1

Page 1 1 2 3 4 5 THE STATE OF ARIZONA 6 CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION 7 8 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING 11 12 Phoenix, Arizona August 24, 2023 9:30 a.m. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 By: Kathryn A. Blackwelder, RPR CERTIFIED Certified Reporter TRANSCRIPT Certificate No. 50666 25

r a	nscript of Proceedings Public	Mee	eting 2
	Page 2		Page
1	PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN	1	speaking. Commissioner Chan?
2	ELECTIONS COMMISSION convened at 9:30 a.m. on	2	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was going to move that
3 4	August 24, 2023, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections Commission, 1110 West Washington, Conference	3	we adopt the minutes as written.
5	Room, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the	4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. It's been moved that
6	following Board Members:		-
7	Mr. Mark Kimble, Chairman	5	we adopt the minutes for the July 27, 2023 meeting.
8	Mr. Galen Paton	6	there a second?
	Ms. Amy Chan (Videoconference)	7	COMMISSIONER MEYER: I'll second.
9	Mr. Damien Meyer (Videoconference) Mr. Steve Titla (Videoconference)	8	COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second.
LO	MI. Steve fitta (videoconference)	9	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I heard Commissioner Meye
1	OTHERS PRESENT:	10	first.
2	Thomas M. Collins, Executive Director Paula Thomas, Executive Officer	11	COMMISSIONER PATON: Okay. That's fine.
3	Mike Becker, Policy Director	12	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: So it's a second by
	Alec Shaffer, Web Content Manager	13	- Commissioner Meyer.
4	Avery Xola, Voter Education Manager	14	I'll call the roll. Commissioner Chan.
5	(Videoconference) Kara Karlson, Assistant Attorney General	15	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I vote ave.
	Mary O'Grady, Osborn Maledon		-
6	(Videoconference)	16	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer.
7	Cathy Herring, Staff Rivko Knox, Member of the Public	17	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Here or, aye.
	(Videoconference)	18	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.
8	Nathan Madden, Member of the Public	19	COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.
9	(Videoconference) Eli Dalton Webb, Member of the Public	20	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. The
2	(Videoconference)	21	minutes are approved 4-nothing.
0		22	Item III, discussion and possible action or
1 2		23	the Executive Director's Report. Tom.
3		24	MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman
24 25		25	Commissioners. Just to hit some of the highlights fr
	Page 3		Page
1	PROCEEDING	1	the last month, the qualifying period for clean
2	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Good morning. Item I on		candidates began on August 1, which means that
3	our Agenda today is call to the order call to order.	3	candidates who are going to participate in the public
4	It's 9:30 a.m. on August 24th, 2023. I call this	4	financing program can are out are out there now
	-	1	
5	meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections Commission to	5	collecting \$5 contributions and we're providing
6	order.	6	trainings to those candidates.
7	With that, we will take attendance.	7	And since the last time we met, the
8	Commissioners, please identify yourselves for the	8	Legislature finally adjourned, for what it's worth.
9	record.	9	There is a report on the final disposition of the bil
0	COMMISSIONER PATON: Galen Paton.	10	that we are following in the materials, if you have a
1	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Damien Meyer.	11	chance to look at it.
2	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Amy Chan.	12	You can see that, you know, we continue to
3	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Commissioner Titla, are	13	have an active outreach agenda and and despite
4	you here?	14	being summer.
5	MS. THOMAS: He's running a little late.	15	And then I guess I categorized this wrong,
	_		
.6	He'll	16	but I also wanted to mention that Kara, our long-time
.7	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: He's late. Okay.	17	Assistant Attorney General, is now a Senior Litigatio
8	MS. THOMAS: jump on shortly.	18	Counsel at the Attorney General's Office. So that's
9	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: We do have a quorum. And I	19	exciting and a warranted recognition of her
20	am Chairman Kimble.	20	contributions certainly to our agency and to the
1	Item II, discussion and possible action on	21	Attorney General's Office as well.
2	minutes for the July 27th, 2023 meeting. Is there any	22	I wanted to mention that the if you all
3	discussion on the minutes?	23	are interested, the Election Procedures Manual proces
4	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman.	24	is in the phase now where they've collected public
• •			
25	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I couldn't tell who was	25	comment, and the Secretary's Office is going through

www.coashandcoash.com

I ra	anscript of Proceedings Public I	vie	eting 69
	Page 6		Page 8
1	and compiling that before they will send whatever their	1	folks that we hadn't previously heard from.
2	sort of final edition is to the Attorney General and	2	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Any other comments or
3	the Governor. And then, you know, there were some	3	questions from the Commissioners?
4	materials made available by the Bipartisan Elections	4	(No response.)
5	Task Force that Governor Hobbs convened, and those	5	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you. Move on to
6	the proposals that at least have been disclosed are in	6	Item IV, discussion and possible action regarding
7	the are in the packet.	7	adoption of proposed rules related to the Voters' Right
8	I think that those are the main the main	8	to Know Act, Proposition 211.
9	the main issues I wanted to hit. You know, we do	9	We've been taking public comment on the first
10	currently just so we're all clear, we have, and I	10	set of rules to implement Proposition 211. Just by way
11	think this is right, we have three active lawsuits	11	of background, for those attending either here or
12	related to Prop 211. They're in various stages of	12	online, Proposition 211 is an initiative passed by some
13	briefing. And so, you know, we'll see how that	13	70 percent of the voters in 2022. It requires the
14	develops. And if we need to, you know, bring you more	14	disclosure of the original sources of funding for large
15	details on those, we will.	15	campaign media expenditures, \$50,000 for statewide
16	The two immediate pending issues are, you	16	elections and \$25,000 for smaller elections. It
17	know, the Center for Arizona Policy and the Free	17	includes local elections and initiative and referendum
18	Enterprise Club filed an amended complaint that we will	18	campaign media spending.
19	have to address, and then the President the	19	Proposition 211 tasks this Commission with
20	President of the Senate and Speaker of the House filed	20	rulemaking in several areas. The first set of rules
21	their own action, along with a motion for preliminary	21	generally deals with basics like calculating due dates
22	injunction, which is that case is framed around	22	and definitions. It also deals with more technical
23	essentially separation-of-powers-type issues.	23	aspects of the Act, such as the opt-out requirement.
24	So that really concludes the Executive	24	Under Proposition 211 people who have to file reports
25	Director's Report for this month, unless anyone has any	25	must notify donors of their opportunity to opt out of
	Page 7		Page 9
1	questions or and assuming I haven't missed anything.		having the donations used for campaign media spending.
2	COMMISSIONER PATON: I have a question.		Another technical provision is how to address a
3	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.	3	person's claim that revealing their identity would
4	COMMISSIONER PATON: So how many do we are	4	cause them harm.
5	we having good participation on the trainings or	5	Beginning next month we'll look at additional
6	MR. COLLINS: Mike, do you want to I don't	6	
	know what the right way to do you have a sense of	7	As you can see in the memo staff has
8	that?		prepared, there are several rules for which we have
9	MR. BECKER: We've had Mr. Chairman,	9	de minimus changes which I understand is lawyer talk
10	Commissioners, we have put on three workshops so far		for minor, insignificant going back to English
11	and we've had a total of eight people attend, so that's	11	changes or received no comments upon. If the
12	not too bad this early in the game, so we're doing	12	Commission would like, we can discuss those first and I
13	pretty well.	13	would entertain a motion to adopt, then I would move to the rules that received more comments.
14 15	MR. COLLINS: We are	14	So the first rules I'd like to consider are:
	COMMISSIONER PATON: Thank you. MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry. I wanted to add, we		R2-20-802, time calculations; R2-20-807, recordkeeping;
16 17	are continuing to do I mean, Avery was out at an	16	and R2-20-808, advisory opinions. Is there any
	event and directly talked about participation last	18	discussion or questions for staff on those rule
18 19	week. You know, Mike, Avery, and I have all met with a	10	proposed rules from Commissioners?
20	number of different folks who represent different	20	(No response.)
20	organizations that work with candidates about the	20	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Hearing none, is there
22	participation. So, you know, we're you know,	22	anyone who wishes to make a comment on these three
23	it's you know, obviously participation has been	23	proposed rules?
24	lower, but but, you know, we'll I think there's	24	(No response.)
25	some demand there or at least some interest from some	25	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: No one here in in the

Tra	anscript of Proceedings Public I	Mee	eting 1013
	Page 10		Page 12
1 2	room. Is there anyone on Zoom who wants to make a comment?	1 2	but not substantial changes to the to the rules. We also got, across all four of those rules that the
3	(No response.)	3	Chairman identified, I think, suggestions within those
4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Not not seeing	4	memos from that we've that, you know, call for,
5	anyone. Is there a motion on those three items?	5	you know, maybe additional review, additional
6	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman.	6	rulemaking down the road.
7	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan.	7	So, you know, I think that what we tried to
8	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I'll move that we adopt	8	do in evaluating these comments and how to incorporate
9	the three items you named, it's Item under our	9	changes, you know, was look at whether or not, you
10	Agenda Item IV it's Items B, G, and H, I believe.	10	know, we the suggestion would create an
11	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second?	11	administrative burden or enmesh the Commission in more
12	COMMISSIONER MEYER: I'll second.	12	transactions than it may otherwise have been, right.
13	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Seconded by Commissioner	13	Our staff, our perspective was, to the extent
14	Meyer.	14	that we could that the statute is already speaks
15	Just to clarify, Commissioner Chan's motion	15	to an issue and that there is and that there is a
16 17	refers to R2-20-802, R2-20-807, and R2-20-808. Any discussion on the motion?	16	place for a procedural rule on our part, like how to
18	(No response.)	18	access the exemption or how to go through the opt-out process, you know, that we would adhere to those and
19	(NO LESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll.	19	try to, you know and try to, you know and try to
20	Commissioner Chan.	20	not get into every different level of of possible
21	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.	21	transaction, you know. There is there's good
22	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer. I	22	reasons for that in terms of allowing, underneath the
23	think you	23	statute itself, the regulated folks to be able to, you
24	- COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. Aye.	24	know you know, make as many what reasonable
25	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner	25	what choices are reasonable to them within the law.
	Dec. 11		Dama 13
1	Page 11	1	Page 13
	Meyer. Commissioner Paton.	1	I think that, you know I think that on the
3	COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.	3	opt-out, you know, the principal issues seem to be, one, there is, you know because the campaign media
4	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye. Those	4	spending definition is not the same as the definitions
5	three rules are approved 3-to-nothing.	5	of who gets reported for a political committee, there
6	Next set of rules I'd like to discuss are	6	is going to be some some distinct some PACs may
7	COMMISSIONER PATON: 4-to-nothing.	7	be in a position where they have to tell folks that,
8	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: 4-to-nothing. I'm sorry.	8	well, you may not be disclosed on this side, but you
9	Thank you, Commissioner Paton.	9	may be disclosed on another side. That was a point
10	The next rules I'd like to discuss are:	10	that one of the one of the commenters raised. You
11	R2-20-801, definitions and rules of construction;	11	know, that was the kind of thing where, you know, it
12	R2-20-803, opt-out notices under A.R.S. 16-972;	12	may not be, you know, a rule that is that addresses
13	R2-20-804, exemptions from disclosure under A.R.S.	13	that, there may be some other way of addressing that
14	16-973; and R2-20-806, communication with Commission	14	down the road.
15	staff and others before the Commission.	15	You know, we also as I said, in one one
16	First I'd like to have Tom give us an	16	of the comments had to do with the, you know, the fact
17	overview of the comments that we've received on this,	17	that the Act allows people to give their consent to
18	then I'd like to hear any questions from Commissioners	18	their dollars being used for campaign media spending up
19	and then any public comment, if there is any, on these	19	front. And, again, that was one of those issues where
20	items. Tom.	20	we sort of wanted to focus on, well, the statute
		21	already says that.
21	MR. COLLINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman		
22	and Commissioners. I don't want to, you know, entirely	22	So I think that overall, you know, I think
22 23	and Commissioners. I don't want to, you know, entirely rehash what's what's in the memo. I think that, you	22 23	the comments were constructive. We the ones we have
22	and Commissioners. I don't want to, you know, entirely rehash what's what's in the memo. I think that, you know, we got some very helpful comments, some comments	22	

	Inscript of Proceedings Public	Me	eting 1417
	Page 14		Page 16
1	changes, which, again, we don't think are substantial,	1	side, on the other hand, the concern has been raised,
2	are outlined in the document called in your	2	what do we do with those donors who don't want their
3	materials called Text for Consideration and Adoption.	3	dollars to be used for a particular mailing or disagree
4	There are, you know, some changes outlined there, and	4	with something. So in that respect, I think that where
5	they're underlined, you know. And all of those, I	5	the regulated community is more informed on the
6	think, are clarifying and helpful, but don't change the	6	consequences of this than perhaps the you know, in
7	underlying substance of the of the rule	7	this context I think on balance it's appropriate still
8	significantly. And I think that and my view is	8	to, you know, codify that, in fact, donors can, you
9	that, for the most part, the comments we got did not	9	know, have a way to change their mind.
10	really, you know, say, you know you know, we need	10	And again, you know, as a regulator, or
11	to you know, you need to scrap this entire thing and	11	anybody who's not deeply enmeshed in actual practice of
12	start over.	12	campaign finance in the in the real world, you know,
13	There was one comment from the Campaign Legal	13	we don't know how the relationship between donors and
14	Center that did suggest getting rid of a section called	14	covered persons or spenders, you know, is going to work
15	804 804 I'm sorry 80 803(E), which has to	15	in practice. And, in fact, you know, that's just the
16	do with when a donor can request to opt out. You know,	16	nature of being on the outside looking in. But, you
17	as I said when we introduced these rules, part of the	17	know, I think it was striking that the folks who
18	reason that that particular rule is there is in order	18	represent the covered person community the donor
19	to because we think the question is going to come up	19	community did not see this as an issue.
20	through and so the question is whether or not we	20	I think that one last thing I think
21	answer it now, in terms of a rule, or not. You know,	21	I've I think I ought to mention on that point. One
22	so we think that, given that that's sort of inevitably	22	of the other commenters wanted, in the confidentiality
23	a question and that there there to us, you know,	23	exemption proceeding, to have covered persons sort of
24	is consistent with the Act's overall thrust of giving	24	in that process. So if I'm a donor and I have a safety
25	donors an opportunity to make choices about how their	25	issue or and I come to the Commission through one of
	Page 15		Page 17
1	dollars are used, we think it's consistent with that.	1	the three ways you can do that, which is either court
2	One of CLC's direct criticisms was that it	2	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission
2 3	One of CLC's direct criticisms was that it believed that if donors have a right to opt out after	2 3	
			order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission
3	believed that if donors have a right to opt out after	3	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that
3 4	believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and	3 4	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered
3 4 5	believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered	3 4 5	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity
3 4 5 6	believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use	3 4 5 6	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed.
3 4 5 6 7	believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.	3 4 5 6 7	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center
3 4 5 6 7 8	believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara.	3 4 5 6 7 8	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara. MS. KARLSON: Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Chairman,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara. MS. KARLSON: Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to let the record reflect that Commissioner Titla has signed on. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Kara. Yes, Commissioner Titla has joined us. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Okay. So the CLC's substantive</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara. MS. KARLSON: Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to let the record reflect that Commissioner Titla has signed on. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Kara. Yes, Commissioner Titla has joined us. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Okay. So the CLC's substantive comment and the reason I'm spending time on this is because they wanted they wanted this excised completely, and I feel like it's worth spending a</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know, there was another comment suggesting we adopt a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara. MS. KARLSON: Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to let the record reflect that Commissioner Titla has signed on. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Kara. Yes, Commissioner Titla has joined us. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Okay. So the CLC's substantive comment and the reason I'm spending time on this is because they wanted they wanted this excised completely, and I feel like it's worth spending a little time on.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know, there was another comment suggesting we adopt a specific rule specific to accounting for donors, so
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara. MS. KARLSON: Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to let the record reflect that Commissioner Titla has signed on. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Kara. Yes, Commissioner Titla has joined us. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Okay. So the CLC's substantive comment and the reason I'm spending time on this is because they wanted they wanted this excised completely, and I feel like it's worth spending a little time on. That covered persons and donors will be in a</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know, there was another comment suggesting we adopt a specific rule specific to accounting for donors, so donors have to provide, on request from the spender,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara. MS. KARLSON: Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to let the record reflect that Commissioner Titla has signed on. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Kara. Yes, Commissioner Titla has joined us. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Okay. So the CLC's substantive comment and the reason I'm spending time on this is because they wanted they wanted this excised completely, and I feel like it's worth spending a little time on. That covered persons and donors will be in a position where they're always in flux and covered</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know, there was another comment suggesting we adopt a specific rule specific to accounting for donors, so donors have to provide, on request from the spender, information on where they got the money.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know, there was another comment suggesting we adopt a specific rule specific to accounting for donors, so donors have to provide, on request from the spender, information on where they got the money. Our the rules we put forth, one of which
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders. I'm sorry. Kara. MS. KARLSON: Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to let the record reflect that Commissioner Titla has signed on. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Kara. Yes, Commissioner Titla has joined us. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Okay. So the CLC's substantive comment and the reason I'm spending time on this is because they wanted they wanted this excised completely, and I feel like it's worth spending a little time on. That covered persons and donors will be in a position where they're always in flux and covered persons won't be able to make decisions about how to account for, you know, the fact someone might decide</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know, there was another comment suggesting we adopt a specific rule specific to accounting for donors, so donors have to provide, on request from the spender, information on where they got the money. Our the rules we put forth, one of which you already adopted, talk about, you know, a reasonable
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>believed that if donors have a right to opt out after they've been given the opportunity to opt out and chosen not to, that that will somehow cause covered people so "covered people" is the legal term we use now for filers, essentially, spenders.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	order, statute, or or petitioning the Commission itself, there was a suggestion that the covered that the original source then would have to tell the covered persons that they are you know, that their identity is not to be revealed. But the reality is, and Campaign Legal Center does observe this in its letter, that not all covered persons and the original sources are going to interact with one another. And so if the issue is an exemption from the identity you know, putting the additional burden on the person who just sought to have their identity protected, to have to go out and tell people, hey, my identity is protected, again, seemed like a step into a relationship that the Commission really doesn't need to be be a part of. And then lastly on that point, you know, there was another comment suggesting we adopt a specific rule specific to accounting for donors, so donors have to provide, on request from the spender, information on where they got the money. Our the rules we put forth, one of which

Tra	anscript of Proceedings Public	Mee	eting 1821
	Page 18		Page 20
1	We think that that's sufficient and that in the	1	know how much time they had to put into this as well.
2	event and if we were to mandate anything about the	2	It's a very meaty issue, and I think they deserve our
3	accounting itself, right I mean, the rule says you	3	accolades as well.
4	have to keep track of what you're doing, it has to be	4	I just wanted to ask one question. I think,
5	accurate, you have to be able to share it with somebody	5	Tom, you laid out everything in a way that kind of
6	in a way that the Commission can understand what you're	6	addressed any questions I had. The only thing and I
7	doing, that is all but saying use a reasonable	7	don't know if I'm missing the forest for the trees
8	accounting method.	8	here. The only thing I have a question on is the
9	But as soon as you put the words "reasonable	9	StateCraft request that the Commission consider adding
10	accounting method" in a rule, we're going to be in a	10	in this new Subsection F to R-20-803. I was trying to
11	position where we're going to be evaluating is the	11	see if that was an exact kind of duplicate of what's in
12	accounting method reasonable, were the specific	12	statute or if they were trying to thread a needle and
13	rules I mean, you know you know, accounting is,	13	address, with a little more detail, what what the
14	like the practice of law, a bit of an art and a bit of	14	procedures would be or what the expectation would be
15	a and a bit of a science. And, you know, we	15	for that situation where somebody gives notice.
16	don't intruding directly into whether or not people	16	I just I'm not opposed to staff's
17	are making decisions that are reasonable under	17	recommendations. I just and again, I know this may
18	accounting standards is probably not where we want to	18	seem minor in the face of the enormity of everything
19	start, you know, this process. So I think the	19	we're tackling here today, but that was I wondered
20	recordkeeping rules, which no one objected to, plus the	20	if there was some benefit to adopting something to
21	fact that the statute itself does not allow for	21	address that, but, again, I wasn't sure. And I was
22	structuring transactions to evade the Act, was	22	trying to pull up the statute, and I don't know if Tom
23	sufficient there.	23	can speak to it off the top of his head at the moment,
24	Those are sort of the highlights that I	24	but whether that was an exact duplicate, if there was
25	wanted to bring out from the from the evaluation.	25	any benefit to considering adding that in, and also, if
	Page 19		Page 21
1	Page 19 Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally	1	Page 21 we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned
1 2	_	1 2	we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned
	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally		we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned
2	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't	2	we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of
2	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put	2	we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year,
2 3 4	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And	2 3 4	we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically.
2 3 4 5	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5	we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804,	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate the time they took to review our rules. I mean, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that we don't think we might look at either as an additional</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate the time they took to review our rules. I mean, I know, you know, they and their clients will be the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that we don't think we might look at either as an additional issue down the road or, in some cases and, you know,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate the time they took to review our rules. I mean, I know, you know, they and their clients will be the most impacted by this initiative that voters approved, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that we don't think we might look at either as an additional issue down the road or, in some cases and, you know, some of the things that people may see as we work</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate the time they took to review our rules. I mean, I know, you know, they and their clients will be the most impacted by this initiative that voters approved, and so therefore are the rules that we're going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that we don't think we might look at either as an additional issue down the road or, in some cases and, you know, some of the things that people may see as we work through this may turn out to be legislative issues, and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate the time they took to review our rules. I mean, I know, you know, they and their clients will be the most impacted by this initiative that voters approved, and so therefore are the rules that we're going to be promulgating and that we are promulgating, but I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that we don't think we might look at either as an additional issue down the road or, in some cases and, you know, some of the things that people may see as we work through this may turn out to be legislative issues, and that will just be how it will be.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate the time they took to review our rules. I mean, I know, you know, they and their clients will be the most impacted by this initiative that voters approved, and so therefore are the rules that we're going to be promulgating and that we are promulgating, but I think they're they obviously took the time to really think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that we don't think we might look at either as an additional issue down the road or, in some cases and, you know, some of the things that people may see as we work through this may turn out to be legislative issues, and that will just be how it will be. So this is a comment that says so</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Obviously, I mean, there are other folks internally who've who've worked on this with me, so I don't know if there's anything they think that I need to put on the record that I haven't. But those were those were my main points, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Tom. And just just to be clear, staff is recommending that we adopt 801 as it was written and then we adopt 803, 804, and 806 with non-substantial changes that are that are clear in the memo with those changes underlined. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Commission? Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to I guess I don't even know if any of the regulated community has offered comments or their representatives are here today, but I really appreciate the time they took to review our rules. I mean, I know, you know, they and their clients will be the most impacted by this initiative that voters approved, and so therefore are the rules that we're going to be promulgating and that we are promulgating, but I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>we did, would it delay the rules. I'm very concerned about getting the rules implemented timely because of the fact that we're already starting our election year, basically. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you, Commissioner Chan. Tom. MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, I'm happy to. So this is at Page 2 of the StateCraft memo. Are we talking about the Subsection F or the Subsection G? COMMISSIONER CHAN: I was talking about Subsection F. Thanks for asking. MR. COLLINS: Yeah. So, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, that's a that's a good question. And I think the way we see this and there may be let me put it this way. There's certainly nothing that we don't think we might look at either as an additional issue down the road or, in some cases and, you know, some of the things that people may see as we work through this may turn out to be legislative issues, and that will just be how it will be.</pre>

Tra	Inscript of Proceedings Public	Mee	eting 2225
	Page 22		Page 24
1	statute. And then this starts with, "notwithstanding	1	legislation. But the reality is that what you're
2	the foregoing." So it says it's going to be an	2	telling your donors under 16-972 is just a different
3	exception to the overall rule that applies to everyone,	3	thing from what you're telling your donors under 16
4	right, and the exception is going to be for a covered	4	under the rest of Chapter 6.
5	person that is also a registered political action	5	And so obviously I think it would be a good
6	committee, okay.	6	business practice for a covered person who is in the
7	So just to that just to walk through how	7	process of dealing with their donors to tell them the
8	this operates, what they said proposed to have is an	8	range of ways in which they might be disclosed. But
9	exception to the existing to the rest of the rule	9	this is not a but it's not it's not something
10	for political action committees. And then it says	10	that the statute, at least as we as we've been able
11	that, you know, their compliance will be determined,	11	to understand it so far, seems to contemplate.
12	for this section and for the opt-out notice itself, by	12	Now, if we're misunderstanding that, I'm sure
13	including, in a written solicitation of funds or in a	13	we'll hear from folks and maybe get a little better
14	written receipt provided to a donor, within 10 days of	14	understanding, but at this point
15	receiving the donor's monies, a clear and conspicuous	15	And I think the other thing I'll mention
16	written notice that the PAC is required by Arizona law	16	about the way that this is put together goes to your
17	to publicly report the name, et cetera, et cetera, of	17	point, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Chan, is this by
18	all out-of-state contributors and Arizona residents who	18	constructing this with the "notwithstanding" clause, it
19	contribute more than a hundred dollars per election	19	essentially if we were to we wouldn't have needed
20	cycle. Okay. So to the extent that they're abiding	20	to adopt this as an amendment essentially. It's
21	by that a person is abiding by the statutory	21	essentially a it's not an amendment that's going to
22	language, which in 16-972, that there's nothing	22	change the it's self-contained, I guess is what I'm
23	outside of that that they that they need to have an	23	trying to say. It's a new exception that's being
24	additional rule.	24	brought in. So there's you know, so if we wanted to
25	The other potential problem here is that it	25	come back and look at what you know, how broad the
	Page 23	-	Page 25
1	_	1	
1 2	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically	1 2	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little
	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going		
2	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically	2	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what
2	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we	2	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going
2 3 4	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to	2 3 4	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have
2 3 4 5	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at	2 3 4 5	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process.
2 3 4 5 6	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent	2 3 4 5 6	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we
2 3 4 5 6 7	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language.	2 3 4 5 6 7	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not about any other thing. So campaign media spending is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in place, you know, and then work from there.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not about any other thing. So campaign media spending is not every expenditure by a political committee. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in place, you know, and then work from there. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not about any other thing. So campaign media spending is not every expenditure by a political committee. It's a it's a subset of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in place, you know, and then work from there. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan, does that address your concern or do you want to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not about any other thing. So campaign media spending is not every expenditure by a political committee. It's a it's a subset of that. You know, if the concern here is there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in place, you know, and then work from there. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan, does that address your concern or do you want to COMMISSIONER CHAN: Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 8 19 20	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not about any other thing. So campaign media spending is not every expenditure by a political committee. It's a it's a subset of that. You know, if the concern here is there's somehow a redundancy or something like that, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in place, you know, and then work from there. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan, does that address your concern or do you want to COMMISSIONER CHAN: Yes. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: propose some wording </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not about any other thing. So campaign media spending is not every expenditure by a political committee. It's a it's a subset of that. You know, if the concern here is there's somehow a redundancy or something like that, you know, I mean, we will continue to have a dialogue with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in place, you know, and then work from there. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan, does that address your concern or do you want to COMMISSIONER CHAN: Yes. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: propose some wording change?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	by taking the PAC out of 972 entirely, it basically says, if you're a PAC, you're going to you're going to go down an alternate road from 972. And so as we understand this comment, it's basically asking us to create an exception to 972 that we don't understand at this point how that would be statutorily consistent with the existing language. Now, that said, the statute does allow for a person to affirmatively reach out. That's fine. It also allows for a person there's nothing that would prohibit a person from explaining if they have, you know you know, so you've got a donor, under the campaign media spending law, who's given you \$5,001 or \$5,000 and they're at risk of being disclosed. That notice is about campaign media spending. It's not about any other thing. So campaign media spending is not every expenditure by a political committee. It's a it's a subset of that. You know, if the concern here is there's somehow a redundancy or something like that, you know, I mean, we will continue to have a dialogue with the regulated community about ways we might be able to, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>scope of the problem for PACs is and find a little you know, maybe get a better understanding of what the what the statutory perspective that folks have is, you know, we could revisit that. And we're going to be open to that throughout the process. But at this point, you know, we just we don't have a solid understanding of how we get from the 972 opt-out notice, which goes to campaign spending campaign media spending and deals with folks who are giving at a higher level, with the general operation of a PAC. You know, so that's that was our so, you know, never say never, right, but we think that, at this juncture, we don't see it as an impediment to the rule moving forward. And moreover, you know, we do want to get the certainty associated with the rule in place, you know, and then work from there. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Chan, does that address your concern or do you want to COMMISSIONER CHAN: Yes. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: propose some wording change? COMMISSIONER CHAN: No. That was no. I </pre>

24	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Chair votes aye.	24	(
25	Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner Titla.	25	comments to
	rt Reporting & Video, LLC handcoash.com		

	Page 26		Page 28
1	COMMISSIONER CHAN: I am, yeah.	1	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Okay. Thank you.	2	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you.
3	Any other concerns or comments by members of	3	Chair votes aye. The four rules are approved
4	the Commission?	4	unanimously, 5-to-nothing. Thank you very much.
5	(No response.)	5	Item V, discussion and possible action on
6	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Are there any members of	6	proposed meeting dates for September through December
7	the public who wish to comment on these four rule	7	of 2023. Commissioners, you should have all received
8	changes rules? Excuse me.	8	had a chance to review the dates that Ms. Thomas has
9	(No response.)	9	proposed. And the dates for future meetings are
10	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I don't see anyone in the	10	September 21st, October 26th, November 16th, and
11	room and I don't see anyone on Zoom either.	11	December 14th. Is there any discussion?
12	So I would I would propose that we	12	(No response.)
13	consider all four of these rule changes together,	13	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a motion to
14	meaning 801 as written, plus 803, 804, and 806 with	14	approve these four dates for future meetings?
15	some minor changes as indicated in our material, unless	15	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that
16	a member of the Commission wants to pull out one of	16	we approve the future meeting dates.
17	those rules and discuss more or handle it separately.	17	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,
18	What is the what is the preference of the Commission	18	Commissioner Chan.
19	as far as a motion?	19	Is there a second?
20	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'm happy	20	COMMISSIONER MEYER: I'll second that.
20	to move all of those. I have a question about	20	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,
22	whether how easy it will be to move all of them and	22	Commissioner Meyer.
	-		-
23	what the language would be as far as just perhaps do	23	I'll call the roll. Commissioner Chan.
24	we say, as presented in the materials with the	24	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.
25	substantive or, with the non-substantive changes as	25	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer.
	Page 27		Page 29
1	_	1	-
	proposed by staff?	1 2	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.
2	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that.	2	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.
2 3	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine.	2 3	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.
2 3 4	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case,	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.
2 3 4 5	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The
2 3 4 5 6 7	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a comment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a comment? MS. HERRING: I don't see anyone on Zoom.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a comment? MS. HERRING: I don't see anyone on Zoom. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I don't see anyone either
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a comment? MS. HERRING: I don't see anyone either here in the room or on Zoom who wants to make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a comment? MS. HERRING: I don't see anyone on Zoom. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I don't see anyone either here in the room or on Zoom who wants to make a comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll. Commissioner Chan. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a comment? MS. HERRING: I don't see anyone on Zoom. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I don't see anyone either here in the room or on Zoom who wants to make a comment. MS. THOMAS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>proposed by staff? CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I'll turn to Kara for that. MS. KARLSON: Yes, that would be fine. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Okay. In that case, Mr. Chairman, and hopefully I'm not jumping the gun here, but I'll go ahead and move that we adopt the proposed Rules R2-20-801, R2-20-803, R2-20-804, and R2-20-806. And to the extent that several of those have some non-substantive changes, that all of that be adopted together by the Commission today. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: It was seconded by Commissioner Paton. Any discussion among Commissioners? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I will call the roll. COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer. COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton. COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla. COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And Chair votes aye. The meeting dates are approved 5-to-nothing. Thank you. This is the time for consideration of comments and suggestions from the public. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date or responding to criticism. Please limit your comments to no more than two minutes. Does any member of the public wish to make comments at this time? Cathy, Paula, does anyone on Zoom want to make a comment? MS. HERRING: I don't see anyone on Zoom. CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: I don't see anyone either here in the room or on Zoom who wants to make a comment.

110	nscript of Proceedings Public I	U	
	Page 30		
1	ccec@azcleanelections.gov.		
2	Item VII, motion to adjourn. At this time, I		
3	would entertain a motion to adjourn.		
4	COMMISSIONER PATON: I'll make a motion to		
5	adjourn.		
6	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,		
7	Commissioner Paton.		
8	Is there a second?		
9	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Second the motion.		
10	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Second.		
11	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Thank you,		
12	Commissioner Chan.		
13	I'll call the roll. Commissioner Chan.		
14	COMMISSIONER CHAN: Aye.		
15	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Meyer.		
16	COMMISSIONER MEYER: Aye.		
17	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Paton.		
18	COMMISSIONER PATON: Aye.		
19	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: Commissioner Titla.		
20	COMMISSIONER TITLA: Aye.		
21	CHAIRMAN KIMBLE: And the Chair votes aye.		
22	We are adjourned. Thank you very much.		
23	(The meeting concluded at 10:11 a.m.)		
	Page 31		
25	Page 31 STATE OF ARIZONA)		
25	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss.		
25 1 2	STATE OF ARIZONA)		
25 1 2 3	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA)		
25 1 2 3 4	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings		
25 1 2 3 4 5	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability.</pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 14 15	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related</pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.</pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Tempe, Arizona, this 27th day of</pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Tempe, Arizona, this 27th day of</pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Tempe, Arizona, this 27th day of</pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Tempe, Arizona, this 27th day of August, 2023. </pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Tempe, Arizona, this 27th day of August, 2023. Kathryn A. Blackwelder, RPR</pre>		
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA) BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken by me; that I was then and there a Certified Reporter of the State of Arizona; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings had and adduced upon the taking of said proceedings, all to the best of my skill and ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. DATED at Tempe, Arizona, this 27th day of August, 2023. </pre>		

08-24-2023 Index: \$25,000..business

	-9			
		actual 16:11	Assistant 5:17	
\$	5	add 7:16	assuming 7:1	
\$25,000 8:16	5-to-nothing 28:4 29:7	adding 20:9,25	attend 7:11	
\$5 5:5	6	additional 9:5 12:5	attendance 3:7	
\$5,000 23:14		17:11 21:18 22:24	attending 8:11	
\$5,001 23:13 \$50,000 8:15	6 24:4	address 6:19 9:2 20:13,21 25:18	Attorney 5:17,18,21 6:2	
	7	addressed 20:6	August 3:4 5:2	
1	70 8:13	addresses 13:12	Avery 7:17,19	
1 5:2		addressing 13:13	aye 4:15,17,19,20	
10 22:14	8	adhere 12:18	10:21,24 11:3,4 27:19,	
10:11 30:23	80 14:15	adjourn 30:2,3,5	21,23,24 28:1,3,24 29:1,3,5,6 30:14,16,18,	
14th 28:11	801 19:8 26:14	adjourned 5:8 30:22	20,21	
16 24:3	803 19:8 26:14	administrative 12:11		
16-972 11:12 22:22	803(E) 14:15	adopt 4:3,5 9:13 10:8	В	
24:2	804 14:15 19:8 26:14	17:18 19:8 24:20 27:6	back 9:10 24:25	
16-973 11:14	806 19:9 26:14	adopted 17:23 27:10	background 8:11	
16th 28:10		adopting 20:20	bad 7:12	
	9	adoption 8:7 14:3	balance 16:7	
2	972 23:1,3,5 25:8	advisory 9:17	basically 21:4,24 23:1,	
2 21:9	9:30 3:4	affirmatively 23:9	basics 8:21	
2022 8:13	Α	agency 5:20	BECKER 7:9	
2023 3:4,22 4:5 28:7		agenda 3:3 5:13 10:10	began 5:2	
211 6:12 8:8,10,12,19, 24	a.m. 3:4 30:23	ahead 21:24 27:6	Beginning 9:5	
	A.R.S. 11:12,13	allowing 12:22	believed 15:3	
21st 28:10	abiding 22:20,21	alternate 23:3		
24th 3:4	access 12:17	amended 6:18	benefit 20:20,25	
26th 28:10	accolades 20:3	amendment 24:20,21	bills 5:9	
27 4:5	account 15:23	Amy 3:12	Bipartisan 6:4	
27th 3:22	accounting 17:19 18:3,8,10,12,13,18	applies 22:3	bit 18:14,15	
3	accurate 18:5	approve 28:14,16	briefing 6:13	
3-to-nothing 11:5		approved 4:21 11:5 19:20 28:3 29:7	bring 6:14 18:25	
	Act 8:8,23 9:6 13:17 18:22	areas 8:20	broad 24:25	
4	Act's 14:24	Arizona 6:17 22:16,18	brought 24:24	
4-nothing 4:21	action 3:21 4:22 6:21	art 18:14	burden 12:11 17:12	
4-to-nothing 11:7,8	8:6 22:5,10 28:5 29:9	aspects 8:23	business 24:6	
	active 5:13 6:11	uspecis 0.20		

		viccung	mack. calculatingdelay
	chance 5:11 28:8	19 7:2,3,4,15 10:6,7,8,	contemplate 24:11
C	change 14:6 16:9	12,13,15,20,21,22,24,	context 16:7
calculating 8:21	24:22 25:21	25 11:2,3,7,9 15:11,13 19:13,14 21:6,8,12,15	continue 5:12 23:21
calculations 9:16	Chapter 24:4	24:17 25:17,19,22 26:1,	continuing 7:17
call 3:3,4 4:14 10:19	choices 12:25 14:25	20 27:4,12,14,18,19,20, 21,22,23,25 28:1,15,18,	contribute 22:19
12:4 27:17 28:23 30:13	chosen 15:5	20,22,23,24,25 29:1,2, 3,4,5 30:4,7,9,10,12,13,	contributions 5:5,20
called 14:2,3,14	Citizens 3:5	14,15,16,17,18,19,20	contributors 22:18
campaign 8:15,18 9:1 13:3,18 14:13 15:24	claim 9:3	Commissioners 3:8	convened 6:5
16:12 17:7 23:13,15,16	clarify 10:15	4:25 7:10 8:3 9:19 11:18,22 27:15 28:7	Counsel 5:18
25:8,9	clarifying 14:6	committee 13:5 22:6	court 17:1
candidates 5:2,3,6 7:21	clause 24:18	23:17	covered 15:5,6,20,21,
case 6:22 27:4	CLC's 15:2,15	committees 22:10	25 16:14,18,23 17:3,4,8 22:4 24:6
cases 21:19	clean 3:5 5:1	common 13:25	create 12:10 23:5
categorized 5:15	clear 6:10 19:7,10 22:15	communication 11:14	criticism 29:13
Cathy 29:17	clients 19:19	community 16:5,18,19	criticisms 15:2
ccec@	Club 6:18	19:16 23:22 compiling 6:1	cycle 22:20
azcleanelections. gov. 30:1	codify 16:8	complaint 6:18	
Center 6:17 14:14 17:7	collected 5:24	completely 15:18	D
certainty 25:15	collecting 5:5	compliance 22:11	Damien 3:11
cetera 22:17	COLLINS 4:24 7:6,14,	concern 16:1 23:19	date 29:13
Chair 4:20 11:4 27:24	16 11:21 15:15 21:8,14	25:18	dates 8:21 28:6,8,9,14, 16 29:7
28:3 29:6 30:21	comfortable 25:24	concerned 21:1	days 22:14
Chairman 3:2,13,17,	commend 19:25	concerns 26:3	de 9:9
19,20,24,25 4:4,9,12, 16,18,20,24 7:3,9 8:2,5	comment 5:25 8:9 9:22 10:2 11:19 14:13 15:16	concluded 30:23 concludes 6:24 23:24	deal 21:25
9:21,25 10:4,6,7,11,13, 19,22,25 11:4,8,21 12:3	17:18 21:23 23:4 26:7		dealing 24:7
15:9,12 19:5,6,14 21:5,	29:10,18,22	confidentiality 16:22	deals 8:21,22 25:9
8,14 24:17 25:17,20,24 26:2,6,10,20 27:2,5,11,	commenters 13:10 16:22	consent 13:17 consequences 16:6	December 28:6,11
13,17,20,22,24 28:2,13,	comments 8:2 9:11,14		decide 15:23
15,17,21,25 29:2,4,6, 20,24 30:6,11,15,17,19,	11:17,24 12:8 13:16,23 14:9 19:11,16 26:3	consideration 14:3 29:8,12	decision 29:12
21	29:9,14,16,25	consistent 14:24 15:1	decisions 15:22 18:17
Chan 3:12,24 4:1,2,14,	Commission 3:5 8:19	23:6	deeply 16:11
15 10:6,7,8,20,21 19:13,14 21:6,9,12,15	9:12 11:14,15 12:11 16:25 17:2,15 18:6	conspicuous 22:15	definition 13:4
24:17 25:17,19,22 26:1,	19:12 20:9 26:4,16,18	constructing 24:18	definitions 8:22 11:11
20 27:4,18,19 28:15,18, 23,24 30:9,12,13,14	27:10 29:25	construction 11:11	13:4
Chan's 10:15	Commissioner 3:10, 11,12,13,24 4:1,2,7,8,9,	constructive 13:23	delay 21:1

11,13,14,15,16,17,18,

08-24-2023 Index: demand..impacted

demand 7:25	edition 6:2		generally 8:21 11:25
deserve 20:2	election 5:23 21:3	F	give 11:16 13:17 19:24
detail 20:13	22:19	face 20:18	giving 14:24 25:10
details 6:15	elections 3:5 6:4 8:16, 17	fact 13:16 15:23 16:8, 15 18:21 21:3 23:24	good 3:2 7:5 12:21 21:15 24:5
determined 22:11	enforcement 9:6	feel 15:18	Governor 6:3,5
develops 6:14	English 9:10	file 8:24	guess 5:15 19:15 24:22
dialogue 23:21	enmesh 12:11	filed 6:18,20	gun 27:5
direct 15:2	enmeshed 16:11	filers 15:7	.
directing 29:11	enormity 20:18	final 5:9 6:2	н
directly 7:18 18:16	Enterprise 6:18	finally 5:8	hand 16:1
Director's 4:23 6:25	entertain 9:13 30:3	finance 16:12	handle 26:17
disagree 16:3	entire 14:11	financing 5:4	happy 21:9 26:20
disclosed 6:6 13:8,9 23:14 24:8	essentially 6:23 15:7	find 25:1	harm 9:4
disclosure 8:14 11:13	24:19,20,21 evade 18:22	fine 4:11 23:9 27:3	He'll 3:16
discuss 9:12 11:6,10		flux 15:21	head 20:23
26:17	evaluating 12:8 18:11 evaluation 18:25	focus 13:20	hear 11:18 24:13
discussion 3:21,23		folks 7:20 8:1 12:23	heard 4:9 8:1
4:22 8:6 9:18 10:17 27:15 28:5,11	event 7:18 18:2	13:7 16:17 19:1 24:13 25:3,9	Hearing 9:21
disposition 5:9	exact 20:11,24	Force 6:5	helpful 11:24 14:6
distinct 13:6	exception 22:3,4,9 23:5 24:23	foregoing 22:2	HERRING 29:19
document 14:2	excised 15:17	forest 20:7	hey 17:14
dollars 13:18 15:1,24	exciting 5:19	forward 25:14	higher 25:10
16:3 22:19	Excuse 15:9 26:8	framed 6:22	highlights 4:25 18:24
donations 9:1	Executive 4:23 6:24	framework 23:23	hit 4:25 6:9
donor 14:16 15:25	exemption 12:17	Free 6:17	Hobbs 6:5
16:18,24 22:14 23:12	16:23 17:10	front 13:19	House 6:20
donor's 22:15	exemptions 11:13	funding 8:14	hundred 22:19
donors 8:25 14:25 15:3,20 16:2,8,13	existing 22:9 23:7	funds 22:13	
17:19,20 24:2,3,7	expectation 20:14	future 28:9,14,16	I
due 8:21	expenditure 23:17	Tuture 20.9,14,10	identified 12:3
duplicate 20:11,24	expenditures 8:15	G	identify 3:8
E	explain 17:25	Galen 3:10	identity 9:3 17:5,11,13, 14
e-mail 29:25	explaining 23:11	game 7:12	II 3:21
early 7:12	extent 12:13 22:20 27:8	general 5:17 6:2 25:10	III 4:22
easy 26:22		General's 5:18,21	impacted 19:20
Casy 20.22			

08-24-2023 Index: impediment..number

impediment 25:13			mind 16:9	
implement 8:10	K	Μ	minimus 9:9	
implemented 21:2	Kara 5:16 15:8,12 27:2	made 6:4	minor 9:10 20:18 26:15	
improvements 11:25	KARLSON 15:9 27:3	mail 29:25	minutes 3:22,23 4:3,5,	
includes 8:17	Kimble 3:2,13,17,19,	mailing 16:3	21 29:14	
including 22:13	20,25 4:4,9,12,16,18,20 7:3 8:2,5 9:21,25 10:4,	main 6:8,9 19:5	missed 7:1	
incorporate 12:8	7,11,13,19,22,25 11:4,8	make 9:22 10:1 12:24	missing 20:7	
inevitably 14:22	15:12 19:6 21:5 25:17, 20,24 26:2,6,10 27:2,	13:24 14:25 15:22 29:15,18,21 30:4	misunderstanding	
information 17:21	11,13,17,20,22,24 28:2,	making 18:17	24:12 moment 20:23	
informed 16:5	13,17,21,25 29:2,4,6, 20,24 30:6,11,15,17,19,	mandate 18:2		
initiative 8:12,17 19:20	21	mandated 21:25	money 17:21	
injunction 6:22	kind 13:11 20:5,11	Manual 5:23	monies 22:15	
input 19:24		material 26:15	month 5:1 6:25 9:5	
insignificant 9:10	L	materials 5:10 6:4 14:3	morning 3:2	
interact 17:9	laid 20:5	26:24	motion 6:21 9:13 10:5, 15,17 26:19 28:13 30:2,	
interest 7:25	language 22:22 23:7 26:23	matter 29:11,12	3,4,9	
interested 5:23	large 8:14	meaning 26:14	move 4:2 8:5 9:13 10:8 26:21,22 27:6 28:15	
internally 19:1	lastly 17:17	means 5:2	moved 4:4	
introduced 14:17	late 3:15,17	meaty 20:2	moving 25:14	
intruding 18:16	law 12:25 18:14 22:16	media 8:15,18 9:1 13:3,		
issue 12:15 16:19,25	23:13	18 15:24 23:13,15,16 25:9	Ν	
17:10 20:2 21:19	lawsuits 6:11	meeting 3:5,22 4:5	named 10:9	
issues 6:9,16,23 13:2, 19 21:21	lawyer 9:9	28:6,16 29:7 30:23	nature 16:16	
Item 3:2,21 4:22 8:6	legal 14:13 15:6 17:7	meetings 28:9,14	needed 24:19	
10:9,10 28:5 30:2	legislation 24:1	member 26:16 29:15	needle 20:12	
items 10:5,9,10 11:20	legislative 21:21	members 19:12 26:3,6	non-substantial 19:9	
IV 8:6 10:10	Legislature 5:8 letter 17:8	memo 9:7 11:23 19:10 21:10	non-substantive 26:25 27:9	
J	level 12:20 25:10	memos 12:4	notice 20:15 22:12,16	
joined 15:13	limit 29:13	mention 5:16,22 16:21	23:15 25:8	
July 3:22 4:5	limited 29:10	24:15 met 5:7 7:19	notices 11:12 21:25	
jump 3:18	Litigation 5:17		notify 8:25	
jumping 27:5	local 8:17	method 18:8,10,12	notwithstanding 22:1 24:18	
juncture 25:13	long-time 5:16	Meyer 3:11 4:7,9,13,16, 17 10:12,14,22,24 11:1	-	
-	lower 7:24	27:20,21 28:20,22,25 29:1 30:10,15,16	November 28:10	
		29.1 30.10,13,10	number 7:20	

Mike 7:6,19

State of Arizona Citizens Clean E	zens Clean Elections Commission	
Transcript of Proceedings	Public Meeting	

		lieeung	
	27:12,14,22,23 29:2,3	procedural 12:16	R2-20-801 11:11 27:7
0	30:4,7,17,18	procedures 5:23 20:14	R2-20-802 9:16 10:16
objected 18:20	Paula 29:17	proceeding 16:23	R2-20-803 11:12 27:7
observe 17:8	pending 6:16	process 5:23 12:18	R2-20-804 11:13 27:7
October 28:10	people 7:11 8:24 13:17	16:24 17:24 18:19 24:7	R2-20-806 11:14 27:8
offered 19:16	15:6 17:13 18:16 21:20	25:5	R2-20-807 9:16 10:16
Office 5:18,21,25	percent 8:13	program 5:4 prohibit 23:11	R2-20-808 9:17 10:16
online 8:12	period 5:1	•	raised 13:10 16:1
open 25:5	person 16:18 17:12 22:5,21 23:9,10,11 24:6	promulgating 19:22	range 24:8
operates 22:8	person's 9:3 15:25	Prop 6:12	reach 23:9
operation 25:10	persons 15:20,22	proposals 6:6	real 16:12
opinions 9:17	16:14,23 17:5,9	propose 25:20 26:12	reality 17:7 24:1
opportunity 8:25 14:25 15:4	perspective 12:13 25:3	proposed 8:7 9:19,23 22:8 27:1,7 28:6,9	reason 14:18 15:16
opposed 20:16	petitioning 17:2	Proposition 8:8,10,12, 19,24	reasonable 12:24,25 17:23 18:7,9,12,17
opt 8:25 14:16 15:3,4	phase 5:24	protected 17:13,14	reasons 12:22
opt-out 8:23 11:12	place 12:16 25:16	provide 17:20	receipt 22:14
12:17 13:2 21:25 22:12 25:8	point 13:9,25 16:21	provided 22:14	• received 9:11,14 11:17
order 3:3,6 14:18 17:2	17:17 23:6 24:14,17 25:6	providing 5:5	28:7
organizations 7:21	points 19:5	provision 9:2	receiving 22:15
original 8:14 17:4,9	Policy 6:17	public 5:3,24 8:9 11:19	recognition 5:19
out-of-state 22:18	political 13:5 22:5,10	26:7 29:9,10,15,24	recommendations
outlined 14:2,4	23:17	publicly 22:17	20:17
outreach 5:13	position 13:7 15:21 18:11	pull 20:22 26:16	recommending 19:7
overview 11:17		put 7:10 17:22 18:9	record 3:9 15:10 19:4
	potential 22:25	19:3 20:1 21:17 24:16	recordkeeping 9:16 17:24 18:20
Р	practice 16:11,15 18:14 24:6	putting 17:11	redundancy 23:20,25
PAC 22:16 23:1,2 25:11	preference 26:18	Q	referendum 8:17
packet 6:7	preliminary 6:21	qualifying 5:1	refers 10:16
PACS 13:6 25:1	prepared 9:8	question 7:2 14:19,20,	reflect 15:10
part 12:16 14:9,17	presented 26:24	23 20:4,8 21:15 26:21	registered 22:5
17:16	President 6:19,20	questions 7:1 8:3 9:18 11:18 19:11 20:6	regulated 12:23 16:5
participate 5:3	pretty 7:13	quorum 3:19	19:16 23:22
participation 7:5,18, 22,23	previously 8:1		regulator 16:10

rehash 11:23

R

R-20-803 20:10

related 6:12 8:7 9:6

principal 13:2

problem 22:25 25:1

passed 8:12

Paton 3:10 4:8,11,18, 19 7:2,3,4,15 11:2,3,7,9

relationship 16:13	rules 8:7,10,20 9:6,8,	source 17:4	sufficient 18:1,23
17:15	14,15,19,23 11:5,6,10,	sources 8:14 17:9	suggest 14:14
remedied 23:25	11 12:1,2 14:17 17:22 18:13,20 19:18,21 21:1,	speak 20:23	suggested 13:24
report 4:23 5:9 6:25 22:17	2 26:8,17 27:7 28:3	Speaker 6:20	suggesting 17:18
reported 13:5	running 3:15	speaking 4:1	suggestion 12:10 17:3
reports 8:24	S	speaks 12:14	suggestions 12:3 29:9
represent 7:20 16:18	-	specific 17:19 18:12	summer 5:14
representatives 19:17	safety 16:24	spender 17:20	
request 14:16 17:20	science 18:15	spenders 15:7 16:14	т
20:9	scope 25:1	spending 8:18 9:1	tackling 20:19
required 22:16	scrap 14:11	13:4,18 15:16,18,25	taking 8:9 23:1
requirement 8:23	seconded 10:13 27:13	23:13,15,16 25:8,9	talk 9:9 17:23
requires 8:13	Secretary's 5:25	staff 9:7,18 11:15 12:13 19:7,25 27:1 29:11	talked 7:18
rescheduling 29:11	section 14:14 21:24 22:12	staff's 20:16	talking 21:10,12
residents 22:18	self-contained 24:22	stages 6:12	talks 21:24
respect 16:4	Senate 6:20	standards 18:18	Task 6:5
responding 29:13	send 6:1 29:24	start 14:12 18:19	tasks 8:19
response 8:4 9:20,24	Senior 5:17	starting 21:3	technical 8:22 9:2
10:3,18 26:5,9 27:16 28:12	sense 7:7	starts 22:1	telling 24:2,3
rest 22:9 24:4	separately 26:17	Statecraft 20:9 21:10	term 15:6
result 29:10	separation-of-	statewide 8:15	terms 12:22 14:21
revealed 17:6	powers-type 6:23	statute 12:14,23 13:20	Text 14:3
revealing 9:3	September 28:6,10	17:2 18:21 20:12,22 22:1 23:8 24:10	thing 13:11 14:11 16:2
review 12:5 19:18 28:8	set 8:10,20 11:6	statutorily 23:6	20:6,8 23:16 24:3,15
revisit 25:4	share 18:5	statutory 22:21 23:23	things 21:20
rid 14:14	shortly 3:18	25:3	Thomas 3:15,18 28:8 29:23
risk 23:14	side 13:8,9 15:25 16:1	step 17:15	thoughtful 19:24
road 12:6 13:14 21:19	signed 15:11	striking 16:17	thread 20:12
23:3	significantly 14:8	structuring 18:22	thrust 14:24
roll 4:14 10:19 27:17	situation 20:15	study 29:11	time 5:7 9:16 15:16,19
28:23 30:13	smaller 8:16	Subsection 20:10	19:18,23 20:1 29:8,16
room 10:1 26:11 29:21	solicitation 22:13	21:10,11,13	30:2
rule 9:18 12:16 13:12	solid 25:7	subset 23:18	timely 21:2
14:7,18,21 17:19 18:3, 10 22:3,9,24 25:14,15	sort 6:2 13:20 14:22	substance 14:7	Titla 3:13 15:11,13 27:25 28:1 29:4,5
26:7,13	16:23 18:24	substantial 12:1 14:1	30:19,20
rulemaking 8:20 12:6	sought 17:12	substantive 15:15	

Tom 4:23 11:16,20	ways 17:1 23:22 24:8
15:14 19:6 20:5,22 21:7 25:23	week 7:19
top 20:23	who've 19:2
total 7:11	wishes 9:22
track 18:4	wondered 20:19
trainings 5:6 7:5	wording 25:20,25
transaction 12:21	words 18:9
transactions 12:12 17:25 18:22	work 7:21 16:14 21:20 23:23 25:16
trees 20:7	worked 19:2
turn 21:21 27:2	working 13:25 17:25
	workshops 7:10
U	world 16:12
unanimously 28:4	worth 5:8 15:18
underlined 14:5 19:10	written 4:3 19:8 22:13, 14,16 26:14
underlying 14:7	wrong 5:15
underneath 12:22	
understand 9:9 18:6 23:4,5 24:11	Y
understanding 24:14 25:2,7	year 21:3
	Z
V	Zoom 10:1 26:11 29:17,
view 14:8	19,21
VII 30:2	
vote 4:15	
voters 8:13 19:20	
Voters' 8:7	
votes 4:20 11:4 27:24 28:3 29:6 30:21	
w	
walk 22:7	
wanted 5:16,22 6:9 7:16 13:20 15:10,17 16:22 18:25 19:15 20:4 24:24	
warranted 5:19	