including requirements, and for a presumption that may arise based on a failure to respond.

R2-20-811- Provide the process for investigation and enforcement. Authorizes the Executive Director or other staff member to issue subpoenas and other process and seek compliance with such process. Provides for an appeal to the Commission. Provides that attorneys may assist the Executive Director but may not also represent the Commission itself when it sits for a hearing. Provides for a report by the Executive Director including factual and legal allegations and recommendations and recommended penalties. Provides a process for consent agreements. Provides the Executive Director may dismiss a complaint at any time.

R2-20-812 – Provides for a hearing at a respondent's request. Provides for a pre-hearing conference and items that should be discussed, including scheduling, briefing, witnesses and other items. Provides for the Commission to hold a meeting and vote on whether to issue an order and assessment of penalties, dismiss a complaint or continue a complaint. Provides for judicial review by a respondent upon a final order and for the Executive Director to enforce a Commission order.

R2-20-813 – Provides rules relating to transactions and structuring. Provides that a person who is not a covered person may rely on records provided related to campaign media spending, but has the burden of establishing reasonable reliance, and may not claim reasonable reliance on records the person knows are false or misleading. Provides that a person who is not a covered person may issue a notice to another person that their donation may be used for campaign media spending. Provides that the Executive Director has the burden of establishing structuring by evidence of willful conduct with respect to a transaction or circumstance. Provides that advising investigation, enforcement, or judicial review, nor does it include assisting a person in availing themselves of provisions of Title 16, Chapter 6.1 or these rules relating to exemptions from disclosure. Provides that willful conduct does include providing advising a client to take an action or taking an action to violate A.R.S. § 16-975.

# **R2-20-809 Complaint Procedures**

- A. Complaints
  - Any person may submit a complaint to the Executive Director if the person believes a violation of Arizona Revised Statutes Title 16, Chapter 6.1 or these rules has occurred. The complaint must be made in writing. Email submissions are acceptable.
  - 2. Regardless of whether a complainant is represented by counsel, a complaint must contain the full name, email address, and mailing address of the complainant.
  - 3. A complaint must:
    - a. Clearly recite the facts that describe a violation of Arizona Revised Statutes Title 16, Chapter 6.1 or these rules as specifically as possible. Citations to law are not required.
    - b. Clearly identify each person, including any individual, entity, committee, organization or group, that is alleged to have committed a violation.
    - c. Include any supporting documentation which the Complainant believes establishes the alleged violation, if available.
    - d. Differentiate between statements based on a complainant's personal knowledge and those based on information and belief. Statements not based on personal knowledge should identify the source of the information, and include supporting documentation if available. Contents of the complaint shall be sworn to and signed in the presence of a notary public and shall be notarized.
  - 4. The Executive Director shall review the complaint within 5 days to determine if the Commission has jurisdiction to hear and rule on the complaint, and to ensure the complaint meets the criteria identified in subsection (A)(3).
  - 5. If the complaint does not meet the criteria, Commission staff will notify the complainant of the deficiencies in the complaint and that no action will be taken on the complaint unless those deficiencies are remedied.
  - 6. If the complaint is deemed sufficient, Commission staff will:
    - a. Assign the complaint a complaint number.
    - b. Confirm in a writing to the complainant and respondent that the complaint has been received.
    - c. Inform the complainant that the respondent will be provided an opportunity to submit a response.

- 7. A complainant may withdraw the complaint by writing to the Executive Director no later than 14 days after filing the complaint or before the response, whichever is sooner.
- B. Internally Generated Complaints
  - 1. The executive director may file a complaint if the person believes a violation of Arizona Revised Statutes Title 16, Chapter 6.1 or these rules has occurred. The complaint shall:
    - a. Clearly recite the facts that describe a violation of Arizona Revised Statutes Title 16, Chapter 6.1 or these rules as specifically as possible. Citations to law are not required.
    - b. Clearly identify each person, including any individual, entity, committee, organization or group, that is alleged to have committed a violation.
    - c. Include any supporting documentation which the Complainant believes establishes the alleged violation, if available.
  - 2. Any employee, agent or representative of another government agency or subdivision of Arizona, including the state, any Arizona county, or any Arizona city or town, may make a referral to the executive director under this subsection.
  - 3. Commission staff will:
    - a. Assign the complaint a complaint number.
    - b. Confirm in a writing to the complainant and respondent that the complaint has been received.
    - c. Inform the complainant that the respondent will be provided an opportunity to submit a response.

# R2-20-810 Response Procedures

- A. Within 14 days after receiving a complaint that complies with R2-20-809, a staff member will send the respondent a copy of the complaint and a written communication describing the campaign finance processing procedures. The written communication will:
  - 1. Inform the respondent that the Executive Director has received allegations as to possible violations of campaign finance laws by the respondent.
  - 2. Provide a copy of the complaint.
  - 3. Gives the respondent an opportunity to respond in writing in a timely manner and setting forth a deadline of not more than 30 days after the date of the written communication. Extensions

shall be granted on request at the discretion of the Executive Director.

- B. The notification letter reflects no judgment about the accuracy of the allegations.
- C. The response is the respondent's opportunity to demonstrate to the Executive Director why they should not pursue an enforcement action, or to clarify, correct, or supplement the information in the complaint or referral. Respondents are not required to respond to the allegations.
- D. Respondents, if they choose, may be represented by counsel. Once the Executive Director receives a notification that the respondent is represented by counsel, the Commission staff will communicate only with the counsel unless otherwise authorized by the respondent or the respondent's counsel.
- E. The respondent's response shall be sworn to and signed in the presence of a notary public and shall be notarized. The respondent's failure to respond within the time specified in subsection A may be viewed as an admission to the allegations made in the complaint.
- F. If a respondent provides a response, the response should address each and every reason why no further action should be taken, including any legal or factual basis for an assertion that the matter is not subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- G. While not required, when possible, a response should provide documentation, including sworn affidavits or declarations under penalty of perjury from persons with first-hand knowledge of the facts.
- H. The response may be submitted by email, and the respondent need not copy the complainant on the response.
- I. A complainant may request a copy of the response.
- J. Complainants other than the Executive Director are not parties to any enforcement matter that may arise as a result of the complaint and response.

# **R2-20-811 Investigation and Enforcement Procedures**

- A. Upon the expiration of the time for a response, the Executive Director or other Commission staff may conduct an investigation. The Executive Director or other Commission staff may engage attorneys pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-979(D).
- B. Attorneys who do substantial work investigating the complaint or enforcing orders and other matters arising from the complaint shall not participate as attorneys for the Commission regarding the

complaint. Such attorneys may represent the Executive Director or other Commission staff before the Commission.

- C. The Executive Director or other Commission staff may subpoena witnesses, compel their attendance and testimony, administer oaths and affirmations, take evidence and require by subpoena the production of any books, papers, records or other items material to the performance of the commission's duties or the exercise of its powers. The Executive Director or Commission staff may utilize attorneys to effectuate any of these actions, including filing any action necessary to compel compliance. A person subject to a subpoena or other order pursuant to this subsection may appeal to the Commission by sending a written request to the Commission's attention. The Chair or a Commissioner designated by the chair may confer with an independent legal advisor and shall issue an order scheduling the appeal for a public meeting of the Commission and may set a schedule for any additional briefing.
- D. Upon the completion of an investigation the Executive Director may prepare a report stating with reasonable particularity the nature of the violation, including the facts, laws, or rules substantiating the allegations in the complaint, and issue it to the respondent. The Executive Director may make a recommendation regarding the seriousness of violation, the appropriate remedy, and any other factors that the Executive Director and staff believe are relevant to the matter.
- E. If the Executive Director determines that a consent agreement with the respondent is sufficient, the Executive Director and the respondent may agree to present the agreement to the Commission for acceptance. A consent agreement may include a penalty. The Commission may vote to accept, reject, or modify the proposed consent agreement at a public meeting. At this meeting, the Commission's commission attorney for independent advice shall serve as the legal advisor for the commission. That attorney must not have worked on the investigation, enforcement, or consent agreement.
- F. The Executive Director may dismiss the complaint at any time.

# **R2-20-812 Enforcement Hearing Procedures**

- A. Within 30 days after the issuance of the Executive Director's report and recommendations, a respondent may request a hearing before the Commission. The Commission shall be represented by counsel who have had no role in the investigation or enforcement.
- B. No later than 14 days after the request, the Executive Director, other Commission staff or attorneys for the Executive Director shall meet with the respondent or their attorneys to develop a proposed hearing plan. At the conference the following matters should be considered:
  - 1. The possibility of a consent agreement, and possible terms
  - 2. Select at least three mutually-agreeable dates for the hearing to present to the Commission.
  - 3. Discuss whether any additional written material shall be provided to the Commission. If additional written material is necessary, discuss deadlines for the parties to exchange those materials prior to the hearing.
  - 4. Decide whether either side will call live witnesses, disclosure of the witness' proposed testimony, and agree whether alternative procedures for providing the evidence are available and appropriate.
  - 5. Determine how much time each side will need at the hearing.
  - 6. Any pre-hearing matters that must be decided by the Commission and a schedule for presenting such matters.
  - 7. A schedule for any pre-hearing briefing.
  - 8. Each side may prepare a draft final order to be submitted to the Commission with other materials.
- C. Following the Conference, the Executive Director and respondent shall provide a report to the Commission's Chair or other Commission member designated by the Chair. The Chair may consult with an independent legal advisor. The Chair or the independent legal advisor will issue a scheduling order.
- D. The complaint, the response, the report, and any additional documents shall be provided to the Commission no later than 14 days before the hearing.
- E. At the conclusion of hearing the Commission may
  - 1. Vote issue a final order and assessment of penalties.
  - 2. Vote to dismiss the matter; or
  - 3. Vote to continue the matter to another meeting.

The Commission shall schedule the next hearing as soon as practicable, considering the schedules of respondent, respondent's counsel, the Executive Director, and any counsel for the Executive Director.

- F. Following a vote in favor of a final order and assessment of penalties a respondent may seek timely judicial review.
- G. At the expiration of the time for judicial review, the Executive Director or their representatives must seek compliance with the Commission's final order. This may include the Executive Director, Commission staff, or their attorneys seeking judicial enforcement of the order if necessary.

# **R2-20-813 Transactions and Structuring**

- A. A person, including an individual, may rely on records provided to the person as documentation of a transaction related to campaign media spending if the records are provided by an independent person who owns or controls the monies involved in the transaction. The person claiming reliance bears the burden of showing the reliance is reasonable by a preponderance of the evidence. The person claiming reliance must not have knowledge the records are false or misleading, and must not refuse to consider or produce information that indicates the records are false or misleading.
- B. A person who is not a covered person may provide the notice prescribed by A.R.S. § 16-972(B) to another person who has given that person monies before transferring monies or making an in-kind donation to a covered person.
- C. In order to establish structuring, the Executive Director shall provide evidence that a person acted willfully with regard to the transaction or other circumstance.
- D. Willful conduct does not include representing a client during an investigation, enforcement, or judicial review, nor does it include assisting a person in availing themselves of provisions of Title 16, Chapter 6.1 or these rules relating to exemptions from disclosure. Willful conduct includes advising a client to take an action or taking an action to violate A.R.S. § 16-975.

# CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

**Report on Agreed-Upon Procedures** 

Anna Lynn Abeytia Participating Candidate for State Representative - District 29 Primary Election 2022



Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

To the Chairman and Members of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission Phoenix, Arizona

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were specified and agreed to by the State of Arizona Citizens Clean Elections Commission (the Commission), solely to assist the Commission in evaluating whether Anna Lynn Abeytia's (the Candidate)'s Campaign finance reports between the 2022 Quarter 1 report, starting January 1, 2022, through the 2022 Post-Primary Election (Q3) report, which ended September 30, 2022 (the reporting period) were prepared in compliance with Title 16, Articles 1 and 2 of the Arizona Revised Statutes, Campaign Contributions and Expenses, and the Citizens Clean Elections Act, and whether the reports complied with the rules of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission. The Candidate's management is responsible for the Campaign finance reports during the reporting period. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are presented on the subsequent pages.

We were engaged by the Commission to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Campaign finance reports during the reporting period of Anna Lynn Abeytia. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the Commission and the Candidate and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the parties listed in the first paragraph, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Fester & Chapman, PULC

June 23, 2023

### **Summary of Procedures and Findings**

- 1. Preliminary Procedures
  - a) Contractor will obtain a copy of the candidate's campaign finance report for the reporting period.

# **Finding**

We obtained the Campaign finance reports from the Arizona Secretary of State's Website for the reporting period between the 2022 Quarter 1 report, starting January 1, 2022, through the 2022 2022 Pre-Primary Election report, which ended July 16, 2022. As of the date of this report, the Candidate had not filed the 2022 Post-Primary Election (Q3) report (which was due October 15, 2022), which encompassed the period from July 17, 2022, through September 30, 2022. As a result, we tested transactions from January 1, 2022, through July 16, 2022 (the modified reporting period), when possible. We were unable to test any transactions between July 17, 2022, and September 30, 2022.

b). Perform a desk review of the disbursements reported in the candidate's campaign finance report to identify any unusual items requiring follow-up during fieldwork.

### **Finding**

We noted no unusual disbursements during our review.

d). Contact the candidate or the campaign treasurer, as appropriate, to schedule a date to perform fieldwork. Discuss the nature of the documentation, which will be needed to perform the engagement and ascertain the location of the necessary documentation.

### **Finding**

We contacted the Candidate to discuss the agreed-upon procedures, the timing of our procedures, and the documentation needed.

- 2. Fieldwork Procedures
  - a) Contractor will contact the candidate to request the records for an agreed-upon procedures attest engagement. Candidates receiving audits after the Primary Election shall provide records from the election cycle through the 3rd Quarter Report. Candidates receiving audits after the General Election shall provide records from the election cycle through the 4th Quarter Report.

### **Finding**

Commission staff sent an initial notice to the Candidate and informed the Candidate that we would be contacting them. We then communicated to the Candidate in a written request, the purpose of the request, agreed-upon procedures to be performed, documentation needed, and potential future requirements of the Candidate.

b) The contractor shall contact the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) to discuss the purpose of the engagement, the general procedures to be performed and potential future requirements of the candidate, such as possible repayments to the Fund.

# **Finding**

See comment in a) above.

c) The Contractor shall contact or conduct an interview with the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) to discuss the bookkeeping policies and procedures utilized by the campaign committee.

### Finding

The Candidate provided a description of bookkeeping policies and procedures utilized by the Campaign Committee.

(i) Review the names of the candidate's family members. Family members include parents, grandparents, aunt, uncle, child or sibling of the candidate or the candidate's spouse, including the spouse of any of the listed family members regardless of whether the relation is established by marriage or adoption.

### **Finding**

We obtained and reviewed the names of the Candidate's family members.

- (ii) Review bank statements one month prior to the election date (beginning on the first of the month), the month including the election day, and one month after the election day (ending on the last of the month) in the reporting period and perform the following:
  - Select five (5) samples of deposits and withdrawals from the bank statements and determine that the transaction is properly reflected in the candidate's records and campaign finance report.

### <u>Finding</u>

We selected five deposits and five withdrawals from the bank statements for the modified reporting period. For five out of five withdrawals and three out of five deposits, we determined that they appeared to be properly recorded in the Candidate's Campaign finance reports. However, for two out of five deposits selected, we did not receive any supporting documentation and were unable to determine if they were properly recorded in the Candidate's Campaign finance reports. The amount of these deposits totaled \$845.26.

• Perform a proof of receipts and disbursements for the reporting period, which is defined as reporting the ending balances of the September 2022 bank statement and the Post-Primary Election (Q3) campaign finance report.

### Finding

The Candidate's Post-General Election (Q3) Campaign finance report was not filed as of the date of this report, so we cannot report what the balance is as of September 30, 2022. The Candidate's Campaign bank account statement listed a balance of \$3,710.54 at September 8, 2022.

- d) Using the dates and limits defined in the Arizona Citizens Clean Elections Guide, review the receipts reported in the candidate's campaign finance reports to determine the following:
  - (i) The candidate accepted contributions only from individuals.

### **Finding**

The contributions received during the modified reporting period appeared to be only from individuals.

(ii) None of the contributions received from individuals exceed the early contribution limit.

### **Finding**

Contributions received from individuals during the modified reporting period did not exceed the \$180 early contribution limit.

(iii) Check compliance with the maximum early contribution limits.

### Finding

Early contributions received during the modified reporting period did not exceed the \$4,323 limit for a legislature candidate.

(iv) Check compliance with the maximum personal contribution limits.

### **Finding**

Personal contributions received during the modified reporting period did not exceed the \$800 limit for a legislature candidate.

e) For both the primary election and the general election, contractor will judgmentally select a 10% sample size with a minimum of five (5) contributions reported in the candidate's campaign finance report (not including the \$5 qualifying contributions) and agree to supporting documentation, which reflects the name of the contributor (for all contributions) and for individuals who contributed greater than \$100, which reflects the contributor's address, occupation and employer.

### **Finding**

We reviewed the supporting documentation for five early contributions reported in the Candidate's Campaign finance report and determined the name of the contributors for the contributions was included on the support. For individuals who contributed over \$50, we determined that the contributor's address, occupation, and employer were also included on the support.

(i) For other types of cash receipts reported on the candidate's campaign finance report, review supporting documentation and review for compliance with regulatory rules and laws and agree the receipt to inclusion in the campaign account bank statement.

### **Finding**

No other types of cash receipts were reported in the Candidate's Campaign finance reports during the modified reporting period.

(ii) For in-kind contributions, review the supporting documentation and determine the methodology utilized to value the contribution and assess the reasonableness.

### **Finding**

No in-kind contributions were reported in the Candidate's Campaign finance reports during the modified reporting period.

f) For both the primary election and the general election, contractor will judgmentally select a 10% sample size with a minimum of five (5) of cash expenditures reported in the candidate's campaign finance report and perform the following:

(i) Review supporting invoice or other documentation and agree amount to the amount reported in the candidate's finance report.

### **Finding**

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not agree the amounts to the amount reported in the Candidate's finance reports.

(ii) Determine that the name, address and nature of goods or services provided agree to the information reported in the candidate's campaign finance report.

### **Finding**

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not agree agree the names, addresses, or nature of goods or services provided to the information reported in the Candidate's finance reports.

• Agree the amount of the expenditure to the campaign account bank statement.

### **Finding**

We reviewed five expenditures and agreed amounts to the Campaign account bank statements without exception.

(iii) Determine whether the expenditure was made for a direct campaign purpose. Direct campaign purpose includes, but is not limited to, materials, communications, transportation, supplies and expenses used toward the election of the candidate.

### Finding

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not determine if the expenditures were made for a direct campaign purpose.

• If the expenditure is a joint expenditure made in conjunction with other candidates, determine that the amount paid represents the candidate's proportionate share of the total cost.

#### Finding

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not determine if the expenditures were part of a joint expenditure.

g) Determine whether any petty cash funds have been established and, if so, determine how expenditures from these funds have been reflected in the accounting records. Determine whether aggregate petty cash funds exceed the limit of \$1,580.

### **Finding**

Based on inquiry of the Candidate, the Candidate did not establish a petty cash fund during the modified reporting period.

(i) If applicable, for both the primary election and the general election, contractor will judgmentally select a 10% sample size with a minimum of five (5) candidate's petty cash fund expenditures and obtain supporting documentation for the expenditure. Determine whether the expenditure was for a direct campaign expense and whether the expenditure was in excess of the \$180 limit on petty cash expenditures.

### **Finding**

Based on inquiry of the Candidate, the Candidate did not establish a petty cash fund during the modified reporting period.

h) Determine if the candidate/campaign incurred any debt. If so, report all debt.

### **Finding**

The Candidate did not report any debt on the Campaign Finance Reports during the modified reporting period.

i) Contact the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) to discuss the preliminary engagement findings and recommendations that the Contractor anticipates presenting to the CCEC. During this conference, the Contractor will advise the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) of their right to respond to the preliminary findings and the projected timetable for the issuance of the final issuance of the report.

### Finding

We reported our findings to the Candidate and the Candidate did not provide responses to our findings.

# CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

**Report on Agreed-Upon Procedures** 

Maryn Brannies Participating Candidate for State Senator - District 27 General Election 2022



Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

To the Chairman and Members of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission Phoenix, Arizona

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were specified and agreed to by the State of Arizona Citizens Clean Elections Commission (the Commission), solely to assist the Commission in evaluating whether Maryn Brannies' (the Candidate)'s Campaign finance reports between the 2022 Pre-General Election report, starting October 1, 2022, through the 2022 Post-General Election (Q4) report, which ended December 31, 2022 (the reporting period) were prepared in compliance with Title 16, Articles 1 and 2 of the Arizona Revised Statutes, Campaign Contributions and Expenses, and the Citizens Clean Elections Act, and whether the reports complied with the rules of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission. The Candidate's management is responsible for the Campaign finance reports during the reporting period. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are presented on the subsequent pages.

We were engaged by the Commission to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Campaign finance reports during the reporting period of Maryn Brannies. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the Commission and the Candidate and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the parties listed in the first paragraph, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Fester & Chapman, PLLC

June 23, 2023

### Summary of Procedures and Findings

### 1. Preliminary Procedures

a) Contractor will obtain a copy of the candidate's campaign finance report for the reporting period.

### **Finding**

We obtained the Campaign finance reports from the Arizona Secretary of State's Website for the reporting period between the 2022 Pre-General Election report, starting October 1, 2022, through the 2022 General Recap Report, which ended November 8, 2022. As of the date of this report, the Candidate had not filed the 2022 Post-General Election (Q4) report (which was due January 17, 2023), which encompassed the period from October 23, 2022, through December 31, 2022. As a result, we tested transactions from October 1, 2022, through November 8, 2022 (the modified reporting period), when possible. We were unable to test any transactions between November 9, 2022, and December 31, 2022.

b). Perform a desk review of the disbursements reported in the candidate's campaign finance report to identify any unusual items requiring follow-up during fieldwork.

### **Finding**

We noted no unusual disbursements during our review.

d). Contact the candidate or the campaign treasurer, as appropriate, to schedule a date to perform fieldwork. Discuss the nature of the documentation, which will be needed to perform the engagement and ascertain the location of the necessary documentation.

### **Finding**

We contacted the Candidate to discuss the agreed-upon procedures, the timing of our procedures, and the documentation needed.

- 2. Fieldwork Procedures
  - a) Contractor will contact the candidate to request the records for an agreed-upon procedures attest engagement. Candidates receiving audits after the Primary Election shall provide records from the election cycle through the 3rd Quarter Report. Candidates receiving audits after the General Election shall provide records from the election cycle through the 4th Quarter Report.

# Finding

Commission staff sent an initial notice to the Candidate and informed the Candidate that we would be contacting them. We then communicated to the Candidate in a written request, the purpose of the request, agreed-upon procedures to be performed, documentation needed, and potential future requirements of the Candidate.

b) The contractor shall contact the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) to discuss the purpose of the engagement, the general procedures to be performed and potential future requirements of the candidate, such as possible repayments to the Fund.

# **Finding**

See comment in a) above.

c) The Contractor shall contact or conduct an interview with the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) to discuss the bookkeeping policies and procedures utilized by the campaign committee.

### **Finding**

The Candidate provided a description of bookkeeping policies and procedures utilized by the Campaign Committee.

(i) Review the names of the candidate's family members. Family members include parents, grandparents, aunt, uncle, child or sibling of the candidate or the candidate's spouse, including the spouse of any of the listed family members regardless of whether the relation is established by marriage or adoption.

### Finding

We obtained and reviewed the names of the Candidate's family members.

- (ii) Review bank statements one month prior to the election date (beginning on the first of the month), the month including the election day, and one month after the election day (ending on the last of the month) in the reporting period and perform the following:
  - Select five (5) samples of deposits and withdrawals from the bank statements and determine that the transaction is properly reflected in the candidate's records and campaign finance report.

### **Finding**

We selected five withdrawals from the bank statements for the modified reporting period and determined that they appeared to be properly recorded in the Candidate's Campaign finance reports. There were no deposits in the modified reporting period to test.

• Perform a proof of receipts and disbursements for the reporting period, which is defined as reporting the ending balances of the December 2022 bank statement and the Post-General Election (Q4) campaign finance report.

### **Finding**

The Candidate's Post-General Election (Q4) Campaign finance report was not filed as of the date of this report, so we cannot report what the balance is as of December 31, 2022. The Candidate's Campaign bank account statement listed a balance of \$3.13 at December 31, 2022.

- d) Using the dates and limits defined in the Arizona Citizens Clean Elections Guide, review the receipts reported in the candidate's campaign finance reports to determine the following:
  - (i) The candidate accepted contributions only from individuals.

### **Finding**

The contributions received during the modified reporting period appeared to be only from individuals.

(ii) None of the contributions received from individuals exceed the early contribution limit.

# Finding

Contributions received from individuals during the modified reporting period did not exceed the \$180 early contribution limit.

(iii) Check compliance with the maximum early contribution limits.

### **Finding**

Early contributions received during the modified reporting period did not exceed the \$4,323 limit for a legislature candidate.

(iv) Check compliance with the maximum personal contribution limits.

### **Finding**

Personal contributions received during the modified reporting period did not exceed the \$800 limit for a legislature candidate.

e) For both the primary election and the general election, contractor will judgmentally select a 10% sample size with a minimum of five (5) contributions reported in the candidate's campaign finance report (not including the \$5 qualifying contributions) and agree to supporting documentation, which reflects the name of the contributor (for all contributions) and for individuals who contributed greater than \$100, which reflects the contributor's address, occupation and employer.

### **Finding**

There were no contributions reported during the modified reporting period.

(i) For other types of cash receipts reported on the candidate's campaign finance report, review supporting documentation and review for compliance with regulatory rules and laws and agree the receipt to inclusion in the campaign account bank statement.

### **Finding**

The Candidate reported three receipts during the modified reporting period on Schedule R1, Non-Contribution Receipts which were described as refunds for goods returned from events, which totaled \$639.49.

(ii) For in-kind contributions, review the supporting documentation and determine the methodology utilized to value the contribution and assess the reasonableness.

# <u>Finding</u>

No in-kind contributions were reported in the Candidate's Campaign finance reports during the modified reporting period.

- f) For both the primary election and the general election, contractor will judgmentally select a 10% sample size with a minimum of five (5) of cash expenditures reported in the candidate's campaign finance report and perform the following:
  - (i) Review supporting invoice or other documentation and agree amount to the amount reported in the candidate's finance report.

# Finding

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not agree the amounts to the amount reported in the Candidate's finance reports.

(ii) Determine that the name, address and nature of goods or services provided agree to the information reported in the candidate's campaign finance report.

### **Finding**

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not agree agree the names, addresses, or nature of goods or services provided to the information reported in the Candidate's finance reports.

• Agree the amount of the expenditure to the campaign account bank statement.

### Finding

We reviewed five expenditures during the modified reporting period and agreed amounts to the Campaign account bank statements without exception.

(iii) Determine whether the expenditure was made for a direct campaign purpose. Direct campaign purpose includes, but is not limited to, materials, communications, transportation, supplies and expenses used toward the election of the candidate.

### Finding

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not determine if the expenditures were made for a direct campaign purpose.

• If the expenditure is a joint expenditure made in conjunction with other candidates, determine that the amount paid represents the candidate's proportionate share of the total cost.

### **Finding**

For five out of five items selected, we were not provided any invoices or other documentation so we could not determine if the expenditures were part of a joint expenditure.

g) Determine whether any petty cash funds have been established and, if so, determine how expenditures from these funds have been reflected in the accounting records. Determine whether aggregate petty cash funds exceed the limit of \$1,580.

### **Finding**

Based on inquiry of the Candidate, the Candidate did not establish a petty cash fund during the modified reporting period.

(i) If applicable, for both the primary election and the general election, contractor will judgmentally select a 10% sample size with a minimum of five (5) candidate's petty cash fund expenditures and obtain supporting documentation for the expenditure. Determine whether the expenditure was for a direct campaign expense and whether the expenditure was in excess of the \$180 limit on petty cash expenditures.

### **Finding**

Based on inquiry of the Candidate, the Candidate did not establish a petty cash fund during the modified reporting period.

h) Determine if the candidate/campaign incurred any debt. If so, report all debt.

# **Finding**

The Candidate did not report any debt on the Campaign Finance Reports during the modified reporting period.

i) Contact the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) to discuss the preliminary engagement findings and recommendations that the Contractor anticipates presenting to the CCEC. During this conference, the Contractor will advise the candidate and/or his or her representative(s) of their right to respond to the preliminary findings and the projected timetable for the issuance of the final issuance of the report.

### Finding

We reported our findings to the Candidate and the Candidate did not provide responses to our findings.